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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 1 | witness, Keith Raniere. |
| 2 | INFORMATION REQUESTED | 2 | MR. McGUIRE: William McGuire, Tompkins |
| 3 | (Request.) Page 48 | 3 | McGuire Wachenfeld \& Barry representing NXIVM, Nancy |
|  | (Request.) Page 101 | 4 | Salzman and Kristin Keeffe. |
| 4 | (Request.) Page 106 | 5 | MR. LEONARD: Okay. Before we begin, on |
|  | (Request.) Page 113 | 6 | behalf of Keith Raniere, we are taking the position |
| 5 | (Request.) Page 140 | 7 | that this entire deposition is confidential. It |
| 6 |  | 8 | should be maintained that way for at least 30 days |
| 8 |  | 9 | pending whatever application either we make or the |
| 9 |  | 10 | portions that we may designate if it's less than the |
| 10 |  | 11 | whole thing, so I assume that's in accordance with |
| 11 |  | 12 | our order and practice and that there should be no |
| 12 |  | 13 | problem. |
| 13 |  | 14 | MR. KOFMAN: We have no objection. |
| 14 |  | 15 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Please swear in the |
| 15 |  | 16 | witness. |
| 16 |  | 17 | MR. McGUIRE: Wait a minute. |
| 17 |  | $18$ | MR. LANDY: We don't object to having |
| 18 |  | 19 | the transcript being held confidential for the next |
| 19 |  | $20$ | 30 days in its entirety. However, we would request |
| 20 |  |  | 30 days in its entirety. However, we would request |
| 21 |  | $21$ | that by the end of that 30-day period counsel for |
| 22 |  | 22 | the witness designate which portions of the |
| 23 |  | 23 | transcript they intend to keep confidential for the |
| 24 |  | 24 | entirety of the litigation. If you choose to |
| 25 |  | 25 | designate the entirety, send a letter saying so. |
|  | Page 6 |  | Page 8 |
| 1 | (Document headed Biography on Keith | 1 | MR. LEONARD: That's fine. |
| 2 | Raniere, Founder \& Developer of Rational Inquiry, | 2 | MR. SKOLNIK: I join in Mr. Landy's |
| 3 | Highly Confidential Bates stamped P000004995 was | 3 | position that maintaining confidentiality for 30 |
| 4 | received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-1 | 4 | days is acceptable. At the end of 30 days, we'll |
| 5 | for Identification.) | 5 | expect that the portions of deposition that you |
| 6 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is March | 6 | intend to keep confidential are so designated; and |
| 7 | 11, 2009, at the time indicated on the video screen. | 7 | we reserve our right to make any objections. |
| 8 | We're here in Florham Park, New Jersey, to take the | 8 |  |
| 9 | deposition of Keith Raniere in the matter of NXIVM | 9 | K EITH ALAN R A NIERE, residing at |
| 10 | v. Sutton. | 10 | 3 Flintlock Lane, Clifton Park, New York 12065, |
| 11 | At this time, will the attorneys please | 11 | is duly sworn and testifies on his oath as follows: |
| 12 | identify themselves and the clients they represent. | 12 |  |
| 13 | MR. KOFMAN: Harold Kofman from Riker | 13 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOFMAN: |
| 14 | Danzig Scherer Hyland Perretti LLP and Anthony J. | 14 | Q. Good morning, Mr. Raniere. My name is |
| 15 | Sylvester from Riker Danzig Scherer Hyland Perretti | 15 | Harold Kofman. I'm representing Morris Sutton, |
| 16 | LLP representing Morris Sutton, Rochelle Sutton and | 16 | Rochelle Sutton, and Stephanie Franco in the lawsuit |
| 17 | Stephanie Franco. | 17 | that was initiated by NXIVM Corp. And First |
| 18 | MR. LANDY: Robert Landy from Friedman | 18 | Principles, Inc. |
| 19 | Kaplan Seiler \& Adelman LLP on behalf of Interfor, | 19 | I'm going to be taking your deposition today. |
| 20 | Incorporated and Juval Aviv. | 20 | Have you ever had your deposition taken before? |
| 21 | MR. SKOLNIK: Peter Skolnik and Thomas | 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | Dolan of Lowenstein Sandler representing Rick Ross, | 22 | Q. On how many occasions? |
| 23 | The Ross Institute, Paul Martin and Wellspring. | 23 | A. Two, I think. |
| 24 | MR. CAMPION: Thomas Campion and Robert | 24 | Q. And when did you have your deposition taken? |
| 25 | Leonard, Drinker Biddle \& Reath, representing the | 25 | A. The date? |

Q. Approximately.
A. 1993. Maybe it was the spring -- I'm not sure -- and I think later than that. I think it was 1995.
Q. Were those depositions in connection with Consumers' Buyline?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm going to just run --
A. I'm sorry. There was a third deposition.
Q. When was that?
A. That was also -- I believe that was 1994.
Q. And was that also in connection with

Consumers' Buyline?
A. Yes.
Q. Mr. Raniere, I'm just going to run over a few of the ground rules of the deposition since it's been awhile since you've had your deposition taken.

I'm going to be asking you a series of questions today. My questions and your answers are being recorded by the court reporter sitting to my right. In addition, there's a videographer who's recording this deposition.

It's important that you wait till I finish my question before answering. It makes a cleaner record, and also that way I can be sure that you
understand my question. If you don't understand my question, please let me know, and I'll try and rephrase it if I can.

You're being represented by counsel today.
If your counsel makes an objection, stop and wait
for your counsel to finish the objection. It's important that you answer verbally, since the court reporter can't take down shrugs and so forth.

Finally, if you need a break at any point today, please let me know, and I'll be happy to take a break at a convenient moment.

MR. LEONARD: Mr. Kofman, just for the record, Mr. Rick Ross has joined the deposition; and I would ask that his counsel advise him of the confidentiality that we've previously discussed and he's agreed to on his behalf.

MR. SKOLNIK: I will do so.
MR. LEONARD: Thank you.

## BY MR. KOFMAN:

Q. Okay. Mr. Raniere, I'd like to show you a document that we've marked as Raniere-1, and I've got additional copies for counsel here.

MR. KOFMAN: For the record, this document --

MR. SYLVESTER: Wait. Wait. Let me
have those.
MR. KOFMAN: For the record, this document is Bates stamped P000004995 and P000004996. It's a document that was produced to my client in discovery.
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Sir, do you recognize this document?
A. Not directly, but documents of this similar form.
Q. Okay, meaning -- and what is this document?
A. It looks like a biography of me.
Q. Do you know who prepared this biography?
A. No.
Q. Have you reviewed this or similar biographies prepared by NXIVM?
A. I haven't reviewed this one. I have seen similar biographies.
Q. Okay, and did you review similar biographies?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you review them to make sure they were accurate?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there anything on this biography -- and
you can take the time to read it -- that is
inaccurate?
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A. Before finishing the document, there are a number of things that if I were asked to approve this I would not.
Q. Can you give me examples?
A. Problem-solving ability is better than 1 in 425 million, it would have to say "estimated"; things along those lines. Also, there are things of -- I don't -- people write a number of different things. I think factually this is true so far, but I can say I probably did not review this one.
Q. Okay. How about the reference about halfway down to you having total retention?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Is that something that you would consider accurate?
A. Yes, as far as what some someone who would measure retention. Does that mean that I remember everything? No. I go to the supermarket and forget things like everyone else.
Q. Do you know what -- whether this document was used by NXIVM?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Just out of curiosity, the symbols
that appear on the left-hand column, do you know what they are?
A. The Executive Success Programs, to the best of my knowledge, yes.
Q. Okay.
A. My suspicion -- well, continue.
Q. Okay. That's all the questions I have right now about that document.

Mr. Raniere, have you ever met Morris Sutton?
A. Yes.
Q. When did you meet Morris Sutton?
A. In the courtroom when we were all together.
Q. Would that have been sometime in 2007-2008?
A. Yeah.
Q. Did you ever meet him before that?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever spoken to him?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever met Rochelle Sutton?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever spoken to Rochelle Sutton?
A. No.
Q. Are you aware as you sit here today that

Nancy Salzman visited the home of Morris and Rochelle Sutton at some point in time?
A. I believe that's true.
Q. When did you become aware of that?
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A. I think it was slightly after it happened.

It is my recollection she was invited to a party.
Should I -- 'cause Nancy is in the room, my tendency would be to look to see if that's so, but that's what my recollection is.
Q. Okay, and did Nancy Salzman tell you that she had gone to the Sutton's home?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Did you know who invited her to the party?
A. No.
Q. Do you know what was discussed there?
A. I believe, amongst other social things, enrolling some people in taking a course, although I'm not positive of that. I have a recollection that there actually was a course taught.
Q. Are you aware that at some point a course was taught at the home of Aaron and Leslie Kassin?
A. I don't know where, but I do have a recollection that there was a course taught, yes.
Q. Do you know what course was taught?
A. Not specifically.
Q. Did you attend the teaching of the course down in New Jersey at the home of the Kassin's?
A. No.
Q. Are you familiar with Stephanie Franco?
A. Yes.
Q. When did you first become familiar with Ms. Franco?
A. I think I was told that she was attending an Intensive. I'm not sure if it was before that point that I had heard or actually while she was at the Intensive.
Q. Okay. And who told that you she was attending an Intensive?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. Was it Nancy Salzman?
A. I don't think so. My suspicion is it was Michael Sutton.
Q. Okay, and is it your understanding that Michael Sutton is the half-brother of Stephanie Franco?
A. Yes.
Q. And am I correct that at the time Michael Sutton was a student of Executive Success Programs?
A. To the best of my knowledge.
Q. Do you recall what Michael told you about Stephanie Franco at the time?
A. I seem to remember that she had a therapy practice, and she was either -- some sort of an educator at Rutgers University.
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Q. And what did Michael tell you at the time about Ms. Franco's therapy practice?
A. I'm not sure if it was Michael.
Q. It might have been somebody else?
A. Yeah, and I don't have any details other than that she had a minor therapy practice which when someone comes to the Intensive requires further review.
Q. Okay. This is something that -- you were told about her therapy practice either before or at the time she attended the Intensive?
A. Yes.
Q. What -- you mentioned that when somebody has a therapy practice, it requires further review. What is the nature of the review that is required?
A. I'm not sure of all the details, but we want to guard trade secrets and things that are important and confidential so they want -- there is a review so that there's no conflict of interests and no future conflict of interests.
Q. Do you know who performs the review?
A. No.
Q. Do you know what the nature of the review would be?
A. Not exactly, except for what I mentioned.
Q. Okay. Is it -- does the review involve a discussion with the student?
A. I think sometimes.
Q. Do you know who does those discussions?
A. No. I think various people.
Q. Is that something that you're involved with?
A. No.
Q. Do you know whether a review was done in the case of Stephanie Franco?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know who did the review?
A. Not exactly. I know the results of the
review because I spoke to Stephanie Franco afterwards.
Q. Okay, and when did you speak with Stephanie Franco?
A. I think it was Day 5 of the Intensive. It might have been Day 4.
Q. Did you attend the Intensive that she was participating in?
A. Not directly. I may have given a
question-and-answer session, but I'm not positive.
Q. Okay. Do you recall if the year was 2001
that this Intensive took place?
A. I don't recall.
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Q. Do you have a recollection as to --
A. I think it was --
Q. -- what year it was?
A. I think it was 2001.
Q. Do you remember the time of year?
A. I think it was spring.
Q. Okay. Now, you say you spoke to Stephanie on the -- I think you said the fifth day of the Intensive.
A. Fourth or fifth.
Q. Where did that discussion take place?
A. At the 455 New Karner Road Center.
Q. And is that a training center for Executive

Success Programs?
A. Yes, amongst other things, I think.
Q. Okay. Is it also the headquarters of NXIVM?
A. I think it might serve as that function in
part, but no. They have other meetings other than trainings there.
Q. Okay. Who was present when you spoke to Ms. Franco?
A. I think Nancy was present, although I'm not positive. There may have been other people in the room but not directly involved in the conversation.
Q. Okay. So the people you recall being
5
k
involved in the conversation were yourself, Stephanie Franco, and possibly Nancy Salzman?
A. Correct.
Q. How long did the discussion -- how long did the discussion take place?
A. I'm just guessing a relatively short time, maybe a half hour.
Q. Was this during a break in the training session?
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. What was discussed?
A. Stephanie Franco wanted to apologize. She expressed -- originally coming into the training she was concerned about the nondisclosure agreement, the long form; and my understanding is that NXIVM gave her the ability to go and discuss it with an attorney and take time with it -- I would not meet with her until that form was signed -- which she did and then apologized for the delay, but I had felt at the time the delay is perfectly reasonable.

She was seemingly very excited about the material and said that she wanted to go and become a trainer with us. We also spoke of -- she said that she was a seeker and wanted to find out the way, you know, the human mind and all works to some degree
and found this an interesting supplement.
Q. Okay. At any time before the fifth day of the Intensive when you spoke to Stephanie Franco, were you aware of an issue involving the confidentiality agreement and Stephanie Franco?
A. Could you repeat the beginning of that question?
Q. Prior to meeting with Stephanie on the fifth day of the Intensive, were you aware of any issue that had arisen concerning her signing the nondisclosure confidentiality agreement?
A. I believe it was before that point because specifically I would not meet with her unless she signed it, so I think it was somewhere around the second day I heard that she had questions.
Q. From who did you hear that she had questions?
A. I'm not sure. I would suspect it was Nancy.
Q. Okay, and did you have any further discussions between the second day and the fifth day about Stephanie and the long form confidentiality agreement?
A. No. It's my recollection that I was asked what my opinion was in general with someone who wants to consider something like that. I think they should.
Q. Did you speak to -- when you spoke to Stephanie on the fifth day, did you speak about her thera -- to her about her therapy practice?
A. Not specifically. I believe she mentioned her therapy practice, although I'm not positive that she did. More that she was looking to help people, and she felt that we had some interesting tools.
Q. During this discussion with Stephanie or possibly during the question-and-answer session you may have done, was there any discussion about your view of paying taxes?
A. I don't know. That has come up a number of times, but I don't remember if it was in that discussion.
Q. What is -- what do you state about your view of paying taxes?
A. I think people should consider paying taxes.

I think they should question if they believe in such a system or not. I'm a believer in paying taxes, but I don't believe in believing without question.
Q. Do you believe payment of taxes should be compulsory?
A. I guess I don't -- I think it's ethical.
Q. I'm sorry. You believe it is ethical to compel the payment of taxes?
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A. No. I think it's ethical to pay taxes.
Q. Oh, okay. Did you discuss with Stephanie either during this private discussion or during question-and-answer your views on the institution of marriage?
A. I don't believe so. I wouldn't.
Q. Okay. Other than this one conversation with Stephanie Franco on the fifth day of the Intensive, have you had any other discussions with Ms. Franco?
A. I'm not sure. I don't think so.
Q. Have you ever seen the -- strike that.

You said that it's your understanding that
Stephanie Franco had signed the long form confidentiality agreement.

What's the basis for that understanding?
A. She told me so.
Q. What did she specifically say, if you recall?
A. "I apologize for taking so long to sign this agreement. I felt I needed to consider it, and I did, and I am very happy that I've signed it."

Also, I would not have met with her if she didn't go through that portion of it.
Q. Have you ever seen the long form confidentiality agreement that she signed?
A. No.
Q. Back in 2001, what was your role in NXIVM?
A. I'm the philosophical founder and the person who answers the questions relating to -- the ultimate questions relating to the education, the philosophy. I also help solve problems within the organization.
Q. Do you hold a title in the organization?
A. Yes.
Q. And what's the title?
A. Vanguard.
Q. Do you hold any other titles in the organization?
A. No.
Q. Are you an officer in NXIVM?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever been an officer?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Were you employed by NXIVM in 2001?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever been employed by NXIVM?
A. No.
Q. How about First Principles? Have you ever been employed by First Principles?
A. No.
Q. Was it common -- strike that.

Was it common for you to -- in 2001 to attend in part Intensives?
A. At times.
Q. How frequently would you be consulted back in 2001 on issues of philosophy or questions that -about NXIVM?
A. You know, I'm not sure at that point in time. I suspect maybe once a month in a question-answer type of forum or someone asking a direct question.
Q. What type of issues would be brought to your attention about NXIVM?

You mentioned the issue about Stephanie and the confidentiality agreement. What are some of the other types of issues that were brought -- would be brought to your attention by someone at NXIVM?
A. I'm trying to go back to that time period.

Normally they're questions of ethics, things -- for example, NXIVM has a sales force. If two salespeople have approached the same client, what are the guidelines to try to handle that sort of a thing or what guidelines would I suggest, that sort of a thing.
Q. What are you -- who are the people who would consult you about those things or who would at that period of time?
A. Nancy, some of the people that I know indirectly. I don't know the specific. I -- for example, if I answered questions at an Intensive or something like that or I walked into the building, anyone that asked me questions I would answer them.
Q. Okay. How many hours a month were you devoting to NXIVM during let's say 2001?
A. I don't know. I would estimate maybe 20.
Q. Twenty?
A. Yes.
Q. And was most of that attending these
question-and-answer things or answering issues that came up?
A. Yes, I would say so.
Q. Has that involvement of 20 hours a month changed since 2001?
A. Yeah. It's gone down.
Q. Okay. Was there ever a period during which it increased?
A. No.
Q. What would you say -- what would you estimate at -- in 2007 and 2008 how much time would you spend on NXIVM-related issues?
A. 2007-2008?
Q. On a monthly basis.
A. I want to amend something I said. When you say "NXIVM-related issues," can you be more specific?
Q. Sure. Issues relating to the operation of the Intensives --
A. Okay.
Q. -- or the operations of the Executive Success

Programs.
A. I don't know, maybe -- maybe that much or less. I'm thinking more like 10 hours a week; not a week. I mean a month.
Q. Sure. Do you -- in 2001, were you still developing modules or programs for NXIVM to use?
A. Yes.
Q. And is that included in the 10 to 20 hours?
A. To some degree. I walk around thinking about these things a lot, so that's part of why I feel I
can't adequately answer your question. I teach voice lessons to people who are in NXIVM, piano, various activities like that which I don't count in there.
Q. Okay. Do you still -- in 2007, 2008, were you still involved in developing course material?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's something you're presently
involved with --
A. Yes.
Q. -- as well?
A. Yes.
Q. And how much time would you say you spend on a monthly basis developing course material?
A. I walk around thinking about it a lot, but the actual formal time?
Q. Uh-huh.
A. If we put out, say, one Intensive a year, and let's say an Intensive is 80 hours, probably 40 hours a year devoted to that.
Q. When you say, "We put out one Intensive," what do you mean by that?
A. In other words, I'm looking over the past few years; and I'm thinking of the curriculum that has been created, and I estimate we've in the past few years introduced one Intensive a year.
Q. That would be one new set of course -courses?
A. The particular ones that have been in the past few years have been eight-day seminars.
Q. Okay.
A. There have been a few occasions where there have been modules, single two-hour. We offer all of
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our curriculum, per se, in two-hour blocks. I don't think there has been one of those in the past year.
Q. Mr. Raniere, do you know why you were consulted about the issue involving Stephanie Franco and the long form confidentiality agreement?

MR. McGUIRE: Object to the form.
Q. Did whoever told you about the issue tell you why they were bringing this to your attention?
A. No.
Q. Do you have any understanding as to why you were called upon to give advice?

MR. McGUIRE: Same objection.
Q. You may answer if you can.
A. Okay. Often when there are ethics questions, they're brought to me for an opinion.
Q. Would you also handle questions about procedure, what procedure NXIVM should follow?
A. If there was an ethics question involved, I might.
Q. Wouldn't -- would you consider this to have been an ethics question?
A. Stephanie Franco and the -- yes.
Q. And why would you consider this an ethics question?
A. Because if NXIVM has a rule that all people

|  | Page 29 |  | Page 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | must sign the long form on the first day, but yet | 1 | 2001 how they became coaches? |
| 2 | there's a reasonable, seemingly reasonable reason | 2 | A. I don't know. |
| 3 | why someone would not want to do that, any time you | 3 | Q. Would that be Nancy Salzman's responsibility |
| 4 | question breaking a rule you have to consult the | 4 | for overseeing the making of coaches? |
| 5 | ethic of the rule to get the spirit, the intent of | 5 | A. Well, I think she could find that out. I |
| 6 | the rule. | 6 | don't -- she is not the person who would directly do |
| 7 | Q. And that's something that you would be most | 7 | that I don't believe. |
| 8 | familiar with in NXIVM? | 8 | Q. But that's not something you were involved |
| 9 | A. Well, I think I add good opinion. | 9 | with in 2001? |
| 10 | Q. Okay. Do you know -- you mentioned that a | 10 | A. Correct. |
| 11 | review would have been done because Stephanie took | 11 | Q. Who told you that or how did you come to |
| 12 | -- indicated that she had a small therapy practice. | 12 | learn that Stephanie Franco was a coach? |
| 13 | Do you know what the results of the review | 13 | A. 'Cause I heard that she was going to Mexico |
| 14 | were? | 14 | to coach an Intensive. I also heard I believe that |
| 15 | A. No. I -- I do know that she was allowed to | 15 | she didn't show up, and I don't know what -- there |
| 16 | take the Intensive, so whatever you would call; | 16 | was some concern that I recall. I don't know if |
| 17 | favorable or nonconflicting, I guess, because if it | 17 | they were relying on her, didn't get off the plane, |
| 18 | was a conflict of interest, she would not have been | 18 | or I don't know what the details are. |
| 19 | allowed to take the Intensive. | 19 | Q. Who told you that Nancy -- that -- I'm sorry |
| 20 | Q. In 2001 were you told or made aware that | 20 | -- that Stephanie had become a coach? |
| 21 | Stephanie had taken classes with Taibbi Kahler | 21 | A. I don't remember. |
| 22 | Associates? | 22 | Q. Who told you that she was going to Mexico? |
| 23 | A. No. | 23 | A. I don't remember. |
| 24 | Q. Are you familiar with Taibbi Kahler | 24 | Q. Okay. Who told you that she didn't show up |
| 25 | Associates? | 25 | in Mexico? |
|  | Page 30 |  | Page 32 |
| 1 | A. Somewhat now. | 1 | A. I assume it's probably the same person. I |
| 2 | Q. Were you familiar back in 2001? | 2 | don't remember. |
| 3 | A. No. | 3 | Q. Okay. Have you ever seen any documents that |
| 4 | Q. Do you know what course material Stephanie | 4 | indicate that Stephanie was a coach at NXIVM? |
| 5 | was provided at NXIVM? | 5 | A. I've seen that she had coach notes and |
| 6 | A. Not specifically. | 6 | facilitator notes; and by reason of the fact that |
| 7 | Q. Has anyone ever told you what she was | 7 | in order to get those one must be a coach, I assume |
| 8 | provided? | 8 | she is. I've also heard that there was a video |
| 9 | A. I've seen lists; and I know that she was a | 9 | segment of her coach promotion in this legal |
| 10 | coach, which means there is certain course materials | 10 | proceeding. |
| 11 | she was exposed to. I also know that she was | 11 | Q. Have you seen that video? |
| 12 | selected to be in a facilitator training, which I | 12 | A. No. |
| 13 | did also see a document that referenced. | 13 | Q. When did you learn that Stephanie had left |
| 14 | Q. You stated that she was a coach. Is it your | 14 | NXIVM? |
| 15 | understanding that Stephanie Franco was a coach at | 15 | A. I think it must have been shortly after she |
| 16 | NXIVM? | 16 | didn't show up to Mexico. |
| 17 | A. Yes. | 17 | Q. Do you remem -- was that 2001? |
| 18 | Q. What are the re -- what were the requirements | 18 | A. If that's when she took her In -- her first |
| 19 | in 2001 for becoming a coach at NXIVM? | 19 | Intensive that year, in that spring, I think I |
| 20 | A. I don't remember exactly; but they have to | 20 | recall that it was that fall. |
| 21 | express a willingness to want to coach people, | 21 | Q. Okay. Do you know on how many -- how many |
| 22 | mentor people, learn the curriculum. I don't know | 22 | Intensives Stephanie participated in? |
| 23 | how that procedure was handled. | 23 | A. I think at least two. |
| 24 | Q. Who is the person at NXIVM who has an | 24 | Q. Okay. Do you know whether she completed the |
| 25 | understanding as to how people become coaches or in | 25 | second Intensive that she took? |

A. No.
Q. Would someone be made a coach if they hadn't completed a second Intensive in 2001?
A. I don't know.
Q. Did you have any responsibility for designing -- for creating the procedure or the requirements for making of coaches?
A. Initially.
Q. When was that?
A. 1997, I think.
Q. How about in 2001?
A. No. It evolved from there, and I don't know.
Q. Do you know if there were any changes -- so there were changes in the procedure for making coaches between 1997 and 2001?
A. I believe so.
Q. Do you know what they are?
A. No.
Q. Other than being told that Stephanie hadn't shown up in Mexico, did you have any other discussions about her ending her relationship with NXIVM?
A. I think after that point at one point Michael mentioned that she was discontent. I think that is

Page 34
Q. Did he mention that to you?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember when that --
A. I think that was so.
Q. Sorry to interrupt you.

Do you remember when that conversation took place with Michael?
A. No.
Q. How much -- how long after the fall of 2001 did that take place?
A. I'm not really sure. I would imagine it would be in the fall of 2001. What I imagine would have gone on is I would have heard that Stephanie did not go to the Intensive, did not show up. I have an image of Michael saying she was discontent in my mind. That's why I think I had that conversation.

I probably would follow up to find out what happened with Stephanie. And because Michael is her half-brother, I might have asked him.
Q. Where did this conversation with Michael take place?
A. I don't know.
Q. Was it at 455 Karner Road?
A. I don't know.
Q. Do you know why Michael brought this to your attention?
A. I might have brought it to his attention because she didn't show up at the Intensive, although I'm not sure. Michael and I are friends.
Q. Okay, and were friends in 2001?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Did Michael explain to you why Stephanie was discontent?
A. No. I -- I can try to reconstruct, but I don't think he gave me much detail. I think Michael speaks very honorably; and I think he would just say, well, she didn't -- it didn't work out. It's something she didn't want to do.
Q. When he said she was discontent or didn't want to do it, did you ask why?
A. I probably wouldn't. I don't remember.
Q. Okay. How long have you known Michael Sutton?
A. Since probably about 2001.
Q. Okay, and have you been friends with him continuously since that time?
A. Yes.
Q. How did you become friendly with Michael Sutton?
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A. I don't remember exactly. He has an interest in technology. He has an interest in business and business ventures, so that's what we speak about.
Q. How often do you talk to Michael Sutton?
A. Now, once or twice a year maybe.
Q. Was there ever a time when Michael Sutton moved up to the -- from -- strike that.

Were you aware that when Michael started taking the classes he would have been in the New York City area?
A. I was aware he lived downstate.
Q. Was there ever a time that he moved up to the Albany area?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you know when that was?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever talk to him about his reasons for moving up to the Albany area?
A. No.
Q. After your discussion with Michael in the fall of 2001, when's the next time you had a discussion with him about Stephanie Franco?
A. I don't really remember.
Q. Did you have any other discussions with him about Stephanie Franco?
A. I think over the years because of this litigation there have been mention. I recall -- I don't know if it was with him and someone else or with someone else. It came to NXIVM either before the litigation or during the litigation; and because the litigation affected his father, the question was should he be consulted. What are the ethics around that, so it was my belief that he should be asked certainly in the least his opinion on going forward with such a lawsuit.
Q. And so at some -- if I understand you correctly, at some point in time, the issue was raised as to whether or not Michael should be consulted about a lawsuit being brought against his father?
A. Yes.
Q. Who brought that to your attention?
A. I don't remember.
Q. And your response was he should be consulted?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And what was Mich -- I'm sorry. You have to answer verbally.
A. Oh, yes.
Q. And what was Michael -- were you present when that discussion took place with Michael?

Page 38
A. No.
Q. Do you know what Michael's response was?
A. My understanding is that he believed that the lawsuit should go forward.
Q. I represent to you that the lawsuit was initially brought in August of 2003.

Were you consulted about bringing the lawsuit?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. By whom? And I don't want conversations with attorneys.
A. Then I don't -- I think it might have been.

MR. CAMPION: Okay, that's it then.
Q. You can tell me who the -- who did you have the conversation with?

MR. CAMPION: You may tell him who.
A. Arlen Olsen.
Q. And did he represent you individually?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you discuss with anyone else the bringing of the lawsuit?
A. I think after that point, yes.
Q. Did -- before it was filed, did you discuss
with anyone besides Arlen Olsen the desirability of bringing a lawsuit?
A. Yeah. I'm sure that was -- that I was asked my opinion on that.
Q. Who was present when that conversation took place?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Did you have a retainer agreement with

Arlen Olsen at that time?
MR. CAMPION: I think that's privileged.
MR. KOFMAN: I disagree.

## BY MR. KOFMAN:

Q. Was he representing you in connection with this lawsuit or this dispute?
A. I'm not a party. I was not a party to this lawsuit or dispute, as far as I know.
Q. Was he discussing with you as a
representative -- was he discussing the issue with you as a representative of NXIVM? MR. CAMPION: Read the question again. (The following was read back by the reporter:
"Was he discussing with you as a
representative -- was he discussing the issue with you as a representative of NXIVM?")

MR. CAMPION: I think that goes to the business of privilege.
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MR. CAMPION: Why don't you and I discuss this point at a break, okay.

MR. KOFMAN: We can agree to discuss this at a break.
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Mr. Raniere, when did you -- did there come a point in time where you learned that Rick Ross had been hired in connection with Michael Sutton?
A. Yes.
Q. When did you first learn that?
A. I believe it is my recollection that Michael was to meet with Rick Ross in Florida. I believe before that point, Michael had a conversation with me. I recall him saying, "My family wants me to talk with this guy about NXIVM," and he described a little bit relating to the -- what Rick Ross does and said to me, "What do you think?"
Q. Were you familiar with Rick Ross at that time?
A. No.
Q. Did you do any research about him at that time?
A. No.
Q. What did you tell Michael?
A. "Sure."
Q. So you advised Michael that he should meet with Rick Ross?
A. Yeah. I think people should meet with anyone they want to.
Q. Did you ask anybody at NXIVM to -- to check out who Rick Ross was?
A. No.
Q. What was the next con -- or next discussion you had with Michael about Rick Ross?
A. Michael had mentioned to me -- and this must have been after they had their meeting/meetings -that Rick Ross had said to him that if I was in the Guinness Book of World Records, he would take the 16-day Intensive; and then when it turned out that indeed I was, Rick Ross denied that that was ever said allegedly.
Q. By the way, have you ever spoken to

Rick Ross?
A. No.
Q. Would you have allowed Rick Ross to take the
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16-day Intensive?
A. Potentially. We wanted the Forbes reporter to take the 16-day Intensive.
Q. Would Rick Ross have had to sign any documents before taking the 16-day Intensive?
A. Yes.
Q. Such as?
A. What every other student signs.
Q. Other than conversations with Michael about

Rick Ross, did you have -- strike that.
Do you remember any other conversations that you had with Michael about Rick Ross?
A. I only remember a comment. I don't know if it was a separate conversation. Michael thought Rick Ross was a nice guy.
Q. Do you remember having any discussions with anybody else about the fact that Rick Ross was meeting with Michael?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever have any discussions with

Aaron Kassin about Rick Ross?
A. Yes.
Q. When did that take place?
A. Aaron Kassin came up to Albany -- I think it was to sign an Affidavit -- and he just affirmed
5
.
sit here today that Michael Sutton tape recorded a
Page 44
telephone conversation he had with Stephanie Franco?
A. Yes.
Q. How did you become aware of that?
A. I think I had heard that there was a question of how the materials came to Rick Ross and that there's one rendition of it, one whatever it is, recitation of it where the materials went from Stephanie to her brother or half -- I'm not sure if it's brother or half-brother, and that brother gave the materials to Rick Ross.

