ree 69 fr. 0570, 12 109-114 of this dep.

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

DONALD L. BARNETT.

Plaintiff

vs.

JACK A. HICKS, JACK H. DuBOIS, and E. SCOTT HARTLEY, individually and as the Board of Directors of COMMUNITY CHAPEL AND BIBLE TRAINING CENTER and COMMUNITY CHAPEL AND BIBLE TRAINING CENTER.

Defendants

NOV 16 1990 LO: OF ICS EDWARDS SIEH, WIGGINS & HATHAWAY ATTORNEYS AT LAW NO. 88-2-04148-2

COPY

DEPOSITION OF DAVID MOTHERWELL VOLUME I

PR 62-77

Tſ.

A Company of the Comp

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff:

MR. RODNEY PIERCE

Attorney at Law

800 Fifth Avenue, #4200

Seattle, WA 98104

For Defendants:

MR. ROBERT ROHAN

Attorney at Law Rohan, Goldfarb, Breskin

and Shapiro

1109 First Avenue, #212

Seattle, WA 98101

Also Present:

Donald L. Barnett

(During a.m. session only)

BE IT REMEMBERED that the deposition of DAVID
MOTHERWELL was taken on November 13, 1990, at 800 Fifth
Avenue, Suite 4200, Seattle, Washington, before Mary Jean
Berkstresser, Notary Public.

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had, to wit:

Sandra
Baker & Court Reporters
Baker & And Legal
Associates Video Service

870 10th Lane, Fox Island, Washington 98333, Tacoma 272,9288, Bremenon 373,9032, Seattle 622,9919

- 1 Q Do you remember the dates that was signed?
- 2 A I believe it was signed on January 25.
- 3 Q Does that help you as to the start of the eldership hearings?
- Well, the eldership hearings that were referred to in that agreement began on that date or afterwards.
- 7 Q Do you know if they started on a date after January 25 or 8 on January 25?
- 9 A I don't remember exactly.
- 10 Q Prior to the eldership hearings commencing, did you have
 11 any conversations with Donald Barnett with regards to the
 12 eldership hearings?
- 13 A Yes.
- How many occasions did you have conversations with him about those eldership hearings prior to the eldership hearings beginning?
- 17 A I don't remember the number. More than one.
- 18 Q Tell me what meetings you do recall.
- I can't recall a specific meeting, but he would meet with
 me on the phone or in person as a intermediary between
 himself and the eldership, and I would communicate some of
 his thoughts to the eldership and some of the eldership
 thoughts to him.
- 24 Q I'm just looking at the period before the eldership hearings.

Mr. Pierce

- 62 -

2 And you don't recall any of the meetings that occurred 3 between you and him or any of the conversations that 4 occurred between you and him? 5 Not verbatim. I'm not asking for verbatim. I'm just asking if you recall 6 0 7 any meetings or conversations with Donald Barnett. 8 I don't recall a specific meeting at a specific time with a 9 specific thing said or not said. It was just an occasional phone call, an occasional personal visit in the days 10. 11 preceding January 25th. 12 Do you recall any conversations that you had with Donald 1.3 Barnett during this first period of time before the 14 eldership meetings occurred? 15 Bits and pieces. 16 Tell me what you do recall from these bits and pieces of your telephone conversations with Donald Barnett. 17 MR. ROHAN: And the personal meetings? 18 MR. PIERCE: No, just the telephone 19

That's what I'm referring to.

Q One of the telephone calls? I just want telephone calls.

I can't differentiate between the telephone calls and the

instance a paraphrase or approximation of one of the

THE WITNESS: To the best of my memory, for

Mr. Pierce

conversations.

meetings --

1

20

21

22

23

personal meetings.

A Recipion of the section

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11 12

- Q Tell me what telephone calls and personal meetings you can recall with Donald Barnett and the conversations that took place.
- The subject came up of this agreement that he signed in my presence on January 25th, and I informed him that the eldership felt it was in his best interest and the church's best interest that he sign this agreement so that he wouldn't appear hypocritical, abusing his position, rigging the hearings, abusing his power and position for his own behalf, and we discussed that. I can't recall whether it was in person or on the phone.
- 13 Q What was discussed?
- 14 A He said, what do they want me to sign, and I told him what
 15 I knew that they wanted him to sign.
- 16 Q What did you tell him?
- 17 A The gist of what is in the agreement.
- Do you remember what words you used when you spoke with Don Barnett?
- No, I don't remember exactly the words. I just said it is in your best interest to sign this. That's a rough quote, the heart of what I said. That it is in your best interest to sign this, that you are going to be appear to be abusing your office and your position and you are not trusted.

