UNIUMAL

DEC 2 0 1988

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

- 1 - 2 - 3 - 4

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING

HONORABLE NORMAN QUINN CIVIL TRACK I

2

3

4

5 6

DONALD L. BARNETT

JACK A. HICKS, JACK H.

of Directors of COMMUNITY

and COMMUNITY CHAPEL AND

BIBLE TRAINING CENTER,

DuBOIS, and E. SCOTT HARTLEY,) individually and as the Board)

CHAPEL BIBLE TRAINING CENTER )

ν.

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

15 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING DEFS' SECOND MOTION FOR BOGMENT -1-PARTIA

NO. 88-2-04148-2

ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING DEFENDANTS' SECOND MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT

SCHWERPE KRUG & TAUSEND PS

Committee to the Arthur

THIS MATTER having come on for hearing before the Honorable Norman Quinn, upon the Second Motion of Defendants for Partial Summary Judgment, and the Court having reviewed defendants Motion (CP \_\_\_\_\_), and Reply Brief (CP \_\_\_\_\_) and pleadings submitted by plaintiff Donald L. Barnett, including the declarations of those occuments referenced at long 3 of Plaintiffs prick, Plaintiff's Memorandum (CP \_\_\_\_), and the Court having heard together with services and By lows pourously submitted with declarations arguments by Robert J. Rohan, of Schweppe, Krug & Tausend, representing defendants, and attorneys representing plaintiff, and the Court finding that there are no genuine issues of disputed fact, and that defendants are entitled to partial summary judgment as a matter of law, now, therefore, it is hereby

561

10

12

13

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 1. The following affirmative defenses of plaintiff are dismissed: 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 2.11, 2.14, 2.15, 2.16, 2.18, 2.19, 2.20.
- 2. This Court confirms that it has previously dismissed plaintiff's affirmative defenses 2.7 and 2.8.
- 3. Defendants' counterclaim may be amended to include an allegation that all licenses and fees have been paid.

Based on the foregoing order, JUDGMENT is hereby entered as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff's complaint is dismissed with prejudice.
- 2. Defendants are granted judgment on their first counterclaim. The declaratory relief set forth in this Court's Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, entered November 30, 1988, is now a final declaration of the rights of the parties with respect to defendants' first counterclaim.
- 3. Defendants are entitled to their statutory costs and fees herein.
- 4. There is no just reason for delay. The Clerk is expressly directed to enter this judgment.

DONE IN OPEN COURT this 16- day of December, 1988.

JUDGE

DINH

ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING DEFS' SECOND MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT -2SCHWEPPE, KRUG & TAUSEND PS

Presented by: SCHWEPPE, KRUG FAUSEND, P.S. ROBERT J. ROHAN Attorneys for Defendants Copy Received: Notice of Presentation Waived: LAW OFFICES OF RODNEY G. PIERCE RODNEY G. PIERCE Attorney for Plaintiff 0147-001\0121588.RJR 

ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING DEFS' SECOND MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT -3-APPENDIX B

SCHWEPPE, KRUG & TAUSEND, PS

BOO WATERFRONT PLACE

1011 WESTERN AVENUE

SEATTLE WASHINGTON 98104

(206- 223 1600