There's another rendition that Stephanie most directly either gave it immediately through her brother or to Rick Ross, and I think that tape recording says that Rick Ross -- that she intended to give the materials to Rick Ross.
Q. Have you ever heard the tape recording?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever read a transcript of the tape recording?

## A. No.

Q. What's your basis -- what's your -- what's the basis of your understanding of the tape recording?
A. I believe Michael might have mentioned it in conversations, and I believe Kristin might have
mentioned it; Kristin Keeffe.
Q. Did you -- were you aware before the tape recording -- strike that.

Did you have a conversation with Michael Sutton about the subject of his tape recording a conversation with his sister?
A. Not that I recollect.
Q. Did Michael Sutton ask for your advice as to whether or not he should tape record a conversation with his sister?
A. I don't know. It's --

MR. SYLVESTER: Pardon me?
A. It's possible. That's something that he -someone would bring to ask me.
Q. And do you recall what you said to him about that?
A. I don't recall the conversation.
Q. As you sit here today, what would your recommendation have been?
A. I think it depends on what the subject matter of the conversation is. Do I believe in the taping of phone calls? I think in states where it is legal that is an option, depending on how a person feels about the situation. So I would, one, suggest that he find out if it's legal; and, two, I would suggest
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that he look at why he wants to do that and if he wanted it to be concealed -- and I assume this tape was concealed, that Stephanie did not know about it or she claims that she did not know about it.
Q. I can't answer your question, sir.
A. Okay. I would suggest that he consider all of those factors.
Q. Would you consider the taping of a
conversation or the concealed taping of a
conversation with a relative to be an ethical act?
A. It depends on the context.
Q. In this context.
A. I don't know enough about the context to make that assessment.
Q. Okay. Did you ever see the tape that was made?
A. I don't think so.
Q. Do you know what was done with the tape -what Michael did with the tape that he made?
A. Well, my understanding is that one of the NXIVM attorneys named Kevin Luibrand had a copy of the tape.
Q. And what's the basis for that understanding?
A. I think Kevin Luibrand had said something
about it at one point. I also heard that I think it
was Kristin, that the subject of this tape -- this tape is either lost or -- well, it sounds like this tape is lost but that there's a transcript that Kevin Luibrand had or something like that in his files, and I heard something about that.
Q. Kevin Luibrand -- Luibrand told you that he had a copy of the tape?
A. I'm not sure.

MR. KOFMAN: We can take just a couple minute break.

MR. CAMPION: Sure.
(At this point, there was a short recess.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning of Tape Number 2. The time is $11: 35$. BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Okay. Mr. Raniere, before we took a break I had asked you a couple of questions about Arlen Olsen.

When you spoke with Mr. Olsen, were you seeking legal advice from him?
A. I don't believe I was seeking legal advice.
Q. Did he provide you with legal advice?
A. I believe you'd call it that.
Q. And was that for you on an individual basis?
A. I would -- I would interpret it that way.
Q. Okay. Did you have a written retainer agreement with Mr. Olsen?
A. I'm not sure.

MR. KOFMAN: Okay. I'd like to make a document request -- and I can follow up with a letter -- for a copy of the written retainer agreement between Mr. Raniere and Arlen Olsen. MR. CAMPION: If there is one. MR. KOFMAN: Okay. (Request.)

## BY MR. KOFMAN:

Q. Mr. Raniere, did you meet with counsel prior to today's deposition to prepare for your deposition?
A. Yes.
Q. And was that with Mr. Campion and Mr. Leonard?
A. Yes.
Q. Was there anyone else present?
A. Yes.
Q. And who was that?
A. Nancy was present at one of the meetings, although I'm not sure if you'd call that deposition prep. They came up to meet me.
Q. Do you have -- we were talking a little bit about Michael Sutton and your relationship with him. You mentioned that you were friends.
A. I'm sorry. Nancy was also there, yes, the other meeting, just to be clear.
Q. Okay. Did you see him on -- do you see him or have you seen him in the past on a social basis?
A. Michael Sutton?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have any business relationship with

Michael Sutton?
A. No.
Q. During the --
A. Not that I know of.
Q. During the 2002-2003-2004 time period, how frequently would you see Michael Sutton?
A. I don't know, once a month maybe.
Q. And would that -- in what context? Would that be social visits or something related to NXIVM?
A. Volleyball. He would come to volleyball at times.
Q. Okay, and you would have discussions with him at that time?
A. Yeah.
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Q. And would NXIVM and this lawsuit be one of the things that was discussed?
A. I imagine it would be mentioned at times, but that was not a major topic of discussion.
Q. Are you aware that Michael Sutton has paid some portion of NXIVM's legal fees in this matter?
A. No, I'm not specifically aware of that.
Q. Did you have any discussions with him about payment of legal fees?
A. Legal fees, no.
Q. Has Michael Sutton given any gifts to you?
A. No. He's loaned money but not a -- not a gift, as far as I know.
Q. He's loaned money to you personally?
A. No, it wasn't to me. I believe it was to

NXIVM but it was -- I was doing some patent work, so to speak, or things that we were thinking of patenting which I ended up not relating to commodities market, stock market, things like that.
Q. Okay. Do you remember how much he loaned to NXIVM?
A. No.
Q. Did you sign any loan documents --
A. I don't --
Q. -- with Michael?
5
teach edine teach education can come and be friends -- have, you
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know, common interests.
Q. And does that coincide with your birthday?
A. Yes.
Q. When was the -- at that -- at Vanguard Week when you spoke to Michael, did you discuss the case?
A. I think it literally went, "How's it going?"
"Okay, as far as I know."
There were other issues that he was discussing.
Q. Do you remember what those were?
A. Yes.
Q. What were they?
A. There are other business ventures that he and another person are involved in. There is science that he is keenly interested in, and he has representations from some scientists relating to some technology; and he wanted my opinion on not only how to evaluate the science but create tests so that he could evaluate the science of these things.
Q. Without getting into details that I won't understand, what's the nature of the science that he's developing?
A. I told him that I won't speak to anyone of this.

Do you want me to -- I mean, I can try to

|  | Page 53 |  | Page 55 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | give you a general thing without violating a | 1 | of the individual? |
| 2 | confidence with him. | 2 | A. The student? |
| 3 | Q. If you -- if you could. | 3 | Q. Yes. |
| 4 | A. Um, there -- there is products that have | 4 | A. I'm trying to think of his full -- I call him |
| 5 | been brought to him that claims have been made. | 5 | "Maximus." I'm trying to think. |
| 6 | His question is how can he verify that the products | 6 | Do you mind if I ask Nancy what his full name |
| 7 | can do the things that are claimed, so I gave him | 7 | is? |
| 8 | some principles as to how to create double-blind | 8 | MR. KOFMAN: Yeah. Go ahead. |
| 9 | testing type of procedures and gave him some | 9 | THE WITNESS: Nancy, what's Maximus' |
| 10 | understanding of the sorts of things that can go | 10 | full name? |
| 11 | wrong with these. | 11 | MS. SALZMAN: Sarzen. |
| 12 | Q. Who is the person -- do you know the name | 12 | THE WITNESS: Sarzen. Thank you. I was |
| 13 | of the person with whom he's looking to go into | 13 | going to call him Serzen. |
| 14 | business? | 14 | BY MR. KOFMAN: |
| 15 | A. Yes. | 15 | Q. Okay. Mr. Raniere, did it come to your |
| 16 | Q. And what is the person's name? | 16 | attention at some point that Rick Ross had posted |
| 17 | A. I also have a confidence. I -- I told him I | 17 | articles by John Hochman and Paul Martin on his |
| 18 | would not speak to anyone about these things. | 18 | websites? |
| 19 | Q. Is the person a NXIVM student? | 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | A. Can you define what you mean by "NXIVM | 20 | Q. When did that come to your attention? |
| 21 | student"? | 21 | A. I think it was sometime shortly thereafter, |
| 22 | Q. Is it someone who has taken NXIVM courses in | 22 | yes. |
| 23 | the past? | 23 | Q. I'm sorry. When you say "shortly |
| 24 | A. Yes. | 24 | thereafter" -- |
| 25 | Q. Is it someone who presently or who is a | 25 | A. I think within a month of when they were |
|  | Page 54 |  | Page 56 |
| 1 | member of NXIVM? | 1 | posted. |
| 2 | A. How do you define that? | 2 | Q. And do you remember what year that was? |
| 3 | Q. Is it somebody who presently takes courses | 3 | A. It was 2003, I think. |
| 4 | from NXIVM? | 4 | Q. Okay. How did it come to your -- how did you |
| 5 | A. Can I say something that's important? | 5 | learn that articles had been posted? |
| 6 | Q. Sure. | 6 | A. Someone told me. |
| 7 | A. There is a person who took a NXIVM course | 7 | Q. Do you remember who it was? |
| 8 | eight years ago. They come to volleyball now and | 8 | A. I don't because I think there were several |
| 9 | then. They're a friend. Are they part of NXIVM? I | 9 | people that told me. |
| 10 | mean, that's -- that's -- this is a person that has | 10 | Q. Do you remember any of the people who told |
| 11 | taken NXIVM courses, has not taken NXIVM courses in | 11 | you that the articles had been posted? |
| 12 | a long time, is a friend of mine. | 12 | A. I think Kristin told me, I think -- I don't |
| 13 | Q. Does the person hold a rank in NXIVM? | 13 | know if Nancy told me, but I'm pretty sure Kristin |
| 14 | A. Yes. | 14 | told me. |
| 15 | Q. What's the rank? | 15 | Q. At any point before the articles were posted, |
| 16 | A. They're a proctor. | 16 | had you done any investigation into who Rick Ross |
| 17 | Q. Have they had any proctor duties in eight | 17 | was? |
| 18 | years? | 18 | A. I believe Michael -- well, Michael had told |
| 19 | A. I don't know in eight years, but it's been a | 19 | me previous to his deprogramming or meeting or |
| 20 | number of years. | 20 | whatever you call it that Rick Ross was a -- I |
| 21 | Q. Okay. I'm going to have to ask for the name | 21 | believe a deprogrammer or someone like that. |
| 22 | of -- and, again, the deposition transcript is | 22 | I don't know if it was before the website |
| 23 | confidential and will be marked as confident -- | 23 | went up that I heard more information relating to |
| 24 | A. Uh-huh. | 24 | Rick Ross, but it was somewhere thereabouts. |
| 25 | Q. -- confidential, so can you tell me the name | 25 | I also recall -- I recall hearing that there |

might -- he might publish something about us, and I went I believe on his website and looked and did not find ES -- it was Executive Success Programs, ESP or my name at that period.
Q. Do you remember when that was?
A. It must have been before whenever the date was that the site went up.
Q. Did you ever have any discussions with

Michael Sutton about the possibility of retaining an independent expert to evaluate NXIVM?
A. I think I had suggested that that would be a good thing and that I thought it was a good thing.
Q. When did you suggest that? This was before he met -- I'm sorry.

Did you suggest that before he met with Rick Ross?
A. I don't think so. No, I think this was later.
Q. Do you remember roughly what year?
A. I imagine it was probably a year after the
website went off or somewhere -- up or somewhere in that.
Q. So was it a year after the website went up?
A. You know, I'm not really sure. The
website -- the lawsuit poses interesting problems,
ethical issues relating to that so...
Q. At approximately the time Michael told you he was meeting with Rick Ross, did you have any discussions with him about retaining an expert to evaluate NXIVM?
A. All right. I -- I do remember speaking to

Michael Sutton -- it might have been right after the intervention or I'm not sure of the timing -- asking who Rick Ross suggested would be people who could give other opinions, second opinions.
Q. Okay, and what did Michael say?
A. I think he said he would ask.
Q. Did you ever hear anything further about the names of people who might evaluate NXIVM?
A. I remem -- I don't know the names, but I
remember that I believe Michael was given a number of names.
Q. Did you check on any of the names?
A. No.
Q. Were you familiar with any of the names?
A. I wouldn't be.
Q. Do you know if anyone from NXIVM checked on any of the names?
A. No, I don't know.
Q. What happened? Do you know if Michael
( 58
approved any of the names?
A. Approved for what?
Q. Did Michael -- strike that.

Did NXIVM indicate that it would be comfortable having any of the people named by Ross evaluate the program?
A. I don't -- I don't think it was either way.

I don't know. I don't remember.
Q. What happened -- did anything happen after

Michael gave NXIVM the list of names that he had gotten from Ross?
A. I don't know. I don't know what happened.
Q. You mentioned that there were ethical issues or problems relating to the website after the litigation was filed. Can you elaborate?
A. Yes. The question is if Ross is malintended or not. If Ross is malintended, then there are certain procedures or -- I shouldn't say procedures -- strategies that are best used. If someone is not -- if a person is not malintended, then there are a different set of strategies.

The malintended person is not a seeker of truth. The malintender seeks to destroy. So if you give someone with bad intent information, they're not looking to take that information and see what's
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true. They're looking to hurt you. The difference between a skeptic and a cynic I -- I say, you know, a skeptic is someone who looks to turn magic into science. A cynic is someone who looks to turn good to bad.

So if you hope to go into any sort of a dialogue with someone who is malintended, it best suits you not to give information. If someone's not malintended, then it suits you to give information.
Q. Were these considerations that you had before or after the filing of the lawsuit?
A. These are considerations in general in any sort of a human interaction like that.
Q. Is this something you thought -- you
considered with respect to Ross before the lawsuit was filed?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. Did you --
A. I mean --
Q. Have you come to the conclusion that Ross is malintended?
A. Not specifically.
Q. Okay. Have you come to any conclusion about

Morris and Rochelle Sutton, as to whether they're malintended?
A. No, not specifically. I don't know in both cases.
Q. Okay. Have you had any consideration of --
A. I'm sorry. I said or in all three, 'cause
he mentioned three people, but I took them as two parties.
Q. Has there been any consideration by you of allowing Ross to have someone evaluate the course now?
A. It's always a consideration.
Q. Have you discussed this with anyone not -not your attorneys, but anyone within NXIVM?
A. I think that's always an option. That's
always -- if people ask my opinion on things, that is so. If they believe that Ross is malintended, it would be a bad idea.
Q. Have you discussed with Nancy Salzman whether she thinks he's malintended?
A. No.
Q. Okay. You said at some point you learned about the Hochman and Martin articles. You were told that by perhaps several people.

What did you do after you were told about the Hochman and Martin articles?
A. I read them.
Q. Did you get them from the website?
A. I think I did. I'm not positive.
Q. Do you remember -- do you own a computer?
A. Yes.
Q. Is this a computer at NXIVM's offices, or is it at your home?
A. No. It's at home.
Q. Okay. Did you print out the articles?
A. At some point, I did; not when I initially read them. I later printed them out over the years.
Q. Have you -- did you make any notes on the articles?
A. I have made notes, yeah.
Q. Have you -- do you remember when you made notes?
A. Not specifically. There were a few occasions.
Q. Was it before or after the filing of the lawsuit?
A. I believe it was after.
Q. Have you retained those notes?
A. I -- I assume that they are somewhere. Most of the things that I write on are retained. I have them in boxes sometimes in storage areas, sometimes -- sometimes other people retain them. I have some
piles of paper that are sort of useful past scrap paper. They may be in there.
Q. Where do you -- where do you keep these files?
A. Well, there are some files in the house. There are some other people who have had files collected, things of mine that I don't know where they are.
Q. Has anyone asked you for these files during the course of this litigation?
A. I don't think so, although I did look for papers that were related to the thing and did not find any.
Q. Where did you look?
A. I looked in my house. I looked through my piles.
Q. Did Kristin Keeffe ever ask you to look for documents relating to this lawsuit?
A. I think she asked me if I knew if certain ones existed.
Q. What documents did she ask you about?
A. She asked me about e-mail accounts that I might have.
Q. And were you able to locate documents relating to e-mail accounts?
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A. No.
Q. Did she ask you to look through your files for anything relating to this matter?
A. She asked me -- she asked me about e-mail. I think she asked me if I had any notes relating to the Hochman reports and the Paul Martin reports, which I did not find any 'cause I did look for them, but I don't remember her asking specifically.
Q. Okay. Do you recall what your notes on the Hochman and Martin articles stated?
A. Yeah. The -- not so much the Martin article. The Hochman article has a number of logical inconsistencies and a number of factual inconsistencies. I think there are something like in the two-page article I think there are 20 or something like that factual inconsistencies and over 80 logical inconsistencies.
Q. Did you ever -- did you ever give your notes to an attorney?
A. I'm not sure. There was one point where one of the attorney firms wanted me to analyze the articles, and I said that I would like to see their analysis first; and I did not end up analyzing the article for them. I do have, you know, thoughts on it but...
Q. Do you remember which firm that was?
A. Proskauer, I believe.
Q. Do you believe you gave Proskauer any documents relating to the articles?
A. I don't know if in the end I did. It -- I
may well have, but originally I wanted them to do it first; and I wanted to see what they thought because I'm -- I'm a logic person. I know facts relating to NXIVM, but I'm not a legal person, and my analysis of the article is highly tedious.
Q. Do you recall what you gave to Proskauer?
A. If I gave anything -- and I don't recall, per se -- I probably would have given just the Word documents of each of the sentences and the analysis and the logical flow and stuff like that.
Q. And do you remember who at Proskauer you would have given that to?
A. No, I --

MR. McGUIRE: Object to the form.
Q. If you gave the documents, do you know who at Proskauer you would have given them to?
A. No. I wasn't a client of Proskauer's. I
ultimately would have given them to either Nancy or to Kristin or someone like that, and they would have given them to Proskauer.
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Q. I'm sorry. You had said you were not a
client of Proskauer?
A. Of Proskauer's, right.
Q. Did you meet with Proskauer on any occasions?
A. I was introduced to attorneys from Proskauer.
Q. Did you discuss the substance of the case
with them?
A. No.

MR. KOFMAN: Okay. I'd like to -- would you please mark this as Raniere-2.
(Affidavit of Keith Raniere signed
8/18/03 consisting of six pages was received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-2 for Identification.)
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Mr. Raniere, I'd like you to take a look at a document that's been marked as Raniere-2 entitled Affidavit.

Please take a look at that.
A. Okay.
(Witness complies.)
Q. Do you recognize that document?
A. It looks like my Affidavit. Hold on.
Q. Turning to the last page of this, which is

Page 6 --
A. Yes.
Q. -- is that your signature?
A. It appears to be. That's what I just checked.
Q. Did you review -- did you draft this document?
A. I don't believe I drafted it, but I certainly if I signed it looked at it and approved it.
Q. Okay. Was this drafted by one of your attorneys?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. Okay, but you're certain you looked at it to make sure it was accurate?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Did you have any changes to what you were presented by your attorneys?
A. I don't know. I sometimes do.
Q. Okay. Do you recall whether you did in this situation?
A. No, I don't know.
Q. Okay. Turning to Paragraph 2 of your

Affidavit, which is actually on the first page, it contains the sentence, "Rick Ross was hired to discredit us and by using a series of false facts has created an avalanche of false bad press."
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Who did you -- when you say, "Rick Ross was hired to discredit us," what was your understanding as to who hired Rick Ross?
A. I think it was Moe Sutton.
Q. Moe Sutton?
A. I believe so.
Q. What's the basis for your contention that Morris Sutton hired Rick Ross to discredit -- strike that.

When you say "us," to whom are you referring?
A. NXIVM -- what is now NXIVM, what is ESP, myself, Nancy Salzman, whoever was discredited.
Q. Okay. What's the basis for your statement that Moe Sutton hired Ross to discredit you?
A. Because that's what I was told. I was told that he hired Ross; and I was told that Moe Sutton wanted to take Michael, if you will, the phrasing I think is away from NXIVM, wanted Michael to be in his family business, did not want certain family secrets revealed and because Michael wanted to reveal those things wanted to discredit us.
Q. Who told you all those things?
A. I believe Michael did.
Q. But was your source for this statement that
"Rick Ross was hired to discredit us" anybody
besides Michael?
Did you have another source for that besides what Michael told you?
A. I -- I don't think so because I don't know anyone else who would have firsthand knowledge.
Q. Did Michael Sutton tell you what the basis of his understanding of why Rick Ross was hired?
A. I believe he did.
Q. Do you recall him telling you?
A. I recall over a period of several
conversations him saying a number of things, yes.
Q. And was that all prior to August 18, 2003, the date of this Certification?
A. I don't know if it was all prior, but there must have been sufficient prior. Otherwise, I wouldn't have signed this.
Q. So what specifically did Michael tell you about why his family -- why -- strike that.

Did Michael indicate that Rochelle Sutton had hired Ross to discredit you?
A. No. I believe -- and when you say "hired," there's a difference I think between the person who pays and the person who hires. It was Michael's strong impression because of what was going on with their family that his father hired Rick Ross.
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Q. Okay, and who do you understood paid Rick Ross?
A. I don't know.
Q. Okay. Did Michael indicate to you at all that Rochelle Sutton had hired Rick Ross?
A. No.
Q. Did he say anything to you about his mother
-- at all about his mother during these
conversations?
A. It wasn't the main focus.
Q. Did he --
A. I think he --
Q. Strike that.

Did he mention her at all?
A. Probably.
Q. Do you recall one way or the other?
A. No. I know that he has I think in his mind a stronger relationship with his mother than with his father; so he has spoken of going to lunch with his mother over the years, things like that.

I don't remember if he specifically spoke of his mother back then.
Q. Okay, but did he indicate whether Stephanie Franco had hired -- had been responsible for hiring Rick Ross?
A. No, I don't remember.
Q. Do you have any knowledge one way or the other as to whether Stephanie Franco was responsible for the hiring of Rick Ross?
A. No, I don't know.
Q. Okay. What did he tell -- what did then Michael tell you about the reasons that he understood Morris Sutton had hired Rick Ross?
A. This is confidential, yes?
Q. Yes.
A. Michael Sutton has a child out of wedlock.

In his community, there is a rule I guess that if you have a child out of wedlock you must shun them and shun the woman that you had the child with.

He originally came to NXIVM because this was a conflict in his life. And when he decided to support that child, he told me that -- things like he didn't want to be walking in the city and have his little girl come up to him and have to ignore her so he -- he said that his father was very upset.

He told his father that he wanted to embrace his child. His father told him that he would, from what I understand, disown him. And I even think in the end he was pushed or strongly inspired to be out of the family business.
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It is my understanding he was considered a most eligible bachelor within their community and that this was disgraceful, and it is my understanding that the father would do anything to uphold their family position within this community and that we were seen as an obstruction to this.
Q. Did -- and this is what Michael told you over the series of several conversations?
A. Yeah. It's been -- at times, I have met his -- the mother of his child. He's asked me questions about his child and his relationship and things like that as a friend.
Q. How did the subject of why his parents -of why Morris Sutton hired Rick Ross come up? Who raised it, you or Michael?
A. I think probably Michael.
Q. Where did you have these -- this discussion?
A. I don't know. I mean, there are numerous places I have spoken with Michael.
Q. Was anybody else present at any of these conversations?
A. Over the years, there have been other people present. I don't know if during this time period there was anyone present.
Q. Who else has been present during these
conversations?
A. Kristin has been present, Nancy has been present, Tom Sarzen has been present. I think there have been a few others.
Q. Did Michael -- so I'm trying to focus. What did Michael specifically say about why Morris hired Rick Ross?
A. I -- I had heard originally that Morris hired Rick Ross to destroy us were the words. I have heard recently that that is stronger than Michael believes.
Q. When you say you had heard that Michael had hired --
A. Moe.
Q. -- Rick Ross to destroy you, from who did you hear that?
A. I meant Moe. If I said Michael, I meant Moe.
Q. Strike that.

From who did you hear that Michael had hired
-- that Morris Sutton had hired Rick Ross to destroy you?
A. I believe Michael. Now, I --
Q. Did he?
A. Now, I did see recently that Michael or hear recently that Michael does not use that strong of a
word. So although my recollection might be that,
I'm open to the fact that maybe it would be more
Moe Sutton would do anything in his power to get
Michael back, even if it meant destroying us.
Q. Okay. Did Michael use the word "destroy" in your con -- "destroy" NXIVM in your conversations in 2003?
A. To the best of my recollection.
Q. Did he use the word "discredit"?
A. I think, yes. I am pretty sure. I'm more
sure of "discredit" than "destroy."
Q. Uh-huh.
A. Michael told me that Moe Sutton is a very powerful man, the type of man that has senators over for dinner I believe is what the phrase was.
Q. Did Michael indicate back in 2003 that his father was concerned about his involvement with NXIVM?
A. Yes. I would consider saying what I remember him saying expressing concern.
Q. Did Michael indicate that the -- in 2003 that the reason his father had hired Rick Ross was to get him to separate from NXIVM?
A. I believe that was the gist of the conversation.
Q. Did he indicate anything about -- strike that.

Did he indicate how Morris Sutton's -- strike that.

Did he indicate why he believed Morris Sutton would try to destroy or discredit NXIVM? Strike that. Let me rephrase the question.

Did he indicate what the source of his understanding of Morris Sutton's intent was?
A. I believe social embarrassment.
Q. Did he --
A. That is my opinion.
Q. Did he indicate that Morris Sutton had told him that he wanted to destroy or discredit NXIVM?
A. I believe so.
Q. Okay. Did he quote his father?
A. I believe so.
Q. You didn't take any notes of any of these conversations, did you?
A. No.
Q. If you had to draft this same sentence today, would you change anything?
A. Which one?
Q. The one that said, "Rick Ross was hired to discredit us."
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A. I still believe in what Michael told; and also with some of the additional language that Rick Ross has used on his site, I would fortify this, so I do believe that Rick Ross was hired to discredit us.

I might add because of what I have heard recently that it is my opinion because there is some conflict as to whether Moe Sutton said, "I will destroy NXIVM" or "I will do anything in my power to get you back, even if it means destroying NXIVM" so...
Q. Have you read -- are you aware that Michael

Sutton was deposed in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you read the transcript of his deposition?
A. No.
Q. Is it your contention that Morris Sutton is responsible for the content of Rick Ross' website as it pertains to NXIVM?

MR. McGUIRE: Object to the form of that question. It calls for a legal conclusion.
A. Can you please define what you mean by
"responsible"?
Q. Is it your understanding that Morris Sutton
has been involved in selecting the content or what is posted on Rick Ross' website about NXIVM?
A. I can only offer my opinion. I don't believe that Moe Sutton selects everything that is on Rick Ross' website related to NXIVM. I don't know what his involvement is.
Q. Do you understand -- do you have any understanding as to whether Morris Sutton has selected anything for placement on Rick Ross' website?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. Do you have any understanding as to whether

Morris Sutton has any control over what Rick Ross puts on his website?
A. My assumption is that he doesn't have full control of what Rick Ross puts on his website.
Q. You said "full control."

Do you have any understanding as to whether he has any control?
A. I don't know. I don't know what the relation -- the full relationship is between Rick Ross and Moe Sutton.
Q. So a little while ago you said that based on what appears on the website you could make certain
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How can you make an assumption about Morris Sutton's intent based on the website if you don't know what role Morris Sutton has with respect to the website?
A. I -- I don't remember saying that.

Could you please repeat what I said so I can comment on it?
Q. Well, the transcript will be clear but do you -- that's fine. We can move on.

When you say that you understand now that Michael Sutton's words have changed, is that based on conversations you've had with Michael?

MR. CAMPION: I object to the form of that question.

Go ahead and answer it.
A. I'm not sure completely.
Q. Do you have any -- strike that.

Has Michael Sutton indicated to you that his perception has changed about why Morris Sutton hired Rick Ross?
A. No.
Q. Is this something you've heard from third -from someone other than Morris -- than Michael Sutton?
A. I heard that Michael Sutton had signed or
had an Affidavit somehow prepared and that there was something that needed to be changed because he didn't agree with it relating to this issue.
Q. Do you know when that was?
A. No.

MR. SYLVESTER: What do you guys want to do about lunch breaks?

MR. CAMPION: Why don't we break at 12:30.

MR. KOFMAN: What time is it now?
MR. CAMPION: Twenty after.
MR. KOFMAN: Give me about five minutes and then we'll break.

MR. CAMPION: Sure, okay.
(A discussion was held off the record.)
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Mr. Raniere, you mentioned that you were asked to search for e-mail accounts.

What e-mail accounts have you maintained since 2001?
A. Maintained all the way through?
Q. Strike that.

What e-mail accounts have you had access to and used?
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A. There's the Kunterre@nycap.rr.com, which I have a shared access to. There were one or two Yahoo accounts. I think there was one that was Honor and Ethics. There is a current account that has been created recently, which is there's a -- I have a Facebook account and a Yahoo account which is my name. I believe there was a Yahoo account, Vanguard 2000 that someone created for me, and I don't know if I can get e-mail on the NXIVM server. I believe that I can, although I don't recall getting any e-mail at Vanguard@NXIVM.com.
Q. Have you -- at any time since the litigation was filed, have you searched these e-mail accounts to locate any documents relevant to this litigation?
A. Yes.
Q. When did you perform that search?
A. The last time or --
Q. The first time.
A. 'Cause there were a few times.
Q. The first time.
A. The first time, I don't remember. It was a discovery request a bit back. I think it was Kristin that asked me.
Q. And did you locate anything at that time?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Have you searched since then?
A. Yes.
Q. In response to other discovery requests?
A. Or the same one. I'm not sure.
Q. Have you located any documents from your e-mail accounts?
A. No.
Q. From any of these e-mail accounts?
A. I have to say there have been several
computers in between. The NXIVM -- the Nycap server and also -- the Nycap server is different than, say, the Yahoo server. The Yahoo server keeps your mail on the server, and you can access it from anyplace whereas the Nycap server downloads to the specific computer and does not retain any of the e-mails, so I have limitations. The last time I searched my computers, which was at the request of my attorneys, I was only able to search back a few months.

MR. KOFMAN: Let's take a break now.
MR. CAMPION: Sure.
MR. KOFMAN: Thank you.
(Witness excused.)
(At this point, the luncheon recess was taken.)
Q. Did you get any materials from the class?

## AFTERNOON SESSION

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning
of Tape Number 3. We're on.
MR. KOFMAN: What's the time?
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 2:51.

KEITH ALAN RANIERE, previously
sworn, resumed the stand and testifies on his oath as follows:

CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Back on the record, Mr. Raniere. Have you
ever heard of something called neuro linguistic
programming?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you ever taken any classes in
neurolinguistic programming?
A. Yes.
Q. When did you take those classes?
A. Long ago, early -- mid-'80s maybe, something
like that. I took one class.
Q. Okay. How long was the class, if you recall?
A. No.
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A. No.
Q. Have you ever attended any meetings or sessions of Scien -- the Church of Scientology?
A. I'm not sure if you'd call it a meeting or a
session. I have a number of friends who are
Scientologists.
Q. Have you ever attended any events sponsored by the Church of Scientology?
A. No, not that I know of.
Q. Okay. Did you have friends who were members of the Church of Scientology prior to 1998?
A. Prior to 1998, yes, I think so.
Q. Okay. Have you ever discussed with them the tenets of Scientology?
A. Not in detail, philosophically.
Q. Have you ever reviewed any Scientology materials?
A. Yes.
Q. What type of mat -- what materials?
A. I have this book. Dianetics is what they call it. I started reading that.
Q. Did you read that before 1998 ?
A. I'm not sure. I think so.
Q. Okay. Mr. Raniere, what's the Rational Inquiry Method in general terms?
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A. It's a mathematical method of analyzing data; any human discipline, athletics, the arts, whatever.
Q. Is the Rational Inquiry Method what is taught by NXIVM in its Intensives?
A. I would say some of the Rational Inquiry. Is the method itself taught in the Intensives? I would say results of the method are taught in the Intensive.
Q. Do the materials that NXIVM provides to students at Intensives, are those part of the Rational Inquiry Method?
A. I think some are, some aren't.
Q. What parts of the materials are part of the Rational Inquiry Method?
A. I think it's important to distinguish the Rational Inquiry Method as a tool and then the results of what that tool does.