Words to that effect.

Mr. Pierce

25

- 64 -

1 0 What else do you remember occurring in this meeting or conversation?

- Oh, he would ask me what would happen if I don't sign it and what will happen if I don't attend the meetings. And I would say I can't say for sure -- and again I'm giving you a rough paraphrase, approximations of what was said. I can't give you exact guotes, but again the gist of it was that if he didn't cooperate that Jerry Zwack would take the information that he had to a broader audience than just the eldership, and so I communicated that with Don more than once.
- 12 This is in the one conversation?
- 13 It was probably in more than one conversation.
- 14 On more than one specific occasion?
- 15 A Yes. That is the best of my recollection.
- 16 Let's say on this one that you do recall here --
- I'm not recalling a specific one. I'm just recalling the 17 18 message or the messages that were exchanged between he and 19 I, the eldership and I, and he and the eldership with me as 20 an intermediary. I'm recalling the general substance of the 21 exchange.
- 22 Anything else that you recall in either a conversation or 23 meeting with Don Barnett during this first period?
 - Oh, he would ask about what other elders may or may not do if he refused to comply with the meeting, and I would tell

Mr. Pierce

The second secon

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

24

25

- 65 -

1 him I can't say specifically but that I know that they are 2 almost to a man determined to have a meeting or determined 3 to have an investigation. 4 Did you use the word investigation? 5 Meeting, investigation, hearing, trial, inquiry, it was one 6 or a combination of one of those words at some given time 7 or another. 8 Did you use the words that you just described? 9 I would have used one of those words at some time. 10 know if I used all of them but --11 So you don't know whether you used the word trial with Don 12 Barnett? 13 A I know he used the word trial often, so I'm assuming I did 14 too in my response. 15 Q Do you recall any other conversations or communications 16 between you and Don Barnett in this first period? 17 Well, during this period -- which period are you talking 18 about? 19 The first period I'm talking about is the --20 Prior to January 25? 21 Q I'll set the foundation. The first period I'm talking 22 about are conversations that you had with Don Barnett 23 during the period up until the start of the elders' 24 hearings on January 25, 1988. The second period I'm

talking about will be January 25 to March 4 until the end

Mr. Pierce

25

of the elders' hearings. The third period would be anything that occurred after March 4, 1988.

Do you understand that?

- A Right. We had other discussions on Jerry Zwack in that I was kind of an intermediary between Jerry Zwack and Don Barnett.
- Q What occurred?

- Don would insist that Jerry was -- in Don's mind he thought that Jerry was upset about his dismissal from his position on staff, and I would reply to Don that I don't hear that from Jerry, I have yet to hear that from Jerry, that Jerry is taking issue with your unaccountability. I am giving you paraphrases, the substance of the conversation. I can't recall if any of these words were used exactly and in the order that I am giving them to you, but this was the substance of what I communicated between Jerry and Don Barnett.
- Q Do you recall any other communications or conversations that you had with Don Barnett during this first period?
 A Well, the further back you go -- what I have done in
- well, the further back you go -- what I have done in speaking here is I have started with January 25th and I'm working backwards, so the further back you go the more unclear the conversations go. During calendar year 1987 we had discussions just about him in my role as his counselor.
- Q These are discussions that just you and Don Barnett had?

Mr. Pierce

- 67 -

1 A Right.

2 Q Did it involve any eldership hearings?

3 A No.

4 Q I'm limiting the first period to any discussions you had with Don Barnett with regard to the eldership hearings.

A I've covered a lot of that. There may be more that may

come to my memory at some time in the future, but I have

covered the things that are immediately coming to mind.

Do you recall any other conversations or communications you might have had with Don Barnett during this first period of the eldership hearings that we talked about?

12 A Not that I'm sure of.

Did you have any conversations during this first period
with Don Barnett with regards to what the eldership
hearings could result in for Don Barnett?

