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THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is March12, 2009. This is the start of Tape 1 of the second day of the continuing deposition of Keith Raniere. The time is 9:44, and we are now on the record.

KEITH ALAN RANIERE, residing at 3 Flintlock Lane, Clifton Park, New York 12065, is duly sworn and testifies on his oath as follows:

CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Good morning, Mr. Raniere. The rules that I told you at the beginning of the deposition yesterday are still in effect.

Do you need me to repeat those for you?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Mr. Raniere, has Morris Sutton taken
any action since the filing of the lawsuit to
discredit NXIVM?
A. I don't know.
Q. Okay. Has Rochelle Sutton taken any action since the filing of the lawsuit to discredit NXIVM?
A. I don't know.
Q. Has Stephanie Franco taken any action subsequent to the filing of the lawsuit in August
2003 to discredit NXIVM?
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A. Um, I'm not sure.
Q. Yesterday before we broke you had -- I had asked you to mark up the article that we've marked as Raniere-8, Critical Analysis of the Executive Success Programs Inc., to identify everything that you considered a trade secret of NXIVM. Have you done that?
A. Yes, with a comment.
Q. What's the comment?
A. As I was doing it, I am having a different, I would say deeper understanding of the issue, so I can't say that on the previous articles that I marked up that I've yet marked them appropriately ultimately. I think to do a -- this full justice, I would need to not only think about it but go through each one very carefully over time. And I can give you specifics of why.
Q. If you can give me a specific as to why you would have to do that.
A. Because when you have -- if I have some sort of a trade secret -- let's say it's some sort of a math formula involving A, B and C, and I go and I give that trade secret formula to several people, and I have one person reveal A and another -- all the things relating to $\mathrm{A}-$ - and another person
5
reveal all the things relating to $B$ and all the things relating to $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{C}$. Certainly as a group we can reveal a trade secret. Certainly as an essay, although a trade secret may not be contained in any one segment, it provides more and more of a window so it's something that I would have to think about in each case.
Q. Do you think that your marking up of

Raniere-5 and Raniere-6 you identified things that you now believe are not trade secrets, or is it the case that you --
A. Did not mark enough --
Q. -- didn't mark up things that you consider are trade secrets?
A. Probably did not mark enough, maybe should have marked more. Each of the things have to be weighed very carefully, so I may have marked some things that are not.

I will give you an example. There are quotes in these articles from other people, other things. The question is can you use a quote from another person to reveal a secret. Can I -- if I have a math equation, can I use an equation from another mathematician to reveal a secret; and the answer is yes. So I have to weigh specifically how each of
these quotes are used, and it starts to become more than just sitting casually or at a deposition formally and quickly marking off. For me, it is -it is a thought process.
Q. How long do you think it would take you to identify all of the trade secrets that are disclosed in Raniere-5?
A. I think it depends how motivated I was. If I was a motivated competitor, it might take me a year, but I'd figure it out.
Q. You would be able to replicate the Rational Inquiry -- the NXIVM courses from simply this one article?
A. I might be able to replicate a trade secret from one or more of the articles, and I can't tell you that, per se.
Q. So you might be able to replicate a single trade secret from one of the articles if you took a year?
A. Or more. Or more.
Q. But it might take a year if you were motivated?
A. Or it might take a night. I don't know.

What I'm saying is it's a far deeper process than my on reading the article just checking off yes, no,
yes, no, yes, no.
Q. Okay. How long would it take you, Keith Raniere, to perform a more detailed analysis to identify each and every trade secret disclosed in Raniere-5?
A. I have no way of knowing that.
Q. Hours, days?
A. You're asking how long it would take me to solve a problem that I don't know the solution of. I don't know.
Q. It's a three-page article, Mr. Raniere. How long would it take you to identify each trade secret?
A. It's a three-page article that provides a window into 30 years of my life of work. I don't know.
Q. You understand in this case NXIVM is going to have to identify each trade secret?
A. Yes, but not necessarily how the trade secret is specifically represented in the article. I believe it's do the articles/article, however you look at them, release the trade secrets to competitors. Do they -- or are those trade secrets used to damage NXIVM. And I don't understand the level -- that's my understanding of it, and that's a
different question than does this sentence contain a trade secret, at least in my mind. So I went through this, and I apologize that it may not be as complete.
Q. Okay. But to the extent it's not complete, it's more likely underinclusive than overinclusive of trade secrets?
A. More likely.
Q. How about the third article? Did you -- does that identify -- and this is what you did last night, Raniere-8.
A. That's the one I'm speaking of, yes.
Q. Does that identify each and every trade secret that's contained in the article?
A. No. It just starts with an overview. I spent, I don't know, 15 minutes, a half hour going through, as I did the other articles with about the same level of precision; but even with this article I learned more as I was doing it.
Q. Did anyone assist you in marking up or identifying trade secrets in Raniere-8?
A. No.
Q. Mr. Raniere, is any entire trade secret of NXIVM, as you define the term, disclosed in these articles -- in any one of these articles?
A. I don't know.
Q. And that's after having spent some time yesterday reviewing the articles?
A. Yes.
Q. Let's go back to Raniere-5.
A. (Witness complies.)
Q. The next to last paragraph there you say
"Participants are told to promise not to tell nonparticipants of what they learn in the Intensive, as well as its methods," and you underlined that.
A. You have to show me that. It's the very last page where --
Q. No. It's the first page of Raniere-5, next to last paragraph, under the heading "Limitations in ability to get feedback from friends and family."
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And it says, "Participants are told to promise not to tell non-participants of what they learn in the Intensive, as well as its methods."

What is unique to NXIVM about that concept?
A. Please repeat the concept. I'm having
trouble finding.
Q. Okay. It's -- the heading is "Limitations in ability to get feedback from friends and family."
A. I'm not seeing -- it's Page 1?
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Q. Page 1.
A. I see. So it's the paragraph above the last.
Q. And you underlined part of the sentence,
"Participants are told to promise not to tell non-participants of what they learn in the Intensive, as well as its methods."
A. Uh-huh.
Q. What about that sentence is unique to NXIVM?
A. "Methods" right off.
Q. The word methods is unique?
A. No, NXIVM's methods; and this brings the methods not only into question but starts to carve out aspects of or not of the methods.
Q. Does it disclose any of the methods?
A. I believe indirectly it does. It starts to.
Q. How?
A. I think when you have a trade secret, as

Mr. Hochman did, you are in a different position than if you don't. And if I start to say things about the trade secret that I have and I have the trade secret, so to speak, everything starts to either hint or directly say.

Now, he's talking about the methods, the trade secrets that he has in his hand. He's starting to go and say, all right, well, it's sort
of like this, sort of not like this. So I find it related to our methods, and I find our methods trade secrets.
Q. Could --
A. I'm sorry.
Q. Could a competitor take that sentence and replicate or discover any of your trade secrets?
A. Utilizing that sentence in combination with other things, I believe so.
Q. Doesn't that sentence merely say that you have methods?
A. No. It says actually more than that, too. It talks about a promise. It talks about an Intensive and that the Intensive does have methods, which sometimes methods are not trade secret; sometimes they are.
Q. Is the promise to keep things secret unique
-- to keep information secret unique to NXIVM?
A. No.
Q. Is there anything about the existence of that promise to -- strike that.

Are you aware of any competitors who have similar promises?
A. Not directly, but I'm sure they do.
Q. Uh-huh. Does disclosure of the fact that

Page 178
Page 178

NXIVM requires its information to be kept secret -strike that.

Would disclosure to a competitor of the fact that NXIVM requires its methods to be kept secret work a competitive disadvantage on NXIVM?
A. It may, depending on the context.
Q. A competitor learns that NXIVM won't -doesn't allow its participants to reveal the trade secrets. How does that work a competitive disadvantage on you?
A. If I am a person that is looking to find the trade secrets of an organization or if I am a person that is a competitor of the organization and has the trade secrets, I could use those trade secrets to, in fact, take business away from NXIVM, to cause harm to NXIVM or I could use the hints in part to derive.
Q. How does learning that NXIVM prohibits disclosure of its trade secrets benefit a competitor?
A. I don't know off the top of my head. I -you know, it's similar -- if the equation is A plus B plus C, that is my trade secret, and many competitors have A in their equation. Any formula, a chemical formula, say, has carbon in it. Many
trade secret formulas probably have carbon in it. To say that the formula has carbon in it, a lot of competitors have carbon in their formula, but it is significant when I know the trade secret and I say the formula has carbon in it. It starts to craft out information.

And can I contemplate what every person, every competitor looking at this will be able to derive from it? That would take a lot of time, and I don't know if I could even necessarily do that.
Q. Let's assume that your trade secret was a cake. Is -- somebody saying that the cake contains eggs, is that a disclosure of a trade secret?
A. It can be partway to one, especially if the eggs are in the recipe.
Q. If the eggs are in the recipe, okay.

By the way, is there anything that's contained in the NXIVM Intensive, any of the modules that have been created for the NXIVM Intensive that are not trade secrets?
A. The modules is a combination of the product of the tool and the tool itself. Are there any modules in NXIVM that do not -- are not related in any way to the trade secret? I don't think so.
Q. Is there anything within an individual module
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that -- any part of an individual module that's not a trade secret?
A. Do you know, I can't say that off the top of my head. I can't think of one. Part of the philosophy is we wouldn't create the modules; someone else would.
Q. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that?
A. Part of the philosophy of it is if they weren't valuable to us, we wouldn't create them; someone else would.
Q. On the last paragraph of this page, it says
"Participants are mislead" -- you underlined,
"Participants are mislead into feeling guilty for being a 'promise breaker.'"
A. Uh-huh.
Q. What's unique to NXIVM about that concept?
A. Well, it does raise a question. Are
participants made to feel guilty? I think the way we understand guilt is unique. I think the way it is handled within the curriculum is unique and important and secret, and also I believe the statement is untrue and I think raises the question that either it makes us look bad or we have to start to reveal a trade secret, which is an interesting thing when you don't get to publish.
Q. Consid -- is there something in that sentence that would tell a competitor what your ideas of guilt are?
A. I think there are things in that sentence that, one, a competitor would understand that certain characteristics relating to the NXIVM trade secrets and relating to NXIVM; and, two, it would put a potential client and other competitors in a position where either -- especially when you talk about our clients -- either they believe something wrongfully about us by someone who has the authority of having our trade secret or we have to reveal a trade secret to explain.

You see, we do not have the right to first publication in this case. So although it may seem like a criticism, this particular article, it's criticizing something that doesn't exist for the public yet so we're -- it's a type of forced publication almost or a damage.
Q. You said it doesn't exist for the public yet. Is there a plan to have this -- eventually have the modules made public?
A. I don't know.
Q. Would a -- would some potential student who read these articles be able to say, I don't need to
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take the course now because I learned everything I need from these three articles?
A. They might say that.
Q. Would they get the gist of NXIVM's programs from reading these three articles?
A. I don't know.
Q. Top of the second page, under Effect of idiosyncratic vocabulary on communications, you underlined the phrase --
A. Hold on. I'm trying to find it.

Second page. What paragraph? Effect -- oh, it's the first paragraph.
Q. First full paragraph.
A. Thank you.
Q. You underlined the phrase, "English words are redefined to fit the peculiar meanings of group leaders."
A. Uh-huh.
Q. "The result is communications that are near incomprehensible to outsiders."

Does that sentence -- that sentence doesn't appear in any of NXIVM's modules, does it?
A. One of our important things is to understand the meanings of words going from surface structure to deep structure, and the way we do that is
important, and understanding comprehensibility is important. I think this starts to say what trade secrets are. That's a part. That's not the whole thing. The whole trade secret isn't there, no.
Q. Is any trade secret there?
A. I believe that there is part of a trade secret there, the beginnings. I think that that, if used in an appropriate context, could ascertain trade secrets.

This is a person who has our trade secret. They're writing from that perspective with a group of other people.
Q. So somebody saying I've read the materials, they're gibberish, reveals a trade secret?
A. Well, it either reveals part of a trade secret if it's true or if it's false it puts it in a position where we either have to reveal the trade secret to capture whatever market we want or be damaged by it.
Q. But that's a difference between whether it's true or false, as opposed to whether it discloses a trade secret. The fact that somebody says it's gibberish may be true, may be false, may be somebody's opinion but how does -- how does saying that it's a bunch of nonsense allow anyone to get a
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competitive advantage over NXIVM?
A. As I -- well, maybe I wasn't clear.

If the statement is true, it gives them a competitive advantage by the theory of it starts to give or it may give all of the trade secret, depending on the statement or part of the trade secret away, especially if it's with a group of people who have taken the trade secrets and are bringing them out to the public.

If the statement is false, it has the same effect in a different way. Assuming that the statement is either true or false, both bringing the trade secrets either out to the public or hurt us with the very trade secrets.
Q. Okay. Looking at the idea of a competitor, is it your understanding that a competitor would read this and say, boy, if I could spew nonsense, I could be as successful as NXIVM?
A. Can -- would you mind defining what you mean by a competitor?
Q. Somebody who wanted to -- well, how do you define competitor?
A. I didn't use it in the question.
Q. Okay. Would somebody who was looking to do human potential training -- what would you say is
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the business of NXIVM?
A. I would say the business of NXIVM is increasing joy.
Q. Okay. Would somebody else who's looking to increase joy say to themselves after reading this article -- strike that.

So is any compe -- is a competitor of NXIVM any other group that looks to increase joy?
A. I'm not a -- a lawyer. I would think that in a broad sense, that could be, because the reason why people come to NXIVM it is my belief is to increase joy either very directly or ultimately. So that's a quick definition.
Q. Okay. Would somebody -- another group that's looking to make money by increasing joy be able to say to themselves after reading that sentence, if I spout gibberish, I can take some of NXIVM's business away?
A. I think they might say, if I spout gibberish, utilizing the other things in these articles, I might be able to or I might be able -- because NXIVM is spouting gibberish and they're doing that, but second of all, if I have the trade secret -- and maybe I am a competitor -- it is using the trade secret against us to take business away.
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Q. Next paragraph, "Pre-emptive neutralization of criticism of the group by participants and their family/friends early on."

You -- about halfway through that you -the sentence is, "Since it is likely that group outsiders will perceive aspects of the group's activities as 'manipulative' or as a 'cult,' these two words are used as the sole examples of 'abstract terms' that a Shifter will use."

How is that a trade secret under your definition?
A. Well, things relating to what we term as shifter strategies or a person who uses them may be called a shifter; and things like manipulative and cult, amongst other things, are discussed. This is beginning to say what is discussed, beginning to say how it is discussed. I suspect that with a few other sentences, there could be more trade secrets revealed or more of the trade secrets revealed.
Q. Mr. Raniere, can someone make an informed criticism of NXIVM without revealing its trade secrets?
A. Absolutely.
Q. How could that be done? Somebody who is taking the course, can they make a criticism without
revealing the trade secrets?
A. Yes. Otherwise, I would have not only underlined every paragraph here, but you have to assume that the people that take NXIVM for the most part are not competitors and they are -- they won't compete with NXIVM. There is a noncompete, and given that they're noncompetitors and given that they don't have all of the trade secrets or even if they did have all of the trade secrets, if they wrote certain criticisms, it would be fine.
Q. Let's say I took a 16-day Intensive, didn't like it, wrote an article or posted a blog entry that said, you know, "I took this class called NXIVM and I read the materials that they gave me; and it was just gibberish. They redefine words in their own idiosyncratic ways."
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Does that reveal a trade secret?
A. Are you a competitor, first of all?
Q. I'm writing an arti -- I'm putting an article on the Internet or in a newspaper where anyone can see it.
A. Well, it may or may not start to reveal the trade secrets.
Q. And if I write in the article, "Go look for
joy somewhere else 'cause this program really stinks. The words are gibberish," is that -- that might start to reveal a trade secret?
A. It may start to. The reason why I'm being hesitant is I'm not -- as I said, I would have to think about this even more. I know that through these articles and many of these statements, there is a constructive revealing of the trade secrets.

You asked me to take each statement and assess it and what I would say assess it superficially and underline it or not, and I assumed you wanted me to underline generously as opposed to leaving out. Part of what you asked is which way it went, and I did so. But, you know, just like with anything, it needs to be thought out.
Q. If I were to put in my blog entry, "You know, I took this NXIVM class and you know what? I know a way of increasing your joy. Save your $\$ 7500$ and don't take this nonsense," does that make me a competitor?
A. No, not necessarily. I'm not sure. It depends. Are you selling that? Are you earning money from that?
Q. I'm just warning people off.
A. Well, you're probably not a competitor, but

|  | Page 189 |  | Page 191 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I'm not sure. I mean, competitors can warn other | 1 | they were given to him, and he may use them. |
| 2 | people off of other competitors and still earn | 2 | Q. Do you know one way or the other whether he |
| 3 | position that way. I mean, I think a competitor | 3 | knows you exist? |
| 4 | can destroy another competitor before they even come | 4 | A. I think he does know we exist, but I'm not |
| 5 | into the market. You know, I suspect if you did | 5 | sure. Yeah. |
| 6 | something like that and maybe you did it so | 6 | Q. Did you ever take any classes with Tony |
| 7 | effectively that you stopped all people from coming | 7 | Robbins? |
| 8 | to NXIVM; and NXIVM, you know, was moribund, had no | 8 | A. No. |
| 9 | business, and then you hung a shingle and opened up | 9 | Q. Okay. Next to the last page, carrying over |
| 10 | a business just like NXIVM, I would suspect I would | 10 | to the last page of this article -- |
| 11 | think you were a competitor even though at the time | 11 | A. Yeah. |
| 12 | you were doing it you weren't earning from it. | 12 | Q. -- says -- you underlined the sentence, "All |
| 13 | Q. Could I disclose a trade secret if I'm not a | 13 | of this is soft peddled to participants as analogous |
| 14 | competitor? | 14 | to calling your dentist (who finished a universally |
| 15 | A. Yes, I think so. | 15 | recognized course of study) by the title 'doctor.'" |
| 16 | Q. So if I were to write, "Don't take NXIVM's | 16 | A. Uh-huh. |
| 17 | classes. They make you bow down to this guy named | 17 | Q. What makes that -- what trade secret is being |
| 18 | Vanguard," is that disclosing a trade secret? | 18 | disclosed by that sentence? |
| 19 | A. Repeat the sentence. You're asking me to | 19 | A. That's relating to certain things I think |
| 20 | evaluate on a sentence-by-sentence basis and, | 20 | within Rules and Rituals. Again, it is a fragment. |
| 21 | honestly, I don't feel capable of giving you a | 21 | I need to clarify that. You know, I think it's a |
| 22 | complete evaluation. You know, our competitors who | 22 | highly effective strategy. If someone is |
| 23 | look to take our trade secrets just don't take a | 23 | malintended, if I'm a competitor of you and I have |
| 24 | single sentence and sit and say, oh, yeah; oh, no. | 24 | your trade secrets, instead of using the trade |
| 25 | They'll think about it, maybe think about it for | 25 | secrets myself I just release them to another one of |
|  | Page 190 |  | Page 192 |
| 1 | years. I believe our noncompete clause is three or | 1 | your competitors and let them destroy you, and I |
| 2 | five years or something like that. | 2 | never use them. So when you release things or |
| 3 | Q. Do you know of any competitors who are | 3 | parts of things, it can be very damaging. |
| 4 | looking to take your trade secrets? | 4 | Q. Okay. Let's put Raniere-5 aside for now and |
| 5 | A. I believe so. | 5 | go on to Raniere-6, which is Robert Jay Lifton's |
| 6 | Q. Who? | 6 | eight criteria of thought reform as applied to |
| 7 | A. It depends how you define competitor. | 7 | Executive Success Programs. |
| 8 | Q. Well, how do you define competitors who are | 8 | You highlighted the next to last paragraph |
| 9 | looking to take your trade secrets? | 9 | concerning phone tree. |
| 10 | A. Well, I believe Rick Ross is a competitor. | 10 | MR. McGUIRE: Page 1. |
| 11 | I believe John Hochman is a competitor. I believe | 11 | A. Page 1. |
| 12 | Paul Martin is a competitor. I believe that Tony | 12 | Q. Page 1. I'm sorry. |
| 13 | Robbins is a competitor. As a matter of fact, if | 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | someone is, for example, a therapist or a | 14 | Q. Is the idea of having a phone tree unique to |
| 15 | psychiatrist, they go through a different entrance; | 15 | NXIVM? |
| 16 | and we do treat them as a competitor. | 16 | A. I'm not sure. This is a quote right out of |
| 17 | John Hochman is a psychiatrist. | 17 | one of the manuals it appears. |
| 18 | Q. Is Tony Robbins trying to take your trade | 18 | Q. Uh-huh, but is the concept of a phone tree |
| 19 | secrets? | 19 | unique to NXIVM? |
| 20 | A. I don't know if he's trying to take our trade | 20 | A. I think potentially the way NXIVM does it |
| 21 | secrets. I suspect if he thought they were valuable | 21 | maybe. If -- |
| 22 | he would -- you know, I don't want to make an | 22 | Q. Just the fact that there's a phone tree that |
| 23 | evaluation on Tony Robbins' honesty or dishonesty. | 23 | NXIVM uses, you're not claiming that that's unique, |
| 24 | I don't think he is out to steal trade secrets, but | 24 | since, after all, my son has a phone tree when his |
| 25 | he may not even realize they're trade secrets if | 25 | school is cancelled. |

A. Uh-huh, that's true. I am in part, and the reason why I put this, this is a quote out of the manual. This particular author, Paul Martin, took the only thing that I wrote which was the Mission Statement and copied 55 percent of it in parts.