Do you consider the results of what that tool does part of the method?
Q. What do you define as the method?
A. I would say the theoretical procedure and procedures involved in the creation of certain results. I look at the Rational Inquiry Method as a tool.
Q. Okay, and the results are what is taught in

NXIVM Intensives?
A. Some of them.
Q. What would you characterize as the results that are taught in the Rational Inquiry -- in NXIVM Intensives?
A. A specific ordering of questions, a specific ordering of philosophical concepts; things like that.
Q. So is it my understanding that NXIVM Intensives do not teach the full Rational Inquiry Method?
A. In other words, do all of the Intensives together teach all of Rational Inquiry, no.
Q. Are there any courses that -- available that teach the entire Rational Inquiry Method?
A. I wouldn't say the entire method as it exists today.
Q. Are you the sole author of the Rational Inquiry Method?
A. I am the sole creator of it.

When you say "author," what do you mean?
Q. Did you develop the Rational Inquiry Method?
A. Yes.
Q. Did anyone assist you in developing it?
A. Can you be specific about "assist"?
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Q. Did anyone work with you in developing the Rational Inquiry Method?
A. To some degree.
Q. Who?
A. Nancy to some degree.
Q. Did you consult with any source materials when you created the Rational -- when you and with the assistance of Ms. Salzman created the Rational Inquiry Method?
A. No, not that I know of.
Q. Were there any books that you referenced?
A. I mean, there are a lot of different things that I reference.
Q. Any books that you consulted in the draft -in the creation of the method?
A. I guess I'm not understanding in full.

How would that look?
Q. When you drafted the method or committed pen to paper, were there any -- did you make use of any books?
A. I did -- you're assuming I put pen to paper to do the method. There is a patent related to the Rational Inquiry Method. There are products from the Rational Inquiry Method that are in writing.
There are teaching methodologies that aren't
written. The whole Rational Inquiry Method is not committed to writing.
Q. Okay.
A. And in the patent, I mean, there is like a -all the related works type of things.

MR. SKOLNIK: Can I get that last answer back.

THE WITNESS: In the patent -- oh, I'm sorry.
(The following was read back by the reporter:
"I did -- you're assuming I put pen to paper to do the method. There is a patent related to the Rational Inquiry Method. There are products from the Rational Inquiry Method that are in writing. There are teaching methodologies that aren't written. The whole Rational Inquiry Method is not committed to writing.

QUESTION: Okay.
ANSWER: And in the patent, I mean, there is like a -- all the related works type of things.")
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. What source materials did you use to create the Rational Inquiry Method?
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A. None specific that I can think of.
Q. Did you incorporate anything from neurolinguistic programming into the Rational Inquiry Method?
A. No, I don't think so.
Q. How about from the Church of Scientology?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. Is the term "suppressive" something that's used in the Rational Inquiry Method?
A. I don't know if that's part of the method.

That's a label used for a certain type of behavior pattern.
Q. And what is the label? What behavior pattern is it used for?
A. Someone that is acting out of a type of emotion that is negative.
Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding one way or the other as to whether the term "suppressive" is used by Scientology?
A. I think they use something similar. I was
told they have something called suppressive person. I don't find them similar in my conversations with a friend that knows about that.
Q. When did you learn that Scientology used something called suppressive person?
A. I'm not sure. It might have been out of the book Dianetics -- I didn't read that much of that book -- or it might have been from one of my friends.
Q. Okay. Do you remember the name of the friend who talked to you about suppressive persons?
A. Well, the name that -- the person that comes to mind is Sean Bergeron.
Q. Okay. Is he also a member -- is he also a student at NXIVM?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you consult any of the works of Ayn Rand when you were developing Rational Inquiry Method?
A. I wouldn't call them "consult." I greatly appreciate Ayn Rand's works, what I've read of them.
Q. Did you incorporate any of her philosophy into Rational Inquiry Method?
A. Not in the method, but some of the patterns within her philosophy -- some of the things within her philosophy I think are very good.
Q. And were they incorporated into the materials that NXIVM provides?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you give some example?
A. I can only think of one primarily.
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Q. What's that?
A. Certain things within the Money module are a
tribute to her work.
Q. I'm sorry. You said a tribute to her work?
A. To her work.
Q. Is she cited in the Money module?
A. At one time, she was. I don't know if that's
the case now.
Q. Do you have any documents -- strike that.

Did you create any documents when you were developing the Rational Inquiry Method?
A. Can you be more specific?
Q. Are there any -- did you take notes or when
you were -- or have any drafts of materials that were incorporated into the Rational Inquiry Method?
A. I apologize. Understand, I believe the

Rational Inquiry Method was developed over 30 years.
You're asking me if I've drafted any materials over
the past 30 years or have any notes over the past
30 years, I'm sure I did, but I guess I'm not
understanding your question other than that.
Q. Okay. I'm going to move a little bit to something related.

First of all, you mentioned patent. Did you apply for a patent for the Rational Inquiry Method?
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A. Yes, if I'm -- I'm speaking as a lay person.
Q. Okay. What was -- what's the status of that patent application?
A. I believe it's pending.
Q. When did you file -- first file an application for the Rational Inquiry Method, a patent application?
A. I'm guessing, 1999-2000, somewhere in there.
Q. When was the last time any action was taken by the Patent Office?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. Are you aware as to whether the Patent Office has expressed any opinions as to whether or not it will issue a patent?
A. Well, there have been ongoing back and forth with it.

THE WITNESS: Am I -- I don't know.
Does this -- I don't want to do anything that goes against attorney-client privilege with my --

MR. CAMPION: To the extent there are public pronouncements by the patent office, counsel is inquiring certainly about that.

MR. KOFMAN: Yes.
A. I don't know if they're public or not. My understanding is it's an ongoing thing.
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Q. Who is representing you in connection with the patent application?
A. Arlen Olsen.
Q. And do you know when the last time the Patent

Office contacted Mr. Olsen about your application?
MR. CAMPION: You can answer that.
A. No.
Q. Did the -- do you have a recollection that
in 2004 the Patent Office issued what it termed was
a final denial of the patent application for
Rational Inquiry Method?
A. I am --

THE WITNESS: How much can I say without violating attorney-client privilege?

MR. CAMPION: Okay. If I understand the question correctly, you're asking whether the witness is familiar with some document that came from the Patent Office?

MR. KOFMAN: Correct.
MR. CAMPION: All right. Why don't you show him the document and inquire that way.

THE WITNESS: Would it be helpful if we took a break and I told you what I know, and then you can decide the best way so I can give him the information?

MR. CAMPION: Counsel, what's your position on that?

MR. KOFMAN: I think I'd rather show him the documents and --

THE WITNESS: Okay.
MR. KOFMAN: Please mark this as
Raniere-3.
(United States Patent and Trademark Office documents Bates stamped P00000209 through 231 were received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-3 for Identification.)

MR. KOFMAN: For the record, Raniere-3 is a document that was produced to my firm in discovery. It bears Bates stamp numbers P209 through P231, and it bears a Bates stamp from the firm of Schmeiser, Olsen \& Watts LLP dated August 26, 2004.
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Have you seen this document before, sir?
A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure.
Q. Would looking at the document refresh your recollection as to whether you saw it before or not?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Do you recall the Patent Office taking the position that the Rational Inquiry Method was
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not patentable?
A. No.
Q. Do you have any reason to believe your attorney didn't share, Mr. Olsen didn't share the Patent Office's conclusions with you?
A. No.
Q. Did it ever come to your attention -- strike that.

Was part of what you were trying to patent the scarves and sashes that NXIVM students wear?
A. That's one of a series of patents, yes.
Q. Did it come to your attention that the Patent Office had stated that scarves and sashes were not patentable as a showing of rank, since the Boy Scouts use the same thing?
A. No.
Q. Okay. What's the last --
A. Aren't they patented?
Q. What's the last information you've heard from the Patent Office or you're aware of from the Patent
Office as to the status of your application for
Rational Inquiry Method?
A. It's being examined.
Q. When was the last time you had a
communication about the status of the patent?
A. Rational Inquiry?
Q. Yes.
A. When I meet with Arlen, we go through all of the -- all of the patents, so I guess within the past few months I've met with him.
Q. Does he copy you on documents that he files with the Patent Office?
A. I think most of the time. I don't read them.

There are a lot of them.
Q. How did you come to be called "vanguard"?
A. You want to know the process?
Q. Yes.
A. I had believed that it would be a good idea in the school to have titles. I got together with a group of people, four or five friends, and we got a thesaurus out and went through all the titles that could be for the different things, whether it be coach, proctor, counselor, prefect, vanguard, as they are today. There were a lot of different suggestions.

There were two suggestions that I did not want to be called. I did not want to be called "master," and although in martial arts they always refer to people; Master Pai, Master Fong, I didn't want that. I also didn't want to be called anything
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like what they do in the Elks Club, which is Grand Exalted Ruler. I thought that was a little extreme. I wanted a title that had a functional relationship to my role.

So people put in things. All five people put in a bunch of alternatives, and everyone ranked them -- I believe it was the top five -- and ranked them from one to five, and we added the ranks together; and "vanguard" had the highest score. It was not my number one choice.
Q. Does the name derive at all from Ayn Rand or Ayn Rand?
A. No.
Q. What was your number one choice?
A. "Founder," I believe.
Q. Does NXIVM -- do you know if NXIVM presently represents that Rational Inquiry Method is patent pending?
A. I believe it is.

MR. KOFMAN: Mark this, please, as Raniere-4.

THE WITNESS: Are we done with this document?

MR. KOFMAN: We are.
(Document entitled Assignment Bates
stamped P000000689 was received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-4 for Identification.) THE WITNESS: I'm about to cough. Is that okay?

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That's okay. THE WITNESS: Sorry.
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Mr. Raniere, the document I'm showing you -MR. CAMPION: Let me have the document. MR. KOFMAN: Oh, I'm sorry.
A. Is this for me?
Q. Yeah. The document I'm showing you, sir, which has been marked as Raniere-4 is a single-page document produced in discovery Bates stamped P689.

Is that your signature at the bottom of the document?
A. It appears to be.
Q. Was this a document that you signed to assign your rights in Rational Inquiry Method to First Principles?
A. I believe so.
Q. And why did you assign your rights to

Rational Inquiry Method to First Principles?
A. So that First Principles could develop, ultimately develop curriculum.
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Q. Do you receive any money for Rational Inquiry Method from either NXIVM or First Principles?
A. No.
Q. Do you receive money for Rational Inquiry Method from any source?
A. No.
Q. Do you -- are you employed anywhere at present?
A. No.
Q. Did you -- what was the consideration that you gave -- or strike that.

Did -- what did First Principles pay for the assignment of the rights to Rational Inquiry Method?
A. There's a 10 percent royalty that's supposed to go to a foundation to study human potential, and an additional condition is they are to develop principles -- develop curriculum in a way that does not go outside of what I would want with the property.
Q. Okay. When you say they donate 10 percent to the foundation, to what foundation are you referring?
A. I don't believe the 10 percent has ever been actualized.
Q. Was your under -- they did -- there was some
discussion at the time of this assignment that they would donate money to a foundation?
A. There was supposed to be a 10 percent, I guess you would call it a donation to a foundation to study the method, to study things relating to the method.
Q. What was the name of the foundation that was --
A. It was not specified.
Q. Okay, and has any money ever been donated to a foundation to study the method?
A. I don't know.
Q. Do you know why that is?
A. There has been money donated to a foundation to study the method. I don't know if the 10 percent has been donated to study the method.
Q. What's the name of the foundation to which the money has been donated?
A. Oh, what was it called? The Ethical

Foundation I think is the name.
Q. Are you an officer or director of the Ethical Foundation?
A. No.
Q. Are you an employee of the Ethical Foundation?
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A. No.
Q. Do you have any relationship at all with the Ethical Foundation?
A. No.
Q. Do you know who is an officer and director of the Ethical Foundation?
A. Joe O'Hara was. I don't know who is currently.
Q. Is Ms. Salzman?
A. I don't know. I --
Q. Do you know if Ms. Keeffe is?
A. I don't believe so, but I don't know.
Q. Have you ever seen any results of the Ethical

Foundation's study of the method?
A. I'm not sure if it's the Ethical Foundation that did the studies.
Q. Do you know of any studies that have looked at the results of the Eth -- of the Rational Inquiry Method?

## A. Yes.

Q. What's the name -- what studies have been done?
A. There's an ongoing study done with people coming in and taking the courses, and after the course and a certain number of -- amount of time
after the course and things like that.
Q. Who is conducting that study?
A. His first name is Sheldon.
Q. Is it Sheldon Solomon?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you seen any documents generated by Mr. Solomon's study?
A. I've seen a few.

MR. KOFMAN: And, Bill, do you know if all those documents have been produced in discovery? I've seen some, but do you know if all of the documents from Mr. Solomon have been produced?

MR. McGUIRE: As far as I know, but you
know I wasn't involved at that time.
MR. KOFMAN: Sure. I'll make -- put a request on the record and follow up with a letter just to confirm that.

MR. McGUIRE: Fair enough.
(Request.)
Q. Have you personally received any -- strike that.

Is Mr. Solomon employed by NXIVM or First Principles?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. Is he employed by the Ethical Foundation?
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A. I don't know.
Q. Has he been hired or as a contractor by the Ethical Foundation?
A. I don't know who or what.
Q. How do you earn a living, Mr. Raniere?
A. I have money. I don't earn it in a normal sense.
Q. How do you earn it?
A. Well, during my day, I teach piano and voice and solve problems; but I don't get money for those things. I have a certain amount of money, and that's how I live.
Q. Well, are these savings of yours?
A. Yes.
Q. Has Ms. Salzman purchased a townhouse on your behalf?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Do you receive grants from any source?
A. Not that I know of. Now, I have to say as
far as purchasing a townhouse, I don't know all the purchases either. I would think if it was on my behalf I would know it but...
Q. Do you own the address at which you live?
A. Yes, half.
Q. You're a co-owner?
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A. Yes.
Q. And did you put up money for the purchase?
A. Originally, yes.
Q. When was that?
A. 1987.
Q. Now, putting aside the Rational Inquiry Method, you indicated to me that the curriculum --
A. Done with this?
Q. -- that's taught by NXIVM is separate from the Rational Inquiry Method.

Are you the author of the modules that are taught at NXIVM?
A. Can you be specific what you mean by "author"?
Q. Did you -- did you create all of the modules that NXIVM teaches?
A. I created the concep -- concepts and the order of the concepts. I did not author the text relating to the modules. I did not write it.
Q. But you -- the concepts were developed -that are contained there are developed by you?
A. Yes.
Q. Who -- who wrote or took those concepts and wrote the modules?
A. Various people. I don't know all of them. I think Ivy Nevarez might have. She does a lot of the writing for NXIVM and also authorship. I can't think of anyone else.
Q. Can you explain to me the process by which your concepts are translated into the modules or how the modules came to be written?
A. Normally I would sit down with someone like Nancy and talk about the module, talk about the questions, sometimes give an ordering of the questions or at the time talk it through and come up with an ordering of the questions; and I'm not exactly sure how that gets translated ultimately into the module.
Q. Would you review the modules before they were taught to make sure that they accurately reflected your concepts?
A. In the beginning, I did. Now I do not.
Q. When you say, "In the beginning," to what time period are you referring?
A. The first few months of NXIVM I'd say, first three months maybe.
Q. When was the last time you reviewed a module?
A. Reviewed one?
Q. Yeah -- yes.
A. I don't know, eight years ago or something; a long time ago.
Q. Are you still -- but are you still involved in the process of creating modules?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you use the same procedure that you just described for me in the creation of a module?
A. Yes.
Q. The person who's writing these modules, do they work from any notes that you've provided or is it discussed orally?
A. Normally discussed orally. There may have been occasions where notes have been provided. There are times that during the oral discussion notes are created.

THE WITNESS: If some of this process becomes what I think is secret, how should I handle this?

MR. CAMPION: I believe that's covered by the confidentiality arrangement which is in place agreed to by all parties and counsel at the beginning of today's deposition.
A. Continue.
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Q. Do you have in your possession any of the notes that you created relating to the creation of these modules?
A. I may have some -- some notes that I made of or relating to the concepts from which the modules are created. Often I'll think about things and make notes and from those notes derive things like modules or articles or things like that.

MR. KOFMAN: Okay. I'd like to have the transcript marked, and I'm going to make a request for notes relating to the creation of modules for teaching at NXIVM Intensives, and I'll follow up with a letter.
(Request.)
MR. CAMPION: We'll respond in time.
MR. KOFMAN: Thanks.

## BY MR. KOFMAN:

Q. Is it your understanding, Mr. Raniere, that the modules that are taught at NXIVM contain trade secrets?
A. Yes.
Q. So we're clear on terminology, how would you define a trade secret?
A. I'm a lay person. So from my perspective, a trade secret is something that we believe is unique,
that we keep as a secret, and something that if it were not a secret would be a disadvantage to us.

MR. KOFMAN: I'd like to have this marked as Raniere-5, please.
(Three-page document entitled A Forensic Psychiatrist Evaluates ESP was received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-5 for Identification.)

THE WITNESS: Is this -- are we done with this?

MR. KOFMAN: Yes, we are.
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. The document I'm showing you marked Raniere-5 is a three-page document entitled "A Forensic Psychiatrist Evaluates ESP," dated February 2003 by Dr. -- by John Hochman, M.D.

Mr. Raniere, I believe you indicated that you read this article previously.
A. Yes.
Q. And is this one of the articles that appears on the Rick Ross websites?
A. Yes.
Q. Does -- is it your understanding that this article contains trade secrets belonging to NXIVM?
A. I'm not particularly sure.
Q. Have you ever reviewed the article to
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determine whether it contains any NXIVM trade secrets?
A. Actually, I haven't reviewed it for that.
Q. Are you familiar with what portion of the NXIVM materials constitutes trade secrets?
A. Not specifically. I'm more familiar with things that are very important to NXIVM that we keep as secret.
Q. Would those things be what you consider trade secrets, or is there something that's a trade secret in addition to what you say is very important to NXIVM?
A. You know, I don't -- I don't know for sure.

I think that would have to be someone who knows about that to make that evaluation.
Q. Does this article contain information that NXIVM con -- that you consider unique to NXIVM, kept as a secret by NXIVM, and would be a disadvantage to NXIVM if it were disclosed?

MR. CAMPION: Could the reporter just read that question again, please.
(The following was read back by the reporter:
"Does this article contain information that NXIVM con -- that you consider unique to NXIVM,
kept as a secret by NXIVM, and would be a disadvantage to NXIVM if it were disclosed?")

MR. CAMPION: In fairness to the witness, counsel, can he read the document now?

MR. KOFMAN: Yes.
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. I'd like to you read the document because then I'm going to have some specific questions about the document. So you can take your time, sir, to read it.
A. (Witness complies.)

Okay.
(A discussion was held off the record.)
MR. CAMPION: The question pending had multiple parts.

Could you have the question reread, please.
Q. Let me strike the question.

First of all, have you had an opportunity to read the article?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Does this article contain what you consider to be trade secrets of NXIVM using the definition of trade secret that you previously gave to me?
A. Can I -- I need to say two things with respect to the article.

The article is a reflection of someone who claims to and says they read our trade secret materials or at least some of them. Speaking from that authority in my mind is something that in violation of the confidentiality agreements violates letting, if you will, secrets out of the bag. In other words, if there's some trade secret that you have and I go and just for the sake of argument I get it inappropriately, and then I say, "I have this trade secret I know. And, therefore, this is so, this is so, this is so," they're benefitting from the trade secret and are able to affect potentially other people either knowing the trade secret or not through what they say, so there are multi facets here.

In the letter of what is said, there may be a few things that -- certainly there are things here that I think are important and secret. I think that this is -- has a lot of factual problems and logical problems.
Q. Okay. We'll take it in two steps. First, can you tell me what is disclosed by this article that is important and secret?
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A. There was a statement relating to anger and a conflict of values.
Q. Actually, can you -- it might be helpful if you circle this as well. I'll circle along with you, but on the Exhibit you can mark it up what you -- first of all --
A. I'm having trouble seeing it. I'm sorry.
Q. Oh, okay. I have the same problem, but if you can circle what you think is important and secret for NXIVM.
A. Okay, and I do have to say I can't say that I will circle everything 'cause I'm not an expert; and I also need to go through the logical truth and fallacy in this article, and depending on what the logical constructions are that makes a difference in my mind as to what should be secret or not.
Q. Okay. Well, first I'd just like to have you identify what you consider to be a trade secret using your definition.
A. I'll scan through and find the anger
statement.
"All anger is created as a result of conflict
in values." That's the one statement that I --
Q. Where is that statement found?
A. Page 2, first paragraph under "Cult-Like

Elements of the ESP Intensive," subparagraph
"Pretensions to Science."
Q. Yes.
A. It's an e.g. in parentheses.
Q. "All anger is created as a result of a conflict in values"?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. What module is that contained in?
A. I suspect it's the Anger module, Anger

Sourcing.
Q. And is that a module that you developed the concepts for?
A. Yes.
Q. And it's your understanding that the concept expressed here is unique to NXIVM?
A. Yes --
Q. How does --
A. -- the concept as expressed here.
Q. How does this concept give NXIVM a competitive advantage over competitors?
A. If we understand anger, we can help in business certainly understand the way people act. In personal relationships, it gives a greater degree of compassion in understanding how people act; and for people who want to explore within themselves,
they can explore that.
Q. Is the information that's presented here about anger in this parenthetical sufficient for someone to replicate NXIVM's teachings about anger?
A. I believe that it may. I'm not sure.
Q. Are you aware of any competitors who've used that information since the publication of this article?
A. No. There have been people who I believe have threatened to or said they would who are -have never been in NXIVM, but I don't know off the top of my head of any competitor that has.
Q. Who's threatened to use this information?
A. Oh, there's in public forums, in debates, in e-mails. Sometimes I've had in the past threatening e-mails people said such things.
Q. Have you saved any of these e-mails?
A. There might be a few. Some of the older ones, no; some of the newer ones, I may have.

MR. KOFMAN: I'd like to mark this part
of the transcript and make a request for any
information or for any of these e-mails.
(Request.)
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Mr. Raniere, the people who sent these
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e-mails, were they critics of the group or do you know who they were?
A. No.
Q. Okay. What was the -- are there any other trade secrets as you define the term that are contained in this article?
A. Well, I had seen a few things; and it's not just what is contained in the letter of the article. It's contained in the implication of the article.
Q. Okay. What's contained in the implication of the article that you consider -- first, is there anything else in the letter of the article that you see?
A. There was another thing relating to -- they were primarily quotes that were taken. I would say any of the quotes in here are suspect except, of course, things like "thank" and "vanguard" and stuff like that.
Q. Do you consider the 12 point Mission Statement to contain trade secrets?
A. I consider it to contain secret things that are very important to NXIVM, yes.
Q. Has the -- has NXIVM ever put the 12 point

Mission Statement or made it available on its website?
A. I don't know. That may have happened. There were times when articles or things from modules were almost in the very beginning put on the websites. I don't know if it ever happened. I don't believe so. One of concerns was the Mission Statement because there were people who felt that it was a good recruiting tool.
Q. So you're not certain, but it may have appeared on the website at some point in time?
A. Uh-huh. If it appeared on the website, I would acknowledge then it's not a trade secret in a normal sense, but I don't know if it did.

MR. SYLVESTER: Can I hear that answer back, please.
(The following was read back by the reporter:
"Uh-huh. If it appeared on the website, I would acknowledge then it's not a trade secret in a normal sense, but I don't know if it did.")

MR. SYLVESTER: Thank you.

## BY MR. KOFMAN:

Q. Are you aware that some modules were put on the website?
A. No.
Q. Do you believe that any modules were put on

## the website?

A. No.
Q. What's your recollection or what's the basis for your statement that they may have been put on the website?
A. There were excerpts from the modules that I thought may have been put on the website. Initially each module and letter had an introduction; and there at one point were some people that believed those were good things, if you will, as selling points so I don't believe any of those things went on the website. Certainly no module, the questions in its entirety was on the website.
Q. Do you remember the names of the people who thought it was a good selling point to put some of the mod -- parts of the modules on the website?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Are they still involved with NXIVM?
A. No.
Q. So as you sit here today, you don't remember the names of any of these people?
A. There were a lot of people who were involved in NXIVM in the very beginning who are no longer involved.
Q. Does NXIVM have any records of what was put
on its website?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. Who created NXIVM's website at the -- when the organization was founded?
A. I don't know.
Q. Okay. Getting back to the article, I need you to underline every other trade secret that you feel is contained in the letter of the document. You have identified the one concerning anger.
A. Uh-huh.

It's hard for me to delineate if someone hears something if they can derive or if, for example, in the future it would help them derive. If I hear a trade secret or I hear -- I'm sorry -if I hear part of a trade secret and then hear additional information afterwards or additional parts of the trade secret, I might get the trade secret in parts. So, for example, when they say "shifter strategies" in here, in itself that represents something that is a deeper trade secret, I believe.

The name to some degree is self-explanatory. If in another article someone were to publish something else that was not completely that trade secret using both of the articles, I could possibly

BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Okay. Back on.
A. I'm underlining a number of things which, for example, if I believe Concept A is trade secret, if I tell you a little bit about Concept A here, even true or false; a little bit about Concept A here; a little bit about Concept A there, that helps you derive Concept A. That helps tell you. I can ultimately give you a full description of Concept A if I write enough or write different essays or -- do you understand? So -- and there's -- I think there's even some things I'm missing that by logical construction I can derive information about Concept A.
Q. Okay, understood. Thanks. Thank you for the clarification.
(A discussion was held off the record.)
(At this point, Richard A. Ulsamer
entered the deposition room; Mr. McGuire was excused.)
Continuing...
A. In some of -- in some of these cases, I'm looking at this in a theoretical way, for example, if he says a false statement about something, it tells you about that something; and even if we by
derive it.
So do you want what I see also as parts?
Q. Yes. I want either parts or whole, whatever is in here.
A. Oh, okay.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me. We have to change tapes.

MR. KOFMAN: Okay.
THE WITNESS: So you want me to stop reading?

MR. SYLVESTER: You can continue.
MR. KOFMAN: Just don't speak until he's --

THE WITNESS: Okay.
(A discussion was held off the record.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 3:49.

THE WITNESS: Can I ask you for a clarification?

MR. KOFMAN: When we get back on the record. Actually, is it --

THE WITNESS: Are we on?
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on.
THE WITNESS: We're on the record.
necess -- either choose to say what the truth is, then that starts to release a trade secret; and if we don't say what the truth is, it damages us.

And as I'm going through this more and the more I'm thinking of it, the more I'm outlining more and more.

Is there some way we can summarize this or I can do this for you?
Q. No. I'm looking -- my question I think was pretty straightforward as to what trade secrets are disclosed, and you've put in clarifications as to how you under -- what you understand the disclosure entails.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. So I think, you know, it's important for me to get every trade secret that you consider important to be disclosed.
A. Well, have you ever played 20 questions with someone and they can disclose something without saying directly what it is?
Q. And as we go -- as we follow up, you can explain to me that that's what you're doing.

MR. CAMPION: Counsel, you're in control of the deposition.

I do note that the witness appears to
have finished underlining the section entitled "Mind Control"; and if you wanted to start to do that part first, that's certainly your option. Otherwise, he'll finish doing the whole document.

MR. SYLVESTER: Why don't you have him do the whole document.

MR. CAMPION: All right, fine.
MR. SYLVESTER: Why stop the pen.
MR. CAMPION: Okay.
Hal, is it okay if we take our last
break at $4: 15$ ?
MR. KOFMAN: Yes.
MR. CAMPION: Okay, fine.
THE WITNESS: Done.

## BY MR. KOFMAN:

Q. Okay. Can I take a quick look, and then I'll give it back to you, sir?
A. Yes.

MR. CAMPION: We'll have copies made at the recess.

MR. KOFMAN: That sounds good. That's good.

THE WITNESS: What time is it?
MR. CAMPION: Almost.
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BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Mr. Raniere, can you identify for me each trade secret that you've underlined and tell me why it constitutes a trade secret?
A. I don't -- I'm not qualified to say what is or not a trade secret, but what I started to understand even more as I read the essay, by a person having the trade secrets and having the manual and then making comments, either true or false, it puts us in a situation and NXIVM in a situation where NXIVM either has to be damaged by the release of their trade secrets or release it themselves.

And, you know, there are in almost every sentence there are parts of things that are trade secrets or what I'm calling trade secrets. I should say things that are secret and essential to ESP and things that are not true that beg the question of what is true and essential to ESP.

If this were written by a person that did not have the manual, it would be a whole different issue, so I can start to explain what each of these things imply or don't imply; but anyone who reads this article starts to not only get the pieces and maybe a lot of the pieces, maybe enough of the
pieces, but also it puts us in a situation so I'm not sure -- I don't know if I did the assignment right.
Q. Well, let's -- okay. What are the trade secrets that are disclosed, starting from the top?
A. I don't want to say what the trade secrets are per se, but, for example --
Q. No. Please identify each and every trade secret.

THE WITNESS: Am I supposed to be able to identify trade secrets, and it will be fine?

MR. CAMPION: Do the best you can.
Q. Using your definition.
A. Okay. It says, "I have reviewed a manual for a 16 day," ten hour day (sic); "large group awareness training called 'Executive Success Programs,' which also calls itself 'ESP.'"

What's -- the large group awareness training program is really a mischaracterization of something that is taken as an individual. This takes the Intensive and muddles it with ESP. So now what we must begin to do is define what is an Intensive. What is the curriculum like of ESP specifically. What does it mean to have a module. How long are those modules.
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So some of the things, for example, the actual structure of the modules, that they are interchangeable, that they are like puzzle pieces, that there is an overview order to them so that you can create things like Intensives. You can create -- any sort of thing is a trade secret. The nature of that structure was in the curriculum or is, I should say a secret. This starts to confuse the issue in such a way that, one, it starts to cause us to beg or begs an explanation; but, two, it starts to say things about how the curriculum is structured.
Q. What would your compet -- what in this sentence is secret, the fact that it's 16 days?
A. It's not one single fact. I mean, what the -- what he is saying in this sentence is that he's reviewed a manual, okay, so he gives himself authority of having these trade secrets. These trade secrets are in the manual. He's reviewed it, and 16 day ten hour a day, he is characterizing it as a large group awareness training called Executive Success Programs, which also calls itself ESP.

Executive Success Programs is not a large group awareness training. You know, Executive Success also has -- if you call the Intensive a
large group awareness training, it also has Origins, it also has Ethos, it also has the Ethicist training, it also has Parenting. It has many, many different curricula and ways of dispensing them.
Q. What in this sentence is secret?
A. In itself, it's only part of a secret. The sentence doesn't contain any secret.
Q. Okay. What's the next thing that you've underlined?

MR. SYLVESTER: Wait. Let me hear that last answer back.

MR. KOFMAN: Could you read that?
A. The sentence I don't think contains --

MR. SYLVESTER: That's all right. If he wants to restate it, that makes life easy.

Go ahead, sir.
Continuing...
A. I did not think the sentence contained a secret. The sentence was part of a secret.
Q. Okay. What's the next thing you've underlined?