16 A I did have conversations with him about what would result
17 if we didn't have the meetings or the hearings.

Q I'm asking did you have any conversations with him as to what he could expect to have happen if there was the eldership hearings?

A I think it was that if the investigation or trial or hearing or inquiry went successfully and the issues were addressed and whatever corrections or changes that were necessary were made, then the hearings would conclude on that note. All along I know I stressed that if he

Mr. Pierce

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

- 68 -

cooperated it would go well, and if he failed to cooperate that it would be trouble.

Did you talk to him about what the eldership hearings could result in for him if they didn't go well or if he didn't make the corrections necessary?

MR. ROHAN: Objection. Asked and answered.

- Of course no one knew how they would go, and we were always, we meaning any of us, were always assuming the brighter side of it, but even though we were assuming the brighter side, even though we were assuming a favorable ending, I communicated to him that if they did not go well it would be trouble.
- 13 Q Did you explain what trouble meant?
 - A My explanation of trouble changed as the hearings progressed, and if you are referring to the time prior to the commencement of the hearings, I don't think it was explained other than just trouble, to the best of my memory.
- 19 Q Did he ever ask you what you meant by trouble?
- 20 A No. He knew. He knew that at least this information would
 21 go to a much broader audience and that that would be great
 22 trouble. He knew that for own in my judgment
- 22 trouble. He knew that for sure in my judgment.
- 23 Q Can you explain to us what you mean by this information 24 going to a much broader audience?
 - A The complaints that Jerry Zwack had. This is the

Mr. Pierce

1

2

3 0

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

25

- 69 -

information. The broader audience was to at least the 1 2 church, if not a broader audience than the church. 3 What is a broader audience than the church? 4 The media. 5 What are the complaints of Jerry Zwack as you understood them prior to the commencement of the elder hearings? 6 Extensive sexual misconduct, abuse of authority, abuse of 7 8 his position, abuse of his wife, lying to cover up, mishandling of his office and the congregants because of 9 10 his misconduct, and other things. 11 What other things? 12 Other things that related to these things that I have 13 already mentioned. 14 What are those things that related to this? 15 For instance, allegations that he disfellowshipped people or threatened to disfellowship people if they disseminated 16 information like what Jerry Zwack had, information as to 17 what he would do on vacations with women congregants, just 18 related information. I'm kind of getting off into the tag 19 20 ends here. This was the information and complaints set forth in the 21

December 23, 1987, letter of Jerry Zwack directed to the

To make sure I understand this, you said if things did not

Mr. Pierce

Yes.

senior elders and elders?

22

23

24

25

- 70 -

go well in the eldership hearings that were being set up that this could be trouble and that trouble included the fact that this information could be disseminated to the church as a group or to the media outside?

- 5 A That was a real possibility.
- And if he did not participate in the meetings that this trouble could still exist with the dissemination of the information to the church and the media?
- 9 A If he did not participate?
- 10 Q Right.

1 2

3

4

- 11 A Right.
- 12 Q Was there any other discussions as to what could or could not happen to Don Barnett if he participated in the elders'
- 14 hearings?
- He mentioned at that time, as he had mentioned in months

 past -- for instance, in one meeting in the fall of '87 -
 and he kind of would restate this fear from time to time

 that he would lose his church.
- Did you talk to Don with regards to what you saw could or could not happen to him as a result of his participation in the elders' hearing during this first period of time prior to the elders' hearings starting?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Was there anything else that you discussed with Don besides what you previously told us?

Mr. Pierce

- 7<u>1</u> -

1 Not that I can remember. Did you discuss with Don at all the authority of the elders 2 3 to take some action against him? 4 Not at that time. Did you discuss with Don whether --5 6 That I can recall. 7 Did you discuss with Don whether or not the elders had any 8 authority to disfellowship him? 9 Not at that time that I can recall. It was an assumption 10 in my mind that no one was above disfellowship. I believe that assumption was shared by others. 11 12 Did you discuss that with Don Barnett prior to the 13 eldership hearings starting? Not that I can specifically recall. 14 15 Did you discuss with Don Barnett prior to the eldership

C-There is the control of the contro

talked to Don with regards to the fact that the elders could disfellowship him? MR. ROHAN: What time period? MR. PIERCE: During the first second

1 A Absolutely.

The second secon

2 Q And that was based on what facts?

3 A The Bible.

7

8

9

10

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

Q Was there any documents, articles, bylaws, provisions of
 any type involving Community Chapel and Bible Training
 Center which you used to form that opinion?

