## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING

DONALD L. BARNETT,

Plaintiff.

vs.

No. 88-2-04148-2

JACK A. HICKS, JACK H. DuBOIS and E. SCOTT HARTLEY, individually and as the Board of Directors of COMMUNITY CHAPEL AND BIBLE TRAINING CENTER AND COMMUNITY CHAPEL AND BIBLE TRAINING CENTER.

Defendants.

## DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EVAMINATION OF

E. SCOTT HARTLEY

PATI3, 178-81 (7-28-88)

Reel 69, Fr. 0/37-> PP. 46-60 of 9/PB Jep

216 - 1st South, Room 280 Seattle, Washington

DATE: March 9, 1988

REPORTED BY: Debra Roberts



-	. A. (withess compiles.)
2	Q. Do you have another copy of this document?
3	A. Not with me, no. You can keep that if you
4	want.
5	Q. Tell me, with regards to this this
6	appears to be a 1/25/88 agreement that you have here
7	it talked about the approval of a board of
8	directors meeting in here? Maybe you can tell me?
9	A. Sure. I'll explain it to you.
10	Q. No, I want you to tell me what paragraph on
11	this document provided for the approval, not some
12	interpretation, just the provisions for it.
13	A. This agreement that was signed by the
14	pastor tells us that we have the right to hold
15	meetings to discuss and to make a decision over a
16	problem between Don Barnett and Jerry Zwack,
17	Z-W-A-C-K.
18	Q. Tell me what paragraph talks about an
19	approval of this meeting on February 10th.
20	A. Once this eldership meeting had gone on,
21	the 25th, it says that, "Don shall not exercise any
22	authority over these hearings, and over the
23	conclusive eldership review session. The Board of
24	Elders shall exercise final authority and the



meetings. This agreement applies only to these

hearings, and does not pertain to any other church 1 2 matters. Don and Jerry shall permit the hearing to continue until they are concluded to the satisfaction 3 of the Elders. Don shall not act as pastor to stop the meetings once they have begun." With the senior elders to preside over the meeting. 6 7 And with this agreement, we determined that after hearing his testimony -- and I'll read to 8 you, because -- "Testimony given before the entire 9 leadership ... " --10 13 No. To me, that's not part of the question. I just need that part of the paragraph. 12 13 A. Okay. 14 Is there a board of elders and a board of Q. 15 senior elders? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Can I see this document, this 1/25? 18 A. (Witness complies.) 19 This apparently talks about, "The Board of Elders, as a group, shall exercise final authority 20 21 over these meetings"? 22 A. Yes. 23 That doesn't talk about board of senior 24 elders?



25

A.

It's -- includes us, because we're part of

1	that.
2	Q. Does it talk about board of senior elders?
3	A. It included us, because we're a part of
4	that group.
5	Q. We'll go on to the next one. Did you have
6	that one?
7	A. Uh-huh.
8	Q. Excuse me. When did these hearings stop?
9	A. When did they stop?
10	Q. Yes. It says, " not to stop the
11	meetings once they began."
12	When did they begin?
13	A. On the date there.
14	Q. January 25, 1988?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. When did they end?
17	A. Effectively, yesterday.
18	Q. Effectively yesterday?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. This agreement only applied to those
21	hearings that were being conducted, not to any other
22	church matters; is that correct?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And did everybody know that these hearings
25	were continuing?

Mary action

)



á

1	A. As far as the board of elders?
2	Q. Yes.
3	A. Sure. They were all there.
4	Q. Did Donald Barnett know?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. How did you tell him that those were
7	A. He knew, like all of us knew. We were
8	going to meet every Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, from
9	1:00 o'clock to 6:00 o'clock, and at times, he was
10	specifically asked to come down and testify, and
11	other times, he wasn't.
12	Q. He could go down to these meetings?
13	A. Uh-huh.
14	Q. And these meetings continued up to
15	yesterday, which would be March 8th?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And he was disfellowshipped on March 4th?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Could he still go between March 4th and
20	March 8th?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Then he was not allowed to be present for
23	some of the hearings?
24	A. March 4th, he was disfellowshipped,
25	therefore, he could not be a part of it. There was

**M**eanings of the



1	no way he could be a part of it.
2	Q. Did he know he would no longer be able to
3	attend another hearing?
4	A. Why wouldn't he, since he was
5	disfellowshipped, wouldn't he know?
6	Q. I'm just asking, did you provide any
7	information to him?
8	A. When a person is disfellowshipped, they
9	know they're not to function in any part. You just
10	know that automatically.
11	Q. Did you provide anything other than the
12	automatic notice to him?
13	A. March 4th letter was sufficient to let him
14	know. He knows it followed. He knows how it's to be
15	interpreted.
16	Q. Other than the March 4, 1988, letter, I
17	assume, of the three
18	A. Senior elders, yes.
19	Q. Was there any other notice for him with
20	regard to the hearings?
21	A. With them stopping on yesterday? No.
22	Q. Were decisions made based upon those
23	hearings?
24	A. Absolutely.
25	Q. What decisions were made based on those



- A. Well, you can see them there on the resolutions. You can --
  - Q. No. You tell me, please.