MR. CAMPION: It's 20 of -- 20 after 4 now. Let's take a short break, okay.

MR. SYLVESTER: Mr. Campion, maybe if we can have a copy of that made.
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THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 4:34.
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Okay. Mr. Raniere, I want to show you and mark a document Raniere-6.
(Robert Jay Lifton's eight criteria of thought reform as applied to the Executive Success Programs Bates stamped P000003648 through 3661 was received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-6 for Identification.)
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Okay. Mr. Raniere, just going back to Raniere-5 for one moment, I just want to make clear that I had instructed you to underline everything that you considered to be a trade secret using your definition; and that is what you've underlined, correct?
A. No. If I have a trade secret -- and I was thinking about this, how to describe it. If I have a trade secret that's an elephant and I tell you, well, it has a trunk, it has four legs, has a tail,
is big, is a mammal, the trade secrets are revealed

```
MR. KOFMAN: Are we off?
(At this point, there was a short
recess.)
    MR. KOFMAN: Are we off?
```

A. Included within the sentence?
Q. Yes --
A. No.
Q. -- anything in that sentence.
A. Included within the sentence, no, but I
believe that the inaccuracy of the sentence and the fact that here is a person that has taken our trade secret module and is commenting on it starts to say it has a trunk, it doesn't have three legs, it has four legs, so no. In answer to your question, no, not contained within the sentence.
Q. Okay. You've underlined -- I think the next thing -- you have a check mark next to "Long hours."

Was that indicating that that paragraph contains trade secret?
A. Let me see.

I think I was questioning the sentence, "ESP Intensive participants are signing up for sixteen ten-hour days, which will either be experienced successively, or in five-day segments. Although three hours a day are allotted for lunch and dinner breaks," as giving insight to the structure and the way things are structured. So I didn't underline it. Maybe I should have from that theory.
Q. Is it your understanding that a competitor
would be able to use the fact that you have 16-hour days or that it can be done in 5-day segments with 3-hour breaks to their competitive advantage and your disadvantage?
A. In isolation, no.
Q. Okay. You've underlined the sentence -- it's on the next to last paragraph.
"Participants are told to promise not to tell non-participants of what they learn in the Intensive, as well as its methods."
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Does that contain a trade secret?
A. Within that, no.
Q. Why did you underline it?
A. Because, again, I believe it is beginning to sculpt away at the elephants if the elephants are trade secrets.
Q. And would the same go for the bottom of the first page, the last paragraph you've underlined, "Participants are told to promise not to share with non-participants their recollection of content or methods of the Intensive. Participants are mislead (sic) into feeling guilty for being a 'promise breaker.'"
A. Yes.
Q. Does that disclose a trade secret?
A. Those things are again either statements that are false that need to be proven false for us to be viable or part of a trade secret; but, no, they do not in themselves contain trade secrets.
Q. Is it your understanding that you would have to reveal a trade secret to prove that statement false?
A. I would have to reveal more of a trade secret.
Q. I'd like to show you the document we've marked as Raniere-6, which is entitled "Robert Jay Lifton's eight criteria of thought reform as applied to the Executive Success Programs" and --
A. Are we done with this document?
Q. For now, yeah.

First of all, have you seen this document before?
A. Yes.
Q. Is this one of the documents that it was posted on the Ross websites?
A. Yes.
Q. And have you ever reviewed this document to determine whether it contains trade secrets as you define the term?
A. I've looked at it generally for that. In this particular case, this is more on point to containing more direct trade secrets.
Q. Okay. When did you look at this to see if it contains trade secrets?
A. Years ago.
Q. Okay. I'd like you to do the same exercise and underline for me all of the trade secrets that are disclosed in this article as you define the term trade secret.
A. Does this include also the parts, as I did with this one?
Q. Yes, whatever you feel is appropriate to answer the question.
A. Maybe I don't understand the question. I feel like I'm trying to give you an understanding of my understanding of this. I will go through that exercise, but everything that implies trade secrets to me I will underline.
Q. Okay. I'd like you to underline for me everything that is -- that you contend is unique to NXIVM, that NXIVM keeps as a secret, and would be disadvantageous to NXIVM to have disclosed.
A. Even if it's a partial fact?
Q. Correct.
A. Partial, okay.
Q. Thank you.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me. I'm going to change tapes.

THE WITNESS: You want me to stop?
MR. KOFMAN: No, you can keep going.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 5:01.
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Are you finished, Mr. Raniere?
A. I'm just checking.
Q. Okay.

MR. KOFMAN: Tom, I'll ask for a copy of this before I leave. MR. CAMPION: Sure.
Q. Mr. Raniere, I noticed on this article, the page that's Bates stamped P3659, about midway through, it said "Cult - this is a label that conveys no meaning but devalues the group. It is designed to keep people away from the group without saying what is wrong with it. Example: 'That's a cult.'"

And I take it that's a quote from the module entitled Parasite/Producer III - Practice.
A. Yes.
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Q. And that's a concept that you created?
A. Yes.
Q. Is it your contention there is -- there are no such things as cults?
A. No.
Q. What is -- how would you define a cult?
A. I don't have a definition nor have I found a definition.
Q. In broad strokes, what would you term to be a cult?
A. I would like to answer this in two parts.
Q. Sure.
A. It's my belief that the common person, the person on the street who is -- has not gone and specifically looked at cults, investigate cults, believes that cult is a negative term.

I believe that cult is some sort of a -- the second part of the question -- some sort of a description that is used in the hopes of classifying people as a group without being able to state often what the common element is. Sometimes in a historical sense that's useful because cults -- they say cults can become culture. They say, you know, most groups or communities could be considered a cult; and then it therefore develops.

I believe sometimes terms, their technical meanings can influence people because, well, people don't know the technical meaning. They just know the more common meaning.
Q. So is it your understanding that the term cult is neither a positive or pejorative?
A. In a technical sense, because I've seen no definition of the term. The dictionary definition of the word is -- can be negative, can be positive; yeah.
Q. For example, are you familiar with the

Peoples Temple?
A. No. I can imagine what it is but --
Q. They were the group that committed mass
suicide in Jonestown, Guyana, in the late '70s.
A. Okay, yes.
Q. Would you characterize them as a cult?
A. I don't know. I would call them a
destructive group.
Q. Okay. Shifting gears just a little bit, do you recall that Forbes magazine published an article about you and NXIVM in --
A. Yes.
Q. -- 2003?
A. Yes.
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Q. Did you meet with the reporter from Forbes magazine before the article was published?
A. Yes.
Q. On how many occasions?
A. One.
Q. Do you know what led Forbes to write an article about you and NXIVM?
A. I'm not exactly sure. The reporter implied at first that it was Rick Ross, and then the reporter stuttered and stammered a bit and retracted that so I don't know.
Q. What did he say that implied it was

Rick Ross?
A. When asked, "Why us?" He said, "Well, I had a meeting with Rick Ross and I decide -- well" -I'd say he sort of -- he started some sentence, implying seemingly that the article was instigated, or I shouldn't say instigated, that the seminal meeting for the article occurred with Rick Ross.
Q. Had NXIVM filed the lawsuit before that, before you met with that reporter?
A. I believe so.
Q. Okay. Did you tape record or -- strike that.

Was the meeting with the reporter tape
recorded or videotaped?
A. We had wanted to videotape it. The reporter said he did not want it videotaped but that audiotaping was okay. They tried to use the audio on the video recorder, and I don't know if it actually worked because from what I've understood, the tape doesn't seem to exist.
Q. When you say "They tried to," who is "they"?
A. Nancy was there. Arlen Olsen was there. I think there might have been one or two other people there.
Q. Was Kristin Keeffe there?
A. You know, I don't know.
Q. Who was operating the tape machine?
A. You know, I -- it might have been set up originally by someone like Arlen and then left unattended because we were sitting.
Q. When did you discover that the -- strike that.

Is it your understanding that nothing was ever recorded?
A. I'm not sure. I discovered just recently that there seemed not to be a recording of that.
Q. Okay. At the time, it was your understanding that it was being recorded?
A. I thought it was.
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Q. Did you ever hear the tape?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Did -- did you or anyone at NXIVM give the reporter any materials to look at?
A. I'm not sure. He was at least given a confidentiality agreement.
Q. Okay. Do you know one way or the other as you sit here whether he received any modules?
A. I don't believe so. I don't know.
Q. Was he allowed to observe anything at NXIVM?
A. Yes. He went to the NXIVM Center.
Q. What did he -- was he allowed to observe?
A. I don't know exactly. I was not there. I
know he spoke to several people.
Q. Okay. Did he -- do you know if he sat in on a class?
A. I don't believe so. I believe he refused to sign the confidentiality agreement, therefore would not be allowed to sit in on a class.
Q. Do you know who accompanied him as he visited the center?
A. No.
Q. Do you know who he spoke to from NXIVM?
A. I know of one person specifically.
Q. Who is that?
A. Emiliano Salinas. I think at the end of the Forbes article there is a quote from Sara Bronfman, although I'm not sure. So if that be the case, then he probably spoke to her there.
Q. Okay. Have you had any conversations with him at any time after that ar -- after the art -that one meeting prior to the article's publication?
A. No, I don't believe so.
Q. Did you have an opinion as to --
A. No. Hold on.
Q. Strike that.
A. They did a fact-checking type of phone call so, yes, there was a conversation. It was a woman that was doing it, though, not him.
Q. Do you remember her name?
A. No.
Q. And was this a call made to you?
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. And do you remember what facts she wanted to check?
A. No. It was a series of representations.

Some of them seemed accurate; some of them seemed not accurate.
Q. Did you correct what you considered to be inaccurate?
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A. To the best of my ability. There was an additional communication. I ended up faxing I think him answers to two questions which were he wanted my response with something with respect to Toni Natalie.
Q. And did you fax him information?
A. Just a simple response.
Q. Did you save a copy of the fax?
A. No.
Q. Where was it faxed from?
A. I think it was faxed from my residence.
Q. Did you put the fax into a file or the papers that you faxed into a file someplace?
A. No.
Q. Did you discard them?
A. They were probably discarded.

MR. KOFMAN: I'll make a request for anything that was faxed to Forbes.
(Request.)
Q. Have you ever spoken to reporters from the Albany Times-Union?
A. Yes.
Q. On how many occasions?
A. May I clarify?
Q. Yes.
A. Before NXIVM. After NXIVM, I don't believe I have.
Q. Have you ever met with Dennis Yusko?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Did you consider the Forbes article to be positive or negative towards NXIVM?
A. I considered the Forbes article to be negative and untrue towards NXIVM.

MR. KOFMAN: I'd like to you mark this as Raniere-7.
(Executive Success Programs, Inc.
Student Enrollment Application of Stephanie Franco Bates stamped P000004105 was received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-7 for Identification.)

MR. KOFMAN: For the record -- I'm sorry
-- Raniere-7 is a one-page document that was produced to us in discovery. It's Bates stamped P4105, and it purports to be Student Enrollment Application of Stephanie Franco.
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Mr. Raniere, have you ever seen this form of document before?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you ever seen the Student Terms and Conditions at the bottom?
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A. Oh, you mean the four points, the four -ending with "Disclaimer"?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. Did you draft those?
A. I -- I participated in drafting some of it, I
think, because some of it came from a past company
that I had. I believe the attorneys, whoever they were at the time, drafted them.
Q. The attorneys from the past company drafted them?
A. No, NXIVM. I -- it might have been Arlen Olsen. I'm not sure.
Q. And when were these drafted?
A. I don't know. Does it have a revision date on the bottom?
Q. It says "Copyright 2000."
A. I don't know which version or when these were drafted but 2000 or before.
Q. What was the prior company that had similar Student Terms and Conditions?
A. Well, there were similar -- there weren't

Student Terms and Conditions, but it was Consumers'
Buyline.
Q. Okay. Did you sit down with Arlen Olsen
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and participate in the drafting?
A. No.
Q. What was your involvement in the drafting of these terms and conditions?
A. Suggesting certain things. I think at one point I read an initial draft and asked for potential changes or what would happen if something went one way or another.
Q. Did anybody else review the potential draft besides you?
A. I don't know.
Q. Anyone else from NXIVM?
A. I don't know.
Q. Why were you the person from NXIVM who was reviewing the Student Terms and Conditions?
A. Because I have some lay legal experience because of some of the -- my lay legal experience of the past.
Q. Does it say anywhere in these Student Terms and Conditions that a person cannot take Executive Success Programs if they've ever taken a course with a competitor?
A. I don't know. I'd have to read this, and is this the full -- is this a two-sided form or a one-sided form?
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Q. It's my understanding that this is the complete document.
A. Okay. Do you want me to read it to see if it says that?
Q. Yes.
A. Okay.

MR. CAMPION: Go ahead.
A. Do you mind if I use a set of binoculars?

MR. KOFMAN: What's that?
MR. CAMPION: I circled a word there.
THE WITNESS: Do you want me to
continue?
MR. KOFMAN: Yes, please do.
Oh, I see.
MR. CAMPION: Okay.
MR. KOFMAN: I'll bring something
tomorrow.
Continuing...
A. This refers to back of this application, by the way.

It says, "See important cancellation notice on the back of this application," so it appears there might be writing.
Q. All right. I'll have to take a look.

If you can just look at the Student Terms and

Conditions.
A. Can I read the top, also?
Q. Excuse me?
A. Can I read the top, also?
Q. Yes, of course.
A. I'm having trouble reading this. I'm so
sorry.
Q. Well, if you're having trouble reading it, I can try and bring a clearer copy, you know, blow it up a little tomorrow.
A. That would be helpful, although I did note on the top where it says "I understand if I choose to leave ESP, I must return all course related materials and that making use of such materials after leaving constitutes fraud."

That speaks to what you had asked but not as -- it's not it directly.
Q. Does that speak to whether someone can come to take NXIVM classes if they had taken a class with a competitor before?
A. No.

Do you want me to continue this now, or would you like to do that tomorrow?
Q. We can do that tomorrow if you're having trouble -- difficulty reading it.
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A. Yes.
Q. And I'll bring back a clearer copy so that we can -- 'cause I have a few questions about the document.
A. Thank you.

MR. KOFMAN: Off the record.
(A discussion was held off the record.) MR. KOFMAN: All right.
While I've got you, you've marked --
I'd like to have this marked as Raniere
-- Raniere-8.
THE WITNESS: Should I put this aside for now?

MR. KOFMAN: Yes, please.
(A Critical Analysis of the Executive
Success Programs Inc., Bates stamped P000003674 through 3682 was received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-8 for Identification.)

MR. KOFMAN: For the record, Raniere-8
is a document -- is an article or a document
entitled A Critical Analysis of the Executive
Success Programs Inc.
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Do you recognize this document, sir?
A. Yes.
5
Q. Is this one of the articles that was posted on the Rick Ross websites?
A. I believe so.
Q. Okay. I'd like you, and I understand that we may not get through it but -- strike that.

Did you read this at approximately the time that it was posted?
A. Yes. I'm assuming this is the other

Paul Martin article, authored by him.
Q. Okay, and did you read it to determine whether it revealed trade secrets?
A. I believe I did, although more that -- I want to be sure I know which document this is. Well, I believe so.
Q. I'd like you to undertake the same exercise with respect to identifying the trade secrets that, as you define the term, that are disclosed in this article with the remaining time we have today; and if you're not finished, we can pick it up tomorrow.

MR. CAMPION: Would it be of value if he did it overnight? You can move into something else now.

MR. KOFMAN: We can agree to do it overnight, as long as a representation that he won't consult with anyone since this is basically part of
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the testimony.
THE WITNESS: I won't.
MR. KOFMAN: Okay.
MR. CAMPION: So you'll mark it up and bring it in tomorrow.

THE WITNESS: Yup. Am I allowed to take something with this stamp on it out?

MR. KOFMAN: Yeah.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
MR. CAMPION: You can take it out.
We're going to Xerox it once it's marked up by you.
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. I'd like you to take a look at the document
we marked as Raniere-2, which is your August 18TH Affidavit.
A. Raniere-2, yes.
Q. Paragraph 3 you discuss the -- something in the John Hochman article concerning the need for students to make daily brief phone calls to check in with their coaches.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. To your knowledge, are there any courses at

NXIVM or any programs at NXIVM that would require a student to make -- to check in daily with their coach?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Is there --
A. Can I be more specific?
Q. Sure, if you need to to answer the question.
A. Modules -- there is one module that suggests
a daily practice and a check-in and it is not --
there's no such thing, if you will, as a
requirement.
Q. Okay. What's the name of that module?
A. Persistence.
Q. Okay. What about --
A. Motivated state, I believe, Persistency in motivated state.
Q. What about for students who are taking advanced courses in NXIVM? Are they required to have daily check-ins?
A. No.

MR. KOFMAN: Mark this, please, as
Raniere-9. Is it 9?
(Document headed Persistence Bates stamped SF00104 through 108 was received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-9 for Identification.)
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Mr. Raniere, is the document we've marked as Raniere-9 a copy of the module, the Persistence
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module to which you just referred?
A. It appears to be that.
Q. Okay. Looking under the word "Arranging for Coaching support" --
A. Uh-huh.
Q. This is about two-thirds of the way. MR. LANDY: I think you didn't pass it around.

MR. KOFMAN: Oh, I'm sorry. I
apologize, guys.
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Do you see the sentence under "Arranging for Coaching support" where it says, "It is your responsibility to set a time with your coach on a daily basis for check-in"?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Is there anything about that sentence that suggests that it's op -- that daily check-ins are optional?

## A. No.

Q. Okay. Based on that, does that change your testimony that it's only optional for students to check in with coaches daily?
A. No.
Q. Do coaches or the person teaching it say,
guys, where we say it's your responsibility to set a time, we really don't mean that?
A. No.
Q. How about where it says, "Students must speak to contact either by phone or in person daily"? Is that an optional -- does that say that it's optional?
A. No.
Q. Is that a mandatory requirement?
A. No.
Q. How would you characterize it where it says, "Students must speak to contact either by phone or in person daily"?
A. If you choose to do this practice, then that is what you would do.
Q. And what in the terms, "Students must speak to contact either by phone or in person daily" suggests that it's optional?
A. In that, if you choose to do the practice, that isn't optional.
Q. When you say, "If you choose to do the practice," which means if you choose to take the course?
A. No.
Q. If you choose to do the Persistence module?
A. No. If you choose to do a Persistency. If you take the Persistency module, persistency is presented as a tool that can be used. If you choose to do Persistency, you do it and do a daily check-in as you do it.
Q. Do all students who take the 16-day Intensive take the Persistency module?
A. Yes, I believe.

MR. KOFMAN: Okay. Please mark this as Raniere-10.
(Document headed Rules and Rituals Bates stamped SF00033 through 40 was received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-10 for Identification.)
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Is -- are you familiar with the document we've marked as Raniere-10?
A. I don't have it yet.
Q. Oh, I'm sorry.

Are you familiar with the document we've marked as Raniere-10?
A. Not directly, but I know such a document exists.
Q. Did you create the concepts that are incorporated in the Rules and Rituals module?
A. I believe most of them, if not all of them.
Q. Okay, and is this one of the first modules that's taught in the school?
A. In certain programs.
Q. Which programs?
A. Ethos and the Level 1 Intensive.
Q. Okay. Turning your attention to Page 4 of this document, the paragraph numbered 9 , "Phone tree."
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Can you read for me the last sentence of this?
A. "Students involved in the more advanced aspects of ESP will speak to their Coaches at least once a day."
Q. What are the more advanced aspects to which you refer?
A. Higher ranks is I believe what was contemplated at the time.
Q. High -- which ranks? From which ranks on up?
A. I believe proctor and maybe coaches.
Q. Looking at Page 3 of this document under the paragraph that -- the first paragraph that says "Stripes."

Page 154
A. Uh-huh.
Q. The second sentence says, "Membership in ESP's buying coalition is signified by one diagonal stripe on the right side of the scarf."
A. Yes.
Q. What is "ESP's buying coalition"?
A. It is no longer in existence; but it was an optional program where people could get food, vitamins, things like that. And it was a membership in a store.
Q. When was -- at what periods in time was that buying coalition in existence?
A. I think it ended 1999. It might be a little later but not much.
Q. Okay --
A. In fact, I'm pretty sure it was 1999.
Q. This revision is dated $12 / 2000$. Is it possible it was still in existence at that time?
A. I don't think so. I think a lot of these materials were never updated.
Q. Who made the decision to discontinue the buying coalition?
A. The person who headed up the buying coalition severed the relationship with us so that there was no more buying coalition.

Q. Who was that?
A. Toni Natalie.
Q. And did ESP receive a percentage of what students bought, of the money that was paid by students?
A. No, I don't believe so.
Q. Where was the store operated from?
A. There was one in Clifton Park, and later on there was also one in Saratoga.
Q. Were students encouraged to use the buying coalition?
A. To some degree. If it -- if it suited them.
Q. Did you encourage students to use the buying coalition?
A. Um, if it suited them.
Q. Okay.
A. I was a believer in it.
Q. Okay. I'd like you to look back -- going back to Raniere-2, your Affidavit from August 18th, looking at Paragraph 4. You state that, "Promotion is based on a committee with the students input." Who is on the committee that decides on -strike that.

In 2001-2002, who was on the committee that decided on promotion of students?
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A. I don't know.
Q. Were you on that committee?
A. No.
Q. How did you know what the procedure was for promotion?
A. Well, I created them initially. I don't know with specificity now what is the case, but it is important that it's more of a democratic process.
Q. Okay. Have you ever been on a committee that decides on promotion?
A. No.
Q. Do you know if Nancy Salzman has?
A. Nancy does decide ultimately certain high level promotions, but that is also decided by a committee that makes recommendations to her. I don't know if -- I don't think she's on the committee, per se.
Q. And as you sit here today, do you know the names of anyone who has been on that committee at any point in time?
A. I would think Barbara Jeske was, I would think Pam Cafritz was, Lauren Salzman probably.
Q. Okay. Looking at the last section -- strike that.

Do they make the decisions based on rules for
promotion that you had originally drafted or created?
A. No. I think the -- those were the initial rules or guidelines. I think they've evolved, so I don't know all the criteria they use.
Q. Okay. The last sentence of Paragraph 4 says, "I am the highest rank in the structure and I have been overridden numerous times and always can be."

When you say you're the highest rank in the structure, what do you mean?
A. I wear a double white long sash. So with respect to who has, if you will, the most knowledge relating to the ESP philosophy and who has the ultimate authority to explain that philosophy or answer the questions, I am the highest rank.
Q. Okay. Can you give me examples of when you've been overridden in decision-making at NXIVM?
A. I could probably give you a number of examples.
Q. Okay.

THE WITNESS: What do I do if they
involve a legal case?
MR. CAMPION: If they involve a legal
case, you're not going to do it. You and I will
discuss it. I'll make a determination as to whether
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a privilege is involved, and then you can supplement the answer tomorrow if I conclude that privilege is not involved; but as to matters which do not relate to the legal case, please answer.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
Continuing...
A. There have been in the past either people that I thought were good for a promotion from what I had seen and how they had spoken and things like that or not, and there have been instances where it's gone both ways. The way the NXIVM business is run, the systems that I suggest often are either not done or not executed.
Q. Are they rejected, or they're just not implemented?
A. Both. They're rejected and sometimes not implemented.
Q. Can you think of an example of a suggestion you've made that's been rejected?
A. Yeah. I had thought that it would be good to have a way of having the phone tree, using a system of personal contacts where we have people calling through the whole organization and then having a series of feedback loops to know if the data had gone through; and I think it turned out to be
w
unfeasible. It's not done. There is a phone tree, but they don't do it the way I had thought it would be done.
Q. And was that because someone concluded it could not be implemented?
A. I don't know exactly.
Q. Who was the person who told you it was infeasible?
A. I think I was told by a number of people.
Q. Can you think of any other examples in
which your decisions have been rejected or your suggestions have been rejected?
A. Yeah. There have been times where I suggested that we might have either a certain type of curriculum or an event at a certain time. For example, I had thought it would be good to have a winter festival this -- this year and really thought that was important; but the people within NXIVM had other priorities, and it was not done.

And at first, it was rejected, and then they said, well, maybe we could try to do it later; and then they decided it was unfeasible.
Q. Do they say how it was unfeasible?
A. They felt because of the Dalai Lama event -we have the Dalai Lama coming and spending five days
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with us -- that the preparations that they need to do, there have to be ethical boards put together because there are a series of panels in different areas that are doing public discussions. And also because of some of the situations that are going on in Mexico, I founded a peace movement in Mexico because of the situation. And I have a number of friends that have been adversely affected. People are kidnapped. I have one friend who was kidnapped, held at knifepoint, another friend who in the past had both of their ears cut off and one of their fingers. And this is somewhat of a common occurrence in Mexico, and it's come to the point where I think there's a lot of civil unrest. So the people in Mexico cannot devote the time to doing something like a winter fest when there's other important things.
Q. The -- you indicated that the Dalai Lama was going to be staying with NXIVM for five days?
A. No. He's doing an event with us.
Q. What kind -- where is the event being held?
A. We're not exactly sure. Part of it's being held in an arena called The Times-Union Arena.
Q. Is that in Albany?
A. Yes. The rest is somewhere near Albany.

|  | Page 161 |  | Page 163 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | We're not sure of the settings because we are having | 1 | JURAT <br> I, KEITH A. RANIERE, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony taken on March 11, 2009, and have signed it subject to the following changes: <br> PAGE <br> LINE <br> CORRECTION |
| 2 | a series of panels doing not only ethics discussions | 2 |  |
| 3 | but ethical plan implementations. | 3 |  |
| 4 | Q. Are you co -- are you sponsoring the event, | 4 |  |
| 5 | or what's the nature of your involvement and the | 5 |  |
| 6 | Dalai Lama's involvement? | 6 |  |
| 7 | A. I'm the ideological founder, and the Dalai | 7 |  |
| 8 | Lama sent a representative to examine us and go | 8 |  |
| 9 | through our curriculum; and what we offer on the | 10 |  |
| 10 | basis of ethics and things like that were something | 11 |  |
| 11 | that this representative felt was extremely | 12 |  |
| 12 | valuable. | 13 |  |
| 13 | Q. What was the name of the representative of | 14 |  |
| 14 | the Dalai Lama that came and -- | 15 |  |
| 15 | A. We call him Lama Tenzin. He's the personal | 16 |  |
| 16 | peace emissary of the Dalai Lama. | 17 |  |
| 17 | Q. Did he sign a confidentiality agreement? | 18 |  |
| 18 | A. I believe so. I'm not positive. | 19 |  |
| 19 | Q. And when are these events taking place? | 20 |  |
| 20 | A. April 19th is the large public event. We | 21 | DATE: |
| 21 | have trainings to train in the ethical tools before | 22 | Sworn and subscribed to before me on this day |
| 22 | that point. April 18th I believe is when the first | 23 |  |
| 23 | panel starts. | 24 | NOTARY PUBLIC |
| 24 | MR. KOFMAN: This might be a good time |  |  |
| 25 | to break. | 25 |  |
|  | Page 162 |  | Page 164 |
| 1 | MR. CAMPION: Just about 6:00, anyway. | 1 |  |
| 2 | MR. KOFMAN: Yeah. |  | CERTIFICATE |
| 3 | MR. CAMPION: Are you all agreeable to | 2 |  |
| 4 | starting at 9:30 tomorrow morning? | 3 |  |
| 5 | MR. KOFMAN: Happy to. | 4 | I, CHERYL McGANN, a Certified Court |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: Fine with me. I have my | 5 | Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter of the |
| 7 | homework. | 6 | State of New Jersey, authorized to administer |
| 8 | MR. KOFMAN: You'll take that? | 7 | oaths pursuant to R.S.41:2-2, do hereby certify |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: I will. | 8 | that prior to the commencement of the examination, |
| 10 | MR. KOFMAN: Thank you. | 9 | the witness was sworn by me to testify to the truth, |
| 11 | Thank you very much. | 10 | the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. |
| 12 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony |
| 13 | at $5: 56$. | 13 | that was taken stenographically by and before me at |
| 14 |  | 14 | the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set |
| 15 | (Witness excused.) | 15 | forth. |
| 16 | (The deposition was adjourned for the | 16 | I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither |
| 17 | day at 5:56 p.m.) | 17 | a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel |
| 18 |  | 18 | of any the parties to this action and that I am |
| 19 |  | 19 | not interested in the action. |
| 20 |  | 20 |  |
| 21 |  | 21 |  |
| 22 |  | 22 |  |
| 23 |  |  | CHERYL McGANN |
| 24 |  | 23 | C.C.R. License No. XI000918 |
| 25 |  | 24 |  |


| A | additional | 161:17 | 25:3 | april |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aaron | 10:22 76:2 98:16 | agreements | answering | 161:20,22 |
| 14:17 42:21,24 | 117:16,16 140:2 | 110:7 | 9:24 25:12 | ar |
| 43:15 | address | ahead | answers | 139:6 |
| ability | 102:23 | 55:8 78:15 125:16 | 9:19 23:3 140:3 | area |
| 12:5 19:16 140:1 | adelman | 144:7 | anthony | 36:10,13,18 |
| able | 3:18 6:19 | alan | 3:9 6:14 | areas |
| 63:24 81:18 | adequately | 4:3 | anybody | 51:24 62:24 160:4 |
| 110:14 123:10 | 26:18 | albany | 41:10 42:17 68:25 | arena |
| 130:1 134:20 | adjourned | 36:13,18 42:24 | 72:20 143:9 | 160:23,23 |
| aboveentitled | 162:16 | 140:21 160:24,25 | anyplace | argument |
| 2:10 | administer | allegedly | 81:13 | 110:10 |
| acceptable | 164:6 | 41:21 | anyway | arisen |
| 8:4 | advanced | allotted | 162:1 | 20:10 |
| access | 149:15 153:13,16 | 129:21 | apologize | arlen |
| 79:24 80:2 81:13 | advantage | allowed | 19:12 22:18 90:16 | 38:17,24 39:7 |
| accompanied | 112:20 128:16 | 29:15,19 41:25 | 150:10 | 40:4 47:18 48:8 |
| 138:20 | 130:3 | 138:10,12,19 | apologized | 92:3 95:3 137:8 |
| account | adversely | 148:6 | 19:19 | 137:15 142:12,25 |
| 80:4,6,6,7 | 160:8 | allowing | appear | arrangement |
| accounts | advice | 61:8 | 12:24 | 105:22 127:18 |
| 63:22,25 79:19,20 | 28:11 45:8 47:21 | alternatives | appeared | arranging |
| 79:24 80:3,13 | 47:22,23 | 96:6 | 115:9,10,17 | 150:3,12 |
| 81:6,8 | advise | amend | appears | art |
| accurate | 10:14 | 26:1 | 40:5 67:3 77:24 | 139:6 |
| 11:21 12:15 67:13 | advised | amount | 97:17 107:19 | article |
| 139:22,23 164:12 | 41:6 | 100:25 102:11 | 120:25 144:22 | 64:11,12,15,24 |
| accurately | affect | analysis | 150:2 | 65:10 107:17,23 |
| 104:18 | 110:14 | 4:20 64:23 65:10 | application | 107:25 108:16,24 |
| acknowledge | affidavit | 65:14 146:15,21 | 4:18 7:9 91:3,6,7 | 109:20,22 110:2,3 |
| 115:11,18 | 4:9 42:25 43:3,6 | analyze | 92:2,5,10 94:21 | 110:24 111:14 |
| act | 66:11,18,23 67:22 | 64:21 | 141:12,19 144:19 | 113:8 114:6,8,9 |
| 46:10 112:22,24 | 79:1 148:15 | analyzing | 144:22 | 114:11,12 117:6 |
| acting | 155:19 | 64:23 84:1 | applied | 117:23 122:24 |
| 88:15 | affirmed | anger | 4:16 126:10 | 132:9 133:16 |
| action | 42:25 | 111:1,20,22 112:5 | 131:13 | 135:21 136:2,7,17 |
| 91:9 164:18,19 | ago | 112:9,9,21 113:3 | apply | 136:19 139:2 |
| activities | 54:8 77:23 82:21 | 113:4 117:9 | 90:25 | 141:5,7 146:20 |
| 26:20 | 105:3,4 132:6 | anna | appreciate | 147:9,18 148:18 |
| actual | agree | 1:14 2 | 89:15 | articles |
| 27:8 124:2 128:1 | 40:8 79:3 147:23 | answer | approached | 55:17 56:5,11,15 |
| actualized | agreeable | 10:7 25:5 26:18 | 24:19 | 61:21,24 62:8,12 |
| 98:24 | 162:3 | 28:13 37:22 46:5 | appropriate | 64:10,22 65:4 |
| add | agreed | 78:15 87:6,20 | 132:13 | 106:8 107:19 |
| 29:9 76:6 | 10:16 105:23 | 92:6 115:13 | approve | 115:2 117:25 |
| added | agreement | 125:11 129:10 | 12:2 | 139:7 147:1 |
| 96:8 | 19:14 20:5,11,21 | 132:14 134:11 | approved | arts |
| addition | 22:14,19,24 24:13 | 149:4 157:15 | 59:1,2 67:8 | 84:2 95:23 |
| 9:21 108:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 539: 640: 2 \\ & 48 \cdot 38138 \cdot 618 \end{aligned}$ | 158:2,4 answered | approximately <br> $9 \cdot 158 \cdot 2147 \cdot 6$ | ascertain 43.18 |