A My discussions with Don Barnett prior to January 28, 1988, were always regarding the Bible, and his with me were always regarding the Bible in terms of responsibility, power, authority, issues like that.

2 Am I correct that your position is that he could be disfellowshipped based on biblical authority?

13 A Absolutely.

Did you in forming your opinion that he could be
disfellowshipped rely on any articles, bylaws, or any other
documents from Community Chapel and Bible Training Center?

17 A Did I?

18 Q Yes.

A I felt there was no need to. He had at all times in every relationship I am aware of, other people, with the church, with me, he would present himself or characterize himself as submissive to the scriptures.

In Community Chapel and Bible Training Center was there one individual who was the interpreter of the scriptures for Community Chapel and Bible Training Center?

Mr. Pierce

- 74 -

We had seven, eight, nine theologian elders who were 1 A entrusted with the -- well, keeping watch over the teaching 2 3 and interpretation of the Bible. But even with that, Don Barnett would say this is the way I read it, you make up 4 5 your own mind, in his sermons on occasion. Was the interpretation of scripture for Community Chapel 6 7 and Bible Training Center the primary duty for Don Barnett? 8 MR. ROHAN: Objection. Lacks foundation. 9 and answered. 10 He frequently would even say that there were 11 theologians that had a better handle on sections of 12 theology than he did, although he was obviously regarded as 13 a voice as well, but he didn't claim to be the sole voice, 14 and he even claimed that others were as well trained or in 15 some instances better trained in certain things that he 16 was. 17 Do you recall in the bylaws that it provided that the original pastor, Donald Barnett, could not be removed 18 19 without his consent? 20 Yes. 21 Do you know why that provision was in there? 22 No. I am assuming he put it in there when they were 23 originally drafted. 24 Do you recall any other conversations during this first

period of eldership hearings up until they commenced

Mr. Pierce

25

1 between you and Donald Barnett? 2 You are restricting this to elders' hearings? 3 Q Yes. A Well, the matter of lawsuits was discussed between he and I 5 and his uncertainty about how they would end up. 6 Tell us all you recall with regard to those conversations? 7 As I am talking I'm recalling bits and pieces more, and I 8 am giving you the substance of what was discussed. 9 main thing that was discussed was what would happen if the 10 hearings didn't go, what would happen if they did, and if 11 they went well, and what Jerry and the other elders may or may not do or said that they would do if the hearings 12 13 wouldn't commence. That is the substance of what was 14 discussed. I am sure other things were too. 15 When did your first conversation with Donald Barnett take place with relation to these eldership hearings starting? 16 17 I think it was in December of 1987. Would it have been shortly after the letter of Jerry Zwack? 18 19 Right. 20 Did you have discussions with any of the elders with regard 21 to those eldership hearings in 1987? 22 It was so close to the turn of the year, I don't remember

if it was in December of '87 or January of '88.

Within the first couple of weeks?

Mr. Pierce

Yes.

23

24

Q What conversation did you have?

A For instance, Ron Myrick said that there absolutely must be hearings and we needed to get to the bottom of this for instance. Let me think who else. Gerry Slaminski said similar things. I spoke to Ron, Jerry, George Bowker who voiced similar feelings. I was speaking to Lanny Peterson about this frequently. To Russell MacKenzie because Don had sent a letter to each of them -- or rather Jerry Zwack sent a letter to each of them.

- Q During this period starting at the end of 1987 up until the start of the eldership hearings on January 25, do you recall any other conversations that you had with Donald Barnett which did not relate to the eldership hearings?
- 14 A Frankly, no.
- Showing you what is Exhibit Number 1 to these depositions here, is that a copy of the agreement that you recall discussing with Donald Barnett on or around January 25, 1988?
- 19 A Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

23

24 25

- 20 Q And showing you what is Exhibit Number 2, is that a copy of
 21 the guidelines for the eldership hearings between Don
 22 Barnett and Jerry Zwack?
 - A Well, this says guidelines for eldership hearings, but I believe it was a draft. Exhibit 3 says the same thing and it is not marked as Exhibit 2 is.

Mr. Pierce

- 77 -