What decisions were made based upon these hearings?

- A. The first one was -- let me get the right dates here. There was a letter transmitted to Don Barnett on February 15, 1988, from the senior elders, expressing our responsibility to the church to implement its own guidelines, and putting him on special status.
- Q. My question was, what were the decisions that were based upon those 1/25/88 to 3/8/88 hearings. And you've indicated to me the 2/15/88 special status notice.
  - A. Yeah, that's the first one.
- Q. What else? All I really want to know is what those decisions were that were based upon those hearings.
- A. Okay. On February 24th, another letter was sent to Don Barnett by the entire board of elders, saying they agreed with what the senior elders had done, as far as putting Don on special status. And that they were pleading with him to please comply.



1	Q. Any other decisions made upon these 1/25/88
2	to 3/8/88 hearings?
3	A. Also, on February 4, 1988, another letter
4	sent to Pastor Don Barnett from the entire elders,
5	including the senior elders, was a letter addressing
6	questions he had requested of us to be answered.
7	Q. Is that a decision that's made based upon
8	these hearings?
9	A. The information passed in here, other
10	decisions based upon questions he challenged the
11	committee to answer.
12	Q. Are there any other decisions that are
13	based upon those hearings?
14	A. Yeah. Exhibitss 10, 11, 12, and 13, also.
15	Q. Well, you made reference to documents.
16	Just tell me what the decisions were, if you would,
17	please.
18	A. I said, we did cover these, right? I said
19	we covered these.
20	Exhibit 10 is a recommendation by the
21	general elders to the senior elders; their
22	recommendation to disfellowship Don. March 4th
23	letter to Don Barnett, from David Motherwell,
24	regarding his recommendation to the board of elders



for disfellowship. March 4th letter to Don Barnett

1	from the remaining board of directors,
2	disfellowshipping Don. And a letter to the Pastor
3	Don from all of the elders, describing our reasons
4	for taking the actions that we did.
5	Q. Now, that goes up to March 4, 1988.
6	What decisions were made at the
7	conclusions of these hearings on March 8, 1988?
8	A. (No audible response.)
9	Q. There must have been some purpose to
10	continuing on the hearings after March 4th?
11	A. Yeah. We basically discussed if there was
12	anything else to do, and to conclude, at those
13	meetings.
14	Q. And what happened? What decisions were
15	made?
16	A. That we would send a letter to the pastor
17	excuse me. We would send a letter we would
18	send a letter to Don Barnett, outlining the
19	conditions outlined in our March 4, '88, letter to
20	him from the remaining board of directors, to provide
21	him well, I'll just say I'll tell you what it
22	says.
23	"We greatly appreciate the deep
24	sacrifice you have made for the congregation for many



years. We will show you fairness and be benevolent

to you with regard to the parsonage, severance pay,
and the automobile you use. The Senior Elders, in
conjunction with the Deacon Board, will extend terms
to you as soon as possible.
And we were discussing what terms to
extend to him, which would be done sometime this
week.
Q. So there's more hearings to be held?
A. No.
Q. Who makes the determination of these
terms?
A. The board of elders.
Q. Board of elders?
A. Senior elders.
Q. Board
A. Board of the senior elders.
Q. And so will the board of senior elders be
meeting to discuss these matters?
A. If there's a reason for it, yes.
Q. Have you come to some decisions at the
present time?
A. The only thing that we have, is that we
were going to extend terms to Don.
Q. What did you discuss in those meetings of
b of aldows and what recolutions or



## decisions were made?

- A. That is still being drafted, and I don't have any copies of the letter to be sent over to him yet.
- Q. Well, do you know what the terms are of his severance pay?
- A. No, because we're going to make a suggestion. I don't have it written down at this point in time, and I don't have the letter in front of me. It hasn't been completed.
  - Q. Who is preparing the letter?
- A. I think we asked David Motherwell, I think, to do that.
  - O. Did vou tell David Motherwell what you

1	preliminary to see what Don would do.
2	Q. I assume some decisions were made by their
3	sending out
4	A. When he
5	Q. Hold on. I'll ask you questions.
6	A. Okay.
7	Q. I assume that some action was taken by the
8	board of senior elders to make a recommendation on
9	Don; is that correct?
10	A. Only to draft a letter. When that gets to
11	us, then the senior elders will review it, and make a
12	decision at that time.
13	Q. You do not have any input with regards to
14	severance pay or other things that are included in
15	that recommendation?
16	A. I will, as soon as I get the rough draft of
17	the letter.
18	Q. Now, do you have that 1/25/88 document?
19	A. Yes.
20	(Exhibit No. 14 was marked
21	for identification.)
22	Q. (By Mr. Pierce) I show you what has been
23	marked as Exhibit 14, which says 1/25/88 as the
24	date. It says "Agreements" on it.