| aside | attorney | 9:17 | becoming | believed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 103:6 146:12 | 19:17 64:19,21 | ayn | 30:19 | 38:3 43:1 75:5 |
| asked | 94:4 164:17 | 89:12,15 96:11,12 | beg | 95:13 116:9 |
| 12:2 20:22 25:5 | attorneyclient |  | 122:18 124:10 | believer |
| 34:20 37:8 39:1 | 91:19 92:14 | B | beginning | 21:19 155:17 |
| 47:18 63:9,19,22 | attorneys | bachelor | 20:6 47:14 82:3 | believes |
| 64:4,4,5 72:10 | 2:24 3:7,12,17,21 | 72:2 | 104:20,21 105:24 | 73:11 134:16 |
| 79:19 80:23 | 6:11 38:11 46:21 | back | 115:3 116:23 | believing |
| 136:14 143:6 | 61:12 66:5 67:10 | 23:1 24:4,16 30:2 | 130:15 | 21:20 |
| 145:16 | 67:16 81:17 142:8 | 39:19 70:22 74:4 | begs | belonging |
| asking | 142:10 | 74:16 76:10 80:22 | 124:10 | 107:23 |
| 9:18 24:9 58:8 | audio | 81:18 82:13 87:7 | behalf | benefitting |
| 64:8 90:18 92:16 | 137:3 | 87:10 91:15 | 6:19 7:6 10:16 | 110:13 |
| aspects | audiotaping | 108:22 115:14,15 | 102:16,22 | bergeron |
| 128:23 153:14,16 | 137:3 | 117:6 118:16,20 | behavior | 89:8 |
| assessment | august | 119:2 121:17 | 88:11,13 | best |
| 46:14 | 38:6 69:12 93:16 | 125:11 126:4,15 | belief | 13:1 15:20 59:19 |
| assign | 148:14 155:19 | 133:7 144:19,22 | 37:8 134:13 | 60:7 74:8 92:24 |
| 97:18,22 | author | 146:2 155:18,19 | believe | 123:12 140:1 |
| assignment | 85:18,21 103:11 | bad | 9:11 13:24 14:12 | better |
| 4:12 96:25 98:13 | 103:14,18 | 59:24 60:5 61:16 | 19:10 20:12 21:4 | 12:5 |
| 99:1 123:2 | authored | 67:25 | 21:18,20,21,24 | biddle |
| assist | 147:9 | bag | 22:6 31:7,14 | 2:14 3:3 6:25 |
| 85:24,25 | authority | 110:8 | 33:16 38:9 40:1 | big |
| assistance | 110:6 124:18 | barbara | 40:16,17 43:16 | 126:25 |
| 86:8 | 157:14 | 156:21 | 44:24,25 45:21 | bill |
| associates | authorized | barry | 47:22,24 50:15 | 101:9 |
| 29:22,25 | 164:6 | 2:21 7:3 | 56:18,21 57:2 | binoculars |
| assume | authorship | based | 58:16 61:15 62:20 | 144:8 |
| 7:11 32:1,7 46:2 | 104:5 | 77:23 78:2,11 | 65:2,3 67:7 68:6 | biographies |
| 62:22 | available | 150:21 155:21 | 68:23 69:8,21 | 11:14,17,18 |
| assuming | 85:14 114:24 | 156:25 | 73:22 74:15,24 | biography |
| 86:21 87:12 147:8 | avalanche | basically | 75:10,15,17 76:1 | 4:6 6:1 11:11,12 |
| assumption | 67:25 | 147:25 | 76:4 77:3 80:7,10 | 11:23 |
| 77:15 78:1 | avenue | basis | 88:7 90:16 91:4 | birthday |
| athletics | 1:23 3:10,15 | 22:15 25:25 27:6 | 94:3 96:7,15,19 | 52:2 |
| 84:2 | aviv | 44:21,22 46:23 | 97:21 98:23 | bit |
| attached | 1:14 2:2 3:21 | 47:25 49:7 68:7 | 100:12 101:24 | 40:21 49:1 80:22 |
| 4:25 | 6:20 | 68:13 69:6 116:3 | 105:21 106:25 | 90:22 119:5,6,7 |
| attend | aware | 150:15 161:10 | 107:16 113:5,9 | 135:20 136:10 |
| 14:22 17:19 24:1 | 13:21,25 14:16 | bates | 115:4,25 116:11 | blackberries |
| attended | 20:4,9 29:20 36:8 | 4:8,11,12,16,19 | 117:21 119:4 | 51:14 |
| 16:11 83:2,7 | 36:11 43:24 44:3 | 4:21,22,24 6:3 | 129:6 130:15 | blocks |
| attending | 45:2 50:5,7 76:12 | 11:3 93:9,14,15 | 134:17 135:1 | 28:1 |
| 15:4,9 25:11 | 91:12 94:20 113:6 | 96:25 97:14 | 136:22 138:9,17 | blow |
| attention | 115:22 | 126:11 133:17 | 138:17 139:8,18 | 145:9 |
| 24:11,15 28:8 | awareness | 141:13,17 146:16 | 141:1 142:8 147:3 | boards |
| 35:2,3 37:17 | 123:16,18 124:21 | 149:20 152:12 | 147:12,14 149:12 | 160:2 |
| 55:16,20 94:7,12 | 124:24 125:1 | bears | 152:8 153:1,18,22 | book |
| 153:7 | awhile | 93:14,15 | 155:6 161:18,22 | 41:18 83:20 89:2 |


| 89:3 | 68:19 71:25 | caption | 124:20 | 82:22,23,25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| books | 112:22 158:11 | 1:17 | check | 138:16,19 145:19 |
| 86:11,14,20 | buying | case | 41:10 58:18 | classes |
| bottom | 154:3,6,12,22,23 | 17:9 51:19,20 | 129:13 139:20 | 29:21 36:9 82:17 |
| 97:15 130:18 | 154:25 155:10,13 | 52:566:6 76:13 | 148:19,24 150:23 | 82:20 145:19 |
| 141:25 142:16 | buyline | 90:8 132:2 139:3 | checked | classifying |
| bought | 9:6,13 142:24 | 156:7 157:22,24 | 58:22 67:4 | 134:19 |
| 155:4 |  | 158:4 | checkin | cleaner |
| boxes | C | cases | 149:6 150:15 | 9:24 |
| 62:24 | cafritz | 61:2 119:22 | 152:4 | clear |
| boy | 156:22 | casual | checking | 49:5 78:8 106:22 |
| 94:14 | call | 43:13 | 133:11 | 126:16 |
| break | 29:16 47:24 48:24 | cause | checkins | clearer |
| 10:9,11 19:8 40:7 | 55:4,13 56:20 | 14:3 31:13 61:4 | 149:16 150:18 | 145:9 146:2 |
| 40:9 47:10,17 | 83:4,21 89:14 | 64:7 80:19 111:12 | cheryl | client |
| 79:8,13 81:19 | 99:4 124:25 | 124:9 146:3 | 2:11 164:4,22 | 11:4 24:19 65:22 |
| 92:23 121:11 | 135:18 139:12,17 | center | child | 66:2 |
| 125:23 161:25 | 161:15 | 1:23 2:23 18:12 | 71:11,13,14,17,22 | clients |
| breaker | called | 18:13 138:11,21 | 72:10,11 | 6:12 |
| 130:24 | 28:11 82:14 88:21 | certain | chisels | clifton |
| breaking | 88:25 95:10,22,22 | 30:10 59:18 63:19 | 128:19 | 8:10 155:8 |
| 29:4 | 95:25 99:19 | 67:12 68:19 77:24 | choice | club |
| breaks | 123:16 124:21 | 84:22 88:11 90:2 | 96:10,14 | 96:1 |
| 79:7 129:22 130:3 | 127:4 160:23 | 100:25 102:11 | choose | coach |
| brief | calling | 115:8 128:7 143:5 | 7:24 120:1 145:12 | 30:10,14,15,19,21 |
| 148:19 | 122:16 158:22 | 153:4 156:13 | 151:14,19,21,22 | 31:12,14,20 32:4 |
| bring | calls | 159:14,15 | 151:25 152:1,3 | 32:5,7,9 33:2 |
| 45:14 144:16 | 45:22 76:22 | certainly | church | 95:18 148:25 |
| 145:9 146:2 148:5 | 123:17 124:22 | 37:9 67:7 91:22 | 83:3,8,11 88:6 | 150:14 |
| bringing | 148:19 | 110:19 112:22 | circle | coaches |
| 28:8 38:7,21,25 | campion | 116:12 121:3 | 111:4,4,9,12 | 30:25 31:1,4 33:7 |
| broad | 3:5 6:24,24 38:13 | certification | circled | 33:15 148:20 |
| 134:9 | 38:16 39:8,18,24 | 69:13 | 144:10 | 150:23,25 153:14 |
| broadway | 40:6 47:11 48:9 | certified | cited | 153:22 |
| 3:19 | 48:17 78:13 79:8 | 2:12,12 164:4,5 | 90:6 | coaching |
| bronfman | 79:11,14 81:20 | certify | city | 150:4,13 |
| 139:2 | 91:20 92:6,15,20 | 163:3 164:7,11,16 | 36:10 71:18 | coalition |
| brother | 93:1 97:9 105:21 | change | civil | 154:3,6,12,22,23 |
| 44:8,9,9,13 | 106:15 108:20 | 75:22 118:7 133:4 | 160:14 | 154:25 155:11,14 |
| brought | 109:3,14 120:23 | 150:21 | claimed | coincide |
| 24:10,14,15 28:15 | 121:7,9,13,19,24 | changed | 53:7 | 52:2 |
| 35:1,3 37:14,17 | 123:12 125:22,24 | 25:16 78:11,19 | claims | collected |
| 38:6 53:5 | 127:17 133:15 | 79:2 | 46:4 53:5 110:4 | 63:7 |
| building | 144:7,10,15 | changes | clarification | column |
| 25:4 | 147:20 148:4,10 | 33:13,14 67:15 | 118:19 119:16 | 12:24 |
| bunch | 157:23 162:1,3 | 143:7163:5 | clarifications | com |
| 96:6 | campus | characterize | 120:11 | 80:1,11 |
| business | 2:15 3:5 | 85:3 135:17 | clarify | come |
| 36:2,3 39:25 | cancellation | 151:11 | 140:24 | 21:12 31:11 40:11 |
| 49:11 52:13 53:14 | 144:21 | characterizing | class | 49:21 51:25 54:8 |


| 55:15,20 56:4 | 130:3 | 159:4 | 60:10,12 | 132:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60:20,23 71:19 | competitor | conclusion | considered | content |
| 72:14 94:7,12 | 113:12 129:25 | 60:20,23 76:22 | 60:15 72:1 126:18 | 76:19 77:1 127:12 |
| 95:10 104:13 | 143:22 145:20 | conclusions | 134:24 139:24 | 127:23 128:21 |
| 145:18 160:13 | competitors | 77:25 94:5 | 141:7 | 130:21 |
| comes | 112:20 113:6 | condition | consistency | contention |
| 16:7 89:7 | complete | 98:16 | 128:14 | 68:7 76:18 134:3 |
| comfortable | 144:2 | conditions | consisting | context |
| 59:5 | completed | 141:25 142:21,23 | 4:9 66:12 | 46:11,12,13 49:19 |
| coming | 32:24 33:3 | 143:4,15,20 145:1 | constitutes | continue |
| 19:13 51:14 | completely | conducting | 108:5 122:4 | 13:4 105:25 |
| 100:24 159:25 | 78:16 117:24 | 101:2 | 145:15 | 118:11 144:12 |
| commencement | complies | confidence | construction | 145:22 |
| 164:8 | 66:21 109:11 | 53:2,17 | 119:13 | continued |
| commencing | compulsory | confident | constructions | 1:17 2:1 3:2 |
| 2:16 | 21:22 | 54:23 | 111:15 | 82:12 |
| comment | computer | confidential | consult | continuing |
| 42:13 78:7 | 62:3,5 81:15 | 1:18 4:7 6:3 7:7 | 24:24 29:4 86:6 | 119:21 125:17 |
| commenting | computeraided | 7:19,23 8:6 16:18 | 89:12,14 147:25 | 144:18 158:6 |
| 129:8 | 1:22 | 43:17 54:23,25 | consulted | continuously |
| comments | computers | 71:9 | 24:4 28:4 37:7,14 | 35:22 |
| 122:9 | 81:10,17 | confidentiality | 37:19 38:7 86:14 | contractor |
| committed | con | 8:3 10:15 20:5,11 | consumers | 102:2 |
| 86:18 87:2,18 | 41:13 74:6 108:17 | 20:20 22:14,24 | 9:6,13 142:23 | control |
| 135:14 | 108:25 | 24:13 28:5 105:22 | contact | 77:13,16,17,19 |
| committee | concealed | 110:7 127:18 | 151:5,12,17 | 120:23 121:2 |
| 155:21,22,24 | 46:2,3,9 | 138:6,18 161:17 | contacted | convenient |
| 156:2,9,15,17,19 | concep | confirm | 92:5 | 10:11 |
| commodities | 103:17 | 101:17 | contacts | conversation |
| 50:19 | concept | conflict | 158:22 | 18:24 19:1 22:7 |
| common | 112:14,18,19 | 16:19,20 29:18 | contain | 34:6,17,21 38:15 |
| 23:25 24:1 52:1 | 119:4,5,6,7,8,9,13 | 71:16 76:8 111:2 | 106:19 108:16,24 | 39:3 40:18 42:14 |
| 134:13,21 135:4 | 128:19 134:1 | 111:22 112:6 | 109:22 114:20,21 | 43:19,22 44:1 |
| 160:12 | concepts | confuse | 125:7 130:12 | 45:4,6,9,17,21 |
| communication | 85:7 103:17,18,20 | 124:8 | 131:5 | 46:9,10 74:25 |
| 94:25 140:2 | 103:23 104:8,19 | connection | contained | 139:13 |
| communities | 106:5 112:12 | 9:5,12 39:11 | 103:21 112:8 | conversations |
| 134:24 | 152:24 | 40:13 92:1 | 114:6,8,9,10 | 38:10 42:9,11 |
| community | concern | consider | 117:8 125:18 | 43:14 44:25 69:11 |
| 71:12 72:2,5 | 31:16 74:20 | 12:14 20:24 21:17 | 129:11 | 70:9 72:8,21 73:1 |
| company | concerned | 22:19 28:20,23 | containing | 74:6 75:19 78:12 |
| 142:7,10,20 | 19:14 74:17 | 46:6,8 74:19 | 132:3 | 88:22 139:5 |
| compassion | concerning | 84:18 108:9,17,25 | contains | conveys |
| 112:24 | 20:10 117:9 | 109:23 111:18 | 67:23 107:23 | 133:19 |
| compel | 148:18 | 114:11,19,21 | 108:1 125:13 | coowner |
| 21:25 | concerns | 120:16 128:25 | 129:15 131:24 | 102:25 |
| compet | 115:5 | 141:5 | 132:5 | copies |
| 124:13 | conclude | consideration | contemplated | 10:22 121:19 |
| competitive | 158:2 | 61:3,7,10 98:10 | 153:19 | copy |
| 112:20 128:16 | concluded | considerations | contend | 46:21 47:7 48:7 |


| 95:6 125:25 | court | 12:23 | days | denial |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 133:13 140:8 | 1:1 2:12 9:20 | current | 7:8,20 8:4,4 | 92:10 |
| 145:9 146:2 | 10:7 164:4 | 80:4 | 124:14 129:19 | denied |
| 149:25 | courtroom | currently | 130:2 159:25 | 41:20 |
| copyright | 13:10 | 100:8 | 160:19 | dennis |
| 142:17 | covered | curricula | debates | 141:3 |
| corp | 105:21 127:17 | 125:4 | 113:14 | depending |
| 2:25 8:17 | create | curriculum | decide | 45:23 111:14 |
| corporate | 52:18 53:8 87:24 | 27:16 28:1 30:22 | 92:24 136:15 | depends |
| 51:23 | 90:10 103:15 | 97:25 98:17 103:7 | 156:13 | 45:20 46:11 |
| corporation | 124:5,5 152:24 | 123:23 124:7,11 | decided | deposed |
| 1:3 2:5 | created | 127:25 128:2 | 71:16 155:25 | 76:13 |
| correct | 27:17 67:25 80:5 | 159:15 161:9 | 156:14 159:22 | deposition |
| 15:18 19:3 31:10 | 80:8 86:7,8 | cut | decides | 1:19 6:9 7:7 8:5 |
| 92:19 126:20 | 103:17 105:17 | 160:11 | 155:22 156:10 | 8:19,20,24 9:9,16 |
| 132:25 139:24 | 106:2,6 111:22 | cynic | decision | 9:17,22 10:13 |
| correction | 112:5 117:3 134:1 | 60:2,4 | 154:21 | 48:14,15,24 54:22 |
| 163:6 | 156:6 157:2 |  | decisionmaking | 76:16 105:24 |
| correctly | creating | D | 157:17 | 119:19 120:24 |
| 37:12 92:16 | 33:6 105:6 | daily | decisions | 162:16 |
| cough | creation | 148:19,24 149:6 | 156:25 159:11 | depositions |
| 97:3 | 84:22 86:15 105:9 | 149:16 150:15,18 | deeper | 9:5 |
| counsel | 106:2,11 | 150:23 151:5,13 | 117:20 | deprogrammer |
| 7:21 10:4,5,6,14 | creator | 151:17 152:4 | defendants | 56:21 |
| 10:22 48:13 91:21 | 85:20 | dalai | 1:9 2:7,25 6:4 | deprogramming |
| 93:1 105:23 109:4 | criteria | 159:24,25 160:18 | 66:13 93:10 97:2 | 56:19 |
| 120:23 164:17 | 4:15 126:9 131:13 | 161:6,7,14,16 | 107:7 126:12 | derive |
| counselor | 157:5 | damaged | 141:14 146:17 | 96:11 106:7 |
| 95:18 | critical | 122:11 | 149:22 152:13 | 117:12,13 118:1 |
| count | 4:20 146:15,21 | damages | define | 119:8,13 |
| 26:20 | critics | 120:3 | 53:20 54:2 76:23 | describe |
| counterclaimdef... | 114:1 | danzig | 84:20 106:23 | 126:22 |
| 1:16 | cross | 3:8 6:14,15 | 114:5 123:22 | described |
| counterclaimplai... | 4:2 | data | 131:25 132:9 | 40:20 105:9 |
| 1:11 | crossclaim | 84:1 158:24 | 134:6 147:17 | description |
| couple | 2:7,24 | date | definition | 4:5 119:9 134:19 |
| 47:9,18 | crossclaimants | 1:20 6:6 8:25 | 109:24 111:19 | designate |
| course | 2:3 | 57:6 69:13 142:15 | 123:13 126:19 | 7:10,22,25 |
| 14:13,15,16,19,20 | cult | 163:21 164:14 | 127:7,8 134:7,8 | designated |
| 14:22 26:23 27:6 | 133:18,22 134:6 | dated | 135:8,8 | 8:6 |
| 27:19 30:4,10 | 134:10,16,17,25 | 93:16 107:14 | degree | designed |
| 54:7 61:8 63:10 | 135:6,17 | 154:17 | 19:25 26:16 86:3 | 133:20 |
| 100:25 101:1 | cultlike | day | 86:5 112:23 | designing |
| 114:17 143:21 | 111:25 128:24 | 17:17,18 18:8 | 117:22 155:12 | 33:5 |
| 145:5,13 151:23 | cults | 20:2,9,15,19,19 | delay | desirability |
| courses | 128:23 134:4,15 | 21:2 22:8 29:1 | 19:19,20 | 38:24 |
| 27:20 53:22 54:3 | 134:15,22,23 | 102:9 123:15,15 | delineate | destroy |
| 54:11,11 85:14 | culture | 124:20,20 129:21 | 117:11 | 59:23 73:9,15,20 |
| 100:24 148:22 | 134:23 | 153:15 162:17 | democratic | 74:5,6,11 75:6,14 |
| 149:15 | curiosity | 163:22 | 156:8 | 76:9 |


| destroying | 122:21 125:4 | discovery | district | 81:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74:4 76:10 | 160:3 | 11:5 80:22 81:3 | 1:1,1 | downstate |
| destructive | difficult | 93:14 97:14 | dmc | 36:11 |
| 135:19 | 43:18 | 101:10 141:17 | 1:5 | dr |
| detail | difficulty | discredit | document | 107:15 |
| 35:11 83:15 | 145:25 | 67:24 68:2,8,14 | 4:6,12,14,22,24 | draft |
| details | dinner | 68:21,25 69:20 | 6:1 10:21,24 11:3 | 67:5 75:21 86:14 |
| 16:5,16 31:18 | 74:15 129:21 | 74:9,11 75:6,14 | 11:4,7,10 12:1,20 | 142:5 143:6,9 |
| 52:20 | direct | 75:25 76:4 | 13:6 30:13 48:6 | drafted |
| determination | 4:2 8:13 24:9 | discredited | 66:17,22 67:6 | 67:7,9 86:18 |
| 157:25 | 82:12 132:3 | 68:12 | 92:17,21 93:13,19 | 90:18 142:9,10,14 |
| determine | directly | discuss | 93:21 96:23,25 | 142:19 157:1 |
| 108:1 131:24 | 11:8 17:21 18:24 | 19:16 22:2 38:20 | 97:8,9,12,14,16 | drafting |
| 147:10 | 31:6 44:12 120:20 | 38:23 40:7,8 52:5 | 97:18 107:5,12,13 | 142:6 143:1,3 |
| devalues | 145:17 152:22 | 66:6 148:17 | 109:4,7,9 117:8 | drafts |
| 133:19 | director | 157:25 | 121:4,6 126:8 | 90:14 |
| develop | 99:21 100:5 | discussed | 131:11,15,17,23 | drinker |
| 85:22 97:24,25 | disadvantage | 10:15 14:11 19:11 | 141:16,22 144:2 | 2:14 3:3 6:25 |
| 98:16,17 | 107:2 108:18 | 50:2 61:11,17 | 146:4,20,20,24 | drive |
| developed | 109:2 130:4 | 83:13 105:13,14 | 147:13 148:13 | 2:15 3:5 |
| 90:17 103:20,21 | disadvantageous | discussing | 149:20,24 152:11 | duly |
| 112:11 | 132:23 | 39:15,16,21,22 | 152:16,20,22 | 8:11 |
| developer | disagree | 52:9 | 153:8,23 | duties |
| 4:7 6:2 | 39:9 | discussion | documents | 54:17 |
| developing | discard | 17:2 18:11 19:4,5 | 4:11 11:8 32:3 | E |
| 26:13,23 27:6 | 140:15 | 21:8,10,14 22:3 | 42:5 50:23 63:18 | E |
| 52:22 85:24 86:1 | discarded | 36:20,22 37:25 | 63:21,24 65:4,14 | early |
| 89:13 90:11 | 140:16 | 41:13 50:4 51:16 | 65:20 80:14 81:5 | 82:21 |
| develops | discipline | 72:17 79:15 99:1 | 90:9,10 93:4,9 | earn |
| 134:25 | 84:2 | 105:16 109:13 | 95:6 101:6,10,12 | 102:5,6,8 |
| devote | disclaimer | 118:15 119:17 | 131:20 | ears |
| 160:15 | 142:2 | 146:7 | doe | 160:11 |
| devoted | disclose | discussions | 1:14 | easy |
| 27:12 | 120:19 131:1 | 17:4 20:19 22:9 | doing | 125:15 |
| devoting | disclosed | 33:21 36:24 42:16 | 43:1 50:16 120:22 | education |
| 25:7 | 108:19 109:2 | 42:20 49:23 50:8 | 121:4 139:14 | 23:4 51:25 |
| diagonal | 110:24 120:11,17 | 57:8 58:4 160:4 | 160:4,15,20 161:2 | educator |
| 154:3 | 123:5 128:20 | 161:2 | dolan | 15:25 |
| dialogue | 132:9,23 147:17 | disgraceful | 3:15 6:22 | eight |
| 60:7 | disclosure | 72:3 | donate | 4:15 54:8,17,19 |
| dianetics | 120:12 | disown | 98:20 99:2 | 105:3 126:9 |
| 83:20 89:2 | discontent | 71:23 | donated | 131:13 |
| dictionary | 33:24 34:15 35:9 | dispensing | 99:10,14,16,18 | eightday |
| 135:8 | 35:15 | 125:4 | donation | 27:22 |
| difference | discontinue | dispute | 99:4 | either |
| 60:1 69:22 111:15 | 154:21 | 39:12,14 | double | 7:9 15:24 16:10 |
| different | discover | distinction | 157:11 | 22:3 37:4 43:10 |
| 12:8 51:24 59:21 | 137:17 | 40:5 | doubleblind | 44:12 47:2 59:7 |
| 81:11 86:12 95:17 | discovered | distinguish | 53:8 | 65:23 98:2 102:21 |
| 95:19 119:10 | 137:21 | 84:15 | downloads | 110:15 118:3 |


| 120:1 122:9,11 | 4:18 141:12,18 | 101:25 102:3 | 19:21 | 30:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 127:9 129:19 | entails | 160:2 161:3,21 | excuse | express |
| 131:2 151:5,12,17 | 120:13 | ethicist | 118:6 133:3 145:3 | 30:21 |
| 158:7,12 159:14 | entered | 125:2 | excused | expressed |
| elaborate | 119:19 | ethics | 81:23 119:20 | 19:13 91:13 |
| 59:15 | entire | 24:17 28:14,18,21 | 162:15 | 112:15,18 |
| element | 7:7 85:15,16 | 28:23 37:7 80:4 | executed | expressing |
| 134:21 | entirety | 161:2,10 | 158:13 | 74:20 |
| elements | 7:20,24,25 116:13 | ethos | executive | extent |
| 112:1 | entitled | 125:2 153:6 | 1:3 4:16,18,20 | 91:20 |
| elephant | 4:12,14 40:3 | evaluate | 13:1 15:19 18:13 | extreme |
| 126:23 | 66:17 96:25 107:5 | 52:18,19 57:10 | 26:7 57:3 123:16 | 96:2 |
| elephants | 107:13 121:1 | 58:5,14 59:6 61:8 | 124:21,23,24 | extremely |
| 130:16,16 | 131:12 133:24 | evaluates | 126:10 131:14 | 161:11 |
| eligible | 146:21 | 4:14 107:6,14 | 141:11 143:20 | F |
| 72:2 | es | evaluation | 146:15,21 | F |
| elks | 57:3 | 108:15 | exercise | facebook |
| 96:1 | esp | event | 132:7,18 147:15 | 80:6 |
| email | 4:14 57:3 68:11 | 159:15,24 160:20 | exhibit | facets |
| 63:22,25 64:4 | 107:6,14 112:1 | 160:21 161:4,20 | 4:5 6:4 66:13 | 110:16 |
| 79:19,20,24 80:9 | 122:17,19 123:17 | events | 93:10 97:2 107:7 | facilitator |
| 80:11,13 81:6,8 | 123:21,23 124:22 | 83:7 161:19 | 111:5 126:12 | 30:12 32:6 |
| emails | 127:13 128:22 | evolved | 141:14 146:18 | facility |
| 81:15 113:15,16 | 129:17 145:13 | 33:12 157:4 | 149:22 152:13 | 128:13 |
| 113:17,22 114:1 | 153:14 155:3 | exactly | exhibits | fact |
| embarrassment | 157:13 | 16:25 17:12 30:20 | 4:25 | 32:6 42:17 74:2 |
| 75:10 | esps | 36:1 104:15 136:8 | exist | 124:14,15 129:7 |
| embrace | 154:3,6 | 138:13 159:6 | 137:6 | 130:1 132:24 |
| 71:21 | esq | 160:22 | existed | 154:16 |
| emiliano | 2:21,22 3:4,5,8,9 | exalted | 63:20 | factchecking |
| 139:1 | 3:14,15,19 | 96:2 | existence | 139:12 |
| emissary | essay | examination | 40:2 154:7,12,18 | factors |
| 161:16 | 122:7 | 8:13 82:12 164:8 | exists | 46:7 |
| emotion | essays | examine | 85:16 152:23 | facts |
| 88:16 | 119:10 | 161:8 | expect | 65:8 67:24 139:19 |
| employed | essential | examined | 8:5 | factual |
| 23:18,20,23 98:7 | 122:17,19 | 94:23 | experience | 64:13,16 110:21 |
| 101:22,25 | estimate | example | 143:16,17 | factually |
| employee | 25:8,21 27:17 | 24:18 25:3 89:24 | experienced | 12:9 |
| 99:24 164:17 | estimated | 117:13,18 119:4 | 129:19 | fair |
| encourage | 12:6 | 119:23 123:7 | expert | 101:18 |
| 155:13 | eth | 124:1 127:11 | 57:10 58:4 111:12 | fairness |
| encouraged | 100:18 | 133:21 135:11 | explain | 109:3 |
| 155:10 | ethic | 158:18 159:16 | 35:8 104:7 120:22 | fall |
| ended | 29:5 | examples | 122:22 157:14 | 32:20 34:9,12 |
| 50:18 140:2 | ethical | 12:4 157:16,19 | explanation | 36:21 |
| 154:13 | 21:23,24 22:1 | 159:10 | 124:10 | fallacy |
| enrolling | 46:10 58:1 59:13 | excerpts | explore | 111:14 |
| 14:13 | 99:19,21,24 100:3 | 116:6 | 112:25 113:1 | false |
| enrollment | 100:6,13,15 | excited | exposed | 67:24,25 119:6,24 |