I think I could copy a whole confidential manual if you just give me enough article space and I keep on releasing quote after quote. I think if I released the whole manual, I could give away the trade secrets. So every quote in here out of our manual released from someone who has our trade secret manual, and when that information is not supposed to be released potentially leads to the release of a trade secret. There are a lot of quotes in here.
Q. If I read this paragraph, would I be able to say -- and I'm a competitor, Tony Robbins, let's say -- I say, oh, they've got a phone tree and each -- whatever the paragraph says, would I be able to say, Aha, I've got it? I can replicate NXIVM?
A. You start to have an understanding, and depending on what other information you have, this paragraph might be the final piece, certainly.
Q. Okay. The paragraph after that the only word you underlined is "leased."
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Does that paragraph contain a trade secret?
A. It probably does. It is in quotes, and I wasn't sure if that was a quote. I think it was a quote off the application; and in that case it's not a problem because it's not from the trade secret secretive material, but I was unsure, so I lightly did that.
Q. By the way, just going quickly back to Raniere-5, is there anything from there -- any of the trade secrets that are -- you claim are disclosed in that article that are from the Facilitator materials that were given to Stephanie Franco?
A. Hard to tell.
Q. And that's because he doesn't cite where different things came from?
A. Well, it's also hard to tell how these pieces will add together as someone is unraveling what the trade secrets are.
Q. Okay. Looking back at Raniere-6, sir, you have the statement about halfway down -- the quote is from the section Money.
A. The direct -- well, halfway down which -- I'm sorry, which page?
Q. Page 2?
,

unique, and I believe just like any message -- you
A. Page 2.
Q. Yeah.
A. And this is Raniere-6?
Q. Yes.
A. Okay. Money, yes.
Q. And it's your understanding that those concepts there are unique to NXIVM?
A. There's more than the concepts here. First of all, this is a quote. Second of all, this is not only each of the concepts but their specific order and as that order integrates together, and these are some of the things that are -- this may be even all of the things in that portion of the module.
Q. Then looking at Number 8 under or in the quote from Money, it says, "Having money brings out the true nature of people."
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Is that an idea that's unique to NXIVM?
A. Do you believe that that idea has to be unique to NXIVM to be a trade secret?
Q. I'm -- your definition of trade secret used the word unique.
A. Uh-huh. I believe that's part of a unique idea. I believe the order of these concepts is
know, I can give you a trade secret and suppose I have a formula in organic chemistry, I can of course look at the formula and say is the letter C unique, and you would have to say no; say is carbon unique, and you'd have to say no. But this gives not only carbon and it does say that carbon is in the formula which is starting to give away the trade secret that starts to give the order and the nature, and part of the trade secrets are not just the information presented. It's the order. It's sometimes the order of the words within a question specifically. Sometimes it's the orders of the questions within a module. It is definitely those things and the order of module within a curriculum and the order of curriculum within a whole. This comes down to how surface structure and deep structure are understood in the human mind.
Q. Let's say the only thing quoted there was the last -- was Number 8, "Having money brings out the true nature of people."
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Would that be disclosure of a trade secret?
A. In itself, no.
Q. Okay, since you'd agree that's a fairly banal idea?
A. Well, I would -- just like every trade secret is made of common letters, I would say most trade secrets are made of banal ideas.
Q. Next paragraph under "Mystical Manipulation," you drew on the side lines, "The claim of divine authority or spiritual advancement that allows the leader to reinterpret events as he or she wishes, or make prophesies or pronouncements at will, all for the purpose of control" -- "at will, all for the purpose of controlling group members."
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Were you indicating that that's a trade secret of NXIVM's?
A. One of the important things of NXIVM is that we are what you might call anti-mysticism. We are critical thinkers. We use scientific model. We take things down to assumptions. We go beyond assumptions, breaking those down further.

To speak of these sort of things starts to go towards those trade secrets. Again, in itself, no; and that's why I asked you. Here is a -- a situation where in the middle of this paragraph you have Lifton being quoted.
Q. Uh-huh.
A. Now, the question is can I use quotes from
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other authors to reveal a trade secret? Well, certainly I could quote sentences from many, many authors, put those sentences together and tell you anything about any trade secret. So that's why I put a little sort of arrow. I didn't underline it specifically, but this -- this starts to beg the question, not only talking about certain subject matters but utilizing other authors and quoting them to bring concepts across. When you have improperly -- when you improperly have trade secrets, that can be used to not only reveal them but utilize them to your own benefit, especially if you're a competitor.
Q. But standing alone, that sentence would not reveal a trade secret of NXIVM's?
A. It may if it were the final piece in a puzzle.
Q. Do these three articles taken together provide the whole puzzle?
A. Hard to tell.
Q. Are you saying that 30 years of your life's work might be captured by three articles?
A. Well, I have more than one trade secret. I think we probably have hundreds of them. I think that in -- it's hard to say how astute someone is in reading the articles. I also think that the people
that wrote the articles may well have acted together as competitors. It's -- there are a lot of issues here.
Q. You're saying -- and who are the people who you understand wrote the articles?
A. I think Paul Martin wrote this article. Is that correct?
Q. Right.
A. John Hochman wrote the other article, and

Paul Martin wrote two articles so two by Paul Martin and one by John Hochman.
Q. What evidence do you have that they acted together in writing the articles?
A. There's fingerprints.
Q. I'm not asking for detective work. What evidence do you have?
A. Okay. We've had about 8,000 students come through our course, and we've had many people from the outside world look at it or whatever. There are some mistakes that people can make looking at our information, but then there are some errors that are not just random. They're -- they're very unprobablistic oversights.

In the John Hochman article he mentions that we've had over 400,000 people through the
organization. That is, especially for someone who is studying the material, probably derived from the website where it mentions in my past company there were over 400,000 people. John Hochman made a pretty strange error -- no one's made this error before that we know of -- that somehow ESP has had 400,000 people through it. It struck me when I read this article last night that Paul Martin also mentions that we've had 400,000 people through ESP, which means to me that there's a likelihood that either John Hochman got this from Paul Martin, Paul Martin got this from John Hochman or they both got it from Rick Ross, but there is a -- if you give me a few seconds, I can find where he mentions it. It may not be in this article. It may be -- which is the one I read last night?

Do you have the Exhibit Number of what I read last night?

MR. CAMPION: 8.
A. 8 .
Q. It should be up --
A. I'm in 6. Wait a few seconds. 8.

On Page 5 he starts to talk, "Keith Raniere
who has trained" -- or about halfway down, I put a little dot for you, actually. "Keith Raniere who
has trained over 400,000 followers who are now rapidly accumulating most of the world's wealth."

We've had a number of people on blogs and things like that, you know, say all sorts of things. People don't make that error. That's a pretty what you might call careless, unprobable error. Both Hochman and Martin make the same error.
Q. So you find it improbable that it's a coincidence?
A. I find that there is a likelihood it's not a coincidence.

I think that with -- if you read, for example, the Hochman settlement and some of the other issues involved, make it a very reasonable hypothesis, also, that it's not just a coincidence.
Q. When you say "the Hochman settlement," what are you referring to?
A. There is a settlement that John Hochman has with NXIVM.
Q. And what does he say in that settlement document that leads you to believe --
A. Well, there is --
Q. -- that his errors were not honest?
A. It's not that his errors were not honest. It's that it appears that he does not view his
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article as a scientific study. It appears that he incorporated what he saw as Rick Ross' negative view into his study. If that be the case, he has some reason for believing Rick Ross has a negative view through some sort of a communication. It's also possible to assume that such a communication occurred with Paul Martin.
Q. What is the Rick Ross --

MR. SKOLNIK: Harold, one moment. Mr. Raniere has just testified about a settlement agreement that has not be produced in this case; and I call for its production, please.

MR. McGUIRE: It's confidential.
MR. SKOLNIK: We'll take it under our confidentiality order.

MR. McGUIRE: We'll see.
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. What in the Hochman settlement documents indicates that he did not view his article as a scientific method?
A. I -- I only -- I did not see the full thing.

There is an affidavit of sorts, testimony. I believe he said that it was not meant as a scientific study. Had he done the normal process of a peer-reviewed scientific report that the
5
results may well have been different. I -- I don't have the settlement in front of me to quote, and I only saw it briefly.
Q. Is it your understanding that the District Court in that case dismissed NXIVM's claims against Mr. Hochman?
A. It is my understanding that NXIVM had a court case against Hochman. They were dismissed, so Hochman had won that; and although it was dismissed and Hochman had won, he still settled instead of going to the Court of Appeals, and it seemed like he made some -- for someone who has won a court case made some pretty strong statements.
Q. Are you aware of any favorable articles that have been published concerning NXIVM?
A. I don't -- I don't think so. I'm trying to think. I don't think so.
Q. Getting back to Raniere-6, I'd like you to take a look at the third from last page.
A. Raniere-6, third from last. Can you give me a --
Q. The Bates stamp number is P3658.
A. Oh, thank you.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I said thank you.
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MR. SKOLNIK: Harold, where are you? MR. KOFMAN: P3658 on Raniere-6.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Do you see that the third from the bottom is the -- is a quote from Work and Value.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And the quote is, "This practice session will give you a clear definition of work, an appreciation of civilization and what it has given us, humankind's purpose, and our own value in the world."

Does that statement standing alone constitute a trade secret of NXIVM?
A. Again, what I see on this page are a series -- the whole page is quotes. As a matter of fact, on the bottom, I probably forgot to mark one because there is a quote here out of Tribute - 5th Integration which not only gives the quote of the module but the place in the curriculum.

Could you mark that, that that also --
Q. If you'd mark it on that --
A. Okay.
Q. -- the Exhibit copy.

THE WITNESS: And anyone else who has copies.

Continuing...
A. So each of these are quotes directly out of the trade secret protected module -- the manual. I do believe that the concepts behind this and the order of the concepts behind this certainly are trade secrets. I think that this potentially provides a window, certainly provides a small window into the manual. This page is all quotes from the manual. It may as well just have quoted the manual and asked me if each quote out of the manual reveals a trade secret.
Q. Is there any trade -- do any of these quotes standing alone reveal a trade secret of NXIVM in it -- in its entirety?
A. I don't know.
Q. Are any trade secrets in their entirety revealed anywhere in this article?
A. I don't know.
Q. How about in the previous article, A Forensic Psych -- Raniere-5?
A. I don't know.
Q. Okay. Let's turn to Raniere Number 8, which is the document that you looked at last night.

Are any trade secrets in their entirety revealed in this article?
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A. You're -- you know, I'd like to answer your question other than "I don't know," but just like if you've ever seen a mystery movie or whatever, it is quite possible for people to deduce absolutely solutions and possibly trade secrets that I haven't deduced.
Q. Are you aware of any trade secrets that are revealed -- strike that -- that are disclosed in their entirety in this article?

MR. McGUIRE: Object to the form of the question.
Q. Are you aware of any trade secrets that are disclosed in their entirety in this article?

MR. McGUIRE: Same objection.
A. Is that the same question? I'm not understanding the difference.
Q. You can answer. He's just preserving an objection.
A. Oh, I'm not sure.
Q. Okay. Paragraph -- on this document, looking at P3677. It's about -- I think it's the fourth page.
A. Yes.
Q. It says there, "Number five, maintains that 'There are no ultimate victims.'"
 if you believe there are no ultimate victims, then you believe, I believe that humans can increase
their capacity to have joy even in adverse situations.
Q. Have you ever read an article that Stephanie Franco wrote -- strike that.

Have you ever read anything that Stephanie Franco has written?
A. Not in the entirety.
Q. What have you read from Stephanie Franco?
A. I saw an ad of hers for -- I think it was for her therapy services or her website. I saw her business card and I think -- I don't know if she -I'm not sure if I saw her business card. I -- I have a memory of that.

MR. KOFMAN: Okay. Let's take a two-minute break, and then I'm going to go into another area.

MR. CAMPION: Sure.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 10:40.
(At this point, there was a short recess.)
(Affidavit of Keith Raniere signed August 22, 2003, with attached Exhibits was received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-11 for Identification.)

|  | Page 209 |  | Page 211 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning | 1 | passages may no longer desire to attend a course |
| 2 | of Tape Number 2. The time is 10:56. We're on. | 2 | wherein the material has been freely given to them." |
| 3 | BY MR. KOFMAN: | 3 | Has any consumer ever told you that they |
| 4 | Q. Okay. Mr. Raniere, I'm going to show you a | 4 | didn't take a NXIVM course because the information |
| 5 | document that's been marked as Raniere-11 and ask if | 5 | was available for free on the internet? |
| 6 | you can take a look at that, please. | 6 | A. That may have been so. I believe people in |
| 7 | A. (Witness complies.) | 7 | the least have said, "I have read these articles. I |
| 8 | Q. Do you recognize that document? | 8 | have no need to take your course." |
| 9 | A. Yes. | 9 | But, you know, there have been so many |
| 10 | Q. What is the document? | 10 | instances, thousands, I believe, of incident -- |
| 11 | A. It appears to be my Affidavit, and I checked | 11 | instances like that, I don't know specifically. |
| 12 | and it has my signature on the back, so I assume it | 12 | Q. Well, let's talk about conversations that |
| 13 | is so. | 13 | you've had. |
| 14 | Q. Okay. That was going to be my next question. | 14 | Has anyone said to you, I don't have any need |
| 15 | Did you draft the Affidavit? | 15 | to take this course because of these articles? |
| 16 | A. I was certainly involved in the drafting. | 16 | A. No, not that I recall. |
| 17 | Q. Did you review the Affidavit before you | 17 | Q. Okay, and has anyone said to you -- strike |
| 18 | signed it? | 18 | that. |
| 19 | A. Yes. | 19 | Paragraph 5 of your Affidavit under the |
| 20 | Q. And did you determine that the statements | 20 | heading "Mail and email." |
| 21 | there were accurate? | 21 | A. Uh-huh. |
| 22 | A. Yes. | 22 | Q. The first sentence, it says, "An information |
| 23 | Q. Okay. Do you recall if you made any changes | 23 | pack has been sent to key people interfacing with |
| 24 | to the Affidavit before signing it? | 24 | our organization containing these false facts and |
| 25 | A. No. This was very -- this was quite long | 25 | our copyrighted material." |
|  | Page 210 |  | Page 212 |
| 1 | ago. | 1 | Did you ever see a copy of the information |
| 2 | Q. You don't recall? | 2 | pack that you refer to in that paragraph? |
| 3 | A. I don't recall. | 3 | A. I'm not sure. I have seen several |
| 4 | Q. I'd like you to turn your attention to | 4 | "information packs." |
| 5 | Paragraph 4. | 5 | I do remember seeing a pack that was sent to |
| 6 | A. Uh-huh. | 6 | a person. I'm trying to think of when it was 'cause |
| 7 | Q. The first sentence says, "Three of the | 7 | when I wrote this statement I had -- I believe I had |
| 8 | copyrighted materials currently posted on the | 8 | a specific pack in mind, but I can't recall what it |
| 9 | internet, 12-Point Mission Statement, Work and Value | 9 | is. |
| 10 | and Face of the Universe, reveal the content and | 10 | Q. Did you -- did you ever see that pack? |
| 11 | methodologies that are critical to the heart of the | 11 | A. From reading this, I may -- well may have. |
| 12 | entire coursework." | 12 | I've seen a number of information packs sent around, |
| 13 | Is it your contention that 12 point Mission | 13 | so to speak, even recently at universities and |
| 14 | Statement, Work and Value and Face of the Universe | 14 | things like that. |
| 15 | were ever posted on the internet in their entirety? | 15 | Q. Do you know who sent the information pack |
| 16 | A. I don't -- I don't know if they were posted | 16 | that you're referring to in Paragraph 5? |
| 17 | in their entirety. Certainly key important | 17 | A. No, not off the top of my head. No, I don't. |
| 18 | elements, and I don't think any portion of them was | 18 | Q. Do you know what it contained? |
| 19 | supposed to be posted. | 19 | A. Probably copies of the articles; the Hochman |
| 20 | Q. Okay. Did it ever come to your attention | 20 | report, the Martin articles. That's my guess. |
| 21 | that the entire documents were posted on the | 21 | Q. Is that -- well, I'd ask you not to guess. |
| 22 | internet? | 22 | Did you ever -- do you know one way or the other |
| 23 | A. No, it has not. | 23 | what was in that information pack? |
| 24 | Q. Okay. Getting down a little further in that | 24 | A. No. |
| 25 | same paragraph, it says "Consumers that read the | 25 | Q. Do you know who received it? |

A. No, I don't remember at the time -- right now.
Q. So it's the case that you don't remember much -- recall much about that information pack?
A. Correct.
Q. At least as to that subject, I guess your recall isn't total or even partial?
A. Well, there have been many information packs.
Q. Okay. Going a little further in that sentence, "I have received messages and a threatening e-mail addressed from a neighbor."
A. Uh-huh.
Q. When you say you've received messages, what are you referring to?
A. Phone messages, written messages put on the car, people driving up and threatening verbally; things like that.
Q. Did you save any of the written messages?
A. I may -- there may be, but I'm not sure.

It's an ongoing thing.
Q. Did you turn any of those over to a lawyer?
A. I'm not sure. I don't know what went through discovery. I tend not to keep -- if there are like tapes or whatever, I tend not to keep them. Papers that I have are either filed or if I write something
or I'm involved with something else, often I don't have the paper.
Q. Let's go back. When you say "tapes," what do you mean, tapes that you don't keep?
A. In other words, if I go and I'm, for example, giving a forum, I will go and give a forum. They will tape what I say in the forum, what goes on in the forum; but I don't have those tapes.
Q. How about tapes of any threatening messages that you got?
A. I am not sure if such a tape exists. There is a possibility that there is one.
Q. Did it ever exist -- okay. What makes you believe that there may be a tape?
A. Because once when I was on a walk -- and I walk -- I used to walk daily 8 to 12 miles, and what I would do in the walks at times were have meetings with people. People would tape those meetings. There were a number of occasions where people drove up in cars, threw things, screamed, cursed. Several neighbors came down and said very hostile things. I think there were -- there might have been at least one occasion where such an interaction was taped; but, as I said, it happens frequently; and I've walked quite a bit, but I think there might be a

## tape.

Q. Did your lawyers ever -- after you put this in the Affidavit, did your lawyers ever ask to hear the tape?
A. I don't know. I don't keep track -- I don't keep possession of the tapes.
Q. Did your lawyers ever ask you to hear the tape?