Am I to understand that this document



No, in the sense that this particular

24

25

Q.

A.

letter agreement was supposed to -- it was for the purpose of these hearings, and through their -- and to conduct them. And in the middle of them, it became obvious to us, due to the malfeasance of office, that we were -- that was being exposed, even by Don's own mouth, that our responsibility to the congregation, from a Scriptural standpoint, and our obligation to state law, was to take appropriate and reasonable action to stop his misuse and misconduct of various females in the congregation.

Q. I'm lost with regards to that response.

The question is: Did this document, Exhibit 14, give you authority to have, or give you the permission, pursuant to Article VII, Definition 1, to have meetings other than for that limited area of Mr. Zwack's complaint? And you talked about the malfeasance.

MR. LEACH: If you don't like the answer, ask the question again. Don't try to argue with him.

MR. PIERCE: All right.

Q. (By Mr. Pierce) Am I to understand there's an implied authority or implied authorization that came from that document, other than for the Zwack's --



1 Zwack's hearing. A. 2 Did you talk with Don with regards to these other board of directors meetings? 3 There's several things involved here. 5 have that particular agreement. We have our responsibility to the word of God, which all of us 6 have agreed to uphold, and our responsibility to the 7 State of Washington, as a non-profit corporation, to 8 protect this corporation from tortuous acts. 10 We have marked this Exhibit 6, the February 11 10th meeting of the board of senior elders. 12 indicate that Don Barnett wasn't present for that. 13 His permission for that was this 1/25/88 agreement, Exhibit 14. 14 15 That was one of the reasons, yes. 16 It talks about, in here, presence or 17 permission. He wasn't present. Was there other permission that was given besides the Exhibit 14? 18 19 Not from Don, directly, no. A. 20 But the Exhibit 14 was the permission that 0. 21 was permission for this eldership meeting on February 10th? 22 23 It was one of the reasons, yes. Was there any other permission given by 24 Q.



25

Don Barnett?

**100 100**

- A. Yeah. His previous statements over the years regarding the authority of the word of God.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. And it's authority over everything that we do, including our bylaws.
  - Q. Anything else?
  - A. Our responsibility to State law, as well.
- Q. Now, Exhibit 7 indicates that there's another senior elders meeting, dated February 26, 1988.

Was Donald Barnett present for that?

- A. No.
- Q. Was there "permission of the chairman" for this meeting of the board of senior elders?
- A. It's the same reason that I just gave you regarding Exhibit 14. Also, the word of God and Washington State law.
- Q. What Washington State law are you referring to for the meeting of the board of senior elders?

  I'm not talking about decisions made; just to hold a meeting.
- A. That would be limited to Exhibit 14 and, also, the word of God.
- Q. Now, Exhibit 8 talks about a senior elders meeting on March 8th, 10:20 a.m.



2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

myself.



MR. HICKS:

I wasn't there, Scott.

1	Q. And that was adopted by the board of
2	directors?
3	λ. Yes.
4	Q. When was that adopted?
5	A. Harch 4, 1988, at 3:25 p.m., in Jack Hicks'
6	office.
7	Q. Is that after you had gone down to the
8	Secretary of State's office with the Articles of
9	Amendment to amend the Articles of Incorporation of
10	Community Chapel?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And did you give notice of this meeting to
13_	Pastor Don Barnett?

1 | meeting in the afternoon?

A. No.

**東京教育などはあった。からかった。** 

Q. And did you direct anybody, on behalf of you, or any other members of the board of senior elders, to contact him on your behalf?

A. No.

Q. so he could not have possibly gotten any notice with regards to this meeting; is that correct?

A. That's true.

Q. Now, the bylaws, up until this point in time, up to this meeting at 3:25 p.m., on March 4th, provided that Don Barnett was to concur, or he would have to concur with the directors of the corporation or any action that was going to be taken; is that correct?

A. The bylaws said that.

Q. And the bylaws had not been amended up until this point in time; is that correct?

A. Yes.