| 122:10 131:3,3,8 | 18:8,10 20:2,8,19 | 136:9 153:2,24 | 20:20 22:13,23 | 71:3 141:12,19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| familiar | 21:2 22:8 | 159:20 161:22 | 28:5,6 29:1 65:19 | francos |
| 14:25 15:2 29:8 | file | firsthand | 76:21 78:13 | 16:2 |
| 29:24 30:2 40:23 | 91:5,5 140:12,13 | 69:5 | 141:21 143:24,25 | fraud |
| 58:20 92:17 108:4 | filed | fitzsimmons | formal | 145:15 |
| 108:6 128:24 | 38:23 59:15 60:16 | 1:23 | 27:8 | frequently |
| 135:11 152:16,20 | 80:13 136:20 | five | format | 24:4 49:17 |
| family | files | 79:12 95:15 96:5 | 127:13,24 128:21 | friedman |
| 40:19 68:19,19 | 47:5 63:4,5,6,9 | 96:7,8 159:25 | formerly | 3:18 6:18 |
| 69:18,25 71:25 | 64:2 95:6 | 160:19 | 1:3 | friend |
| 72:5 | filing | fiveday | forth | 54:9,12 72:12 |
| far | 60:11 62:18 | 129:20 | 10:8 91:15 164:15 | 88:23 89:5 160:9 |
| 12:9,16 39:14 | final | flintlock | fortify | 160:10 |
| 50:13 52:7 101:13 | 92:10 | 8:10 | 76:3 | friendly |
| 102:20 | finally | florham | forum | 35:24 |
| father | 10:9 | 2:15 3:6 6:8 | 24:9 | friends |
| 37:6,15 69:25 | find | florida | forums | 35:5,6,21 49:3 |
| 70:19 71:20,21,22 | 19:24 31:5 34:18 | 40:17 | 113:14 | 51:25 83:5,10 |
| 72:4 74:17,22 | 45:25 57:3 63:13 | flow | forward | 89:4 95:15 160:8 |
| 75:16 | 64:7 88:22 111:20 | 65:15 | 37:9 38:4 | full |
| favorable | fine | focus | found | 55:4,6,10 77:15 |
| 29:17 | 8:1 78:9 121:7,13 | 70:10 73:5 | 20:1 111:24 127:2 | 77:17,21 85:10 |
| fax | 123:11 162:6 | follow | 134:7 | 86:16 119:9 |
| 1:25 140:6,8,12 | fingers | 28:17 34:18 48:6 | foundation | 143:24 |
| faxed | 160:12 | 101:16 106:12 | 98:15,21,21 99:2 | function |
| 140:10,11,13,18 | finish | 120:21 | 99:4,7,11,14,17 | 18:17 |
| faxing | 9:23 10:6 121:4 | following | 99:20,22,25 100:3 | functional |
| 140:2 | finished | 1:17 39:19 87:10 | 100:6,15 101:25 | 96:3 |
| february | 121:1 133:10 | 108:22 115:15 | 102:3 | further |
| 107:14 | 147:19 | 163:5 | foundations | 16:7,14 20:18 |
| feedback | finishing | follows | 100:14 | 58:13 164:11,16 |
| 158:24 | 12:1 | 8:11 82:10 | founded | future |
| feel | firm | fong | 117:4 160:6 | 16:20 117:13 |
| 26:17 117:8 | 65:1 93:13,16 | 95:24 | founder |  |
| 127:16 132:13,16 | firms | food | 4:7 6:2 23:2 | G |
| feeling | 64:21 | 154:8 | 96:15 161:7 | gateway |
| 130:23 | first | forbes | four | 2:23 |
| feels | 1:4 8:17 15:2 | 42:2 135:21 136:1 | 95:15 126:24 | gears |
| 45:23 | 23:22,23 29:1 | 136:6 139:2 | 129:10 142:1,1 | 135:20 |
| fees | 32:18 40:15 51:2 | 140:18 141:5,7 | fourth | general |
| 50:6,9,10 | 51:4 64:23 65:7 | force | 18:10 | 20:23 53:1 60:12 |
| felt | 67:22 80:18,20,21 | 24:18 | franco | 83:25 |
| 19:19 21:7 22:19 | 90:24 91:5 97:19 | foregoing | 1:8 3:12 4:19 | generally |
| 115:6 159:24 | 97:23,24 98:2,12 | 163:3 164:11 | 6:17 8:16 14:25 | 132:1 |
| 161:11 | 101:3,22 104:23 | forensic | 15:3,16,22 17:9 | generated |
| fest | 104:23 109:19 | 4:14 107:5,13 | 17:13,16 18:21 | 101:6 |
| 160:16 | 110:23 111:6,17 | forget | 19:2,12 20:3,5 | getting |
| festival | 111:25 114:11 | 12:18 | 22:8,9,13 28:4,22 | 52:20 80:11 117:6 |
| 159:17 | 121:3 128:17 | form | 30:15 31:12 36:22 | gift |
| fifth | 130:19 131:17 | 11:9 19:15,18 | 36:25 44:1 70:24 | 50:13 51:5,7 |


| gifts | 116:10,15 121:21 | 121:10 | 79:15 109:13 | 62:6,7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50:11 51:3,10 | 121:22 158:8,20 | half | 118:15 119:17 | homework |
| girl | 159:16 161:24 | 19:7 44:8 102:24 | 146:7 160:10,21 | 162:7 |
| 71:19 | gotten | halfbrother | 160:23 | honor |
| gist | 59:11 | 15:15 34:20 44:9 | hell | 80:4 |
| 74:24 | grand | halfway | 121:4 | honorably |
| give | 96:1 | 12:11 | help | 35:12 |
| 12:4 28:11 44:15 | grants | handle | 21:6 23:5 112:21 | hope |
| 53:1 58:10 59:24 | 102:18 | 24:20 28:16 | 117:13 | 60:6 |
| 60:8,9 64:18 | great | 105:19 | helpful | hopes |
| 79:12 89:24 92:24 | 128:13,13 | handled | 92:22 111:3 | 134:19 |
| 104:1,12 112:19 | greater | 30:23 | 145:11 | hour |
| 119:9 121:17 | 112:23 | happen | helps | 19:7 123:15 |
| 132:16 138:3 | greatly | 59:9 143:7 | 119:7,8 | 124:20 |
| 157:16,18 | 89:14 | happened | hereinbefore | hours |
| given | gross | 14:1 34:19 58:25 | 164:14 | 25:6,15 26:10,15 |
| 17:21 50:11 51:3 | 128:2 | 59:9,12 115:1,4 | high | 27:11,12 129:13 |
| 51:10 58:16 65:13 | ground | happy | 153:20 156:13 | 129:21 |
| 65:17,21,23,25 | 9:16 | 10:10 22:20 162:5 | higher | house |
| 138:5 | group | hard | 153:18 | 63:5,15 |
| gives | 95:15 114:1 | 117:11 | highest | hows |
| 112:23 124:17 | 123:15,18 124:21 | harold | 96:9 157:7,9,15 | 52:6 |
| giving | 124:24 125:1 | 3:8 6:13 8:15 | highly | human |
| 129:22 | 133:19,20 134:20 | head | 4:7 6:3 65:10 | 19:25 60:13 84:2 |
| go | 135:14,19 | 113:12 | hint | 98:15 |
| 12:18 19:16,22 | groups | headed | 128:17 | hurt |
| 22:22 24:16 34:14 | 134:24 | 4:6,22,24 6:1 | hired | 60:1 |
| 38:4 53:10,13 | guard | 149:20 152:11 | 40:13 67:23 68:2 | hyland |
| 55:8 60:6 78:15 | 16:17 | 154:23 | 68:3,8,14,16,25 | 3:8 6:14,15 |
| 95:3 98:15,18 | guess | headquarters | 69:7,20,21,25 |  |
| 110:10 111:13 | 21:23 29:17 71:12 | 3:10 18:16 | 70:5,24 71:8 | I |
| 120:21 125:16 | 86:16 90:20 95:4 | hear | 72:14 73:6,8,13 | idea |
| 130:18 132:17 | 99:4 | 20:16 58:13 73:16 | 73:19,20 74:22 | 61:16 95:13 |
| 144:7 161:8 | guessing | 73:19,24 115:13 | 75:24 76:4 78:19 | identification |
| goes | 19:6 91:8 | 117:14,14,15,15 | 102:2 | 4:5 6:5 66:14 |
| 39:24 91:18 | guidelines | 125:10 138:1 | hires | 93:11 97:2 107:7 |
| going | 24:20,21 157:4 | heard | 69:23 | 126:13 141:14 |
| 8:19 9:8,15,18 | guilty | 15:6 20:15 31:13 | hiring | 146:18 149:22 |
| 31:13,22 37:9 | 130:23 | 31:14 32:8 34:13 | 70:24 71:4 | 152:14 |
| 52:6 54:21 55:13 | guinness | 44:4,16 46:25 | historical | identified |
| 69:24 70:19 90:22 | 41:18 | 47:5 56:23 73:8 | 134:22 | 117:9 |
| 106:10 109:8 | guy | 73:10,12 76:6 | hochman | identify |
| 120:4 126:15 | 40:20 42:15 | 78:22,25 82:14 | 55:17 61:21,24 | 6:12 111:18 122:2 |
| 133:3,6 148:11 | guyana | 94:19 | 64:6,10,12 107:15 | 123:8,11 |
| 155:18 157:24 | 135:15 | hearing | 148:18 | identifying |
| 160:5,19 162:12 | guys | 56:25 | hold | 147:16 |
| good | 79:6 150:10 151:1 | hears | 23:7,11 54:13 | ideological |
| 8:14 29:9 57:12 |  | 117:12 | 66:23 139:10 | 161:7 |
| 57:12 60:4 89:20 | H | held | home | ignore |
| 95:13 115:6 | hal | 2:13 7:19 51:16 | 13:22 14:7,17,23 | 71:19 |


| iii | increased | 85:15,19,22 86:2 | 60:13 | 130:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 133:24 | 25:19 | 86:9,23,24 87:1 | interchangeable | issue |
| image | independent | 87:14,15,17,25 | 124:3 | 20:4,9 24:12 28:4 |
| 34:15 | 43:22 57:10 | 88:4,9 89:13,17 | interest | 28:7 37:12 39:16 |
| imagine | indicate | 90:11,15,17,25 | 29:18 36:1,2 | 39:22 79:3 91:14 |
| 34:11,12 50:3 | 32:4 59:4 69:19 | 91:6 92:11 93:25 | interested | 122:22 124:9 |
| 57:20 135:13 | 70:4,23 74:16,21 | 94:22 95:1 96:17 | 52:15 164:19 | issued |
| immediately | 75:1,3,5,8,13 | 97:19,23 98:1,4 | interesting | 92:9 |
| 44:12 | indicated | 98:13 100:18 | 20:1 21:7 57:25 | issues |
| implementations | 6:7 29:12 78:18 | 103:6,10 | interests | 24:5,10,14 25:12 |
| 161:3 | 103:7 107:16 | insight | 16:19,20 52:1 | 25:23 26:2,4 52:8 |
| implemented | 160:18 | 129:22 | interfor | 58:1 59:13 |
| 158:15,17 159:5 | indicates | inspired | 1:14 2:2 3:21 | ivy |
| implication | 127:10 | 71:24 | 6:19 | 104:4 |
| 114:9,10 | indicating | instances | interlocked |  |
| implied | 127:2 129:14 | 158:10 | 128:4,6 | J |
| 136:8,12 | indirectly | instigated | interpret | jane |
| implies | 25:2 | 136:17,18 | 48:1 | 1:14 |
| 132:18 | individual | institute | interrelate | jay |
| imply | 47:25 55:1 123:20 | 1:7 3:17 6:23 | 128:11 | 4:15 126:9 131:12 |
| 122:23,23 | individually | institution | interrupt | jersey |
| implying | 38:18 | 22:4 | 34:5 | 1:1,24 2:13,15,24 |
| 136:17 | infeasible | instructed | intervention | 3:6,11,16 6:8 |
| important | 159:8 | 126:17 | 58:8 | 14:23 164:6 |
| 9:23 10:7 16:17 | influence | intend | introduced | jeske |
| 54:5 84:15 108:7 | 135:2 | 7:23 8:6 | 27:18 66:5 | 156:21 |
| 108:11 110:20,25 | information | intended | introduction | joe |
| 111:9 114:22 | 5:2 56:23 59:24 | 44:14 | 116:8 127:22 | 100:7 |
| 120:15,17 144:21 | 59:25 60:8,9 | intensive | investigate | john |
| 156:8 159:18 | 92:25 94:19 | 15:5,7,9 16:7,11 | 134:15 | 1:15 55:17 107:15 |
| 160:17 | 108:16,24 113:2,7 | 17:17,19,24 18:9 | investigation | 148:18 |
| impression | 113:13,22 117:16 | 20:3,9 22:8 25:3 | 56:16 | join |
| 69:24 | 119:13 140:6 | 27:10,11,13,18 | invited | 8:2 |
| inaccuracy | initial | 29:16,19 31:14 | 14:2,9 | joined |
| 129:6 | 143:6 157:3 | 32:19,25 33:3 | involve | 10:13 |
| inaccurate | initially | 34:14 35:4 41:19 | 17:1 157:22,23 | jonestown |
| 11:25 139:25 | 33:8 38:6 62:9 | 42:1,3,5 84:8 | involved | 135:15 |
| inappropriately | 116:7 156:6 | 112:1 123:21,22 | 17:6 18:24 19:1 | just |
| 110:11 | initiated | 124:25 129:18 | 26:23 27:1 28:18 | 9:8,15 10:12 |
| include | 8:17 | 130:10,22 152:6 | 31:8 52:14 77:1 | 12:23 19:6 35:12 |
| 132:11 | input | 153:6 | 84:22 101:14 | 42:25 47:9 49:5 |
| included | 155:21 | intensives | 105:5 116:18,22 | 65:13 67:3 101:17 |
| 26:15 129:1,5 | inquire | 24:2 26:5 32:22 | 116:24 153:13 | 105:9 108:20 |
| inconsistencies | 92:21 | 84:4,6,10 85:1,5 | 158:1,3 | 110:10 111:17 |
| 64:13,14,16,17 | inquiring | 85:10,12 106:12 | involvement | 114:8 118:12 |
| incorporate | 91:22 | 124:5 | 25:15 74:17 77:6 | 126:15,16 133:11 |
| 88:2 89:16 | inquiry | intent | 143:3 161:5,6 | 135:3,20 137:21 |
| incorporated | 4:7 6:2 83:25 | 29:5 59:24 75:9 | involving | 140:7 144:25 |
| 6:20 89:21 90:15 | 84:3,5,11,14,16 | 77:25 78:2 | 20:4 28:4 | 150:1 158:14 |
| 152:25 | 84:23 85:4,10,13 | interaction | isolation | 162:1 |

Case 2:06-cv-01051-KSH-CLW Document 852-1 Filed 09/20/19 Page 52 of 66 PageID: 20765
Page 11

| juval | 17:4,8,11,12 | knowing | label | legal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:14 2:2 3:21 | 19:25 21:12 24:7 | 110:15 | 88:11,13 133:18 | 32:9 45:22,25 |
| 6:20 | 25:1,2,8 26:9 28:3 | knowledge | lama | 47:21,22,23 50:6 |
|  | 29:10,13,15 30:4 | 13:2 15:20 69:5 | 159:24,25 160:18 | 50:9,10 65:9 |
| K | 30:9,11,22 31:2 | 71:2 148:22 | 161:8,14,15,16 | 76:22 143:16,17 |
| kahler | 31:15,16,18 32:21 | 157:12 | lamas | 157:22,23 158:4 |
| 29:21,24 | 32:24 33:4,12,13 | known | 161:6 | legs |
| kaplan | 33:17 34:23,25 | 1:3 35:18 | landy | 126:24 129:9,10 |
| 3:18 6:19 | 35:1 36:15 37:3 | knows | 3:19 6:18,18 7:18 | leonard |
| karl | 38:2 39:14 40:3 | 88:23 108:14 | 150:7 | 3:4 6:25 7:5 8:1 |
| 3:24 | 42:13 45:11 46:3 | kofman | landys | 10:12,18 48:18 |
| karner | 46:4,13,18 49:15 | 3:8 4:3 6:13,13 | 8:2 | leslie |
| 18:12 34:24 43:10 | 49:18 50:13 51:1 | 7:14 8:13,15 | lane | 14:17 |
| kassin | 51:3,5,6,7,10,12 | 10:12,19,23 11:2 | 8:10 | lessons |
| 14:17 42:21,24 | 52:1,7 53:12 | 11:6 39:9,10 40:1 | language | 26:19 |
| 43:15 | 54:19 56:13,22 | 40:8,10 47:9,16 | 76:2 | letter |
| kassins | 57:24 58:15,22,24 | 48:5,10,12 51:17 | large | 7:25 48:7 101:16 |
| 14:23 | 58:25 59:8,12,12 | 55:8,14 66:9,15 | 123:15,18 124:21 | 106:13 110:18 |
| keeffe | 60:2 61:1 63:7 | 79:10,12,17 81:19 | 124:23 125:1 | 114:8,12 116:8 |
| 1:14 2:6,25 7:4 | 64:24 65:5,8,20 | 81:21 82:5,12 | 161:20 | 117:8 |
| 45:1 63:17 100:11 | 67:17,20 69:4,14 | 87:23 91:23 92:19 | late | letting |
| 137:11 | 70:3,17 71:5 | 93:3,6,12,18 | 135:15 | 110:8 |
| keenly | 72:18,23 77:5,20 | 96:20,24 97:7,10 | lauren | level |
| 52:15 | 77:20 78:3 79:4 | 101:9,15 106:9,16 | 156:22 | 128:2 153:6 |
| keep | 80:9 83:9 86:10 | 106:17 107:3,10 | lawsuit | 156:14 |
| 7:23 8:6 63:3 | 88:10 90:7 91:17 | 107:11 109:5,6 | 8:16 37:10,14 | license |
| 107:1 108:7 133:6 | 91:24 92:4,23 | 113:20,24 115:21 | 38:4,5,8,21,25 | 164:23 |
| 133:20 | 95:11 96:16 99:12 | 118:8,12,20 119:1 | 39:12,14 50:1 | life |
| keeps | 99:13,15 100:5,7 | 121:12,15,21 | 57:25 60:11,15 | 71:16 125:15 |
| 81:12 132:22 | 100:10,11,12,17 | 122:1 125:12 | 62:19 63:18 | liftons |
| keith | 101:9,11,13,14 | 126:1,6,14 127:20 | 136:20 | 4:15 126:9 131:13 |
| 1:13,19 2:5 3:7 | 102:1,4,19,20,22 | 133:6,9,13 140:17 | lay | likewise |
| 4:3,6,9 6:1,9 7:1,6 | 103:25 105:3 | 141:9,15,20 144:9 | 91:1 106:24 | 128:7 |
| 40:4 66:11 163:2 | 108:13,13 110:12 | 144:13,16 146:6,8 | 143:16,17 | limitations |
| kept | 113:11 114:2 | 146:14,19,23 | learn | 81:16 |
| 108:17 109:1 | 115:1,4,12,19 | 147:23 148:3,8,12 | 30:22 31:12 32:13 | line |
| kevin | 117:5 120:15 | 149:18,23 150:9 | 40:15 56:5 88:24 | 163:6 |
| 46:21,24 47:4,6 | 122:14 123:2 | 150:11 152:9,15 | 130:9 | lines |
| kidnapped | 124:24 127:5 | 161:24 162:2,5,8 | learned | 12:7 |
| 160:9,9 | 134:23 135:3,3,18 | 162:10 | 40:12 61:20 | linguistic |
| kind | 136:6,11 137:4,12 | kristin | leave | 82:14 |
| 160:21 | 137:12,14 138:7,9 | 1:14 2:6,25 7:4 | 133:14 145:13 | list |
| knew | 138:13,14,15,20 | 44:25 45:1 47:1 | leaving | 59:10 |
| 63:19 | 138:23,24 142:15 | 56:12,13 63:17 | 145:15 | lists |
| knifepoint | 142:18 143:11,13 | 65:24 73:2 80:23 | led | 30:9 |
| 160:10 | 143:23 145:9 | 137:11 | 136:6 | literally |
| know | 147:13 152:22 | kunterre | left | 52:6 |
| 10:2,10 11:12 | 156:1,4,6,12,16 | 80:1 | 32:13 137:15 | litigation |
| 12:20,24 14:9,11 | 156:18 157:5 |  | lefthand | 7:24 37:2,5,5,6 |
| 14:18,20 16:21,23 | 158:24 159:6 | L | 12:24 | 59:15 63:10 80:12 |


| 80:14 | 57:2 63:15,15 | 31:4 33:7,14 | mat | meet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| little | 67:8,12 100:17 | 122:9 145:14 | 83:19 | 13:9,13 19:17 |
| 40:21 49:1 71:19 | 132:1 134:15 | malintended | material | 20:13 40:17 41:6 |
| 77:23 90:22 96:2 | looking | 59:16,17,20,22 | 19:22 26:23 27:6 | 41:8 43:5 48:13 |
| 119:5,6,7 135:20 | 21:6 27:15 53:13 | 60:7,9,21,25 | 30:4 | 48:25 66:4 95:3 |
| 145:10 154:13 | 59:25 60:1 93:21 | 61:15,18 | materials | 136:1 |
| live | 119:23 120:9 | malintender | 30:10 43:18 44:5 | meeting |
| 102:12,23 | 127:21 150:3 | 59:23 | 44:7,10,15 82:25 | 20:8 41:16 42:18 |
| lived | 153:23 155:20 | mammal | 83:17,19 84:9,13 | 49:5 56:19 58:3 |
| 36:11 | 156:23 | 126:25 | 86:6 87:24 89:21 | 83:4 136:15,19,24 |
| living | looks | man | 90:14,18 108:5 | 139:7 |
| 102:5 | 11:11 60:3,4 | 74:14,14 | 110:5 138:4 | meetings |
| livingston | 66:23 | mandatory | 145:14,14 154:20 | 18:18 41:16 48:23 |
| 1:24 3:15 | loops | 151:9 | mathematical | 83:2 |
| llp | 158:24 | manual | 84:1 | member |
| 2:14,21 3:3,8,18 | lost | 122:9,21 123:14 | matter | 54:1 89:9 |
| 6:14,16,19 93:16 | 47:2,3 | 124:17,19 | 2:11 6:9 45:20 | members |
| loan | lot | march | 50:6 64:3 | 83:10 |
| 50:23 51:8 | 26:17 27:7 86:12 | 1:20 2:16 6:6 | matters | membership |
| loaned | 95:9,19 104:4 | 163:4 | 158:3 | 154:2,9 |
| 50:12,14,20 | 110:21 116:22 | mark | maximus | mention |
| locate | 122:25 154:19 | 66:10 93:6 96:20 | 55:5,9 | 34:1 37:2 70:14 |
| 63:24 80:14,24 | 160:14 | 111:5 113:20 | mcgann | mentioned |
| located | lowenstein | 126:8 129:13 | 2:11 164:4,22 | 16:13,25 21:4 |
| 81:5 | 3:13 6:22 | 141:9 148:4 | mcguire | 24:12 29:10 33:24 |
| logic | luibrand | 149:18 152:9 | 2:21,21 7:2,2,3,17 | 41:15 44:24 45:1 |
| 65:8 | 46:21,24 47:4,6,6 | marked | 28:6,12 65:19 | 49:3 50:3 51:20 |
| logical | lunch | 6:4 10:21 54:23 | 76:21 101:13,18 | 59:13 61:5 79:18 |
| 64:12,17 65:15 | 70:19 79:7 129:21 | 66:13,17 93:10 | 119:19 | 90:24 |
| 110:21 111:13,15 | luncheon | 97:1,13 106:10 | mean | mentor |
| 119:12 | 81:24 | 107:4,6,12 126:12 | 12:17 26:11 27:14 | 30:22 |
| long |  | 131:12 141:13 | 52:25 53:20 54:10 | met |
| 19:4,4,15 20:20 | M | 146:9,10,17 | 60:19 72:18 76:23 | 13:7,17 22:21 |
| 22:13,18,23 28:5 | machine | 148:11,14 149:21 | 85:21 86:12 87:4 | 43:8 57:14,15 |
| 29:1 34:9 35:18 | 137:13 | 149:24 152:13,17 | 87:20 103:13 | 72:9 95:5 136:21 |
| 54:12 82:21,23 | magazine | 152:21 | 123:24 124:15 | 141:3 |
| 105:4 123:24 | 135:21 136:2 | market | 142:1 151:2 | method |
| 129:13 147:24 | magic | 50:19,19 | 157:10 | 83:25 84:1,3,6,7 |
| 157:11 | 60:3 | marriage | meaning | 84:11,14,16,19,20 |
| longer | mail | 22:5 | 11:10 133:19 | 84:23 85:11,15,16 |
| 116:23 154:7 | 81:12 | martial | 135:3,4 | 85:19,22 86:2,9 |
| look | main | 95:23 | meanings | 86:15,18,22,23,24 |
| 14:4 46:1 63:11 | 70:10 | martin | 135:2 | 87:1,13,14,15,17 |
| 63:14,17 64:2,7 | maintained | 1:8 3:17 6:23 | means | 87:25 88:4,9,10 |
| 66:16,19 84:23 | 7:8 79:20,22 | 55:17 61:21,24 | 30:10 76:10 | 89:13,17,18 90:11 |
| 86:17 121:16 | maintaining | 64:6,10,11 147:9 | 151:22 | 90:15,17,25 91:6 |
| 132:4 138:4 | 8:3 | mass | meant | 92:11 93:25 94:22 |
| 144:24,25 148:13 | major | 135:14 | 73:17,17 74:4 | 96:17 97:19,23 |
| 155:18 | 50:4 | master | measure | 98:2,5,13 99:5,6 |
| looked | making | 95:23,24,24 | 12:17 | 99:11,15,16 |


| 100:14,19 103:7 | 111:16 121:1 | 103:2 155:4 | 54:21,25 55:6,10 | neurolinguistic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 103:10 | 144:8 | month | 57:4 80:7 89:5,7 | 82:18 88:3 |
| methodologies | mine | 24:8 25:6,15 | 96:11 99:7,17,20 | nevarez |
| 86:25 87:16 | 54:12 63:7 | 26:11 49:18 55:25 | 100:21 101:3 | 104:4 |
| methods | minor | monthly | 117:22 139:15 | never |
| 130:10,22 | 16:6 | 25:25 27:6 | 149:9 161:13 | 113:11 154:20 |
| mexico | minute | months | named | new |
| 31:13,22,25 32:16 | 7:17 47:10 | 81:18 95:5 104:23 | 46:21 59:5 | 1:1,24 2:13,15,24 |
| 33:20 160:6,6,13 | minutes | 104:24 | names | 3:6,11,16,20,20 |
| 160:15 | 79:12 | moody | 58:14,15,17,18,20 | 6:8 8:10 14:23 |
| mf | mischaracteriza | 1:14 2:2 | 58:23 59:1,10 | 18:12 27:19 36:9 |
| 1:5 | 123:19 | morning | 104:1 116:14,21 | 43:10 164:6 |
| mich | mislead | 8:14 162:4 | 156:19 | newark |
| 37:21 | 130:22 | morris | nancy | 2:24 |
| michael | missing | 1:7 3:12 6:16 | 1:13 2:6,25 3:23 | newer |
| 15:13,15,18,21 | 119:12 | 8:15 13:7,9,22 | 7:3 13:22 14:3,6 | 113:19 |
| 16:1,3 33:23 34:7 | mission | 60:24 68:8 71:8 | 15:11 18:22 19:2 | nice |
| 34:15,19,21 35:1 | 114:19,24 115:5 | 72:14 73:6,8,20 | 20:17 25:1 31:3 | 42:15 |
| 35:5,8,11,18,24 | mod | 75:3,5,9,13 76:18 | 31:19 48:23 49:4 | nonconflicting |
| 36:4,6,8,20 37:13 | 116:16 | 76:25 77:8,13,25 | 51:11 55:6,9 | 29:17 |
| 37:24,25 40:13,16 | module | 78:1,3,19,23 | 56:13 61:17 65:23 | nondisclosure |
| 40:18 41:4,6,14 | 90:2,6 104:11,16 | morristown | 68:12 73:2 86:5 | 19:14 20:11 |
| 41:15 42:9,12,14 | 104:25 105:9 | 3:11 | 104:3,11 137:8 | nomparticipants |
| 42:18 43:25 44:24 | 112:8,9,11 116:8 | mother | 156:12,13 | 130:9,21 |
| 45:4,8 46:19 49:2 | 116:12 123:24 | 70:7,8,18,20,22 | natalie | normal |
| 49:8,12,17 50:5 | 128:3,5 129:8 | 72:10 | 140:5 155:2 | 102:6 115:12,19 |
| 50:11,25 51:18 | 133:23 149:5,9,25 | motivated | nature | normally |
| 52:5 56:18,18 | 150:1 151:25 | 149:12,13 | 16:15,23 52:21 | 24:17 104:10 |
| 57:9 58:2,7,11,16 | 152:2,7,25 | mount | 124:6 127:25 | 105:14 |
| 58:25 59:3,10 | modules | 1:23 | 128:15 161:5 | notary |
| 68:17,18,20,23 | 26:13 27:25 | move | near | 163:24 |
| 69:1,3,6,17,19 | 103:11,15,19,24 | 78:9 90:22 147:21 | 160:25 | note |
| 70:4 71:7,11 72:7 | 104:8,9,17 105:6 | moved | necess | 120:25 145:11 |
| 72:15,16,19 73:5 | 105:11 106:3,5,8 | 36:7,12 | 120:1 | notes |
| 73:6,10,12,17,19 | 106:11,19 115:2 | movement | necessaril | 2:10 32:5,6 62:11 |
| 73:22,24,25 74:4 | 115:22,25 116:6 | 160:6 | 127:3 | 62:13,15,21 64:5 |
| 74:5,13,16,21 | 116:16 123:25 | moving | need | 64:9,18 75:18 |
| 76:1,12 78:11,12 | 124:2 128:10 | 36:18 | 10:9 110:1 111:13 | 90:13,19 105:12 |
| 78:18,23,25 | 138:8 149:5 153:2 | muddles | 117:6 131:3 | 105:15,17 106:2,4 |
| michaels | moe | 123:21 | 148:18 149:4 | 106:7,7,11 |
| 38:2 69:23 | 68:4,5,14,16 | mulberry | 160:1 | notice |
| mid80s | 73:14,17,17 74:3 | 2:23 | needed | 144:21 |
| 82:21 | 74:13 76:8 77:4 | multi | 22:19 79:2 | noticed |
| midway | 77:22 | 110:16 | negative | 133:16 |
| 133:17 | moment | multiple | 88:16 134:16 | number |
| million | 10:11 126:16 | 109:15 | 135:9 141:6,8 | 12:2,8 21:12 |
| 12:6 | money |  | neither | 47:15 54:20 58:16 |
| mind | 50:12,14 90:2,6 | N | 135:6 164:16 | 64:12,13 69:11 |
| 19:25 34:16 55:6 | 98:1,4 99:2,10,14 | name | neuro | 82:4 83:5 96:10 |
| 70:17 89:8 110:6 | 99:18 102:6,10,11 | 8:14 53:12,16 | 82:14 | 96:14 100:25 |