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: BlackBerries, turn them off.

MR. KOFMAN: Are we off the record?
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: No, we're still on.
Continuing...
A. No.
Q. Okay.
A. I never mentioned it to them.

MR. KOFMAN: I'd like to make a request
for copies of any tapes, conversations.
Mark the record.
MR. CAMPION: You'll include that
in the letter you described yesterday?
MR. KOFMAN: Absolutely.
MR. CAMPION: Thank you. (Request.)

Page 216
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. What were some of the things -- who were the neighbors who yelled things at you?
A. I don't know their names and some of them might -- people who appear not to live necessarily where I walk waiting to run into me or whatever so --
Q. So there were people who were waiting for you on your walk?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Do you walk the same time every day?
A. Sometimes. I walk quite a bit, 8 to 12 -- I don't now. In the past year, I have not walked that much -- two years even -- but certainly before a year or before two years I was walking 8 to 12 miles a day.
Q. Anybody yell anything at you before August 2003?
A. No.
Q. What did they yell at you that -- that's referenced in this paragraph?
A. Well, "You're a cult. You're killing people.

You should be killed."
Nancy had her house being built. It was -the picture of it was in the paper, and there was a
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security system being installed so one person came up and said and also said to other people, "Oh, they
better have a security system in there. You guys are going to need it. I hope you get killed."
Q. Did they say that to you, or was that to Nancy?
A. To me.
Q. Okay.
A. And I believe they might have said that to

Nancy. Although Nancy's not under deposition right now, you may want to ask her.
Q. Did you report anything to the police?
A. We have tried at times to report things to police or have actions done like the indictment of Joe O'Hara. Most of the time we are ignored.
Q. Did you report any of these instances where people have yelled threatening things to you to the police?
A. No. It's -- I haven't had too many occasions where there's a threat from a person -- a given individual more than once or it's a chronic thing.
Q. And you didn't feel any of these threats were credible?
A. I feel they're credible. I feel there's nothing I can do about them.

Page 218 the police?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. It says here that you received a threatening e-mail addressed from a neighbor.
A. Yes.
Q. What did the e-mail say?
A. I don't remember offhand. There have actually been a number of threatening e-mails from neighbors. Normally they say things like, you know, "You're a cult. You're evil. We know where you live." They -- they make a lot of threats. "You better watch where you go," things like that.

They're normally nothing direct like, "We're going to kill you," although sometimes the verbal threats are that way.

There was a church in our area that I had also heard rumor made announcements to that effect.
Q. Made announcements to what effect?
A. That there was a cult in the area and that all the parishioners should be careful and watch out and -- a warning.
Q. What was the name of the church?
A. I don't remember. I know it was -- I could probably find out if I questioned.
Q. How did you hear about that?
A. There was a friend who had, I believe a janitor that worked not only in the church but was part of the parish.

And this is -- this is several levels of information.
Q. The e-mails, how do you know they were from neighbors? Did people give their names?
A. Sometimes.
Q. And the names you recognized as being neighbors?
A. Sometimes.
Q. What did you do with the e-mails?
A. They're on some computer, some hard drive that's probably long gone. When I did a search through my e-mails, I think that would have been something I would have turned over. I know that was something that would have been relevant, and they're not in my e-mails currently.
Q. Did you download any of these e-mails.
A. They automatically download if they're on the

Kunterre account. On the NXIVM account, they would have been held on the server except we had a virus attack the -- there was a time when a group was attacking Scientology, and it was claimed because of
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Rick Ross' site -- but I don't know if this is
true -- that we were viewed as a Scientology front so they attacked our server, and we had to change all of our hard drives and so a lot was lost.
Q. When was that?
A. I don't know. When was the date that there was -- it was -- it made major news, and it shut down our server. It was probably like a year-and-a-half ago.
Q. Did you print out any of the e-mails that were sent to -- any of the threatening e-mails?
A. Not for myself, but I believe some of them were printed out.
Q. By who?
A. Well, if they're sent on the NXIVM server, they're probab -- they were printed out by NXIVM. I didn't print out any of the e-mails that came to my personal e-mail.
Q. Did you consider them evidence in connection with this case?
A. No.
Q. You've been in litigation before, haven't you?
A. Yeah.
Q. Have you ever been instructed to preserve
documents?
A. I think relevant documents. Something where someone says you better watch out or whatever, it seems to me like a silly document especially if you -- you present a hundred of those documents when you have things that are far more egregious and far more demonstrable.
Q. It was relevant enough for you to put in this Affidavit, wasn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. But not relevant enough for you to save?
A. Because there are many of them.
Q. Did you save one of them?
A. There might be. I'm -- I'm not positive. I
know it is not on my computer. There may be a printout.

MR. KOFMAN: I would make a request for one of those documents.
(Request.)
Q. 'Cause you understand that without actually seeing that document, it's just your word that you received these?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. You have to respond verbally.
A. Oh, yes. I suspect because it is in this
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1 Affidavit there is probably a copy of it. I doubt I
would want it -- I would mention it if there wasn't such a thing.

MR. KOFMAN: It's been -- I'll put on the record that that's already -- that's been requested previously in this litigation as has the information pack and never been produced. I'll repeat the request now and follow up with a letter. (Request.)
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. You said at some point things have been thrown at you?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. You report those instances --

You have to answer with a "yes" or a "no."
A. Oh, yes.
Q. You report those instances to the police?
A. No.
Q. Same paragraph, Paragraph 5, under "Loss of key people."
A. Yes.
Q. It says, "We have even lost a 4 year veteran Principal Coach."
A. Yeah.
Q. Who are you referring to?

A. Peter Fallon.
Q. What was Mr. Fallon's rank in the group?
A. I believe he was a four-stripe coach.
Q. Okay. Is he a friend of yours?
A. Yes, he was.
Q. Did Mr. Fallon tell you why he was leaving?
A. Because I believe he said his wife had phone conversations with Rick Ross. His wife became very negative on the organization, and he did not want that conflict in his life.
Q. Did he indicate anything about the trade secrets being disclosed as a reason for him leaving? Strike that.

Back up. Was that a conversation he had with you or with someone else that was reported to you?
A. He said some of that to me, I believe, and I think he had numerous conversations with other people. I used to play volleyball with him.
Q. Did he -- have you had any contact with him since 2003?
A. Yes.
Q. Did NXIVM ever make an effort to have him come back?
A. Could you please define what you mean by
effort to come back?
Q. Did you ever ask him to come back to the program?
A. You mean take other courses? Do you mean come to a volleyball game? Do you mean --
Q. Come take courses.
A. I don't -- I don't think so. It may be possible because at times he's wanted to be informed of things and at times not.
Q. Okay. When he left, did he return any course materials to NXIVM?
A. I think he did. I'm not sure.
Q. Do you know one way or the other?
A. I don't know one way or the other.
Q. Did you ask him to return any materials?
A. I don't know. I -- do you consider him -you know, he is not an active coach; and we lost him in that way in that function. He is someone who is still friendly to us, and I'm not sure what his level of involvement is with respect to interfacing with the organization.
Q. Did Mr. Fallon indicate -- strike that.

So Mr. Fallon indicated the reason he was leaving was because of his wife and negative things she had heard about NXIVM?
A. And the negative press, I believe.
Q. Okay. Next in this "Loss of key people" it says, "Goldie Hawn cancelled her engagement with us next week because of the false press."
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever speak to Ms. Hawn?
A. No.
Q. Do you know who in the organization was dealing with her?
A. I'm trying to think who was dealing with her agent. I believe it was Barbara Bouchey.
Q. Did NXIVM suffer any monetary loss as a result of Goldie Hawn not coming to Vanguard Week?
A. Yes.
Q. How so?
A. We had paid Goldie Hawn's agent $\$ 50,000$, and Goldie Hawn's agent did not return the $\$ 50,000$ for something like two years. Goldie Hawn was very upset by this, did not think it was appropriate. It might have been an oversight.

If you call not having $\$ 50,000$ for two years some sort of a damage, then yes. There's also the damage of this being publicized because we keep most of our people except people who give us permission to say they're involved with the organization
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secret. So when it came out that Goldie Hawn was coming, which we would never advertise, people became fearful that they might be known or their identities might be revealed 'cause we hold those as secret, so we did have a good degree of damage from that.
Q. Looking at Paragraph 5 where it says a
billionaire network founder left, is that referring to Sheila Johnson?
A. Probably.
Q. Did you have a conversation with Ms. Johnson about her leaving?
A. No.
Q. Did she ever return to take NXIVM classes after this?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. Okay. Looking at Paragraph 8 of your Affidavit, what year did you graduate from RPI?
A. I think officially it was ' 82 .
Q. Why do you say "officially"?
A. Because I have three different degrees and they -- I think they consider the first degree -degree accruing in 1980. It's -- when I look and they -- like on yearbooks or alumni sites, they say that like I got a degree in ' 80 , and then I think
they might say one in '81 or ' 82.
Q. Okay. And those -- were those all Bachelor of Science degrees?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you hold any advance -- any Master's degrees?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever taken any classes for a

Master's degree?
A. Yes.
Q. When?
A. Starting when I first went to college when I was 17 .
Q. Did you decide not to pursue a Master's degree?
A. No. I -- I haven't made a decision yet.
Q. So you may still go back and get your Master's?
A. Sometime.
Q. Okay. And what were you taking class -Master's classes in?
A. Well, they were actually -- they're Ph.D. classes.
Q. Okay.
A. In mathematics and physics.
Q. Okay. Paragraph 11 you have -- the second sentence -- third sentence says, "To my knowledge I was the first 17 year old to take advanced 600 level mathematics courses in RPI's then 153 year history."
A. Yes.
Q. What's the basis for that knowledge?
A. Well, RPI has not only a registrar's office but has -- at the time, they had an organization called IPAC which was a student-run information service that would do research on odd questions. So when I was going through depositions with my other company with the State Corporation Commission and things like that, I needed to verify all of these different things. I needed to verify that I was in the Guinness Book of World Records. I needed to verify that I had three degrees from RPI. I needed to verify each of these claims. And we tried with respect to the claim of were there any other triple majors; and at that point, RPI had come back with no, that I was the first and that this, in fact, was true.
Q. Have you ever learned anything different?
A. No.
Q. Okay. How long did you work at MIT Bates Laboratory?

|  | Page 229 |  | Page 231 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. On and off for maybe a year. | 1 | There was Matol International. There was a |
| 2 | Q. What was your title there? | 2 | company called Nashika, I believe. |
| 3 | A. I was a research -- I was a research | 3 | Q. Did you have a supervisor or someone you |
| 4 | physicist and a systems programmer. | 4 | reported to in that -- as a contractor? |
| 5 | Q. Why did you leave MIT? | 5 | A. No. Most of these things are very loose |
| 6 | A. I never went to MIT. | 6 | structures. |
| 7 | Q. Why did you leave MIT Bates Laboratory? | 7 | Q. Looking at Paragraph 30 (sic), you refer to |
| 8 | A. Oh, because the physics group that I was | 8 | the founding of Consumers' Buyline. Who were the |
| 9 | working with discontinued their project there. We | 9 | four friends with whom you started Consumers' |
| 10 | were renting time on the accelerator to measure | 10 | Buyline? |
| 11 | particle instances. | 11 | MR. McGUIRE: What Paragraph? |
| 12 | Q. Were you employed by MIT -- | 12 | MR. KOFMAN: Paragraph 30. |
| 13 | A. No. | 13 | MR. McGUIRE: Thank you. |
| 14 | Q. -- by the Bates Laboratory, or were you a | 14 | Continuing... |
| 15 | contractor? | 15 | A. Kristin Keeffe was one of them, a gentleman |
| 16 | A. I was through RPI, and I was on a work-study | 16 | named Dave Bush was another, a woman named Pam |
| 17 | program. I'm not sure how that was funded, although | 17 | Cafritz was another and a woman named Linda Smith. |
| 18 | I do know that it was a joint project between MIT | 18 | Q. Okay. Are all of those individuals in -- |
| 19 | and RPI so the funding for my student item or | 19 | were all of those individuals involved in the |
| 20 | whatever it is may have come from there. I don't | 20 | founding of Executive Success Programs? |
| 21 | know. | 21 | A. No. |
| 22 | Q. In Paragraph 13 of your Affidavit you refer | 22 | Q. Who was not? |
| 23 | to something called "The Life Learning Institute." | 23 | A. Dave Bush was not, Linda Smith was not -- |
| 24 | A. Yeah. | 24 | when you say "involved in the founding" -- |
| 25 | Q. Was that ever founded? | 25 | Q. Were all of those individuals -- did all of |
|  | Page 230 |  | Page 232 |
| 1 | A. How do you define "founded"? | 1 | those individuals take classes with NXIVM? |
| 2 | Q. Did you ever -- did the Life ever -- Learning | 2 | A. No, only two of them. |
| 3 | Institute ever become operational? | 3 | Q. Kristin Keeffe and Ms. Cafritz? |
| 4 | A. No. | 4 | A. Oh, I'm sorry. Linda Smith has also taken |
| 5 | Q. Okay. What did you do for a living between | 5 | classes, so that's three. |
| 6 | 1984 and 1987? | 6 | Q. Okay. Paragraph 28, I'm just going back a |
| 7 | A. I worked for the State of New York. | 7 | little bit, you refer to a paper that you wrote that |
| 8 | Q. In what capacity? | 8 | "was circulated throughout my industry." |
| 9 | A. Well, I started out in the Department of | 9 | A. Uh-huh. |
| 10 | Labor as a computer programmer analyst; and then I | 10 | Q. What was the name of the paper? |
| 11 | moved over to the Division of Parole as their head | 11 | A. It didn't have a name. People at times |
| 12 | of microcomputer services, which is the same item | 12 | referred to it as the White Paper. |
| 13 | level but different responsibilities. | 13 | Q. Was it published anywhere? |
| 14 | Q. In Paragraph 18 of your Affidavit it says you | 14 | A. No. |
| 15 | became an independent contractor for marketing and | 15 | Q. How was it circulated? |
| 16 | sales organizations. What were the names of those | 16 | A. People photocopied it. |
| 17 | organizations? | 17 | Q. Did you distribute it? |
| 18 | A. Well, I think the one -- I mean, there were a | 18 | A. No. |
| 19 | number of them. | 19 | Q. Did you write it? What did you write -- what |
| 20 | Do you want me to go through the ones that I | 20 | was the purpose of you writing the paper? |
| 21 | remember? | 21 | A. I wrote the paper as an essay to give to |
| 22 | Q. Why don't you? Yeah. | 22 | certain reps so they would understand the way it |
| 23 | A. There was Prepaid Legal, there was TVC | 23 | worked. And they, unfortunately, took the liberty |
| 24 | Marketing. Let me see. Which thing was it saying | 24 | of copying it; and it was circulated, and it had an |
| 25 | in here? | 25 | impact. |

Q. Who were the people that you claim in Paragraph 28 threatened you?

THE WITNESS: This is a person who -MR. CAMPION: Answer the question.
A. He was the person at the time who was head of Main Street Alliance. He was a major distributor with a company called Fund America at one point. Howard Ruff his name is.
Q. Okay. What did he say to you?
A. Well, he gave -- he actually gave me two separate phone calls. The first phone call was sort of nice before the paper and before our growth. When he received the paper he said, "I don't know who you think you are. This industry sticks together. You need to watch your step. Your company is growing now, but you'll be history."

He was right.
Q. Okay. I see you've attached to your or you attach to your Affidavit various Exhibits, and I'm going to look at those.

Actually, before we do that, let's get back to Paragraph 43. How many months must one be observed in order to be a facilitator?
A. I don't know.
Q. Is it more than two?
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A. It depends on the person. Normally, yes.

There are some people that show a special aptitude or are motivated.
Q. Paragraph 44 on Page 14 you say that Mr. --
A. Paragraph 44 appears on Page 12 on my copy.
Q. And it continues through Page 14 --
A. Oh.
Q. -- so I'm looking at a portion that's on

Page 14.
A. Oh, okay.
Q. You say that Mr. Martin incorrectly states that orange sash is the highest rank.
A. Correct.
Q. What ranks -- what sashes are higher in rank than orange sash?
A. There is a green sash, there is a blue sash, there is a purple sash, there is a brown plain sash, then there's a brown sash that has a black trim.
Q. Uh-huh.
A. And then there is a brown sash that has like a checkerboard, and then there is a brown sash that has a brown top with black tails. Then there's a black sash.

Do you want me to go -- there are probably -there are more, a lot more.
Q. Does NXIVM have documents that explain all of these gradations?
A. I don't know if they have documents that explain all of the gradations. Some of them we have no one up at those ranks yet.
Q. And you said -- I think you said you had the highest sash rank. It was double white, was that --
A. Right.
Q. What sash rank is Ms. Salzman?
A. She is what's called a prefect. All the ranks, which the ranks I hadn't gotten to above what are called the black sash or the mentor sash, are more academic ranks. They are -- you go from mentor to a senior mentor to a chancellor which oversees schools to a -- what's called a prime and then ultimately to a prefect, which oversees all of the schools, and then you have senior prefects and things like that which we don't have yet.
Q. So it's somewhat similar to the robes that ac -- universities?
A. Academic.
Q. -- academic facilities would use?
A. The whole program was modeled after academics.
Q. Looking at Appendix D or Exhibit D, what I
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want you to look at, the very last sentence on Page 21.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. It says, "We have spent hundreds of thousands of dollars to protect themselves and this very valuable technology that is the culmination of thirty years of my life."

How did you determine that you had spent hundreds of thousands of dollars to protect trade -trade secrets?
A. Well, I mean, at this point, we've spent tens of millions.
Q. Would that include litigation costs?
A. I think, yeah, but I believe in this
particular case I had heard some things about the losses. I certainly knew directly of some of the losses. I know some of the things that had to be done to try to prevent those losses. I suspect when I said we spent hundreds of thousands, I would say that if it were -- if I knew pretty certainly it was between a million and 2 million, to underestimate it. I don't remember the exact way I derived that conclusion. I am sure at the date this Affidavit was signed that it is pretty simple to show it's hundreds of thousands or more.

## Page 237

Q. Did someone ever itemize for you what was spent to protect the trade secrets?
A. No. I think I itemized it myself.
Q. Is there any documents that exist that itemize what was done to protect the trade secrets?
A. I don't know.
Q. How was the hun -- how were the hundreds of thousands of dollars spent, on what?
A. I'm not exactly sure. Let's see. I am reading the paragraph for a second --
Q. Sure.
A. -- to see exactly what I'm referring to.

Okay. This talks about the trademarks and the copyrights on our materials and to protect them. I think I knew from Arlen, for example, that there were costs even involved with his protecting, trademarking the stuff, getting it registered or whatever that process is, doing the litigation.
Q. What litigation?
A. Well, there's the -- way back when there
was a copyright action that was filed separately, I believe, from the first action. I think it was a second action.
Q. So some of that includes money that was spent in this litigation?
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A. Let me read this to be sure that's what I was referring to.
Q. Okay.
A. I think that this could easily be referring to that because it says, "We have spent hundreds of thousands of dollars to protect ourselves and this very valuable technology that is a culmination of thirty years of my life."

I think there had to be different -- we had to hire consultants, people like that in order to figure out how to protect from further attacks, from further hemorrhaging from the release of this copywritten (sic) information. I mean, 55 percent of the Mission Statement was put out on the internet. And that's the only thing that I wrote so that's -- that's a difficult thing. That's not good.
Q. So were those all expenses -- those hundreds of thousands, was that all expenses that you incurred between the time this was posted on the internet and August 22, 2003?
A. If this was when the document was signed, yes.
Q. So the hundreds of thousands of dollars was what was spent between the time that information was
.
posted on the internet and August 22, 2003? That's what you were referring to?
A. Well, is this the date that this was filed, that I signed this Affidavit?
Q. You can take a look I think on Page 15 to refresh your recollection.
A. Well, whatever date this Affidavit was signed. This says March 9, 2006, is that -- I'm sorry. That's not when it was signed.

22nd day of August, yes, then that would be true.
Q. Okay.
A. Are we done with this Exhibit?
Q. We are.
(A discussion was held off the record.)
MR. KOFMAN: Let's mark this as
Raniere-12.
(First Principles' Third Amended
Responses to Defendant Stephanie Franco's Second Set of Interrogatories to First Principles was received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-12 for Identification.)
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Raniere-12 is titled First Principles' Third Amended Response to Defendant Stephanie Franco's

Second Set of Interrogatories to First Principles, and I know that's a mouthful.
A. Okay.
Q. Have you seen this document before?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. I'd like you to turn your attention to Page
21.
A. (Witness complies.)

Uh-huh.
Q. Do you see there it contains references to judgments that had been entered against you?
A. Under "Response" second paragraph it says,
"Without" -- can I read this?
Q. Sure, of course.
A. I don't know if I've ever read this. Okay.
Q. Does this refer to judgments that had been entered against you personally?
A. I don't know. I believe so.
Q. What was -- was a judgment entered in favor of Knox Woods Homeowners' Association against you?
A. I don't know about that.
Q. Do you recall an -- do you know who Knox

Woods Homeowners' Association is?
A. Yes.
Q. Who are they?
A. They're the condo association that they sort of do lawns. They're involved -- the sort of things that you get charges as if your dog goes and does bad business on someone else's lawn, there's a $\$ 50$ fine, things like that.
Q. Okay. Did -- do you have dues that you have to pay to them?
A. Yes, there are dues.
Q. Was the --
A. I don't know what they are.
Q. Was the judgment entered against you related to unpaid dues?
A. I have no idea. I don't pay the dues.
Q. Why not?
A. Because it's not my responsibility. I own half of the house that I live in. I am on the title half. I am not on the mortgage half. I paid money up front to put into the house as down payment and upgrades and options so I'm not financially between Karen and myself responsible for the homeowners' dues.
Q. That's Karen Unterreiner?
A. Unterreiner, yes. I believe that's tied into the mortgage, but I'm not sure.
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Q. Okay. Do you -- do you know what the amount of the judgment was?
A. No. I don't know of the judgment.
Q. The first time you're hearing about the judgment was today?
A. I think so.
Q. Okay. How about a judgment entered by New

York State Tax Department dated May 11, 1998?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you familiar with that?
A. Yes.
Q. What does that relate to?
A. When I was CEO of Consumers' Buyline -- and I
don't know how much you know about New York State or how the law works -- we had a printer, apparently, and this was very remote from me within the organization. We had purchased some materials that were printed in Connecticut, and there was some sort of use tax due that was not filed or some such thing on those printed things. It is my understanding that that generated a tax liability, and when a corporation is moribund it rolls over to the principals so there's a tax liability in my name.
Q. Okay. Did -- what was the amount of that?
A. I think it was very high. I think with --
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you know, I think it started out like 20 or $\$ 30,000$ because it was probably a few hundred thousand dollars of brochures, and I think over the years it's like a hundred thousand or something, something I can't pay.
Q. Has that been paid?
A. As far as I know, it hasn't. I don't
necessarily agree with the assessment. It was fought in audit and because we didn't have money and because the company was going under, we couldn't defend ourselves, and so the default was for it to roll over; but it is as it is.
Q. It is presently a debt of yours?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you consider it ethical not to have paid the debt?
A. Yes.
Q. Why?
A. Because without going into a lot of the details of the debt, one, I'm not sure that the debt was owed at all in the first place by Consumers' Buyline. It appeared not. Two, we were not because of lack of funds and certain incompetencies I think on both sides, both the tax department and our side, did not get to go through due process with it. And
then it was rolled over unexpectedly. I was not aware that that would even happen. At this point in time, ethical or not, I would not have the money to pay that debt.
Q. How about -- the third thing I think identified is L.R. Credit Fine LLC, and it's a judgment dated November 14, 2005.

Do you know what that relates to?
A. No.
Q. You never heard of L.R. Credit Fine LLC?
A. No. I can hypothesize.
Q. What's your understanding?
A. There is a woman, Toni Natalie, who what I believe took a credit card, actually signed something in my name and ran up charges. She -- we went through bankruptcy litigation with her to try to reveal that this had happened, but that debt was transferred I think through a number of different organizations that shuffled the paper. At one point, I had objected to it; but I think that this is probably a result of that, although I'm not sure.
Q. Have you made any -- were you aware of this judgment before today?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever report to a credit card company
that Toni Natalie had used your credit card without permission?
A. Yes.
Q. What was their response?
A. Okay. They wanted me to send them
documentation. I sent them documentation, heard nothing from them for a long time and then heard from another company that had purchased, whatever, the paperwork; and I don't know how it went from there. Just it's an ongoing thing.
Q. Okay. That's all for that document.

MR. KOFMAN: Mark this as Raniere -- is
it 12 or 13 ?
THE REPORTER: 13.
MR. KOFMAN: 13.
(12 Point Mission Statement Bates stamped SF 00329 was received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-13 for Identification.)
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Raniere-13 is a document entitled "12 Point Mission Statement By Keith Raniere."

Do you recognize this document?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that the Mission Statement that you wrote?
A. This appears to be the Mission Statement I
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wrote, yes.
Q. Looking at the next-to-last Mission

Statement, the sentence, "I pledge to ethically control as much of the money, wealth and resources of the world as possible within my success plan" --
A. Uh-huh.
Q. -- can you explain to me what that means? THE WITNESS: Well, this is under confidence; yes? MR. CAMPION: It is.
A. Okay. If you think of the value of money -if you think of the value of money, and money is made valuable by people's efforts, the reason why money can work is if people are willing to work for it. And a metaphor that is used is if there was a slave auction downtown and slaves were for sale, you know, would you go and buy them? And a lot of people say, "Oh, I'd have nothing to do with it, nothing to do with it." But wouldn't you buy them to set them free?

Now, if there's a certain amount of money in the world and there's people's efforts that are used to back it, if that money is controlled by people who are not ethical, those efforts are being harnessed in a not ethical way. I believe that if
the world -- if the monies and the efforts of the people were respected in the world and that was controlled ethically, the world would be a better place.
Q. What's the phrase, "within my success plan" mean?
A. That means that whatever a person sees in life -- you're not saying to the person, "Look, you must earn a lot of money and you must control the money."

It is within what you think, you envision yourself in the world, what your -- your vision of success for yourself is. You will hold the efforts that people back money with as something sacred, and that money will not be just disregarded.
Q. Okay. Do you have a success plan?
A. Yes.
Q. What is your success plan?
A. To try to inspire joy in the world, and it changes. Right now, to try to inspire a nonviolent conflict resolution in Mexico. I devote quite a bit of thought and effort to that.

I've worked on a program called Human
Essentials which are practices that all the different people, classes within Mexico can do that
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will inspire nonviolent conflict resolution. And I
can go into more detail if you want.
Q. Is it fair to say your success plan doesn't include getting enough money to pay the State of New York tax obligation?

MR. CAMPION: I object to the form of that question.

Counsel, you know better than that.
MR. McGUIRE: Join.
MR. KOFMAN: I'll withdraw the question. BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Looking at the next Mission Statement -- and, by the way, what is -- can you explain to me just generally what the Mission Statement is?
A. Originally the Mission Statement was an expression. It's more of a poem in some ways. it's -- I wrote it pretty quickly, and it contains numerous errors. We've actually had not only numerous suggestions but a possibility of changing different things, but it's seen in itself as a -more of a poetic expression. It expresses many of the ideas in ESP, but it also expresses some of the legal con -- the legal concepts of confidentiality that are important, and we want to be sure that people not only in signing agreements but in a
written sense under -- in a verbal sense understand that this information is confidential and to be guarded. It was our intent when we began ESP to find every way possible to guard the information as confidential, as our private property, and it was incorporated in this.
Q. Would you agree that these are fundamental precepts of the organization?
A. I'm not sure what you mean by "fundamental precepts."
Q. Okay. Am I correct that the 12 Point Mission Statement is recited before each class at NXIVM?
A. Yes, unless they're in a group of classes.

Then it's recited once.
Q. Okay. Looking at the last --
A. I need to make an amendment to that.
Q. Sure.
A. In certain programs. There are certain programs where it is not recited.
Q. Which programs is it recited in?
A. Ethos, the Intensive; but not Origins. You know, I don't know if it's recited in the Ethicist training. It won't be recited in Human Essentials.
Q. Looking at the last paragraph of the Mission Statement, the last sentence says, "I pledge to
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share and enroll people in ESP and its mission for myself and to help make the world a better place to live."
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Can someone fulfill the mission of NXIVM without seeking to enroll other people in the course?
A. Actually, yes --
Q. Where does --
A. But --
Q. Does it say that anywhere here?
A. But it depends on how you define "enrollment."

The word enrollment can be to go out and actively try to recruit, but the enrollment in the way that we use it happens naturally when you believe in something. If I go out and I -- I'm a vegetarian, for example. I enroll people in vegetarianism. Whether they become a vegetarian or not -- whether my attorneys become a vegetarian or not, they see me genuinely engaged in that; and either I stand as an example for someone to do it or not to do it or not enough of an example to change where they are, but that's the nature of enrollment.
Q. So in this sense, the term -- when you use
the term "enroll," it can include a passive act?
A. Yes, absolutely.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me. We have to change tapes.

MR. KOFMAN: Okay.
(A discussion was held off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record. The time is 11:54.

MR. KOFMAN: Please mark this as
Raniere-14.
(Confidential five-page memorandum to
Keith Raniere, Nancy Salzman from Joseph J. O'Hara
dated March 1, 2004, was received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-14 for Identification.) BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. I'm going to show you a document that we've marked as Raniere-14. It is a four-page (sic) document that was produced to us in discovery, and it claims to be a Memorandum from Joseph O --
J. O'Hara to Keith Raniere and Nancy Salzman.
A. Yes.
Q. Have you seen that document before?
A. I'm not exactly sure.
Q. Does that mean you don't recall?
A. There are two comments. Without reading this
document, was this produced by Joe O'Hara, or was this produced by us?
Q. It's got a Bates stamp number indicating that it was pro -- strike that.

Would that make a difference as to whether or not you've seen it before?
A. That would make a difference as to whether or not I would trust that the document has integrity.
Q. Can you explain that?
A. I believe that Joe O'Hara is not honest.
Q. Okay. Looking at that document, can you tell whether you've seen it before, without knowing when it was produced and whether -- who produced it?
A. It's hard to tell and it's quite a long document so...
Q. Anything in that document ring a bell that you've seen this before?

You can take your time and read it.
A. Yes. I've seen something I believe like this before.
Q. Would that have been back in 2004?
A. It sounds like a possible date. I'm not
sure. Joe O'Hara had taken a VIP training program with his girlfriend who at the time was, well, pretty dominant of him and pretty hostile; so I
think it was 2004, although I'm not positive.
Q. Is it -- when you bring up his girlfriend, you're saying that she may have influenced his perceptions of the group?
A. I definitely believe not only that she
influenced his perceptions of the group but maybe influenced many of his actions.
Q. Did you or Ms. Salzman ask Mr. O'Hara to take the class, the VIP training class?
A. I did not. I don't know if she did.
Q. Did you attend the training session that he refers to which took place between February 18 through February 22, 2004?
A. No. It -- yeah -- no, I have never attended a training in New York City.
Q. Looking at this, on Page 2 he refers to Content.
A. Page 2, Content, yes, Number III.
Q. If you look --
A. Section III.
Q. And then if you look on the next page, it lists a number of items. The next page, Item 4 --
A. Go ahead.
Q. -- concerns the Explorations of Meaning sessions.
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Do you recall reading that paragraph in 2004?
A. No, but I may have. I have -- I agree and -let's see.
(Witness reads to himself.)
I don't know. I think I had a
conversation with Joe at one point where he asked what I thought in that, and I think that was pretty resolved so I -- you know, this may have been in this document. I'm not sure.
Q. When you say, "that was pretty resolved," let me be clear. He's writing here about, "Exploration of Meaning (EM) sessions may have gone over-the-line in terms of what constitutes counseling/therapy."
A. Uh-huh.
Q. What's your -- how do you understand that that issue was resolved?
A. Well, the question is in New York State and different states what constitutes psychology; and his ultimate advice to us after consulting the laws but not just in New York State but in numerous states was that it does not go over the line.
Q. Okay. Do you know if he put that advice in a written document?
A. I don't know. I do know if it went over the line, we would have changed it; and I don't know if
we changed it, but I don't think so.
Q. Okay. Paragraph 6 he refers to the ESP philosophy taking on too many "big time opponents" at once (e.g., Professional Sports, the U.S. Tax System, Labor Unions, Attorneys General, Religion, et cetera.

What is taught in NXIVM classes about professional sports?
A. Now, I don't know what he's talking about.

At times, Joe was extremely erratic. At the time when I interacted with Joe, I had hoped that it was just honest erraticism; but after we found out that he was stealing money from us, it sheds it in a different light, and I do believe he was stealing money from us.
Q. Do you know one way or the other as to whether anything was represented in NXIVM training sessions about professional sports?
A. Probably somewhere.
Q. Do you know what's represented?
A. No.
Q. How about the U.S. tax system? You mentioned yesterday that you had opinions about that -- about the tax system. Are those expressed in the NXIVM training sessions?
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A. I don't know if it's expressed in the curriculum, but people have asked me in forums.
Q. How about labor unions? Were those discussed either in the curriculum or in forums?
A. I think in Shifter, we don't necessarily talk about labor unions, per se, but we may reference a union or a charity. I know we referenced things like that to show how, for example, a not-for-profit can be used as a device to cheat by a malintended person.
Q. And is it your -- was it represented by you that labor unions are devices to cheat?
A. No.
Q. What is said about Attorneys General in NXIVM training sessions, either in the curricula or the forum?
A. Well, as far as the curriculum goes, I don't think there's anything. As far as the forum goes, there have probably been questions relating to Attorneys General.
Q. Such as?
A. I, you know, what do I feel about government; what do I feel about capitalism; what do I feel about socialism, you know, the current structure. What do you think about having a District Attorney
prosecute crime. Should it be privatized; should it not be privatized. I mean, there are many contexts it can come up.
Q. Have you been asked specifically what about -- what do you think about attorney -- State Attorney Generals?
A. I don't know. I don't remember it.
Q. Have you been asked about your experience with States' Attorneys General in connection with Consumers' Buyline?
A. Yeah. I'm not -- I don't recall, but I imagine I would be.
Q. And what was your -- what would have been your response?
A. Well, State Attorney Generals are politically-appointed positions. They normally have as arms and legs people who are career people working through them, so at times Attorney Generals are politically motivated. Sometimes they have aspirations for higher positions. Sometimes they are people who have worked hard to get to the position to make changes and make good changes.

It's interesting. There is an organization of Attorney Generals called NAAG, N-A-A-G, which is the National Association of Attorneys General. I --
it is my belief -- I met some Attorneys General --
Attorney Generals that I thought were great people. I thought they were great in what they did, and I thought it was an important position. I think the position of Attorney General is an extremely important position, but I don't necessarily think the people that get into that position always live up to it.
Q. And is that understand -- is that
understanding based on your own experiences with Consumers' Buyline?
A. I met some Attorneys General that it was my opinion that they were great, and I met others that I felt at least with respect to us were more politically motivated.
Q. I'd like you to look on this document at Paragraph 8. It's on Page 3, and it carries over to Page 4.
A. Okay, yes.
Q. And he refers to a number of representations that he claims to have heard at this training session.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Have you made any of those representations in NXIVM training sessions?
A. Except for the one "Our School," I don't find any of those representations true or -- that's not something I would say, and some of them I would blatantly disagree with but --
Q. Have you ever heard anyone conducting a NXIVM training session make these representations?
A. People that conduct NXIVM training sessions have a certain degree of freedom to offer their own opinions and things like that. I certainly would not uphold these representations being made, and I have spoken out against most of them except I don't understand the representation "Our School," except maybe he believes that -- I mean, I don't think we're a school, per se, so if someone said the word "school," it's something that I would discourage and that wouldn't be correct, you know, and I slip into saying things like school, also, so that's a
possibility. It's always a difficult thing.
You know, we're an organization. We provide training. We provide education to a degree, but certainly cancer being caused by self-esteem, I would -- that would probably be cause for ethical review if someone said such a thing.
Q. Have you heard someone say at a NXIVM training session, "We could not re-build the

Pyramids in Egypt" without (sic) existing
construction -- "with our existing construction technology and techniques"?
A. Well, I believe it's unknown if we could.

They've done some actually interesting things trying
to do that. I don't think it's per se part of NXIVM training but...
Q. With all due respect, that's not my question.

My question is have you heard someone say that at a NXIVM training session?
A. Not that I can recall.
Q. Okay. Have you heard someone say that

Keith Raniere is the smartest man in the world --
A. No.
Q. -- at a training session?
A. No.
Q. Have you heard someone say at a training session that psychotherapy doesn't work?
A. I might have heard students say things like that.
Q. Okay.
A. By the way, I believe psychotherapy does work.
Q. Does?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Looking under -- on Page 4 under "Miscellaneous," he says, "I think that no animals should be allowed to 'sit in' on the training. In this regard, the liability potential concerning such animals greatly outweighs their 'cute factor.'"

Ever come to your attention that animals were sitting in on the NXIVM training sessions?
A. There was a blind woman who had a dog, and that dog sat in on NXIVM training sessions that she was involved in. She was a student. I don't know
-- I don't think she ever decided to become a coach, but I felt that in that case it was important.
Q. Do you know if she was at this VIP training center in February?
A. No. She was not, I believe. I think she's only gone to trainings in Albany.
Q. Have you ever heard of any other instance in which an animal was allowed to sit in on a training session?
A. You mean animals as in furry things, not people. I'm teasing.

No.
Q. No?
A. No.
Q. Okay. You can put that document down.
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MR. KOFMAN: What time is it? I've got one more area.

MR. CAMPION: Ten after.
MR. KOFMAN: All right.
MR. McGUIRE: After 6.
MR. LEONARD: It only feels that way. BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Are you aware of any instances in which individuals have had breakdowns after doing an Exploration of Meaning session?
A. After doing an Exploration of Meaning
session, no, and I would ask that you define
"breakdowns."
Q. Have had some sort of psychotic episode after taking your class.
A. That's a different question.
Q. I understand.
A. I'm not capable of evaluating psychotic episodes. I have known of instances where one woman in particular was in a training -- and, actually, there were doctors in the training, too. She was brought to a hospital in Albany and evaluated by a psychiatrist there. From my understanding, the psychiatrist thought she was fine.
Q. Okay.
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A. Then she went back to her hotel and at a later point -- I think it was that same night -continued and was taken and then evaluated as having -- I don't know if it was a psychotic episode but it may well have been.
Q. Does NXIVM have medical personnel on hand at its Intensives?
A. At times.
Q. But it's not a requirement?
A. It is not a requirement.
Q. Okay. I'd like to go back. Yesterday we talked a little bit about the Student Enrollment Application and I think at that time --
A. Are we done with this document?
Q. Yes, we are.

At that time, you indicated to me that you were having a little difficulty reading --
A. Yes.
Q. -- the one I produced to you. So I've gotten a copy where the Student Terms and Conditions are clearer.
A. Thank you.
Q. It's a different document which I'd like to mark as Raniere 14 or 15 ?

MR. McGUIRE: 15.

MR. KOFMAN: 15.
(One-page Student Enrollment Application for Aaron Kassin marked Confidential was received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-15 for Identification.) BY MR. KOFMAN:

> Q. Raniere-15 is a one-page document. It says Student Enrollment Application of Aaron Kassin, and it was produced to us as a single page.