Case 2:06-cv-01051-KSH-CLW Document 852-1 Filed 09/20/19 Page 55 of 66 PageID: 20768
Page 14

| 119:3 128:23 | 157:17 158:11 | 150:9 152:19 | 147:10 148:3,9 | 150:19,22 151:6,7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 157:18 159:9 | 159:18 160:19 | ohara | 149:2,9,11 150:3 | 151:18,20 154:8 |
| 160:7 | nxivmrelated | 100:7 | 150:21 152:9 | oral |
| numbered | 25:23 26:2 | okay | 153:2,7 154:15 | 105:16 |
| 153:8 | nxivms | 7:5 10:20 11:10 | 155:16,18 156:9 | orally |
| numbers | 50:6 62:5 113:4 | 11:18 12:11,23 | 156:23 157:6,16 | 105:13,14 |
| 93:14 | 117:3 | 13:3,5 14:6 15:8 | 157:20 158:5 | order |
| numerous | nycap | 15:14 16:9 17:1 | older | 7:12 32:7 103:18 |
| 72:18 157:8 | 80:1 81:10,11,14 | 17:15,23 18:7,16 | 113:18 | 124:4 128:1 |
| nxivm |  | 18:20,25 20:2,18 | olsen | ordering |
| 1:3 2:5,25 6:9 7:3 | 0 | 22:2,7 23:18 25:6 | 38:17,24 39:7 | 85:6,7 104:12,14 |
| 8:17 11:15 12:21 | oath | 25:18 26:6,22 | 47:19,20 48:3,8 | organization |
| 18:16 19:15 23:1 | 8:11 82:9 | 27:23 28:14 29:10 | 92:3,5 93:16 94:4 | 23:6,7,12 117:4 |
| 23:14,18,20 24:6 | oaths | 31:24 32:3,21,24 | 137:8 142:13,25 | 158:23 |
| 24:11,15,18 25:7 | 164:7 | 35:6,8,18,21 | once | organizations |
| 26:13,19 28:17,25 | object | 38:13 40:7 46:6 | 24:8 36:5 49:18 | 128:24 |
| 29:8 30:5,16,19 | 7:18 28:6 65:19 | 46:15 47:17 48:2 | 148:11 153:15 | original |
| 30:24 32:4,14 | 76:21 78:13 | 48:5,10 49:6,23 | onepage | 128:22 |
| 33:22 37:4 39:17 | objection | 50:20 51:3,10 | 141:16 | originally |
| 39:23 40:4,20 | 7:14 10:5,6 28:12 | 52:7 54:21 55:15 | ones | 19:13 65:6 71:15 |
| 41:10 46:21 49:20 | objections | 56:4 58:11 60:23 | 27:21 63:20 | 73:8 103:3 137:15 |
| 50:1,16,21 51:1,4 | 8:7 | 61:3,20 62:8 64:9 | 113:19,19 | 157:1 |
| 53:19,20,22 54:1 | observe | 66:9,20 67:9,12 | onesided | origins |
| 54:4,7,9,11,11,13 | 138:10,12 | 67:15,18,21 68:13 | 143:25 | 125:1 |
| 57:10 58:5,14,22 | obstruction | 70:1,4,23 71:6 | ongoing | outlining |
| 59:4,10 61:12 | 72:6 | 74:5 75:16 79:14 | 91:15,25 100:23 | 120:5 |
| 65:9 68:11,11,18 | occasions | 81:1 82:23 83:10 | op | outside |
| 71:15 74:6,18,23 | 8:22 27:24 62:17 | 83:13,24 84:25 | 150:18 | 98:18 |
| 75:6,14 76:9,10 | 66:4 105:15 136:4 | 87:3,19 88:17 | open | overnight |
| 76:20 77:2,5 80:9 | 140:23 | 89:5,9 90:22 91:2 | 74:2 | 147:21,24 |
| 80:11 81:10 84:4 | occurred | 92:15 93:5,24 | operated | overridden |
| 84:9 85:1,4,9 | 136:19 | 94:17 97:4,5 | 155:7 | 157:8,17 |
| 89:10,22 94:10 | occurrence | 98:20 99:10 | operating | overseeing |
| 96:16,16 98:2 | 160:13 | 102:18 106:9 | 137:13 | 31:4 |
| 101:22 103:9,12 | offer | 109:12,22 110:23 | operation | overview |
| 103:16 104:5,23 | 27:25 77:3 161:9 | 111:8,11,17 114:4 | 26:4 | 124:4 |
| 106:12,19 107:23 | office | 114:10 117:6 | operations |  |
| 108:1,5,7,12,17 | 4:11 91:10,12,21 | 118:5,8,14 119:2 | 26:7 | P |
| 108:17,18,19,25 | 92:5,9,18 93:9,24 | 119:15 121:9,10 | opinion | p000000689 |
| 108:25 109:1,2,23 | 94:13,20,21 95:7 | 121:13,16 123:4 | 20:23 28:15 29:9 | 4:13 97:1 |
| 111:10 112:15,19 | officer | 123:14 124:17 | 37:9 39:2 52:17 | p00000209 |
| 113:11 114:22,23 | 23:14,16 99:21 | 125:8,20,23 126:7 | 61:14 75:12 76:7 | 4:11 93:9 |
| 116:18,23,25 | 100:5 | 126:15 129:12 | 77:3 139:9 | p000003648 |
| 122:10,11 132:22 | offices | 130:6 132:4,7,20 | opinions | 4:17 126:11 |
| 132:22,23 135:22 | 2:14 62:5 94:5 | 133:1,12 135:16 | 58:10,10 91:13 | p000003674 |
| 136:7,20 138:3,10 | oh | 135:20 136:23 | opportunity | 4:21 146:16 |
| 138:11,23 141:1,1 | 22:2 37:23 87:8 | 137:3,23 138:3,7 | 109:19 | p000004105 |
| 141:6,8 142:12 | 97:10 99:19 111:8 | 138:15 139:5 | option | 4:19 141:13 |
| 143:12,14 145:19 | 113:14 118:5 | 141:5 142:25 | 45:23 61:13 121:3 | p000004995 |
| 148:23,23 149:15 | 142:1 144:14 | 144:3,6,15 147:4 | optional | 6:3 11:3 |


| p0000049954996 | parenthetical | 91:10,12,14,21 | 100:23 103:25 | 138:24 143:14,20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:8 | 113:3 | 92:2,4,9,10,18 | 104:1 110:15 | 150:25 151:5,13 |
| p000004996 | parenting | 93:8,24 94:5,9,12 | 112:22,24,25 | 151:17 154:23 |
| 11:3 | 125:3 | 94:20,20,25 95:7 | 113:9,16,25 115:6 | 159:7 |
| p209 | parents | 96:17 | 116:9,14,21,22 | personal |
| 93:14 | 72:13 | patentable | 128:16 133:20 | 112:23 158:22 |
| p231 | park | 94:1,14 | 134:20 135:2,2 | 161:15 |
| 93:15 | 2:15 3:6 6:8 8:10 | patented | 137:9 138:14 | personally |
| p3659 | 155:8 | 94:18 | 154:8 158:7,22 | 50:14 101:20 |
| 133:17 | part | patenting | 159:9,18 160:8,15 | persons |
| p4105 | 18:18 24:2 26:17 | 50:18 | peoples | 53:16 89:6 |
| 141:18 | 54:9 84:10,13,19 | patents | 135:12 | perspective |
| p689 | 88:10 94:9 113:20 | 94:11 95:4 | percent | 106:24 |
| 97:14 | 117:15 121:2 | pattern | 98:14,20,23 99:3 | pertains |
| page | 125:6,19 128:1 | 88:12,13 | 99:15 | 76:20 |
| 1:17 5:3,3,4,4,5 | 131:4 134:18 | patterns | percentage | peter |
| 66:24,25 67:22 | 147:25 160:22 | 89:18 | 155:3 | 3:14 6:21 |
| 111:25 130:19 | partial | paul | perception | petry |
| 133:17 153:7,23 | 132:24 133:1 | 1:8 3:17 6:23 | 78:19 | 3:24 |
| 163:6 | participants | 55:17 64:6 147:9 | perfectly | ph |
| pages | 129:18 130:8,20 | pay | 19:20 | 1:8 |
| 4:9 66:12 | 130:22 | 22:1 98:12 | perform | philosophical |
| pai | participate | paying | 80:16 | 23:2 85:7 |
| 95:24 | 143:1 | 21:11,16,17,19 | performs | philosophically |
| paid | participated | payment | 16:21 | 83:15 128:12 |
| 50:5 70:1 155:4 | 32:22 142:6 | 21:21,25 50:9 | period | philosophy |
| pam | participating | pays | 7:21 24:16,25 | 23:5 24:5 89:16 |
| 156:22 | 17:20 | 69:23 | 25:18 49:16 57:4 | 89:19,20 157:13 |
| panel | particular | pc | 69:10 72:23 | 157:14 |
| 161:23 | 27:21 132:2 | 3:13 | 104:22 | phone |
| panels | particularly | peace | periods | 1:24 45:22 139:12 |
| 160:3 161:2 | 107:24 | 160:6 161:16 | 154:11 | 148:19 151:5,12 |
| paper | parties | pejorative | perretti | 151:17 153:8 |
| 63:1,2 86:19,21 | 61:6 105:23 | 135:6 | 3:8 6:14,15 | 158:21 159:1 |
| 87:13 | 164:18 | pen | persistence | phrase |
| papers | parts | 86:18,21 87:12 | 4:22 149:10,20,25 | 74:15 |
| 43:20 63:12 | 84:13 109:15 | 121:8 | 151:25 | phrasing |
| 140:12 | 116:16 117:17,18 | pending | persistency | 68:17 |
| paragraph | 118:2,3 122:15 | 7:9 91:4 96:18 | 149:12 152:1,2,2 | piano |
| 67:21 111:25 | 132:11 134:11 | 109:14 | 152:4,7 | 26:19 102:9 |
| 127:21,23 129:14 | party | people | person | pick |
| 130:7,19 148:17 | 14:2,9 39:13,13 | 12:8 14:13 17:5 | 23:2 30:24 31:6 | 147:19 |
| 153:8,24,24 | pass | 18:23,25 21:6,17 | 32:1 45:23 52:14 | piece |
| 155:20 157:6 | 150:7 | 24:23 25:1 26:19 | 53:12,13,19 54:7 | 128:3,12 |
| parasite | passing | 28:25 30:21,22,25 | 54:10,13 59:20,22 | pieces |
| 133:24 | 43:7,8 | 41:8 51:23 56:9 | 65:8,9 69:22,23 | 122:24,25 123:1 |
| pardon | patent | 56:10 58:9,14 | 88:21,25 89:7 | 124:3 128:4 |
| 45:12 | 4:10 50:16 86:22 | 59:5 61:5,14,22 | 91:1 105:11 | piles |
| parentheses | 87:4,8,13,20 | 62:25 63:6 72:22 | 106:24 122:8,20 | 63:1,16 |
| 112:4 | 90:24,25 91:3,7 | 95:15,24 96:5,5 | 129:7 134:13,14 | place |


| 17:24 18:11 19:5 | position | 98:8 | problem | 158:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34:7,10,22 37:25 | 7:6 8:3 72:5 93:2 | presented | 7:13 111:8 | promotions |
| 39:4 42:23 105:22 | 93:25 | 67:16 113:2 152:3 | problems | 156:14 |
| 161:19 164:14 | positive | presently | 23:5 57:25 59:14 | pronouncements |
| placement | 14:14 17:22 18:23 | 26:25 53:25 54:3 | 102:10 110:21,22 | 91:21 |
| 77:9 | 21:5 51:2 62:2 | 96:16 | problemsolving | property |
| places | 135:6,9 141:6 | press | 12:5 | 98:19 |
| 72:19 | 161:18 | 67:25 | procedure | proskauer |
| plaintiffs | possession | pretensions | 28:17,17 30:23 | 65:2,3,11,16,21 |
| 1:5 2:24 | 106:1 | 112:2 | 33:6,14 84:21 | 65:25 66:2,4,5 |
| plan | possibility | pretty | 105:8 156:4 | proskauers |
| 161:3 | 57:9 | 56:13 74:10 | procedures | 65:22 66:3 |
| plane | possible | 120:10 154:16 | 53:9 59:18,19 | prove |
| 31:17 | 45:13 154:18 | previous | 84:22 | 131:7 |
| played | possibly | 56:19 | proceeding | proven |
| 120:18 | 19:2 21:9 117:25 | previously | 32:10 | 131:3 |
| plaza | posted | 10:15 82:8 107:17 | proceedings | provide |
| 3:10 | 55:16 56:1,5,11 | 109:24 | 2:10 | 47:23 |
| pleasant | 56:15 77:2 131:21 | primarily | process | provided |
| 1:23 | 147:1,7 | 89:25 114:15 | 95:11 104:7 105:6 | 30:5,8 105:12,15 |
| please | potential | principles | 105:18 156:8 | provides |
| 6:11 7:15 10:2,10 | 98:15 143:7,9 | 1:4 8:18 23:22,23 | proctor | 84:9 89:22 |
| 66:10,19 76:23 | potentially | 51:2,4 53:8 97:20 | 54:16,17 95:18 | psychiatrist |
| 78:6 93:6 96:20 | 42:2 110:14 | 97:23,24 98:2,12 | 153:22 | 4:14 107:6,14 |
| 107:4 108:21 | power | 98:17 101:23 | produced | public |
| 109:17 115:14 | 74:3 76:9 | print | 11:4 93:13 97:14 | 91:21,24 113:14 |
| 123:8 144:13 | powerful | 62:8 | 101:10,12 141:17 | 160:4 161:20 |
| 146:14 149:18 | 74:14 | printed | producer | 163:24 |
| 152:9 158:4 | practice | 62:10 | 133:24 | publication |
| point | 7:12 15:24 16:2,6 | prior | products | 113:7 139:7 |
| 10:9 13:23 14:16 | 16:10,14 21:3,5 | 20:8 48:14 69:12 | 53:4,6 86:23 | publish |
| 15:5 20:12 24:7 | 29:12 133:24 | 69:14,15 83:11,12 | 87:14 | 57:1 117:23 |
| 33:23,23 37:12 | 149:6 151:14,19 | 139:7 142:20 | program | published |
| 38:22 40:7,12,18 | 151:22 | 164:8 | 59:6 123:19 154:8 | 135:21 136:2 |
| 43:21 46:25 47:12 | prefect | priorities | programming | purchase |
| 55:16 56:15 61:20 | 95:18 | 159:19 | 82:15,18 88:3 | 103:2 |
| 62:9 64:20 81:24 | prep | private | programs | purchased |
| 114:19,23 115:9 | 48:25 | 22:3 | 1:3 4:16,18,21 | 102:15 |
| 116:9,15 119:18 | preparations | privilege | 13:1 15:19 18:14 | purchases |
| 126:2 132:2 143:6 | 160:1 | 39:25 40:3 91:19 | 26:8,13 57:3 | 102:21 |
| 156:20 160:13 | prepare | 92:14 158:1,2 | 123:17 124:22,23 | purchasing |
| 161:22 | 48:14 | privileged | 126:11 131:14 | 102:20 |
| points | prepared | 39:8 | 141:11 143:21 | purports |
| 116:11 142:1 | 1:22 11:12,15 | probably | 146:16,22 148:23 | 141:18 |
| portion | 79:1 | 12:10 27:11 32:1 | 153:4,5 | pursuant |
| 22:22 50:6 108:4 | present | 34:18 35:17,20 | promise | 164:7 |
| portions | 3:22 18:20,22 | 57:20 65:13 70:15 | 130:8,20,23 | pushed |
| 7:10,22 8:5 | 37:24 39:3 43:2 | 72:16 139:4 | promotion | 71:24 |
| poses | 48:20,23 72:20,23 | 140:16 156:22 | 32:9 155:20,25 | put |
| 57:25 | 72:24,25 73:2,3,3 | 157:18 | 156:5,10 157:1 | 27:10,13 86:21 |


| 87:12 96:5,5 | 121:16 | 4:15 126:8,12 | 159:17 | reconstruct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101:15 103:2 | quite | 131:12 | realtime | 35:10 |
| 114:23 115:3,22 | 128:22 | raniere7 | 2:12 164:5 | record |
| 115:25 116:4,7,15 | quote | 4:18 141:10,14,16 | reason | 9:25 10:13,23 |
| 116:25 120:11 | 75:16 133:23 | raniere8 | 29:2 32:6 74:22 | 11:2 45:9 51:16 |
| 128:12 140:12 | 139:2 | 4:20 146:11,18,19 | 94:3 | 79:15 82:13 93:12 |
| 146:12 160:2 | quotes | raniere9 | reasonable | 101:16 109:13 |
| puts | 114:15,16 | 4:22 149:19,22,25 | 19:20 29:2,2 | 118:15,17,21,24 |
| 77:14,16 122:10 |  | rank | reasons | 119:17 126:5 |
| 123:1 | R | 54:13,15 94:14 | 36:17 71:7 | 133:8 136:23 |
| putting | raised | 157:7,9,15 | reath | 141:15 146:6,7,19 |
| 103:6 | 37:13 72:15 | ranked | 2:14 3:3 6:25 | 162:12 |
| puzzle | ran | 96:6,7 | recall | recorded |
| 124:3 128:3,12 | 43:11 | ranks | 14:8 15:21 17:23 | 9:20 43:25 136:25 |
|  | rand | 96:8 153:18,20,20 | 17:25 18:25 22:17 | 137:20,24 |
| Q | 89:12 96:11,12 | rational | 31:16 32:20 37:2 | recorder |
| qualified | rands | 4:7 6:2 83:24 | 40:19 45:15,17 | 137:4 |
| 122:5 | 89:15 | 84:3,5,11,14,16 | 56:25,25 64:9 | recording |
| question | raniere | 84:23 85:4,10,13 | 65:11,12 67:18 | 9:22 44:14,16,19 |
| 9:24 10:1,2 20:7 | 1:13,19 2:5 3:7 | 85:15,18,22 86:2 | 69:9,10 70:16 | 44:23 45:3,5 |
| 21:18,20 24:9 | 4:3,7,9 6:2,9 7:1,6 | 86:7,8,23,24 87:1 | 80:10 82:23 93:24 | 137:22 |
| 26:18 28:18,21,24 | 8:14 9:15 10:20 | 87:14,15,17,25 | 104:2 135:21 | records |
| 29:4 37:6 39:18 | 13:7 28:3 40:4,11 | 88:3,9 89:13,17 | receive | 41:18 116:25 |
| 44:4 46:5 53:6 | 43:24 47:17 48:8 | 90:11,15,17,25 | 98:1,4 102:18 | recruiting |
| 59:16 75:7 76:22 | 48:13 55:15 66:11 | 91:6 92:11 93:25 | 155:3 | 115:7 |
| 78:14 87:19 90:21 | 66:16 79:18 82:13 | 94:22 95:1 96:17 | received | redirect |
| 92:16 108:21 | 83:24 97:8 102:5 | 97:19,23 98:1,4 | 6:4 66:12 93:10 | 4:2 |
| 109:14,16,18 | 106:18 107:16 | 98:13 100:18 | 97:1 101:20 107:6 | refer |
| 120:9 122:18 | 113:25 122:2 | 103:6,10 | 126:12 138:8 | 95:24 153:17 |
| 128:8,18,19 | 126:7,15 133:10 | read | 141:13 146:17 | reference |
| 129:10 132:14,15 | 133:16 141:21 | 11:24 39:18,19 | 149:21 152:12 | 12:11 86:13 |
| 134:18 149:4 | 146:10 149:24 | 43:19 44:18 61:25 | recess | referenced |
| questionandans... | 163:2 | 62:10 76:12,15 | 47:13 81:24 | 30:13 86:11 |
| 17:22 21:9 22:4 | raniere1 | 83:22 87:10 89:2 | 121:20 126:3 | referred |
| 25:12 | 4:6 6:4 10:21 | 89:15 95:8 107:17 | recitation | 150:1 |
| questionanswer | raniere10 | 108:21,22 109:4,7 | 44:7 | referring |
| 24:8 | 4:24 152:10,13,17 | 109:10,20 110:4 | recognize | 51:8 68:10 98:22 |
| questioning | 152:21 | 115:15 122:7 | 11:7 66:22 146:24 | 104:22 |
| 129:17 | raniere2 | 125:12 143:6,23 | recollect | refers |
| questions | 4:9 66:10,13,17 | 144:3 145:2,4 | 45:7 | 144:19 |
| 9:19,19 13:5 | 148:14,16 155:19 | 147:6,10 153:11 | recollection | reflected |
| 20:15,16 23:3,4 | raniere3 | 163:3 | 14:2,5,14,19 18:1 | 104:18 |
| 24:5,17 25:3,5 | 4:10 93:7,11,12 | reading | 20:22 40:16 43:16 | reflection |
| 28:14,16 47:18 | raniere4 | 83:21 118:10 | 43:22 74:1,8 92:8 | 110:3 |
| 72:10 85:6 104:12 | 4:12 96:21 97:2 | 145:6,8,25 | 93:22 116:3 | reform |
| 104:13,14 109:8 | 97:13 | reads | 130:21 | 4:16 126:10 |
| 116:12 120:18 | raniere5 | 122:23 | recommendation | 131:13 |
| 128:6,6 140:3 | 4:14 107:4,7,12 | really | 45:19 | refresh |
| 146:3 157:15 | 126:16 | 34:11 36:23 57:24 | recommendations | 93:21 |
| quick | raniere6 | 123:19 151:2 | 156:15 | refused |


| 138:17 | 56:7,10 57:5,19 | 113:23 140:17,19 | resumed | 56:16,20,24 57:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rejected | 58:6,16 59:8 62:3 | requested | 82:9 | 58:3,9 67:23 68:1 |
| 158:14,16,19 | 62:14 64:8 65:1 | 5:2 | retain | 68:3,8,25 69:7,25 |
| 159:11,12,20 | 65:16 70:21 71:1 | request | 62:25 81:15 | 70:2,5,25 71:4,8 |
| relate | 74:19 78:5 80:21 | 81:3 | retained | 72:14 73:7,9,15 |
| 158:3 | 89:5 116:14,20 | require | 62:21,23 | 73:20 74:22 75:24 |
| related | 139:15,19 | 148:23 | retainer | 76:2,4,19 77:2,4,9 |
| 49:20 63:12 77:5 | rendition | required | 39:6 40:2 48:2,7 | 77:13,16,21 78:20 |
| 86:22 87:5,13,21 | 44:6,11 | 16:15 149:15 | retaining | 107:20 136:9,13 |
| 90:23 145:13 | repeat | requirement | 57:9 58:4 | 136:15,19 147:2 |
| relating | 20:6 78:6 | 149:8 151:9 | retention | ricky |
| 23:3,4 26:4 40:21 | rephrase | requirements | 12:12,17 | 1:7 |
| 50:18 52:16 56:23 | 10:3 75:7 | 30:18 33:6 | retracted | right |
| 58:1 59:14 63:18 | replicate | requires | 136:10 | 8:7 9:21 13:5 |
| 63:25 64:3,5 65:4 | 113:4 | 16:7,14 | retreat | 51:9 58:6,7 66:3 |
| 65:9 79:3 99:5 | reporter | reread | 1:8 3:17 51:23 | 92:20 121:7 123:3 |
| 103:19 106:2,5,11 | 2:12,13 9:20 10:8 | 109:16 | return | 125:14 144:24 |
| 111:1 114:14 | 39:20 42:2 87:11 | research | 145:13 | 146:8 154:4 |
| 157:13 | 108:20,23 115:16 | 41:1 | reveal | rights |
| relation | 136:1,8,10,21,24 | reserve | 68:21 131:7,9 | 97:19,22 98:13 |
| 77:20 | 137:1 138:4 164:5 | 8:7 | revealed | riker |
| relationship | 164:5 | residenc | 68:20 126:25 | 3:8 6:13,15 |
| 33:21 49:2,11 | reporters | 140:11 | 147:11 | rituals |
| 70:18 72:11 77:21 | 140:20 | residing | review | 4:24 152:11,25 |
| 96:3 100:2 128:10 | reporting | 8:9 | 11:18,20 12:10 | road |
| 154:24 | 1:23 | respe | 16:8,14,15,18,21 | 18:12 34:24 43:10 |
| relationships | reports | 60:15 78:3 110:2 | 16:23 17:1,8,11 | robert |
| 112:23 | 64:6,6 | 140:4 147:16 | 17:13 29:11,13 | 3:4,19 4:15 6:18 |
| relative | represen | 157:12 | 67:5 104:17 143:9 | 6:24 126:9 131:12 |
| 46:10 16 | 6:12 38: | resp | reviewed | rochelle |
| relatively | representation | 106:15 | 11:14,16 83:16 | 1:7 3:12 6:16 |
| 19:6 | 147:24 | response | 104:25 105:1 | 8:16 13:17,19,23 |
| release | representations | 37:19 38:2 81:3 | 107:25 108:3 | 60:24 69:19 70:5 |
| 120:2 122:12, | 52:16 139:21 | 140:4,7 | 123:14 124:17,19 | role |
| relevant | representative | responsibility | 131:23 | 23:1 78:3 96:4 |
| 80:14 | 39:16,17,22,23 | 31:3 33:5 150:14 | reviewing | room |
| relying | 161:8,11,13 | 151:1 | 143:15 | 14:3 18:24 119:19 |
| 31:17 | represented | responsible | revision | roseland |
| remaining | 10:4 | 70:24 71:3 76:19 | 142:15 154:17 | 3:16 |
| 147:18 | representing | 76:24 | richard | ross |
| remem | 6:16,22,25 7:3 | rest | 2:22 119:18 | 1:7,7,8,10 3:17,17 |
| 32:17 58:15 | 8:15 39:11 40:4 | 160:25 | rick | 3:24 6:22,23 |
| remember | 92:1 | restate | 1:7,10 3:17,24 | 10:13 40:12,17,21 |
| 12:17 15:23 18:5 | represents | 125:15 | 6:22 10:13 40:12 | 40:23 41:7,11,14 |
| 21:13 30:20 31:21 | 96:17 117:20 | result | 40:17,21,23 41:7 | 41:17,20,23,25 |
| 31:23 32:2 34:3,6 | request | 111:22 112:5 | 41:11,14,17,20,23 | 42:4,10,12,15,17 |
| 35:17 36:1,23 | 5:3,3,4,4,5 7:20 | results | 41:25 42:4,10,12 | 42:21 43:15,17 |
| 37:18 39:5 42:11 | 48:6,11 80:22 | 17:12 29:13 84:7 | 42:15,17,21 43:15 | 44:5,10,13,14,15 |
| 42:13,16 43:13 | 81:17 101:16,19 | 84:17,18,23,25 | 43:17 44:5,10,13 | 55:16 56:16,20,24 |
| 50:20 52:10 56:2 | 106:10,14 113:21 | 85:3 100:13,18 | 44:14,15 55:16 | 57:16 58:3,9 59:6 |


| 59:11,16,17 60:15 | sandler | 60:4 112:2 | 131:1,4,7,10 | selecting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60:20 61:8,15 | 3:13 6:22 | scientists | 132:10,22 | 77:1 |
| 67:23 68:1,3,8,14 | sara | 52:16 | secrets | selects |
| 68:16,25 69:7,20 | 139:2 | scientologists | 16:17 68:20 | 77:4 |
| 69:25 70:2,5,25 | saratoga | 83:6 | 106:20 107:23 | selfexplanatory |
| 71:4,8 72:14 73:7 | 155:9 | scientology | 108:2,5,10 109:23 | 117:22 |
| 73:9,15,20 74:22 | sarzen | 83:3,8,11,14,16 | 110:8 114:5,20 | selling |
| 75:24 76:3,4,19 | 55:11,12 73:3 | 88:6,19,24 | 120:10 122:8,12 | 116:10,15 |
| 77:2,5,9,13,16,21 | sash | score | 122:16,16 123:5,6 | seminal |
| 78:20 107:20 | 157:11 | 96:9 | 123:11 124:18,19 | 136:18 |
| 131:21 136:9,13 | sashes | scouts | 126:25 130:17 | seminars |
| 136:15,19 147:2 | 94:10,13 | 94:15 | 131:5,24 132:3,5 | 27:22 |
| roughly | sat | scrap | 132:8,18 147:11 | senators |
| 57:19 | 138:15 | 63:1 | 147:16 | 74:14 |
| royalty | save | screen | section | send |
| 98:14 | 140:8 | 6:7 | 121:1 156:23 | 7:25 |
| rr | saved | sculpt | see | sense |
| 80:1 | 113:17 | 130:16 | 14:4 30:13 46:15 | 102:7 115:12,19 |
| rule | savings | se | 49:6,6,17 59:25 | 134:22 135:7 |
| 28:25 29:4,5,6 | 102:13 | 28:1 65:13 123:7 | 64:22 65:7 73:24 | sent |
| 71:12 | saw | 156:17 | 114:13 118:2 | 113:25 161:8 |
| ruler | 93:22 | sean | 129:16 132:4 | sentence |
| 96:2 | saying | 89:8 | 144:3,14,21 | 67:23 75:21 |
| rules | 7:25 34:15 40:19 | search | 150:12 | 122:15 124:14,16 |
| 4:24 9:16 152:11 | 43:17 69:11 74:19 | 79:19 80:16 81:18 | seeing | 125:5,7,13,18,19 |
| 152:25 156:25 | 74:20 78:5 120:20 | searched | 111:7 | 128:21 129:1,4,5 |
| 157:4 | 124:16 133:21 | 80:13 81:1,16 | seeker | 129:6,11,17 130:6 |
| run | says | second | 19:24 59:22 | 136:16 150:12,17 |
| 9:8,15 158:12 | 44:14 110:4 | 20:15,19 32:25 | seeking | 153:11 154:2 |
| rutgers | 119:24 123:14 | 33:3 58:10 128:17 | 47:21,22 | 157:6 |
| 15:25 | 142:17 144:4,21 | 134:18 154:2 | seeks | sentences |
| S | 145:12 150:13 | secret | 59:23 | 65:14 |
| S | 151:4,11 153:24 | 105:19 106:23,25 | seemingly | separate |
| sake | 154:2 157:6 | 107:1,2 108:8,10 | 19:21 29:2 136:17 | 42:14 74:23 103:9 |
| 110:10 | scan | 108:18 109:1,24 | seen | series |
| sales | 111:20 | 110:4,9,12,14,15 | 11:16 22:11,23 | 9:18 67:24 72:8 |
| 24:18 | scarf | 110:20,25 111:10 | 30:9 32:3,5,11 | 94:11 139:21 |
| salespeople | 154:4 | 111:16,18 114:21 | 49:7 72:6 93:19 | 158:24 160:3 |
| 24:19 | scarves | 115:11,18 117:7 | 100:13 101:6,8,11 | 161:2 |
| salinas | 94:10,13 | 117:14,15,17,18 | 114:7 131:17 | serve |
| 139:1 | scherer | 117:20,25 119:4 | 135:7 141:21,24 | 18:17 |
| salzman | 3:8 6:14,15 | 120:2,16 122:3,4 | 158:9 | server |
| 1:13 2:6,25 3:23 | schmeiser | 122:6,17 123:9 | segment | 80:9 81:10,11,12 |
| 7:4 13:22 14:6 | 93:16 | 124:6,8,14 125:5 | 32:9 | 81:12,13,14 |
| 15:11 19:2 51:11 | school | 125:6,7,19,19 | segments | serzen |
| 55:11 61:17 68:12 | 95:14 153:3 | 126:18,21,23 | 129:20 130:2 | 55:13 |
| 86:8 100:9 102:15 | scien | 127:3,4,4,5,5,6,10 | seiler | session |
| 156:12,22 | 83:3 | 127:10,16,25 | 3:18 6:19 | 17:22 19:9 21:9 |
| salzmans | science | 128:15,25 129:8 | selected | 83:5 |
| 31:3 | 52:14,18,19,21 | 129:15 130:12 | 30:12 77:9 | sessions |


| 83:3 | 22:18 29:1 42:4 | 4:9 66:12 | source | 140:20 158:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| set | 42:25 50:23 | sixteen | 68:24 69:2 75:8 | sponsored |
| 27:19 59:21 | 138:18 161:17 | 129:18 | 86:6 87:24 98:5 | 83:7 |
| 137:14 144:8 | signature | skeptic | 102:18 | sponsoring |
| 150:14 151:1 | 67:2 97:15 | 60:2,3 | sourcing | 161:4 |
| 164:14 | signed | skolnik | 112:10 | spring |
| settings | 4:9 19:18 20:14 | 3:14 6:21,21 8:2 | speak | 9:2 18:6 32:19 |
| 161:1 | 22:13,20,24 43:2 | 10:17 87:6 | 17:15 21:1,2 36:3 | stammered |
| severed | 43:5 66:11 67:8 | slightly | 50:17 52:23 53:18 | 136:10 |
| 154:24 | 69:16 78:25 97:18 | 14:1 | 118:12 145:18 | stamp |
| sf00033 | 163:5 | small | 151:4,12,16 | 93:14,15 148:7 |
| 4:24 152:12 | signified | 29:12 | 153:14 | stamped |
| sf00104 | 154:3 | social | speaking | 4:8,11,13,17,19 |
| 4:23 149:21 | signing | 14:12 49:7,20 | 58:6 91:1 110:5 | 4:21,23,24 6:3 |
| shadows | 20:10 129:18 | 75:10 | speaks | 11:3 93:9 97:1,14 |
| 127:2 | signs | sole | 35:12 145:16 | 126:11 133:17 |
| share | 42:8 | 85:18,20 | specific | 141:13,17 146:16 |
| 94:4,4 130:20 | similar | solomon | 25:2 26:3 81:14 | 149:21 152:12 |
| shared | 11:8,14,17,18 | 101:4,12,22 | 85:6,6,25 88:1 | stand |
| 80:2 | 88:20,22 128:23 | solomons | 90:12 103:13 | 82:9 |
| sheldon | 142:20,22 | 101:7 | 109:8 128:4,5,10 | start |
| 101:3,4 | simple | solve | 149:3 | 121:2 122:22 |
| shifter | 140:7 | 23:5 102:10 | specifically | 128:16 |
| 117:19 | single | somebody | 14:21 20:13 21:4 | started |
| shifting | 27:25 124:15 | 16:4,13 51:13 | 22:17 30:6 50:7 | 36:8 83:21 122:6 |
| 135:20 | singlepage | 54:3 | 60:22 61:1 62:16 | 136:16 |
| short | 97:13 | someones | 64:8 69:17 70:21 | starting |
| 19:6 47:12 125:23 | sir | 60:8 | 73:6 108:6 123:23 | 123:5 162:4 |
| 126:2 | 11:7 46:5 93:19 | someplace | 134:15 138:24 | starts |
| shortly | 97:12 109:9 | 140:13 | specificity | 120:2 122:24 |
| 32:15 55:21,23 | 121:17 125:16 | somewhat | 156:7 | 124:8,9,11 129:8 |
| show | 127:21 146:24 | 30:1 160:12 | specified | 161:23 |
| 10:20 31:15,24 | sister | sorry | 99:9 | state |
| 32:16 34:14 35:4 | 45:6,10 | 9:9 21:24 31:19 | speedwell | 2:13 21:15 134:20 |
| 92:21 93:3 126:7 | sit | 34:5 37:21 43:8 | 3:10 | 149:12,13 155:20 |
| 131:11 | 13:21 43:25 45:18 | 49:4 55:23 57:14 | spend | 164:6 |
| showing | 104:10 116:20 | 61:4 66:1 87:9 | 25:22 27:5 | stated |
| 94:14 97:8,12 | 138:8,19 142:25 | 90:4 97:6,10 | spending | 30:14 64:10 94:13 |
| 107:12 | 156:18 | 111:7 117:14 | 159:25 | statement |
| shown | site | 141:15 145:7 | spirit | 68:13,24 111:1,21 |
| 33:20 | 57:7 76:3 | 150:9 152:19 | 29:5 | 111:23,24 114:20 |
| shrugs | sitting | sort | spoke | 114:24 115:5 |
| 10:8 | 9:20 137:16 | 15:24 24:20,22 | 17:13 18:7,20 | 116:4 119:24 |
| shun | situation | 60:6,13 63:1 | 19:23 20:3 21:1 | 131:7 |
| 71:13,14 | 45:24 67:19 | 124:6 134:17,18 | 47:20 51:18 52:5 | statements |
| sic | 122:10,11 123:1 | 136:16 | 70:21 138:14,23 | 131:2 |
| 123:15 130:23 | 160:7 | sorts | 139:4 | states |
| side | situations | 53:10 | spoken | 1:1 4:10 45:22 |
| 154:4 | 160:5 | sounds | 13:15,19 41:22 | 93:8 |
| sign | six | 47:2 121:21 | 70:19 72:19 | status |