MR. McGUIRE: You got another copy over there?

MR. KOFMAN: Did I not produce it over on this side, or are there any extras?

MR. LEONARD: We're okay.
Q. Is that at least the first page of the form of Student Enrollment Application that NXIVM uses?
A. I can't tell. It looks like the bottom was cut off, but probably just a photocopying error. It doesn't have a revision date.
Q. Taking a look under "Student Terms and Conditions" at Paragraph 1 --
A. Yes.
Q. -- it says, "These materials, methods and information cannot be copied, duplicated, transmitted, taught or otherwise used" --
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A. Hold on. I'm in the wrong place.

Okay. I'm sorry. Yes, I'm with you.
Q. What are you referring to as the "materials" there in that sentence?
A. It says, "All materials, methods and information contained in and represented through Executive Success Programs (ESP) are essential assets of ESP acquired at great time and expense."

So I guess that's all materials, methods and information.
Q. What do you mean by the term "materials"?
A. When you say what do I mean, I am not the person who finally wrote this. This is a lawyer.
Q. Okay. You did review it, though, with a lawyer; correct?
A. I probably -- I -- I don't think I reviewed it with a lawyer. I believe I put in certain things from my other company that went into a hopper, and the lawyer came out with the final stuff.
Q. Did you review it after the lawyer came out with the final stuff?
A. Doubtful.
Q. Okay. Were you concerned as to that it be sufficiently protective?
A. Yes.
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Q. But you didn't review it after the lawyer drafted it?
A. I don't feel I'm good enough.
Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding sitting
here today what's meant by the term "materials"?
A. I think I do. I mean, from a layperson's point of view, it appears to be everything.
Q. "Everything" meaning what?
A. Any materials, any papers that I get, any
pictures, any -- I don't know -- tapes, any -- it
says "methods" also and "information" which means nonverbal, verbal; any data.
Q. So the information can include things that are nonverbal?
A. I imagine.
Q. Such as what?
A. If someone, for example, was doing an artistic presentation and they did a certain move, that probably would be considered that information.
Q. And they could not disclose that move outside of the walls of NXIVM?
A. Yeah, probably.
Q. Would it be safe to say that information is
anything that they hear during the course of a NXIVM training session --
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A. Yes, I --
Q. -- or see?
A. From a layperson's perspective, yes.
Q. Okay, and it says that the infor -"materials, methods and information cannot be copied, duplicated, transmitted, taught or otherwise used."

What do you understand the phrase "otherwise used" to mean?
A. Well, on an ethical level -- if you're commenting on the rule, it means that the first portion of this clause, the "materials, methods and information cannot be copied, duplicated, transmitted, taught." In other words, I'm taking materials and somehow getting them over to you; and then I'm also potentially using them, and whatever is not covered in what is listed is "or otherwise used." So not only transmitted but also transformed, also utilized for other purposes at all. I think it's somewhat inclusive.
Q. Would "use" include talking about it with family members?
A. Certain aspects. You know, talking about my experience is one thing. Talking -- telling my family members what the materials are is another.
Q. Would this paragraph prohibit me from going to my wife after I took one of your training sessions and saying, you know, I saw this guy named Keith Raniere, and he wants me to call him Vanguard and bow down to him when he walks in the room; is that -- would that violate this paragraph?
A. In ethic or --

MR. CAMPION: Object to the form of that question.
Q. Would it be your understanding that that would violate this paragraph?
A. In ethic or in rule?
Q. In rule.
A. Probably.
Q. Okay.
A. But we are an ethical organization, and that's why and what we instruct the attorneys to do is try to make these in such a way that it allows for that. When a person comes in and takes the course, they sign a long form which is more explicit about derivative works and things like that. So we encourage people to go and talk about their experiences, both positive and negative, with their families and they do.
Q. But that might violate the strict terms of

|  | Page 269 |  | Page 271 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | this paragraph? | 1 | AFTERNOON SESSION |
| 2 | A. Well, if they were to go and reveal things | 2 |  |
| 3 | that were trade secrets, it might. What you said, | 3 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the |
| 4 | depending on a number of circumstances, might | 4 | record at 1:17. |
| 5 | violate the rule of this but certainly not the | 5 |  |
| 6 | ethic. | 6 | KEITH ALAN RANIERE, previously |
| 7 | Q. Would it depend upon the person's intent? | 7 | sworn, resumed the stand and testifies on his oath |
| 8 | A. It depends on more than that, but yes. | 8 | as follows: |
| 9 | Q. That would be one factor? | 9 |  |
| 10 | A. Yes. | 10 | CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOFMAN: |
| 11 | Q. What would the other things it depends on be? | 11 | Q. Okay. Mr. Raniere, I have a few more |
| 12 | A. Are they a competitor or not and have they | 12 | questions for you before concluding my portion of |
| 13 | come into the program under provisional means so | 13 | the deposition. |
| 14 | that if they start describing this to their wife, | 14 | I want to make sure I understand a few areas. |
| 15 | for example, who might be a therapist or might be a | 15 | Did any of your attorneys in this case ever instruct |
| 16 | trainer for Anthony Robbins or something like that | 16 | you to preserve documents? |
| 17 | and you gave me a statement, and the actual | 17 | A. No, I don't believe so. Instructed that if I |
| 18 | statement you gave me I suspect would not be a | 18 | had any e-mails they shouldn't be deleted. I should |
| 19 | problem under the Rules, but a generalized form of | 19 | go through them or -- |
| 20 | that statement where someone starts to say, "This | 20 | Q. Okay. Who gave you that instruction? |
| 21 | question was asked. This specifically is what | 21 | A. Bob Leonard. |
| 22 | happened," may violate it if it is a competitor | 22 | Q. Okay. Anybody before then? |
| 23 | trans -- or transmitting it to a competitor who | 23 | A. I didn't have any attorneys in this case |
| 24 | happens to be the person's wife. | 24 | before then. |
| 25 | Q. So two different people can say the same | 25 | Q. Did any of NXIVM's attorneys ever give you |
|  | Page 270 |  | Page 272 |
| 1 | thing, and in one case it would violate the rule and | 1 | that information? |
| 2 | in one case it would not? | 2 | A. No. |
| 3 | A. Yes. | 3 | Q. Did anybody -- |
| 4 | Q. Okay. | 4 | A. Not specifically. |
| 5 | MR. KOFMAN: I have no other questions | 5 | Q. Did anybody from NXIVM -- did anyone from |
| 6 | at this point. Let's -- maybe we should take our | 6 | NXIVM itself ever ask you to preserve documents or |
| 7 | lunch break. | 7 | e-mails? |
| 8 | MR. CAMPION: Sure. | 8 | A. No. |
| 9 | MR. KOFMAN: I'll check my notes and see | 9 | Q. Did Kristin Keeffe ever show you copies of |
| 10 | if I have anything in follow-up. | 10 | the document production requests that were served in |
| 11 | MR. CAMPION: Back here at 1:15. | 11 | this matter? |
| 12 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record | 12 | A. She's shown me a number of different things, |
| 13 | at 12:20. | 13 | things that related to me. I -- I'm sure I didn't |
| 14 |  | 14 | see the whole document request, but I know that |
| 15 | (Witness excused.) | 15 | there were -- there was a document request for |
| 16 | (At this point, the luncheon recess was | 16 | things from Nycap@rr.com. |
| 17 | taken.) | 17 | Q. Any other requests that you believe she |
| 18 |  | 18 | showed you? |
| 19 |  | 19 | A. Well, there is a document request of me. |
| 20 |  | 20 | That's all I know. |
| 21 |  | 21 | Q. How about document requests directed to |
| 22 |  | 22 | NXIVM? Did she show you any of those? |
| 23 |  | 23 | A. No. |
| 24 |  | 24 | Q. I'm sorry. You have to answer verbally. |
| 25 |  | 25 | A. Oh, no. |

Q. Did she read to you over the phone or communicate orally to ask you to look for documents that had been requested of NXIVM?
A. She's requested me to look for certain things, but a lot of the times she would request something I don't have them, for example, audio recordings or things like that.
Q. Did she -- when she would ask you to look for certain things, how would she communicate with you? Was it in person or over the phone?
A. Either or.
Q. Okay. Did anyone from -- anyone assist you in looking for responsive documents or materials?
A. I'm not sure because there was a storage bin that has my stuff in it that I allowed NXIVM people to go through. So I did not, per se, look for it;
but anything that was in there would have been given over.
Q. Where is the storage bin located?
A. I'm not the person who rents it, but it has my stuff in it. I think it's Exit 9 Storage, but I'm not sure. There is a few different storage facilities.
Q. And this is -- do you know who from NXIVM went through it?
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A. No.
Q. This is material that's in addition to what you keep at your home?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Did anyone assist you in looking for materials in your home?
A. No.
Q. Okay. It was you alone who did the search?
A. Yes.
Q. Where does NXIVM presently maintain offices?
A. I don't know all the places. There is an office in Upstate New York. There's -- I think there's an office near -- near the 455 New Karner Road complex. I think there's an office in Monterrey, Mexico. I think there's also an office in Mexico City, but those are not directly NXIVM offices, I believe. I think they're offices in some sort of either franchise agreement or something like that. I'm not sure of the nature of that agreement.
Q. The office in Upstate New York, where is that located?
A. I'm not exactly sure.
Q. Any idea what city or county?
A. I think it's in Niagara. Yeah, I think it's in Niagara.
Q. Is that -- what's that office used for?
A. I don't know.
Q. Have you ever submitted Rational Inquiry Method to peer review?
A. No, not that I -- not in any form.
Q. Have you ever written anything about it to scientific journals?
A. To scientific journals. I don't know if that's considered a scientific journal, possibly.
Q. What -- what are you thinking of?
A. There is a -- a magazine that is distributed to the academic community. I would probably not refer to it as a journal, but I've written articles in there.
Q. What's the name of the magazine?
A. Conocimiento is it, I think. It's Spanish.

I don't speak Spanish.
Q. Somebody translated the articles into Spanish for you?
A. Yes.
Q. When did you start writing articles for Conocimiento?
A. About three years ago, I think.
Q. Did you get paid for these articles?
A. No.
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Q. I'm sorry. You have to speak up.
A. Oh, I'm sorry. No.
Q. And how many articles would you say you've written?
A. Um, there are two primary ways that I write an article. One, I write it directly myself; and the other I write it in collaboration with someone where I download the concepts, so to speak. I speak with them about some of the philosophical things in the article, some of the structure of the article, and they write it. Sometimes I will write portions of those, so that's how the articles are normally written.
Q. Are all of the articles in your name, or do you share a byline with someone on any of them?
A. I share a byline with people on some of them.
Q. Who are some of the people you share bylines with?
A. Ivy Nevares spelled Ivy, I-v-y.
Q. I think you mentioned her yesterday.

Anyone else?
A. Farouk Rojas translates, and as a translator he and Ivy who are both bilingual may change content
to some degree. So he's up in the byline, too, but as a translator.
Q. Where is Conocimiento published?
A. I believe it's Monterrey, Mexico.
Q. How did you come to write articles for -- on Rational Inquiry Method for Conocimiento?
A. The head of Conocimiento's name is Luis Todd who he's like the representative to UNESCO in the United Nations. He's head of one of the major university medical schools. He -- in front of the book, actually, there is his biography. He opened something like 60 different majors, and he is a prominent person.

He took our course and believes very strongly in what we do, so he wrote the Forward to the book that I published and also allows us to have a column, if you will, or an article section within his magazine.
Q. How many of these columns have you written?
A. Oh, over three years I've written probably about -- I'm guessing -- 70.
Q. Have you retained copies of these articles?
A. Yes.

MR. KOFMAN: Okay. I'm going to make a request for copies of the articles that Mr. Raniere has written for Conocimiento, and I'll follow that up in the letter.
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MR. CAMPION: We'll consider it then, yes.

MR. KOFMAN: Okay.
(Request.)
THE WITNESS: They are not to be distributed outside of this, yes?

MR. KOFMAN: They would be subject -your attorneys have the right to designate them as confidential pursuant to the Order entered by the Court.

THE WITNESS: Also the Forward?
MR. CAMPION: We're going to discuss that later.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
BY MR. KOFMAN:
Q. Okay. You mentioned that I believe that you published a book.
A. Yes.
Q. What's the name of the book?
A. The Odin and the Sphinx.
Q. What is it?
A. Odin and the Sphinx.
Q. What's the name of the publisher?
A. Ethical Publishing.
Q. Is that an in print that you're involved
with?
A. I don't know what you mean by "involved with."
Q. Are you familiar with the term Vanity Press?
A. No.
Q. Does Ethical Press publish -- has Ethical Press published any books other than The Odin and the Sphinx?
A. No.
Q. It's no?
A. No. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Have you received any royalties from publication of this?
A. No.
Q. And you indicated that -- strike that. Is this a work of fiction or nonfiction?
A. I think it's probably both. There are -it's a compendium of articles. Some of them are more fictional. Some of them are more academic. Some of them are quite academic, and some of them are quite fictional.
Q. Okay. Are these books sold at NXIVM training centers?
A. I imagine so. I don't know for sure.
Q. Have you received any royalties from the
book?
A. No.
Q. Do you have any agreement to receive royalties?
A. No.
Q. Does the book or any of the articles in the book discuss the Rational Inquiry Method?
A. Superficially probably. I mean, it is mentioned.
Q. Okay. Do any of the articles that you've written for Conocimiento contain what you'd consider trade secrets of NXIVM?
A. No.
Q. Does it discuss particulars of the Rational Inquiry Method?
A. No.
Q. How about The Odin and the Sphinx, does that contain any trade secrets?
A. Those are articles. No.
Q. When was the book published, Odin and the Sphinx?
A. A year ago, something like that. I am not sure of the exact date.
Q. Do you know how many copies were published?
A. I think there was -- it's a small initial run
like 5,000 or something.
Q. Do you maintain copies?
A. I have a few.

MR. KOFMAN: I'm going to make a request for that as well.

MR. CAMPION: Put it in the letter.
MR. KOFMAN: Okay.
(Request.)
Q. Who wrote the Forward to that book? Is that Mr. Todd?
A. Luis Todd, yes.
Q. Have you ever been -- strike that.

Is there a reason that you haven't submitted Rational Inquiry Method to peer review?
A. I think there are several reasons. We want to have more solid research into it. The research and things like that we've done is preliminary, and also because of this lawsuit there are a lot of restrictions and constrictions relating to that.
Q. When you say the research that you've done is preliminary, what do you mean?
A. The psychological assay, the psych -- what you call maybe the psychological study, it's just sort of an entry point into research. You know, when you do research the first thing you want to
find out if there's a legitimate effect, and then you want to examine the legitimate effect so that's the nature of it.
Q. And do you have -- is it your understanding the jury is still out as to whether there's a legitimate effect from the Rational Inquiry Method?
A. I think there's a legitimate effect.
Q. But has that been demonstrated by research?
A. I think it's been demonstrated by the study, and the study only examines a limited portion. We do -- we are set up to do some brain research, which we will do, and that will give much more hard data.
Q. Is that Mr. Solomon's study?
A. Mr. Solomon's study is the psychological study that I'm referring to, yes.
Q. And when you say it only does a limited portion, what do you mean, his study? A limited portion of the modules?
A. It's giving -- well, it is -- it's a limited portion of the modules, limited -- there are, you know, many limitations when you do something like that. It does not study various aspects of the technology.
Q. Okay. Have you ever been asked to by anyone at NXIVM to identify --
A. I'm sorry. I didn't hear.
Q. I'm sorry. Have you ever been asked by anyone at NXIVM to identify who NXIVM's competitors are?
A. I've been asked what my opinions are on that, yes.
Q. Who were you asked that by?
A. Arlen, I think Kristin, I think I have had a discussion with Nancy about it. I think not pertaining to a legal case at all various other people who are marketers.
Q. And what have you told those people other than your attorney, or other than Arlen Olsen what have you told them as to who NXIVM's competitors are?
A. Well, I think as a general rule, as I expressed before, anyone who wants to increase joy.
Q. Okay. Have you ever made a list of who specific -- who are -- what are specific entities that are competitors?
A. No. I think I've spoken of people and directed people on how to find -- like, for example, people in the -- in the Forbes article there were a number of firms that were seen as competitors to us that were -- we were nestled in as far as in a list.
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that were -- we were nestled in as far as in a list.

So I directed people. I said, "Well, you can look there. There are a bunch of those," and things like that.
Q. Where else did you tell people to look?
A. Well, I think any -- any of those fields, any of the fields that stem from them. Any of -- we've done corporate trainings. Any of the corporations, those vertical markets, things like that.
Q. So corporations -- I'm sorry. Let me make sure I understand this. A corporation that you've done training for could be a competitor?
A. No, people who would also train those corporations. I'm sorry.
Q. Okay. When you do a corporate training, do you use the ration -- do you give them -- what courses do you teach when you do corporate training?
A. It depends on the corporation.
Q. Would it be something different than the Intensives?
A. It can be.
Q. You would not -- have you done any 16-day Intensives for corporations?
A. I'm not sure.

MR. KOFMAN: Okay. At this point, I don't have any more questions. Thank you for your
just so we understand each other. When I say
"NXIVM," I'll be referring to NXIVM Corporation and
Executive Success Programs collectively. If you
ever have an answer that relates to only one of
those two, tell me.

If I say "the NXIVM defendants," I'm referring to NXIVM Corporation, Executive Success Programs, Kristin Keeffe, Nancy Salzman and yourself
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collectively. I understand that you have, you know, certain positions with respect to what your
connection to NXIVM are, but this is just
terminology. I'm talking about all of them. If
your answer requires you to delineate between them, please do so.

Again, as Mr. Kofman said, if you answer my question, I'm going to assume that you understand it. If you don't understand it, let me know, and
I'll try to rephrase it.
Have you ever heard of a man named
Phil Robertson?
A. The name sounds familiar.
Q. Do you know whether or not Mr. Robertson is an actual person?
A. No. I -- I don't know who he is.

MR. McGUIRE: Did you say Robinson or
Robertson?
MR. LANDY: Robertson.
MR. McGUIRE: Robertson?
MR. LANDY: Robertson.
MR. McGUIRE: Thank you.

## BY MR. LANDY:

Q. Have you ever heard of a gentleman by the name of Frank Parlato, Jr.?
A. Yes.
Q. Who is Frank Parlato, Jr.?
A. To my knowledge, he was someone that was hired I think by NXIVM as -- I think he was a publicist. I think he also assisted in some real estate dealings.
Q. What do you mean when you say he was a publicist?
A. He I believe was hired to interface with
like newspapers, reporters, people like that, and to create positive press.
Q. And is it -- start that one again.

Was he hired to speak to the newspapers and reporters on NXIVM's behalf?
A. I imagine so. I don't know for certain.
Q. Do you know whether or not Mr. Rob -- strike that.

Do you know whether or not Mr. Parlato ever used an alias when speaking to newspaper reporters?
A. I don't -- I don't imagine he would, but he might because I don't agree with his style.
Q. What about his style do you not agree with?
A. To me, he seemed aggressive.
Q. Do you know if Mr. Parlato is a lawyer?
A. I don't believe he is.
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Q. Have you ever met him?
A. Yes.
Q. When did you first meet him?
A. I think I met him first at Nancy's house.
Q. "Nancy" is Ms. Salzman, I assume.
A. Yes, Nancy Salzman.
Q. The question was when.
A. The what?
Q. The question was when.
A. Oh, when. Maybe two years ago. Was it two?

Wait, maybe it's -- maybe it's as much as two years ago.
Q. Do you recall did you speak with him at that point?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall what you spoke about?
A. I think he was telling me about himself and advertising himself to me.
Q. You said he was hired by NXIVM.

Do you have an understanding of whether he was an employee of NXIVM?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. Do you think he was something else?
A. Yeah. I think he was an independent contractor.
5
Q. Okay. Is he still an independent contractor for NXIVM?
A. I doubt it. I -- that's -- I haven't heard anything from him in like a year.
Q. Have you ever heard of a man named Juval Aviv?