Case 2:06-cv-01051-KSH-CLW Document 852-1 Filed 09/20/19 Page 62 of 66 PageID: 20775
Page 21

| 91:2 94:21,25 | 147:5 155:23 | substance | 15:10 16:3,16 | sworn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| staying | 156:23 | 66:6 | 20:17 22:10 24:7 | 8:11 82:9 163:22 |
| 160:19 | stripe | success | 26:4,12 34:11 | 164:9 |
| stems | 154:4 | 1:3 4:16,18,21 | 35:5 39:1 41:5 | sylvester |
| 128:1 | stripes | 13:1 15:19 18:14 | 44:8 47:8,11 48:4 | 3:9 6:15 10:25 |
| stenographic | 153:25 | 26:7 57:3 123:16 | 48:24 54:6 56:13 | 45:12 79:6 115:13 |
| 2:9 | strokes | 124:22,23,25 | 57:24 58:8 60:17 | 115:20 118:11 |
| stenographically | 134:9 | 126:10 131:14 | 64:20 67:11,13 | 121:5,8 125:10,14 |
| 164:13 | strong | 141:11 143:21 | 74:10,11 77:11 | 125:24 |
| stephanie | 51:15 69:24 73:25 | 146:16,22 | 78:16 79:14 81:4 | symbols |
| 1:8 3:12 4:19 | stronger | successively | 81:20 83:4,23 | 12:23 |
| 6:17 8:16 14:25 | 70:18 73:10 | 129:20 | 89:1 90:20 91:11 | system |
| 15:15,22 17:9,13 | strongly | sufficient | 93:20,20 100:15 | 21:19 158:21 |
| 17:15 18:7 19:2 | 71:24 | 69:15 113:3 | 101:15 104:3,15 | systems |
| 19:12 20:3,5,8,20 | structure | suggest | 104:18 107:24 | 158:12 |
| 21:2,8 22:2,8,13 | 124:2,7 129:22 | 24:21 45:24,25 | 108:13 113:5 | T |
| 24:12 28:4,22 | 157:7,10 | 46:6 57:13,15 | 117:2 123:2 | T |
| 29:11,21 30:4,15 | structured | 158:12 | 133:15 134:12 | taibbi |
| 31:12,20 32:4,13 | 124:12 129:23 | suggested | 136:8 137:21 | 29:21,24 |
| 32:22 33:19 34:13 | student | 57:11 58:9 159:14 | 138:5 139:3 | tail |
| 34:19 35:9 36:22 | 4:18 15:19 17:2 | suggesting | 142:13 147:13 | 126:24 |
| 36:25 44:1,8,11 | 42:8 53:19,21 | 143:5 | 149:4 154:16 | take |
| 46:3 70:23 71:3 | 55:2 89:10 141:12 | suggestion | 160:22 161:1 | 6:8 10:8,10 11:24 |
| 141:12,19 | 141:18,24 142:21 | 158:18 | suspect | 18:11 19:5,17 |
| steps | 142:23 143:15,19 | suggestions | 20:17 24:8 112:9 | 29:16,19 34:10,21 |
| 110:23 | 144:25 148:24 | 95:20,21 159:12 | 114:16 | 41:18,25 42:3,23 |
| stock | students | suggests | suspicion | 47:9 59:25 66:16 |
| 50:19 | 84:10 94:10 | 149:5 150:18 | 13:4 15:12 | 66:19 68:17 75:18 |
| stop | 148:19 149:14 | 151:18 | sutton | 81:19 82:20 90:13 |
| 10:5 118:9 121:8 | 150:22 151:4,12 | suicide | 1:7,7 3:12,12 6:10 | 109:9 110:23 |
| 133:5 | 151:16 152:6 | 135:15 | 6:16,16 8:15,16 | 121:10,16 125:23 |
| storage | 153:13 155:4,5,10 | suited | 13:7,9,17,19,23 | 128:9 133:23 |
| 62:24 | 155:13,21,25 | 155:12,15 | 15:13,15,19 35:19 | 143:20 144:24 |
| store | studies | suits | 35:25 36:4,6 | 145:19 148:6,10 |
| 154:10 | 100:16,17,21 | 60:8,9 | 40:13 43:25 45:5 | 148:13 151:22 |
| straightforward | study | summari | 45:8 49:2,8,12,17 | 152:2,6,7 162:8 |
| 120:10 | 98:15 99:5,5,11 | 120:7 | 50:5,11 51:19 | taken |
| strategies | 99:15,16 100:14 | supermarket | 57:9 58:7 60:24 | 1:20 2:11 8:20,24 |
| 59:19,21 117:19 | 100:23 101:2,7 | 12:18 | 68:4,5,8,14,16 | 9:17 29:21 53:22 |
| street | stuff | supplement | 69:6,19 70:5 71:8 | 54:11,11 81:25 |
| 2:23 134:14 | 65:15 114:17 | 20:1 158:1 | 71:11 72:14 73:20 | 82:17 91:9 114:15 |
| strike | stuttered | support | 74:3,13 75:5,13 | 123:20 129:7 |
| 22:11 23:25 36:7 | 136:10 | 71:17 150:4,13 | 76:8,13,18,25 | 143:21 145:19 |
| 42:10 45:3 59:3 | subject | supposed | 77:4,8,13,22 78:3 | 163:4 164:13 |
| 68:8 69:18 70:13 | 40:2 45:5,20 47:1 | 98:14 99:3 123:10 | 78:18,19,24,25 | takes |
| 73:18 75:1,3,6 | 72:13 163:5 | suppressive | suttons | 54:3 123:20 |
| 78:17 79:23 90:9 | subparagraph | 88:8,18,21,25 | 14:7 75:3,9 77:25 | talk |
| 94:7 98:11 101:20 | 112:1 | 89:6 | 78:2,11 | 36:4,17 40:20 |
| 109:18 136:23 | subscribed | sure | swear | 104:11,11,13 |
| 137:17 139:11 | 163:22 | 9:3,25 11:20 15:5 | 7:15 | talked |

Case 2:06-cv-01051-KSH-CLW Document 852-1 Filed 09/20/19 Page 63 of 66 PageID: 20776
Page 22

| 89:6 | 119:25 | theory | 22:1,10 28:2 29:9 | 158:8,20 159:2,16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| talking | temple | 129:24 | 31:5 32:15,19,23 | 159:17 |
| 49:1 | 135:12 | thera | 33:10,23,24 34:4 | thoughts |
| tape | ten | 21:3 | 34:16 35:11,11,12 | 64:24 |
| 43:25 44:13,16,18 | 123:15 124:20 | therapy | 37:1 38:12,22 | threatened |
| 44:22 45:2,5,9 | tendency | 15:23 16:2,6,10 | 39:8,24 40:3,22 | 113:10,13 |
| 46:2,15,18,19,22 | 14:3 | 16:14 21:3,5 | 41:8 42:24 43:4,7 | threatening |
| 47:1,2,3,7,15 82:4 | tenets | 29:12 | 43:10 44:4,13 | 113:15 |
| 136:23,24 137:6 | 83:14 | thereabouts | 45:20,22 46:17,24 | three |
| 137:13 138:1 | tenhour | 56:24 | 46:25 51:1,20 | 61:4,5 104:24 |
| tapes | 129:19 | thesaurus | 52:6 55:4,5,21,25 | 129:9,21 |
| 118:7 133:4 | tenzin | 95:16 | 56:3,8,12,12 | threepage |
| taping | 161:15 | thing | 57:11,17,17 58:12 | 4:14 107:5,13 |
| 45:21 46:8,9 | term | 7:11 24:21,22 | 59:7 61:13 62:2 | till |
| taught | 88:8,18 114:5 | 53:1 57:12,12 | 63:11,19 64:5,14 | 9:23 |
| 14:15,17,19,20 | 131:25 132:9 | 63:12 91:25 94:15 | 64:15 68:4,18 | time |
| 84:3,6,7,25 85:4 | 134:9,16 135:5,8 | 114:14 124:6 | 69:4,22 70:12,17 | 6:7,11 11:24 |
| 103:9,12 104:18 | 147:17 | 125:8,20 127:11 | 71:23 72:16 73:3 | 13:23 15:18,22 |
| 106:19 153:3 | termed | 129:13 149:7 | 74:10 80:3,22 | 16:1,11 18:5 19:6 |
| taxes | 92:9 | things | 83:12,23 84:12,15 | 19:17,20 20:2 |
| 21:11,16,17,19,21 | terminology | 12:2,7,7,9,19 | 88:1,5,20 89:20 | 24:7,16,25 25:22 |
| 21:25 22:1 | 106:22 | 14:12 16:17 18:15 | 89:25 93:3 95:8 | 27:5,8 29:3 35:22 |
| teach | terms | 24:18,24 25:12 | 99:20 102:21 | 36:6,12,21 37:12 |
| 26:18 51:25 85:10 | 83:25 135:1 | 26:17 50:2,17,19 | 104:3,4,6 105:19 | 39:7 40:5,12,24 |
| 85:13,15 102:9 | 141:24 142:21,23 | 52:19 53:7,10,18 | 106:6 108:14 | 41:2 47:15 49:16 |
| teaches | 143:4,15,19 | 61:14 62:23 63:7 | 110:20,20 111:9 | 49:24 51:18 54:12 |
| 103:16 | 144:25 151:16 | 68:21,22 69:11 | 119:11 120:9,15 | 58:2 72:23 79:10 |
| teaching | testifies | 70:20 71:17 72:11 | 125:13,18 129:12 | 80:12,17,18,20,21 |
| 14:22 86:25 87:16 | 8:11 82:9 | 85:7 86:12 87:5 | 129:17 137:9 | 80:24 81:16 82:5 |
| 106:12 150:25 | testify | 87:22 89:19 90:2 | 139:1 140:3,11 | 90:7 91:9 92:4 |
| teachings | 164:9 | 95:17 96:5 99:5 | 142:7 143:5 150:7 | 94:24 95:8 99:1 |
| 113:4 | testimony | 101:1 102:11 | 154:13,19,19 | 100:25 101:14 |
| technical | 148:1 150:22 | 106:6,7,8 108:7,9 | 156:16,21,22 | 104:13,22,25 |
| 135:1,3,7 | 163:4 164:12 | 110:1,19,19 | 157:3,4 158:18,25 | 105:4 106:15 |
| technology | testing | 113:16 114:7,17 | 159:9,10 160:14 | 109:9 115:9 |
| 36:2 52:17 | 53:9 | 114:21 115:2 | thinking | 121:23 128:16 |
| tedious | tests | 116:10,11 119:3 | 26:10,16 27:7,16 | 137:23 139:6 |
| 65:10 | 52:18 | 119:12 122:15,17 | 50:17 120:5 | 142:9 147:6,18 |
| telephone | text | 122:18,23 124:1,5 | 126:22 | 150:14 151:2 |
| 44:1 | 103:18 | 124:11 127:1,2,15 | thinks | 153:19 154:11,18 |
| tell | thank | 128:8 129:23 | 61:18 | 156:20 159:15 |
| 14:6 16:1 28:7 | 10:18 51:15 55:12 | 131:2 134:4 143:5 | third | 160:15 161:24 |
| 38:14,16 41:4 | 81:21 114:17 | 154:9 158:9 | 9:9 78:22 | 164:14 |
| 54:25 69:6,17 | 115:20 119:15 | 160:17 161:10 | thomas | times |
| 71:6,7 110:24 | 127:19 133:2 | think | 3:5,15 6:21,24 | 21:13 24:3 49:22 |
| 119:5,8 122:3 | 146:5 162:10,11 | 8:23 9:3,3 12:9 | thought | 50:3 72:9 80:19 |
| 126:23 130:8 | thanks | 14:1 15:4,12 17:3 | 4:16 42:14 57:12 | 105:16 115:2 |
| telling | 106:16 119:15 | 17:5,17 18:2,4,6,8 | 60:14 65:7 96:2 | 157:8 159:13 |
| 69:9 | theoretical | 18:15,17,22 20:14 | 116:7,15 126:10 | timesunion |
| tells | 84:21 119:23 | 20:24 21:17,18,23 | 131:13 137:25 | 140:21 160:23 |

Case 2:06-cv-01051-KSH-CLW Document 852-1 Filed 09/20/19 Page 64 of 66 PageID: 20777

| timing | townhouse | tried | 139:12 159:14 | 77:12,18 85:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58:8 | 102:15,20 | 137:3,7 | types | 86:16 88:17 90:21 |
| title | trade | trouble | 24:14 | 91:25 106:18 |
| 23:7,9 96:3 | 16:17 106:19,23 | 111:7 145:6,8,25 | U | 107:22 112:14,24 |
| titles | 106:25 107:23 | true | U | 129:25 131:6 |
| 23:11 95:14,16 | 108:1,5,9,10 | 12:9 13:24 43:19 | uhhuh | 132:16,17 135:5 |
| today | 109:23,24 110:4,9 | 60:1 119:6 122:9 | 12:13 27:9 37:20 | 137:19,23 144:1 |
| 8:19 9:19 10:4,10 | 110:12,14,15 | 122:18,19 164:12 | 54:24 74:12 112:7 | understood |
| 13:21 43:25 45:18 | 111:18 114:5,20 | trunk | 115:10,17 117:10 | 70:1 71:8 119:15 |
| 75:21 85:17 95:19 | 115:11,18 117:7 | 126:24 129:9 | 120:14 127:11 | 137:5 |
| 116:20 147:18 | 117:14,15,17,17 | truth | 130:11 148:21 | undertake |
| 156:18 | 117:20,24 119:4 | 59:23 111:13 | 150:5,16 153:10 | 147:15 |
| todays | 120:2,10,16 122:3 | 120:1,3 164:9,10 | 154:1 | unfeasible |
| 6:6 48:14 105:24 | 122:4,6,8,12,15 | 164:10 | ulsamer | 159:1,22,23 |
| told | 122:16 123:4,6,8 | try | 2:22 119:18 | unique |
| 15:4,8,21 16:10 | 123:11 124:6,18 | 10:2 24:20 35:10 | ultimate | 106:25 108:17,25 |
| 22:16 28:7 29:20 | 124:19 126:18,21 | 52:25 75:6 145:9 | 23:4 157:14 | 112:15 128:9 |
| 30:7 31:11,19,22 | 126:23,25 127:3,4 | 159:21 | ultimately | 132:21 |
| 31:24 33:19 47:6 | 127:5,6,10,10,25 | trying | 65:23 97:25 | united |
| 52:23 53:17 56:6 | 128:25 129:7,15 | 24:16 55:4,5 73:5 | 104:15 119:9 | 1:14:10 93:8 |
| 56:9,10,12,13,14 | 130:12,17 131:1,4 | 94:9 132:16 | 156:13 | university |
| 56:18 58:2 61:22 | 131:5,7,9,24 | turn | um | 15:25 |
| 61:23 68:15,15,16 | 132:3,5,8,10,18 | 51:13 60:3,4 | 53:4 104:3 155:15 | unrest |
| 68:22 69:3 71:17 | 147:11,16 | turned | unattended | 160:14 |
| 71:21,22 72:7 | trademark | 41:19 158:25 | 137:16 | untrue |
| 74:13 75:13 76:1 | 4:10 93:8 | turning | underline | 141:8 |
| 88:21 92:23 130:8 | train | 66:24 67:21 153:7 | 117:7 126:17 | updated |
| 130:20 159:7,9 | 161:21 | twenty | 129:23 130:14 | 154:20 |
| tom | trainer | 25:9 79:11 | 132:8,19,20 | uphold |
| 73:3 133:13 | 19:23 | twice | underlined | 72:5 |
| tomorrow | training | 36:5 | 122:3 125:9,21 | upset |
| 144:17 145:10,23 | 18:13 19:8,13 | two | 126:19 127:1,12 | 71:20 |
| 145:24 147:19 | 30:12 123:16,18 | 8:23 24:19 32:23 | 129:12 130:6,19 | use |
| 148:5 158:2 162:4 | 124:21,24 125:1,3 | 45:25 61:5 80:2 | underlining | 26:13 73:25 74:5 |
| tompkins | 128:13 | 95:21 110:1,23 | 119:3 121:1 | 74:9 86:19 87:24 |
| 2:21 7:2 | trainings | 124:10 134:11 | understand | 88:20 94:15 105:8 |
| toni | 18:19 161:21 | 137:9 140:3 | 10:1,1 37:11 | 113:13 130:1 |
| 140:5 155:2 | transcript | twohour | 52:21 71:23 77:7 | 137:3 144:8 |
| tool | 1:22 7:19,23 | 27:25 28:1 128:3 | 78:10 90:16 92:15 | 145:14 155:10,13 |
| 84:16,17,18,24 | 44:18 47:3 54:22 | 128:5,9 | 112:21,22 119:11 | 157:5 |
| 115:7 152:3 | 76:15 78:8 106:10 | twopage | 120:12 122:7 | useful |
| tools | 113:21 163:3 | 64:15 | 132:15 145:12 | 63:1 134:22 |
| 21:7 161:21 | 164:12 | twosided | 147:4 |  |
| top | translated | 143:24 | understanding | V |
| 96:7 113:12 123:5 | 104:8,15 | twothirds | 15:14 19:15 22:12 | valuable |
| 145:2,4,12 | tree | 150:6 | 22:15 28:10 30:15 | 161:12 |
| topic | 153:9 158:21 | type | 30:25 38:3 44:22 | value |
| 50:4 | 159:1 | 24:9,10 53:9 | 46:20,23 53:10 | 147:20 |
| total | tribute | 74:14 83:19 87:5 | 68:2 69:7 72:1,4 | values |
| 12:12 | 90:3,4 | 87:21 88:11,15 | 75:9 76:25 77:8 | 111:2,23 112:6 |


| vanguard | 49:21,21 54:8 | 124:9 129:23 | willingness | wrong |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23:10 51:21,22 | volume | 138:7 143:8 | 30:21 | 53:11 133:21 |
| 52:4 80:8,11 | 1:20 | 144:20 150:6 | winter | wrote |
| 95:10,18 96:9 | vs | 158:11,21 159:2 | 159:17 160:16 | 103:23,24 |
| 114:17 | 1:6,12 2:4 | ways | witness |  |
| various |  | 125:4 128:4,5,7 | 4:2 7:1,16,22 55:9 | X |
| 17:5 26:20 103:25 | W | 158:11 | 55:12 66:21 81:23 | xerox |
| ventures | wachenfeld | wear | 87:8 91:17 92:13 | 148:11 |
| 36:3 52:13 | 2:21 7:3 | 94:10 157:11 | 92:17,22 93:5 | xi000918 |
| verbally | wait | website | 96:22 97:3,6 | 164:23 |
| 10:7 37:22 | $7: 179: 2310: 5,25$ $10 \cdot 25125 \cdot 10$ | 56:22 57:2,21,23 | 105:18 107:8 | Y |
| verify | 10:25 125:10 | 57:25 59:14 62:1 | 109:4,11 118:9,14 |  |
| 53:6 | walk | 76:19 77:2,5,10 | 118:18,22,24 | yahoo |
| version | 26:16 27:7 | 77:14,16,24 78:2 | 120:25 121:14,23 | 80:3,6,7 81:12,12 |
| 142:18 | walked | 78:4 114:25 115:9 | 123:10 127:15,19 | yeah |
| viable | 25:4 | 115:10,17,23 | 133:5 144:11 | 13:12 16:5 25:17 |
| 131:4 | walking | 116:1,5,7,12,13 | 146:12 148:2,6,9 | 39:1 41:8 49:25 |
| video | 71:18 | 116:16 117:1,3 | 157:21 158:5 | 55:8 62:13 64:11 |
| 6:7 32:8,11 137:4 | want | websites | 162:6,9,15 164:9 | 72:9 97:12 105:2 |
| videoconference | 16:16,18 26:1 | 55:18 107:20 | woman | 127:8 131:16 |
| 1:23 | 29:3 30:21 35:14 | 115:3 131:21 | 71:14 139:13 | 135:10 148:8 |
| videographer | 35:16 38:10 41:9 | 147:2 | word | 158:20 159:13 |
| 3:24 6:6 7:15 | 52:25 68:19 71:18 | wedlock | 65:13 74:1,5,9 | 162:2 |
| 9:21 47:14 51:13 | 79:6 91:18 95:11 | 71:11,13 | 135:9 144:10 | year |
| 82:3,6 97:5 118:6 | 95:22,22,25,25 | wednesday | 150:3 | 17:23 18:3,5 |
| 118:16,23 126:4 | 98:18 112:25 | 1:20 2:16 | wordings | 27:10,12,18 28:2 |
| 133:3,7 162:12 | 118:2,3,9 123:6 | week | 128:8 | 32:19 36:5 51:21 |
| videotape | 126:7,16 133:5 | 26:10,11 51:21,22 | words | 56:2 57:19,20,23 159•17 |
| 137:1 | 137:2 144:3,11 | 52:4 | 27:15 73:9 78:11 | 159:17 |
| videotaped | 145:22 147:12 | wellspring | 85:12 110:9 | years |
| 1:19 136:25 137:2 | wanted | 1:8 3:17 6:23 | work | 27:16,18,22 37:1 |
| view | 19:12,22,24 42:2 | went | 35:13 50:16 86:1 | 54:8,18,19,20 |
| 21:11,15 | 43:17 46:2 52:17 | 44:7 52:6 56:23 | 90:3,4,5 105:12 | 62:10 70:20 72:22 |
| views | 64:21 65:6,7 | 57:2,7,21,23 | worked | 90:17,19,20 105:3 |
| 22:4 | 68:17,18,20,21 | 95:16 116:11 | 137:5 | 132:6 |
| violates | 71:21 75:14 96:3 | 138:11 143:8 | works | york |
| 110:7 | 121:2 137:1 | west | 19:25 87:5,21 | 3:20,20 8:10 |
| violating | 139:19 140:4 | 1:23 | 89:12,15 | 36:10 |
| 53:1 92:14 | wants | weve | world | yup |
| violation | 20:24 40:19 46:1 | 10:15,21 27:17 | 41:18 51:24 | 148:6 |
| 110:7 | 125:15 | 131:11 149:24 | write | yusko |
| visited | watts | 152:17,20 | 12:8 62:23 103:19 | 141:3 |
| 13:22 138:20 | 93:16 | whens | 119:10,10 136:6 | Z |
| visits | way | 36:21 | writing |  |
| 49:20 | 7:8 9:25 19:24 | white | 86:24 87:2,16,18 | 0 |
| vitamins | 41:22 48:1 59:7 $70 \cdot 1671 \cdot 2 ~ 79 \cdot 22$ | 157:11 | 104:5 105:11 | 00 |
| 154:9 | 70:16 71:2 79:22 | whove | 144:23 | 162:1 |
| voice | 88:17 92:21,24 | 113:6 | written |  |
| 26:19 102:9 | 98:17 112:22 $119.23120 \cdot 7$ | william | 48:2,7 87:1,17 | 133:8 |
| volleyball | 119:23 120:7 | 2:21 7:2 | 104:9 122:20 |  |

Case 2:06-cv-01051-KSH-CLW Document 852-1 Filed 09/20/19 Page 66 of 66 PageID: 20779
Page 25

| 03 | 141 | 125:22,22 | 7:21 | 130:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:9 66:12 | 4:19 | 2000 | 34 |  |
| 06cv01051 | 146 | 80:8 142:17,19 | 126:5 | 6 |
| 1:4 | 4:21 | 154:17 | 35 | 6 |
| 07039 | 149 | 2001 | 47:15 | 4:866:25 162:1 |
| 1:24 | 4:23 | 17:23 18:4 23:1 | 3661 |  |
| 07068 | 15 | 23:18 24:1,5 25:7 | 4:17 126:11 | 3:15 |
| 3:16 | 121:11 | 25:16 26:12 29:20 | 3682 | 66 |
| 07102 | 152 | 30:2,19 31:1,9 | 4:21 146:17 | 4:9 |
| 2:24 | 4:24 | 32:17 33:3,11,15 | 3hour | 7 |
| 079321047 | 16 | 34:9,12 35:6,20 | 130:3 | 7 7 |
| 3:6 | 123:15 124:14,20 | 36:21 79:21 |  | 70s |
| 079621981 | 1633 | 20012002 | 4 | 135:15 |
| 3:11 | 3:19 | 155:24 |  | $8$ |
|  | 16day | 200220032004 | 2:23 17:18 121:11 |  |
| 1 | 41:19 42:1,3,5 | 49:16 | 125:22 126:5 | 4:3.9 66:12 |
| 1 | 152:6 | 2003 | 153:7 155:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 80,3,9 66:12 } \\ & \mathbf{8 0} \end{aligned}$ |
| 12:5 153:6 | 16hour | 38:6 56:3 69:12 | 157:6 | 27:11 64:17 |
| 10 | 130:1 | 74:7,16,21 107:14 | 40 | 8331100 |
| 2:17 26:10,15 | 18 | 135:24 | 4:24 27:11 152:12 | 8331100 |
| 98:14,20,23 99:3 | 4:9 66:12 69:12 | 2004 | 41 | 3:20 |
| 99:15 | 18th | 92:9 93:17 | 164:7 | 9 |
| 100 | 148:14 155:19 | 2007 | 425 |  |
| 2:23 | 161:22 | 25:22 26:22 | 12:6 | 9 149:19 153:8 |
| 100196708 | 1987 | 20072008 | 455 | 162:4 |
| 3:20 | 103:5 | 13:11 25:24 | 18:12 34:24 43:10 | 93 |
| 101 | 1993 | 2008 | 48 | 4:11 |
| 5:3 | 9:2 | 25:22 26:22 | 5:3 | $97$ |
| 106 | 1994 | 2009 | 49 | 4:13 |
| 5:4 | 9:11 | 1:20 2:16 6:7 | 118:17 | 973 |
| 107 | 1995 | 163:4 | 5 | 1:24,25 3:6,11,16 |
| 4:14 | 9:4 | 212 | 5 | 9943510 |
| 108 | 1997 | 3:20 | $5^{17.17133 .8}$ | $1: 24$ |
| 4:23 149:21 | 33:10,15 | 22 | 17:17 133:8 | 9943621 |
| 11 | 1998 | 164:7 | ${ }_{500}^{162: 13,17}$ | 1:25 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1: 202: 166: 7 \\ & 47: 15 \text { 163:4 } \end{aligned}$ | 83:11,12,22 1999 | 231 $4 \cdot 1193.10$ | 500 |  |
| 110 | 154:13,16 | 24 | 51 |  |
| 1:15 | 19992000 | 2:17 | 82:6 |  |
| 113 | 91:8 | 26 | 5380800 |  |
| 5:4 | 19th | 93:17 | 3:11 |  |
| 12 | 161:20 |  | 5497370 |  |
| 79:9 114:19,23 |  | 3 | 3:6 |  |
| 154:17 | 2 | 3 | 56 |  |
| 12065 | 2 | 8:10 82:4 118:17 | 162:13,17 |  |
| 8:10 | 1:4 47:15 67:21 | 148:17 153:23 | 570 |  |
| 126 | 82:6 111:25 | 30 | 1:23 |  |
| 4:17 | 20 | 7:8,20 8:3,4 79:9 | 5972508 |  |
| 140 | 25:8,15 26:15 | 90:17,19,20 162:4 | 3:16 |  |
| 5:5 | 64:15 120:18 | 30day | 5day |  |