## A. Yes.

Q. Did you ever speak to Mr. Parlato about Juval Aviv?
A. I'm not sure. I imagine I might have, but I can't recall any conversation.
Q. Have you ever heard of a company called Interfor, Incorporated?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you ever spoken to Mr. Parlato about Interfor?
A. I may have, but I don't recollect any conversation.
Q. Have you ever spoken to Mr. Parlato about Rick Ross?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. How many times did you do that?
A. A handful, not many but more than two.
Q. What did you discuss?
A. Frank had very strong opinions about how

NXIVM should respond to media and should be -respond to Rick Ross' columns on the web and things like that. So in that mix that was mentioned.
Frank Parlato's expressed opinion towards Rick Ross was not very positive.
Q. What was his expressed opinion towards Rick Ross?
A. I won't repeat the words, per se, but just
he believed that Rick Ross was the lowest of the low.
Q. Not repeating the words to avoid the use of profanity?
A. In part. In part -- if I use my version of profanity, which is probably -- it's certainly not
Frank's version of profanity, I -- I will either overstate it or understate it. I'm not -- I'm not a big user of profanity.
Q. Who is Juval Aviv?
A. I believe he's a private investigator. I
believe he is the principal of Interfor, and I believe he's someone that worked through NXIVM.
Q. Worked through -- what do you mean by "worked through NXIVM"?
A. Was an independent contractor for NXIVM, I
believe it was through their attorney firm, the

Heller firm -- the first name escapes me at the moment -- and Joe O'Hara.
Q. When did you first hear of him?
A. I heard from Joe O'Hara that this attorney from Nolan \& Heller is the name -- Nolan is the first name -- had two private investigators or investigation firms that they worked with. One of them was I believe out of Washington, and the other was Interfor. They had said that Juval Aviv was in the Mossad and that he had gotten back -- I think he had gotten back some sort of a kidnapped child or something that impressed them quite a bit for one of their clients, so Joe wanted to know what I thought.
Q. Did you tell Joe what you thought?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. What did you think?
A. Hire both firms. I don't know. I think that's what ultimately happened, but have both firms work on something simple and compare the results and see which one you like better. I ultimately don't think that's what they did but...
Q. Have you ever spoken to Juval Aviv?
A. Yes.
Q. How many times?
A. Once.
Q. When was that?
A. He came to Nancy's house for dinner.
Q. When was that?
A. I don't know. It was a few years ago. Nancy was in her other house, the Grant Hill address.
Q. Do you know how long that meeting was after you hired -- well, strike that. Let me start again.

Was that before or after Interfor was hired?
A. I believe it was after.
Q. Okay. Do you know how long after?
A. No, because I don't know -- I don't know what you mean by "hired." It was -- Juval was already doing work for NXIVM, for Joe O'Hara, for Nolan \& Heller. I don't mean to get technical with it but it is -- I don't know the nature of all those interrelationships.
Q. Do you know what season it was when you met with him?
A. I think it was fall, but I'm honestly not sure.
Q. Mr. Raniere, I'm going to show you a document that's been previously marked as NXIVM Exhibit 14. I'd ask you to briefly take a look at it. You'll notice that the text is rather small, and it's a little bit blurry, but I'll direct you to a couple
of fairly short parts that we can discuss.
A. Okay.

MR. CAMPION: Is this Raniere-16?
MR. LANDY: No, let's just keep it.
It's already -- you'll see it's got the stamp from the last time --

MR. CAMPION: That's good. Thank you.
MR. LANDY: So no reason to mark it twice.

MR. CAMPION: Okay.
BY MR. LANDY:
Q. Sir, I represent that I'm showing you a copy of an article from the Village Voice. It's not the entire newspaper, just the pages on which the article appears, and my first question is whether you've ever seen the article before.
A. No. I know of its existence.
Q. Did you ever speak to anyone about this article?
A. Yes.
Q. Who did you speak to about this article?
A. I've spoken to a few people about it, but I believe Frank told me that -- one of the reasons why I didn't read the article was that it was a bunch of mud and everyone was dirty.
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Q. And by "Frank" you mean Frank Parlato?
A. Frank Parlato, yes.
Q. Okay. Were you aware that this article was going to be published before it came out?
A. I don't think so, no.
Q. Okay. So let's turn to -- I actually want to
find something. Give me -- bear with me one moment.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me. We have to change tapes.

MR. LANDY: That worked out.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off at 1:49.
We're back on the record at 1:50.
MR. LANDY: We'll come back to that.
Q. Okay. Can you first turn to -- count from the back -- you'll get there faster. The fourth from the last page, which bears the Bates numbers Interfor 0452.

Just for the record, NXIVM -- NXIVM 14 bears
the Bates number Interfor 0442 through Interfor 0455.
A. "Fitness Health" and "Beauty" at the top of the page?
Q. Yes, that's in the advertisement section.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. You'll see in the very last paragraph,
which does not complete on this page, the first sentence starts or is, "According to NXIVM spokesman Robertson, company leaders were appalled to learn what Aviv was up to."

It's slightly cut off.
Do you know who "NXIVM spokesman Robertson" was?
A. No.
Q. All right. I can represent to you -- and I
can go back and find it in the article if your attorneys think it's worth the time -- that Robertson is identified as Phil Robertson is his full name.
A. Well, no, I don't -- I don't know who that is.
Q. I'll also represent to you that during her deposition Kristin Keeffe testified that Phil Robertson was, in fact, Frank Parlato by alias.
A. That may be so.
Q. Do you have any reason to believe that's not true?
A. No. I have reasons to believe it is true because I would suspect I would know the names of the NXIVM spokesperson and I never heard of this person so...
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Q. Do you know what's meant by, "Company leaders were appalled to learn what Aviv was up to"?
A. I can hazard a guess. I --

MR. CAMPION: Do you want him to?
MR. LANDY: Yeah.
MR. CAMPION: Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: Excuse me. I'm sorry.
Continuing...
A. I had heard that -- and I did not hear this
from Aviv himself -- that Aviv believed that the Legionnaires of Christ in Mexico had paid something like \$5 million to Senator -- ex-Senator D'Amato to go and go against us in the courts and various other things and that he had a neighbor and that that neighbor could talk to D'Amato and stop him from doing damage to us.

He also supposedly was involved in a government program with astro projection where they sort of sit around and meditate or whatever and go and spy on people and that that's how he derived some of his information.
Q. I will direct your attention to the following page, which is Interfor 053 (sic), the first full non-advertising paragraph on that page.

MR. LEONARD: What page?

MR. LANDY: 0453.
Q. It's one page, one more page. It's Page 34 of the -- of the magazine?
A. I can't read it, but if you read it.
Q. The first full paragraph goes as such,
"Robertson says that NXIVM was barely in contact
with Aviv, whom O'Hara hired on its behalf for entirely legal investigations. 'We knew nothing about a sting,' he said. 'We had no participation in any sting. We found out about it afterwards. What we saw was, a corrupt attorney hires a corrupt private investigator...'" There's ellipses. "'We certainly didn't -- and would not -- authorize illegal activities. That was his doing, and I understand that's his nature. He's pretty much a loose cannon."'

Do you know what Robertson is talking about in that paragraph?
A. Well, what you just --

MR. McGUIRE: Object to the form.
Q. I'll rephrase it.

Do you know what the person who is identified as Robertson is discussing in that paragraph?
A. To some degree, the paragraph that you read me seemed to be -- have some truth in it. I don't
know is that a -- is that a quote from him? I can't see the quotes.
Q. I read the quotes into the record.
A. Okay. That's --
Q. Some of it is a quote --
A. Okay.
Q. And some of it is the author.
A. Okay.
Q. What parts have true to it -- truth to them?
A. I'm going to try to read it with my
binoculars.
Q. Go ahead.
A. Starting with, "Robertson says" --
Q. Yeah.
A. -- "that NXIVM." Okay.
Q. My next series of questions will relate to the following paragraph, so you might as well read two paragraphs.
A. In other words, that and the paragraph that says when?
Q. Yeah, it starts "when" and then jumps over the picture.
A. Okay. Can I take it sentence by sentence?
Q. Uh-huh.
A. It says, "Robertson says that NXIVM was
barely in contact with Aviv."
I don't know if that's true or false. I believe that Kristin was friends to some degree with your client, with --
Q. By that you mean Juval Aviv?
A. Juval Aviv, yes. I don't know how much they had contact.
-- whom O'Hara hired on behalf for an entirely legal investigation.

Yes, I've seen a paper that said that Aviv would not do anything illegal.
"We knew nothing here about a sting."
I don't believe that's true.
Joe O'Hara from the very beginning spoke of things like that. I don't know what you'd call a sting or not, but what I did know was that it was alleged by people that have spoken to Ross that Ross was saying things that were outrageous about NXIVM, about myself and that Joe thought it would be good to catch him doing that with someone so that it could be documented.

He stated, "We had no participation in any sting."

I don't know if that's true or false.
If you -- if you say Kristin knowing about
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the sting or my hearing about the sting is participation, then we did, because I did know about it. I don't know if I knew about it after or before. From what I've read, it sounds like Kristin knew before and was more intimately involved so...
"We found out about it afterward. What we saw was, a corrupt attorney," I believe true, "hires a corrupt private investigator."

I don't know. From what I read in the contract, if Juval has done nothing illegal, then that contract is valid. If he's done something illegal, the contract's invalid. I don't know if he's corrupt or not.
"We certainly didn't -- and would not -authorize illegal activities."

That's true.
"That was his doing, and I understand that's his nature."

That's his opinion.
"He's pretty much a loose cannon."
That's his opinion. If this is Frank Parlato speaking for NXIVM, that's what my opinion is on what I've read so far.

Want me to continue?
Q. Why don't you -- let me just pause for a

Page 301
moment.
You just testified if that's Frank Parlato speaking --

MR. LANDY: Reread the last half of the answer, please, so I can get the quote proper.
"If this is Frank Parlato speaking" is where you need to start unless you all want to hear the whole answer.
(The following was read back by the reporter:
"If this is Frank Parlato speaking for NXIVM, that's what my opinion is on what I've read so far.

Want me to continue?")
Q. Can you just explain that answer? What's your opinion? Are you saying that whatever --
A. Each sentence that I read --
Q. Yeah.
A. Right. I don't know if this is Frank

Parlato, although I have reason to believe it is, considering, and I took each sentence and responded to it from my perspective.
Q. Okay. So what you're not saying is that if this is on behalf of NXIVM, then it is also your opinion?
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A. Correct.
Q. Okay. That's -- that's all I wanted to clarify.

MR. SKOLNIK: I'm sorry. Could I hear the last question?
(The following was read back by the reporter:
"Okay. So what you're not saying is that if this is on behalf of NXIVM, then it is also your opinion?

ANSWER: Correct.")

## BY MR. LANDY:

Q. So let's move on to the next paragraph then, or let me stop you for a second and let's just go over a couple of quick things that you said.

You said you've seen a paper where it was written that Interfor would not do anything illegal, is that correct?
A. Yes. I saw contract terms supposedly between Interfor and NXIVM.
Q. Where did you see that?
A. At Nancy's house.
Q. All right. Let's move on to the next -- also -- yeah, let's move on.

So can we go through the next paragraph in

## the same manner?

## A. Yes.

"When asked if he regretted hiring Aviv" -am I reading that right that --
Q. Yeah, you want me to read it out --
A. -- "Robertson replied, 'How can you not regret hiring the guy who would pad his own hours, he'd fabricate, he'd create stories that he couldn't document, and behind your back he creates fantastic programs like, uh, we later found out he was going to do some kind of insane sting kind of deal."

To comment on that, what I told you might fit into this with if the astro projection thing is true, that that could clearly be a fabrication and something that's very difficult to verify. As far as I know, such things have never been verified in science.
-- "insane sting kind of deal."
I may not know the full description of what an insane sting type of deal is. What I understood did not sound particularly insane.

From what I understood, Juval represented he had a previous relationship with Ross. Juval said that he did not want to work with Ross because Ross asked him to fabricate evidence -- I don't know if
that's true or not -- and that supposedly Juval said he understood Ross' motives, so I don't know what they concocted.

He says, "We're certainly not responsible, nor do we condone this type of activity."

I don't know what "this type of activity" is.
"Aviv did it, there seems to be no doubt.
How he did, I don't know." I don't know what it is, what the sting thing is in completion.
"I heard that he was rummaging through garbage."

I -- I've heard that, too. I don't know if it -- I don't think it was Aviv that was rummaging through garbage from what I imagine.
Q. You earlier testified that Mr. O'Hara --
A. Am I going to need to re --
Q. Keep it out. I don't think so but --
A. Okay, I'll just.
Q. If you could switch glasses.
A. Yeah.
Q. You previously testified that Mr. O'Hara had suggested catching Ross in the act.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Can you expand on that? What did -- what did

Mr. O'Hara suggest?

|  | Page 305 |  | Page 307 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. O'Hara and I and I think O'Hara and NXIVM | 1 | Q. Yes. |
| 2 | have a fundamental disagreement in philosophy, which | 2 | A. No. I didn't really know about it. I knew |
| 3 | was always a problem. O'Hara when we first met | 3 | it existed. I never read it. |
| 4 | O'Hara suggested doing things that were let's just | 4 | Q. Did you ever discuss it with Ms. Keeffe? |
| 5 | say ina -- what you might call inappropriate. He | 5 | A. No. I knew it existed. I've never read it. |
| 6 | was not specific, but when I spoke to him and I | 6 | Q. Do you know who Chris Thompson is? |
| 7 | responded to what he said, he said, "Well, okay. | 7 | A. No. |
| 8 | You don't believe in that sort of a thing." | 8 | Q. At any point, did you become aware that |
| 9 | He -- he believes he has stated to me that if | 9 | Interfor was beginning an investigation of |
| 10 | someone breaks the rules, then you can do anything. | 10 | Rick Ross? |
| 11 | I have stated back to him, "Who is to judge that?" | 11 | A. I wasn't aware that they were beginning. I |
| 12 | First of all, so that leaves you with a problem. I | 12 | was aware that they had already investigated. |
| 13 | -- I thought I heard -- so I'm sorry. | 13 | Q. When did you become aware of that? |
| 14 | MR. CAMPION: If you finished the | 14 | A. When I met Juval at Nancy's house. |
| 15 | answer, you finished the answer. If you have more | 15 | Q. Had you learned about any of the results of |
| 16 | to say, say it. | 16 | Interfor's investigations prior to your meeting with |
| 17 | Q. I'm just -- you were pausing. | 17 | Mr. Aviv? |
| 18 | A. Yeah, okay. | 18 | A. No. No. I was contemplating. I had heard |
| 19 | Q. I don't know that that quite -- | 19 | from Kristin Keeffe at one point that Juval had a |
| 20 | MR. LANDY: Can you read my question | 20 | bunch of information that she thought he had gotten |
| 21 | back, please. | 21 | off the internet, and I'm quite sure that was after |
| 22 | (The following was read back by the | 22 | but I'm not positive. |
| 23 | reporter: | 23 | Q. After your meeting? |
| 24 | "Can you expand on that? What did -- | 24 | A. Or right in the proximity. It was close in |
| 25 | what did | 25 | proximity. |
|  | Page 306 |  | Page 308 |
| 1 | Mr. O'Hara suggest?') | 1 | Q. Do you know if anyone at NXIVM asked Interfor |
| 2 | Q. Right, and then I believe you discussed your | 2 | to investigate Rick Ross? |
| 3 | difference of opinion but -- | 3 | A. No. |
| 4 | A. Inappropriate. | 4 | Q. Did you ever discuss Interfor's investigation |
| 5 | Q. -- did he suggest anything specific? | 5 | of Rick Ross with anyone at NXIVM? |
| 6 | A. Well, he always suggested trying to observe | 6 | A. Superficially, yes, with Kristin, as I |
| 7 | or document Ross' wrongdoings, which I think is | 7 | mentioned. I've seen some of the documents in this |
| 8 | appropriate. | 8 | case which discuss it; not in detail, though. |
| 9 | Q. Did he suggest how you should do that? | 9 | Q. We discussed earlier or you testified earlier |
| 10 | A. He suggested many ways. With respect to this | 10 | that you had had some conversations with Mr. O'Hara |
| 11 | issue, I think he wanted to get Ross documented | 11 | and someone from the Nolan \& Heller firm about |
| 12 | saying the slanderous things that were alleged that | 12 | hiring a private investigator. |
| 13 | Ross was saying. | 13 | Did you discuss hiring a private investigator |
| 14 | Q. Did he say how he would get -- he wanted to | 14 | with anybody else? |
| 15 | get that documented? | 15 | A. I don't know if I had discussions about |
| 16 | A. No. | 16 | hiring the private investigator with Nolan \& Heller. |
| 17 | Q. When did you have this conversation? | 17 | I gave my advice on how to select potentially a |
| 18 | A. Years ago. I had ongoing conversations with | 18 | private investigator. On and off there have been |
| 19 | Joe O'Hara. | 19 | discussions of hiring private investigators. |
| 20 | Q. You can put the article aside. | 20 | Q. And let me rephrase the -- I should have |
| 21 | Let me ask you a couple more questions. You | 21 | restricted that question to the year of 2004. |
| 22 | won't have to actually refer to it, though. | 22 | A. Okay. I don't remember what discussions |
| 23 | Did you ever discuss this article with | 23 | happened in that year. |
| 24 | Ms. Salzman? | 24 | Q. In the time frame of 2004 and 2005, were you |
| 25 | A. Discuss this article? | 25 | made aware of an operation whereby Interfor would |

approach Mr. Ross and speak to him on behalf of a fictitious client?
A. I became aware that that had happened. I don't know when.
Q. When did -- under what circumstances did you become aware that that had happened?
A. I think it was Joe. Joe mentioned I believe that Juval had Rick Ross on tape saying he had compromising pictures of me and things like in the shower, something like that, and having sex with many students and such things.
Q. Have you ever heard the tape?
A. No.
Q. Did Mr. O'Hara make any comment as to whether he was pleased with the results of that -- the meeting between Mr. Ross and Mr. Aviv?
A. No.
Q. Did you discuss at that point discontinuing NXIVM's relationship with Interfor?
A. After my meeting with Juval that night at

Nancy's, it was my position that Juval did not -did not function in a way that would be helpful to us.
Q. What do you mean by that?
A. I -- I did not find Juval to be credible to
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me. He was talking a lot about himself. He was talking a lot about his past; and when someone advertises that much to me, I find them less credible. So it was my position -- it sounded like an awful lot of effort for things like, you know, this -- the thing that I had heard, the astro projection sort of stuff or whatever. And when Juval spoke, it didn't sound very definite. It was all very nebulous.
Q. Who did you discuss this with?
A. Which thing?
Q. What you just testified to, your opinion.
A. There were times when Joe -- I would mention it to Joe. I'm sure I've mentioned it to Nancy, and I certainly mentioned it to Kristin.
Q. Okay. Let me start with Joe. I presume we're discussing Mr. O'Hara.

MR. SKOLNIK: Bob, I'm having trouble hearing your questions.

MR. LANDY: Sorry.
A. Joe, I'm sorry.
Q. Yeah. We're discussing Mr. O'Hara. Did he have any reaction to your position?
A. Joe was very friendly to me so he always took my positions and seemed to agree, seemed to be
pretty positive or whatever, but often with Joe things did not get done so I was always wondering if he was just humoring me because I'm either a friend or someone who's seen as important to some degree or whatever so...
Q. Did Ms. Salzman have any reaction when you discussed this with her?
A. I think, yeah, Nancy takes what I say pretty seriously; and I suspect she went and investigated what was going on. I don't know for sure.
Q. Did she say anything to you?
A. Not directly, but the sort of things I would advise in a certain circumstance like this are, "What are you paying for? What are you getting as a take-away product? What are you getting as an end product? If you're putting money in, is it really worth that money, what you're getting out the other side? Are you getting just talk, or are you getting specific results? Is it appropriate? Do you believe in the person?" Those sort of things.

My impression from my memory of talking with Nancy is that Nancy felt that Juval was not giving results, and I don't know if that's with respect to Ross because at the time the main concern was if there really was some sort of money funded
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politically behind outside of us so that there would be negative press, there would be negative politics, things like that.
Q. How did Ms. Keeffe react to what you told her?
A. She's more combative and -- which is fine. I think it's her -- her personality. I think also she was friends with Juval Aviv and upheld him often as being valuable or that he really does seem credible, and she thinks he's genuine and things like that.
Q. Do you know if there came a time when NXIVM ended its relationship with Interfor?
A. I assume so.
Q. You don't know one way or the other?
A. No, and I don't know when if that happened.
Q. My next question was going to be why, not when.
A. Oh.
Q. Do you know why?
A. No.
Q. I'm going to show you a document that was also previously marked. This was marked as NXIVM Exhibit 9. It bears the -- it bears two sets of
Bates numbers. It bears the Bates numbers SP0554 through 555 as well as JJO-000699 through 700.


I'd ask you to review that document.
A. (Witness complies.)

MR. SKOLNIK: What's the number on this?
MR. LANDY: This is previously marked
NXIVM-9. It's got the sticker on it.
BY MR. LANDY:
Q. And when you're done going through this, Mr. Raniere, my first question is going to be whether or not you recognize the document.
A. I don't believe this document to be true.
Q. We can get to that, but the first question is whether you recognize it.
A. No. I recognize from it looking through the documents in this case.
Q. Do you recall ever receiving this document?
A. No. This document says "Hand Delivered" on the top.

If you understood my lifestyle, as certainly
Joe did, the only way he could hand deliver it would be to hand me this document. I have never seen this document.
Q. I direct your attention to -- one, two -- the third full paragraph on the first page. There's a -- the second sentence is in -- is underlined and in parentheses and reads, "(Note: This specifically
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includes, but is not limited to, the 'Sting
Operation' that Keith has proposed Interfor undertake with" -- sorry -- "that Keith has proposed having Interfor undertake with respect to Mr.
Ross)."
Q. Did you propose having Interfor undertake any actions with respect to Mr. Ross?
A. No.
Q. Turn to the next page.
A. (Witness complies.)
Q. Who is Matthew Jones?
A. He's an attorney in Saratoga that is a friend of Joe -- Joe O'Hara and a close friend of his partner, Doug Rutnik.
Q. Does Mr. Jones -- has Mr. Jones ever represented NXIVM?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. Has he ever represented you?
A. I don't know if it -- I don't believe so.
Q. Was Mr. O'Hara ever your attorney?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. I'd like to return to your meeting with

Mr. Aviv.
At that meeting or that meeting at
Ms. Salzman's house was -- were any plans for

25

Interfor's investigations in the future discussed?
A. Not specifically. Juval Aviv spoke of many things that he could do for NXIVM in a very broad sense. It was more of a social dinner.
Q. Did you discuss Mr. Ross' -- strike that.

Did you discuss Mr. Aviv's meeting with Mr. Ross during what you said was that dinner?
A. No. I don't know even if the meeting had occurred or not. He did mention I believe in that meeting also that he had a preexisting relationship with Ross.
"I know him." I think he said something along those lines.
Q. Is this the meeting at which you learned about the claim that Mr. Ross had I think you described photographs of you?
A. No.
Q. When did you hear about that?
A. I think it was sometime after.
Q. Who did you hear it from?
A. I believe I heard it from Kristin Keeffe.
Q. Did you ever hear of a plan whereby Interfor would arrange that Mr. Ross meet Ms. Keeffe at either a resort or on a cruise with Ms. Keeffe using an assumed name?
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A. I've seen it in this paperwork, and I believe

I heard it before I saw it in the paperwork here.
Q. Who did you hear it from?
A. I believe I heard it from Kristin.
Q. Do you know when you dis -- when you heard it from Kristin?
A. And what I heard from Kristin was not necessarily that she was to meet or whatever. I heard it in the context that -- I don't remember -whoever it was claimed that there was something to do with a cruise ship or she found the cruise ship thing apparently ridiculous -- I don't know -- and if I remember correctly, she found it to be an exaggeration.
Q. An exaggeration of what?
A. It sounds like there was some planned thing where they were going to get information that Ross was telling people, and I don't know if it was from -- with Kristin, per se, but I do believe I did hear this from Kristin.
Q. Do you know when you heard it from Kristin?
A. No, not specifically.
Q. Do you know whether Kristin was supposed to be involved in this plan?
A. When you say "involved" --
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Q. A participant.
A. Oh, that I don't know so...
Q. Then the second question is whether she was involved in the planning of what we can refer to as a sting.
A. That I don't know. She might have been.
Q. Did you ever -- I'm going to refer to that meeting -- to this plan of meeting Rick Ross on a cruise ship that's somewhat undefined as "the sting" just for ease of --
A. Can you exclude the cruise ship? Just say some meeting with Rick --
Q. Some meeting with Rick Ross somewhere --
A. Right.
Q. -- with -- well, I have to -- give me a
second to rephrase that.
Are you aware that Mr. Ross, in fact, met with Mr. Aviv and one -- and an employee of Interfor at some point?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Are you aware that there was a planned second stage of this operation whereby Mr. Ross was supposed to meet with someone else who would be an employee or a member or a student of NXIVM?
A. I'm aware of that now.
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Q. When did you become aware of that?
A. Reading the paperwork. It was my impression that whatever information they wanted they had gotten in this tape.
Q. When you say "they," who are you referring to?
A. Joe O'Hara, Interfor and that group, Nolan \&

Heller. I don't know how they're involved in there.
Q. Did anyone at NXIVM ever express to you an objection to the fact that Ross had or that Mr. Aviv had met with Mr. Ross?
A. 'Cause I've read the things in this case, I
mean --
Q. Well, the question is did anyone ever express to you an objection?
A. An objection. Not that I recall.
Q. Did Ms. Salzman ever discuss -- ever mention any objection to the actions that Mr. Aviv had taken in meeting Mr. Ross when you had a discussion with her about the continuing use of Interfor's services --
A. No.
Q. -- that we discussed about earlier?
A. No, not that I remember.
Q. Okay. Did Ms. Keeffe ever express an
objection to you to the actions of --
A. No, not that I remember.
Q. Hold on. You got to let me finish the question and then --
A. I haven't heard an objection to that, so I
was going to answer.
Q. I know that. I'm just -- for the transcript, I got to finish the question and then you give me the answer or else you got these dashes and then it becomes completely muddled.

The question is did Ms. Keeffe ever express to you any objection concerning Interfor's meeting with Rick Ross?
A. No, not that I'm aware.
Q. When was the first time you saw NXIVM Exhibit 9?
A. I think when I looked through the papers given me for this deposition.
Q. Now, correct me if I'm mischaracterizing your earlier testimony, but is it your understanding that Joseph O'Hara and Nolan \& Heller were -- believed that they had obtained the information that they were seeking after Mr. Ross' first meeting with Juval Aviv? Because you said "they."
A. Yeah. I don't know if it was after the first
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meeting, and I don't know exactly the whys and wherefores, but what it sounded like was that whatever this tape was damning and that that was good.
Q. Did you ever -- do you know if Ms. Keeffe held the same opinion?
A. No, I don't know.
Q. Did anyone actually tell you that the tape was damning and that that was good? Not in those specific words.
A. Yeah. I imagine Kristin saying some of the details that I mentioned to you and in a very emphatic sense said, "this, this, this." I think it's my -- my opinion that it was, if you will, complete, good, whatever, that that was captured.

MR. LANDY: Could I just have the last answer read back.
(The following was read back by the reporter:
"Yeah. I imagine Kristin saying some of the details that I mentioned to you and in a very emphatic sense said, 'this, this, this.' I think it's my -- my opinion that it was, if you will, complete, good, whatever, that that was captured.")

BY MR. LANDY:
Q. What do you mean by in your opinion?
A. In other words, Kristin would say, you know,
"Oh, this, this and this." And, you know, I had heard for a long time -- we have different people who have heard this from Ross and that from Ross, and it's a lot of hearsay.

If it is indeed something that's legitimately documented, that's better. That's more reliable data. You know, no matter how much I might think Ross is an opponent, there's opinion and there's data, and there's a lot of hearsay.

MR. McGUIRE: Mr. Landy, if such a tape exists, would you produce it, please?

MR. LANDY: It was produced --
MR. McGUIRE: It was?
MR. LANDY: -- bearing Bates numbers
Interfor 00001. I have a segment with me today as well as an unofficial transcript of it --

MR. McGUIRE: All right. Thank you.
MR. LANDY: But we'll get to that.
I might have messed up the number of
zeroes, but I think it was four of them.
I'm sorry. Now I have to hear the last answer once more.
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(The following was read back by the 1 reporter:
"In other words, Kristin would say, you know, 'Oh, this, this and this.' And, you know, I had heard for a long time -- we have different people who have heard this from Ross and that from Ross, and it's a lot of hearsay. If it is indeed something that's legitimately documented, that's better. That's more reliable data. You know, no matter how much I might think Ross is an opponent, there's opinion and there's data, and there's a lot of hearsay.")
BY MR. LANDY:
Q. Were you pleased with what Ms. Keeffe told you that Interfor was able to capture?
A. I haven't seen the transcript of the tape, so I don't know. I mean, what she was saying sounded pretty wild.
Q. Do you have an understanding of where

Mr. Ross purportedly received the material that he purported -- start that again.

Do you know whether or not Mr. Ross, in fact, had the materials he claimed to have?
A. Mr. Ross had what materials?
Q. That we've been discussing, the mis --
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A. The trade secret materials?
Q. No, no, no. Sorry. Taking a broader sense to shorten the conversation, that actually had the materials that Ms. Keeffe had described to you?
A. Like the pictures and all that?
Q. Yes.
A. No, I have no knowledge of that.
Q. So you would have no knowledge of where he got it?
A. Correct.
Q. Did any of the --
A. I don't believe they exist.
Q. My next question was did any of the things that Ms. Keeffe described to you seem to be things you knew actually existed?

MR. McGUIRE: Let me have that read back, please.
(The following was read back by the reporter:
"My next question was did any of the things that Ms. Keeffe described to you seem to be things you knew actually existed?")
A. No.

MR. LANDY: All right. Now, I actually have to mark something.
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What are we up to?
THE REPORTER: Raniere-16.
MR. LANDY: Okay. I'd ask the court reporter to mark as Raniere-16 a document entitled Keith Raniere's Responses to Defendant Rick Ross' First Amended Set of Interrogatories to NXIVM Corporation; another mouthful.
(Keith Raniere's Responses to Defendant
Rick Ross' First Amended Set of Interrogatories to NXIVM Corporation was received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-16 for Identification.)
(A discussion was held off the record.)
BY MR. LANDY:
Q. Do you recognize this document, sir?
A. Yes, I believe I do.
Q. On the second-to-last page, which is Page Number 13, is that your signature?
A. Yes. I am curious -- okay. I misread something where it said March 1, 2007, in the front. Yeah, I have one set of things that I signed February 1st but not March.
Q. All right. If you turn to Page 6, I'm going to direct you to the third paragraph of the response to Interrogatory Number 1.
A. 6?
Q. Page 6.
A. Mr. Ran -- it starts, "Mr. Raniere does recall some"?
Q. It starts, "Mr. Raniere does recall."
A. Uh-huh.
Q. I'll just read it for the record.
"Mr. Raniere does recall some communications with Kristin Keeffe where the subject matter generally involved a revelation that came to light because of Interfor's work that Rick Ross claimed to falsely possess material of a very personal nature Mr. Raniere deemed inappropriate and in violation of his right to privacy with which, he was informed, it was Rick Ross' stated intention to coerce NXIVM."

Are those materials of a very personal nature what we've just been discussing?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Say "yes" or "no."
A. Yes.
Q. Okay, but you believe the -- isn't it -start it again.

Is it true that you believe the materials that Mr. Ross claimed to have don't really exist?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Can you explain how it is a -- what
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did you mean by it was a violation of -- I'm
paraphrasing -- your right to privacy?
A. Uh-huh. If such materials do exist, it's either there is a type of exaggerated hearsay going on or there is slander or there is an invasion of privacy. So it's my position -- and I have never seen these things -- if these things exist, they are a violation of my privacy. It's hard to imagine they do.
Q. Is it your position that they violate your -strike that question.

I'd like to direct your attention to your response to Interrogatory Number 8, which is the paragraph that begins on Page 9 and continues on to Page 10.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. It starts with, "Without waiving these objections" --
A. Uh-huh.
Q. -- but most of the paragraphs start that way.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Just read that to yourself and then I'll ask you a quick question or two.
A. The first line of the paragraph or continuing?
Q. The whole --
A. The full paragraph?
Q. Read the full paragraph.
A. (Witness complies.)

Yes.
Q. All right. Do you see on the -- on Page 10 where it says, "The details of the alleged 'plan' were attended to by others, and Mr. Raniere only learned of them subsequent to Interfor's attempted implementation."
A. Yes.
Q. Who were the others you were referring to?
A. Joe O'Hara, I guess Nolan \& Heller if they were involved, possibly Kristin. I mean, I believe she knew.
Q. I turn your attention to Page 11, which is the response to Interrogatory Number 10.

Again, the paragraph starts with, "Without waiving the foregoing objection," but if you would read that paragraph to yourself, and then I'll have a quick one or two questions about it as well.

```
A. (Witness complies.)
MR. McGUIRE: Interrogatory 11?
MR. LANDY: No. Response to 10, Page
11.
```
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MR. McGUIRE: I'm sorry.
(A discussion was held off the record.)
A. Uh-huh.
Q. On Page 11, one, two three, four -- five lines down in the sentence that starts with the -the last word of that sentence --
A. At?
Q. -- reads: "At some point during the course
of this litigation Mr. Raniere became aware of a written communication from Interfor entitled 'Status Report' that was a report on the status of an investigation into Rick Ross."

When during this litigation did you become aware of the Status Report?
A. I'm not exactly sure, but I'm trying to remember if it was before or after the counterclaims. I think it was before the counterclaims were filed.
Q. By Mr. Ross? The counterclaims by Mr. Ross?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Did you see it?
A. I'm not positive. No.
Q. Who did you hear about it from?
A. I believe I heard about it from Kristin.
Q. All right. You can put Raniere-16 aside.

|  | Page 329 |  | Page 331 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Who is Toni Natalie? | 1 | over the entire excerpt. What we'll do is I'll |
| 2 | A. Toni Natalie is a -- an ex-business associate | 2 | read a portion, and then I'll ask you a question; |
| 3 | of mine, someone who was involved with Consumers' | 3 | and then I'll read a portion, and then I'll ask you |
| 4 | Buyline, someone that I was very involved with | 4 | a question. |
| 5 | personally. | 5 | MR. SKOLNIK: Bob, could you keep your |
| 6 | Q. By "personally," do you mean romantically? | 6 | voice up? |
| 7 | MR. CAMPION: None of that. | 7 | MR. LANDY: Yeah, sure. |
| 8 | Are you going to press that point. | 8 | MR. SKOLNIK: I'm still having trouble |
| 9 | MR. LANDY: Let me take a look and see | 9 | hearing you. |
| 10 | can get around it. | 10 | BY MR. LANDY: |
| 11 | Let's go off the r | 11 | Q. So I'm starting approximately -- starting |
| 12 | Can we chat for a second? | 12 | about halfway down the page with Mr. Aviv where he |
| 13 | MR. CAMPION: Sure. | 13 | starts, "Also update your files," and it goes as |
| 14 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record | 14 | such. |
| 15 | at 2:45. | 15 | "Aviv: Also update your files, if there is, |
| 16 | (At this point, | 16 | nd out the latest in the cult. You have anything, |
| 17 | recess.) | 17 | you know new is going on, because I think that will" |
| 18 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning | 18 | -- sorry -- "that what will impress her that you |
| 19 | of Tape Number 5. The time is 3:08. | 19 | know about them everything. That's what is going |
| 20 | BY MR. LANDY: | 20 | urrently and things like that." |
| 21 | Q. At this point, Mr. Raniere, I'd like | 21 | "Ross: How's this for impressive: I have |
| 22 | an excerpt from an audio recording. I'll represent | 22 | 200 photographs of Raniere at one of his functions. |
| 23 | to you that this audio recording was produced in | 23 | I have him in compromising poses with his (sic) |
| 24 | discovery in this action. It bears the Bates | 24 | girlfriends." |
| 25 | Numbers Interfor 00001, and what I'm going to -- | 25 | "Aviv: Oh, my God! |
|  | Page 330 |  | Page 332 |
| 1 | what we will be discussing is the section on the | 1 | Ross: I have him in the nude. I have, I |
| 2 | CD that runs from 55 minutes and 32 seconds to 57 | 2 | have one picture of him naked standing in front of |
| 3 | minutes and 34 seconds. | 3 | his girlfriend with a red ribbon tied around his |
| 4 | I've spoken with your counsel while off the | 4 | enis. |
| 5 | record, and he's agreed to in lieu of me actually | 5 | Aviv: No! |
| 6 | playing this excerpt handing out a transcript of the | 6 | Ross: Which is erect. |
| 7 | excerpt which was prepared by my office. This is | 7 | Aviv: Oh, my God! (Laughter)." |
| 8 | not an official transcript. We did our best to be | 8 | Did Ms. Keeffe tell you about those |
| 9 | as accurate as possible. | 9 | statements that Mr. Ross made? |
| 10 | R. CAMPION: Okay. Let's go. | 10 | A. I believe she mentioned the photograph with |
| 11 | MR. LANDY: All right, and this is going | 11 | the ribbon, and I heard that there were many |
| 12 | to be marked as Raniere-Exhibit 17. | 12 | photographs supposedly, me in the shower and such |
| 13 | (Excerpt From Audio Recording of Meeting | 13 | things. I don't know if it was her. |
| 14 | Among Rick Ross, Juval Aviv, and Lynne Friedman on | 14 | Q. Moving on to the second page of the |
| 15 | November 23, 2004, consisting of two pages was | 15 | transcript, the second quote from Mr. Ross says: |
| 16 | received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-17 | 16 | "Ross: No, I guarantee you she hasn't. I |
| 17 | for Identification.) | 17 | have letter written. I can get a copy of a letter |
| 18 | MR. CAMPION: Obviously, the witness and | 18 | written in his own hand in which he states all of |
| 19 | his counsel are not in a position to affirm or deny | 19 | these things (sic) that I am telling you and about |
| 20 | the accuracy of the translation, but we accept the | 20 | the Christ child, how you will bear my seed in your |
| 21 | representation you have made and believe the | 21 | womb. Crazy stuff and court records that have |
| 22 | questioning should now proceed. | 22 | nothing" (sic) -- "that have to do with harassment |
| 23 | MR. LANDY: Okay. | 23 | of his former girlfriend." |
| 24 | BY MR. LANDY: | 24 | Do you know what letter he's referring to |
| 25 | Q. In the interest of time, I'm not going to go | 25 | here? By "he," I mean Mr. Ross. |

A. No.
Q. Did Ms. Keeffe tell you about this letter?
A. I don't believe she told me about the letter.
Q. You can put it aside.

MR. McGUIRE: Just one second, Bob.
(A discussion was held off the record.
MR. LANDY: Go on?
MR. McGUIRE: Yes.
MR. LANDY: Okay.
BY MR. LANDY:
Q. Do you know whether a purpose of the Ross investigation was to find out about Ross' past?
A. The purpose -- I suspect when you investigate someone you do a dossier of some sort on them. I don't know if that was the purpose necessarily.
Q. No. I'm not asking you to speculate. I'm asking you whether you know what the purpose was.
A. I don't know.
Q. Do you know whether, in fact, Interfor collected information about Ross -- Mr. Ross' past?
A. No, I don't know.
Q. I won't use the word "purpose" then.

Do you know whether one of the objections -objections -- start again.

Do you know whether one of the objectives of
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the Ross investigation was to find out about
Mr. Ross' finances?
A. No, I don't know that.
Q. Do you know whether Interfor, in fact, did learn anything about Mr. Ross' finances?
A. I've heard from the Metroland article that the reporter, Chet Hardin, spoke to Rick Ross on the phone and identified that within the report there were accurate financial transactions from Rick Ross. That's as much as I know. As much as that is true, that's as much as I know.
Q. Did you ever speak to Ms. Keeffe about financial information that Interfor had uncovered concerning Mr. Ross?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever speak to Ms. Salzman about it?
A. No.
Q. Was one of the objections -- I did it again.

Start again.
Was one of the objectives of the Ross investigation to find out who Mr. Ross communicated with?
A. I'm not sure. I -- I don't know that for sure.
Q. Do you know whether, in fact, Interfor
obtained information concerning who Mr. Ross was in communication with?
A. No, I don't know.
Q. You partially answered this yesterday, but have you ever spoken with anyone who is a member of the Church of Scientology?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you ever spoken to anyone who is a member of the Church of Scientology about Rick Ross?
A. No -- oh, well, maybe. It's possible.
Q. Do you know who that conversation would have been with?
A. Sean Bergeron.
Q. Who is Mr. Bergeron?
A. I play volleyball with him. He's a student at NXIVM. He's a friend. I tutored him in biology.
Q. But you don't remember the substance of any conversations concerning Mr. Ross?
A. No. It would be just something in passing or general.
Q. Have you ever seen any documents or information -- start that again.

Have you ever seen any documents or information concerning Mr. Ross that you understood to be from the Church of Scientology or from a
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person affiliated with the Church of Scientology?
A. Say that again. Have I ever seen any documents from --
Q. Let me start the question again.

Have you ever seen any documents that you understood to be from a representative or a member of the Church of Scientology concerning Mr. Ross?
A. I think so.
Q. What have you seen?
A. There is a woman -- I think her name is Nancy

Anamann or something like that -- who allegedly got a whole bunch of information, and it's on a CD and available on the Internet or some such thing. I have seen some things from that. I believe it's from that.
Q. How did you come to see that information?
A. I think --

THE WITNESS: 'Cause this involves an attorney.

MR. CAMPION: Attorney-client privileged material will be waived.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
A. I'm not sure if I've seen it outside of that.

I don't know.
Q. Is it your understanding that Ms. Keeffe

|  | Page 337 |  | Page 339 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | attended to the day-to-day management of Interfor's | 1 | Q. The answer was? |
| 2 | investigations? | 2 | A. No. |
| 3 | MR. McGUIRE: Object to the form of that | 3 | Q. Okay. |
| 4 | question. | 4 | A. I'm sorry. |
| 5 | Q. Let me rephrase it. | 5 | Q. Was Interfor's investigation of Rick Ross |
| 6 | Is it your understanding that Ms. Keeffe was | 6 | part of a larger public relations campaign to |
| 7 | Interfor's primary contact at NXIVM? | 7 | improve NXIVM's image? |
| 8 | A. She was definitely a contact at NXIVM. I | 8 | A. I might classify it that way, I imagine. |
| 9 | don't know if she was the primary contact because | 9 |  |
| 10 | she and Joe worked very closely together on such | 10 | Company -- Sitrick \& Co.? |
| 11 | matters. | 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | Q. Did Ms. Keeffe ever tell you that Interfor | 12 | Q. What is Sitrick \& Co.? |
| 13 | had collected Mr. Ross' trash as part of the | 13 | A. To my knowledge, they're a PR firm. |
| 14 | investigation? | 14 | Q. Okay. Do you know whether Sitrick \& Co. And |
| 15 | A. I don't know if she told me. I have heard | 15 | Interfor worked in concert on behalf of NXIVM? |
| 16 | that, and I've seen it in the -- | 16 | A. I'm not sure. |
| 17 | Q. When did you -- | 17 | Q. What was Sitrick hired to do? |
| 18 | A. -- documentation. | 18 | A. Create a branding image for NXIVM and to |
| 19 | Q. Do you know when you first heard it? | 19 | create positive press. In specific, I think the |
| 20 | A. It may have been after Joe left I think I | 20 | head of Sitrick had said that he had a re -- a good |
| 21 | heard that he had -- maybe he had trash or something | 21 | relationship with Forbes magazine so that an article |
| 22 | like that that had -- that had something that had to | 22 | might be run to counter the other article. |
| 23 | be -- that had to be decided what to do with or | 23 | Q. Was part of what Sitrick was hired to do to |
| 24 | whatever. | 24 | discredit Mr. Ross? |
| 25 | Joe was saying all sorts of complaints, and I | 25 | A. I don't know. |
|  | Page 338 |  | Page 340 |
| 1 | think at that point I heard that that was from | 1 | Q. I'm going to ask you a couple questions |
| 2 | Rick Ross, possibly from Kristin. | 2 | concerning Ms. Keeffe. |
| 3 | Q. Possibly from -- | 3 | Do you have an understanding of what her |
| 4 | A. Kristin. | 4 | responsibilities at NXIVM were in the period of 2004 |
| 5 | Q. The trash was from Rick Ross. The | 5 | to 2005? |
| 6 | information was possibly from Kristin, just to -- | 6 | A. Not completely, no. |
| 7 | A. Correct. | 7 | Q. Do you have a partial understanding? |
| 8 | Q. -- be clear. | 8 | A. (No audible response.) |
| 9 | A. And that's what I heard. I never saw the | 9 | Q. What is your partial understanding? |
| 10 | trash. | 10 | A. She worked closely -- well, when Joe was |
| 11 | Q. Again, the question was when. You testified | 11 | around, she worked closely with Joe. She also works |
| 12 | around the time that -- | 12 | closely with the NXIVM attorneys. She does like |
| 13 | A. It was that spring. I'm trying to think of | 13 | paperwork and things like that, and when they need |
| 14 | what year it was but -- | 14 | data, she gather data from what I understand. |
| 15 | Q. 2005? | 15 | Q. Is it her job to hire and fire outside |
| 16 | A. Yeah. It was something like March, somewhere | 16 | counsel? |
| 17 | in there. | 17 | A. No. |
| 18 | Q. Were you ever made aware of what the sources | 18 | Q. Did -- in the period of 2004 and 2005, |
| 19 | of Interfor's information was? | 19 | are you aware if whether -- are you aware if |
| 20 | A. No. | 20 | Ms. Keeffe ever conducted investigations on her |
| 21 | Q. Do you know whether Interfor received | 21 | own on behalf of NXIVM? |
| 22 | documents from the Scientologists? | 22 | A. She may have. I don't know. It implies -- |
| 23 | A. No. | 23 | when she says things relating to the internet like |
| 24 | Q. Do you know who Kendrick Moxon is? | 24 | Juval Aviv got stuff off of the internet, I mean, |
| 25 | A. No. | 25 | she probably has looked on the internet so... |


|  | Page 341 |  | Page 343 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. Between 2004 or in the period of 2004 to 2005 | 1 | Q. Have you ever been arrested? |
| 2 | -- let me start the question again. | 2 | A. I don't know. I did a traffic infraction |
| 3 | Do you know whether in the time frame of 2004 | 3 | once. |
| 4 | or 2005 Ms. Keeffe conducted any surveillance on any | 4 | Q. If that's your answer, that's fine. |
| 5 | property on behalf of NXIVM? | 5 | A. Yeah, I -- yeah. |
| 6 | A. Surveillance on property. | 6 | Q. Have you ever been convicted of a crime? |
| 7 | Q. By "property," I mean an address. | 7 | A. No. |
| 8 | A. And surveillance. Where -- | 8 | Q. Bear with me for about two minutes. I think |
| 9 | Q. I mean, it's -- let me -- | 9 | I'm done. I'll just review my notes. |
| 10 | A. I have a piece of information, and it may | 10 | Do you know whether NXIVM and Frank Parlato, |
| 11 | fall in this bailiwick. I had heard that when Rick | 11 | Jr. Ever had a falling out? |
| 12 | Ross first moved here, he lived in a small | 12 | A. I believe so. |
| 13 | apartment. Now he lives in a very large apartment. | 13 | Q. Do you know the circumstances of that? |
| 14 | I don't know if she went and looked that on the | 14 | A. No, I don't know the complete circumstances. |
| 15 | internet or how she knows that, but it seems | 15 | Q. Do you know who would? |
| 16 | reasonable if she makes a trip to New Jersey to | 16 | A. Kristin probably would. |
| 17 | drive by an address to see what it looks like. She | 17 | Q. Would Ms. Salzman? |
| 18 | seemed to have opinions about it. | 18 | A. I suspect she would, but I'm not sure. |
| 19 | Q. What did Ms. Keeffe say when she told you | 19 | MR. LANDY: That's a different day. |
| 20 | about Mr. Ross' apartments? Let me back that up. | 20 | I'll actually ask her. |
| 21 | That assumes that Ms. Keeffe told you that. | 21 | All right. I think I got one follow-up. |
| 22 | How did you come to learn that Ms. Keeffe | 22 | Let me look at my notes from earlier. |
| 23 | knew what Mr. Ross' apart -- two apartments looked | 23 | Sir, thank you for your time. I have no |
| 24 | like? | 24 | further questions. |
| 25 | A. How did -- I'm sorry. | 25 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. |
|  | Page 342 |  | Page 344 |
| 1 | Q. How did you come to learn that Ms. Keeffe had | 1 | MR. SKOLNIK: The record should reflect |
| 2 | an understanding of what Mr. Ross' apartments looked | 2 | that I'm going to start questioning Mr. Raniere now. |
| 3 | like? | 3 | I have several hours of questions for Mr. Raniere, |
| 4 | A. She told me that she thinks Mr. Ross has a | 4 | and I have already been advised by counsel that |
| 5 | good degree of wherewithal. | 5 | you're planning to cut this deposition off today at |
| 6 | Q. Did she say anything else during that | 6 | 5:30. If that's the case, rest assured that I'll be |
| 7 | conversation concerning Mr. Ross? | 7 | making an application to the Court for another -- |
| 8 | A. No. | 8 | another day, and I would suggest and I want it on |
| 9 | Q. Did Ms. Keeffe ever tell you that she had | 9 | the record that I'm suggesting that before we all |
| 10 | obtained Mr. Ross' phone records? | 10 | adjourn today, since we are all here, that we try |
| 11 | A. No. | 11 | to agree upon an adjourned date. |
| 12 | Q. Did Ms. Keeffe ever tell you that she | 12 | I'm assuming that you'll oppose the |
| 13 | obtained Ms. Natalie's phone records? | 13 | application for another date, but I think that we |
| 14 | A. No. | 14 | ought to agree upon a resumed date of the deposition |
| 15 | Q. Has Keith Raniere always been your legal | 15 | if Judge Falk or Judge Cavanaugh grants my request |
| 16 | name? | 16 | to continue the deposition. |
| 17 | A. Yes. | 17 | MR. CAMPION: Mr. Skolnik, we're |
| 18 | Q. I'm sorry. | 18 | prepared to go until 7:00 today if that will |
| 19 | A. Alan -- | 19 | conclude the deposition. |
| 20 | Q. Has Keith Alan Raniere always been -- | 20 | MR. SKOLNIK: It will not. |
| 21 | A. Yes. | 21 | MR. CAMPION: Okay. |
| 22 | Q. -- your legal name? | 22 | MR. McGUIRE: That would take us to more |
| 23 | In the period of 2004 to 2005, did you have | 23 | than two days. |
| 24 | an office? | 24 | MR. SKOLNIK: I mean, the record -- the |
| 25 | A. Probably not. No, I don't think so. | 25 | record will reflect the amount of time that |

Mr. Raniere has actually been questioned when you take into consideration among other things the break that we all took yesterday for the best part of almost two hours for our negotiation; but that aside, no matter how many hours have actually been placed on the record, I'm going to be applying for a new amount of time.

MR. CAMPION: Okay.
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SKOLNIK:
Q. Mr. Raniere, I think you know I'm Peter

Skolnik, and I represent the Ross defendants and the Martin defendants; and the same rules that have been applying for the questioning by other counsel apply as well.

You understand that?
A. Yes.
Q. And you understand you're still under oath?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. You testified earlier today that

Frank Parlato had been hired to generate positive press for NXIVM, is that right?
A. I believe so.
Q. Why?
A. I imagine because NXIVM has negative press.
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Q. So what kind of press in particular was

Mr. Parlato hired to try to generate?
A. I don't know specifically.
Q. Do you know generally?
A. I imagine positive articles, positive stories relating to NXIVM.
Q. And what is the basis for your knowledge about Mr. Parlato's assignment?
A. Some things that Mr. Parlato has told me.
Q. Do you know about his assignment from anyone other than Mr. Parlato himself?
A. Somewhat from Kristin Keeffe, I believe.
Q. What did Kristin Keeffe tell you?
A. I think she had a great degree of belief in

Frank's ability to handle the media, that he was
strong, that he had media connections so that he could get events covered and positive press.
Q. How did she know Mr. Parlato?
A. She worked with him quite a bit. I think they were friends.
Q. When you say she worked with him, she worked with him prior to her involvement with NXIVM or prior to his involvement with NXIVM?
A. No. It's my understanding she met him
through NXIVM. I think she met him through a NXIVM
consultant.
Q. Who was that consultant?
A. I think it was Steve Pigeon, but I'm not sure.
Q. And what kind of consulting did Mr. Pigeon do for NXIVM?
A. I don't know exactly. I think he's a lawyer.
Q. You testified that you found Mr. Parlato to be aggressive.
A. Yes.
Q. In what way was he aggressive?
A. In the way he spoke, in the way he moved, in some of the things that he said.
Q. What kinds of things did he say?
A. He believed that making statements to the media was good and stating things that he believed to be true to the media was good. I believe that if you deal with the media, they may well take what you say or not. They may take it out of context, and he believed in speaking to the media, talking to the media, giving interviews with the media and things like that.
Q. Did he ever tell you that he thought making misrepresentations to the media was in NXIVM's best interests?
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A. No, and that's something that I would not agree with.
Q. But he never told you that?
A. No.
Q. And he never told you that he was going to use aliases to represent NXIVM to the media?
A. No.
Q. What did you conclude when you found out about that?
A. Found out about?
Q. That he had used an alias in talking to the media.
A. I found out about that today.
Q. And how did you react today?
A. I -- I think it's horrible. I don't agree
with it.
Q. Now, you told us that you and Mr. Parlato discussed Mr. Ross, and I think you said that -that Mr. Parlato's opinion was that Mr. Ross was the lowest of the low.

Was that your phrase?
A. Yes.
Q. What was the basis for his opinion?
A. I don't know.
Q. Did you ask him?

|  | Page 349 |  | Page 351 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. He said to me, "I know his type." | 1 | investigators? |
| 2 | I believe his basis for the opinion was | 2 | A. Yes. As a matter of fact, I think he |
| 3 | reading what was on the internet. | 3 | originally approached because he wanted to find |
| 4 | Q. Did you ask him what the basis for his | 4 | someone who would find Kris Snyder. |
| 5 | opinion was? | 5 | Q. Why did he want to find someone who could |
| 6 | A. No. | 6 | find Kris Snyder? |
| 7 | Q. Do you simply take people's word for that | 7 | A. Because some people believe, as I do, that |
| 8 | kind of thing without investigating what -- what | 8 | Kris Snyder is likely alive. |
| 9 | they're talking about? | 9 | Q. Were either of the simple assignments that |
| 10 | A. I think you're assuming that I believed what | 10 | you suggested be given to the two firms suggested by |
| 11 | he said. I believe that he believed that. I didn't | 11 | Nolan \& Heller related to the search for Kristin |
| 12 | think he was lying to me, that he believed Ross was | 12 | Snyder? |
| 13 | the lowest of the low. | 13 | MR. LANDY: I warn counsel that we're |
| 14 | Q. So you didn't explore the subject with him at | 14 | now going into an area that's outside of Judge |
| 15 | all? You didn't -- you didn't challenge him? | 15 | Treece's opinion concerning which portion of |
| 16 | A. No. | 16 | Interfor's relationship with NXIVM the |
| 17 | Q. We need verbal answers. | 17 | attorney-client privilege had been waived on. |
| 18 | A. No, I did not challenge him. | 18 | THE WITNESS: Also, I believe Joe O'Hara |
| 19 | Q. Now, you testified that you told Joseph | 19 | was my attorney. |
| 20 | O'Hara to hire both of the firms that had been | 20 | MR. LANDY: I can't instruct anyone to |
| 21 | suggested by Nolan \& Heller. | 21 | answer or not answer. I'm just making a statement. |
| 22 | A. I suggested. | 22 | MR. CAMPION: Could I have the question |
| 23 | Q. Right, and that you suggested they both be | 23 | again, please? |
| 24 | given a simple assignment and to compare the | 24 | MR. SKOLNIK: Could you read it back? |
| 25 | results. | 25 | (The following was read back by the |
|  | Page 350 |  | Page 352 |
| 1 | A. Uh-huh. | 1 | reporter: |
| 2 | Q. Why was O'Hara suggesting that NXIVM hire any | 2 | "Were either of the simple assignments |
| 3 | firm? | 3 | that you suggested be given to the two firms |
| 4 | MR. McGUIRE: Object to the form of the | 4 | suggested by Nolan \& Heller related to the search |
| 5 | question. | 5 | for" --) |
| 6 | Q. You can answer. | 6 | MR. CAMPION: Okay. That does not |
| 7 | A. I don't understand. Oh -- | 7 | involve a privilege question. |
| 8 | MR. CAMPION: You may answer. | 8 | Continuing... |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: Okay. | 9 | A. I didn't specify the assignment. I said it |
| 10 | A. I think there is a suspicion -- was a | 10 | should be simple and verifiable. |
| 11 | suspicion that there was money politically put | 11 | Q. I want to ask you to call upon your powers of |
| 12 | against us so that we did not get good press and | 12 | recall and give me the best recollection you have |
| 13 | that we did not get a fair hearing in the media and | 13 | today of the sequence of your knowledge about |
| 14 | in the political arenas. So the question has always | 14 | NXIVM's involvement with Interfor. |
| 15 | been if this is true; and then if this is true, who, | 15 | What did you know, when did you know it, and |
| 16 | how, what is -- what is behind it? | 16 | who did you know it from? |
| 17 | Q. Who had that suspicion? | 17 | A. I knew from Joe O'Hara that there existed |
| 18 | A. I -- I think I've shared that suspicion to a | 18 |  |
| 19 | degree. I think Nancy shares that suspicion. I | 19 | Heller, an attorney firm, had used or suggested and |
| 20 | think Kristin shares that suspicion. I think a | 20 | I don't know which. I was aware I think NXIVM ended |
| 21 | number of people do. | 21 | up using both firms on an ongoing basis. I don't |
| 22 | Q. Who suggested to Mr. O'Hara that he try to | 22 | know how long the other firm was used. |
| 23 | get names of investigators? | 23 | Q. Do you know what the other firm was used for? |
| 24 | A. I don't know. | 24 | A. No. |
| 25 | Q. Did he approach you about the idea of hiring | 25 | MR. McGUIRE: Mr. -- oh, I'm sorry. |


|  | Page 353 |  | Page 355 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Go ahead. Finish your answer. I beg | 1 | by counsel without reference to communications |
| 2 | our pardon. | 2 | etween you and O'Hara, okay. |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: Okay. | 3 | THE WITNESS: Do I delete them? |
| 4 | A. I do consider Joe O'Hara my attorney so I | 4 | MR. CAMPION: Indicate at the beginning |
| 5 | n't know what | 5 | ur answer that you are not including those |
| 6 | MR. McGUIRE: Well, that's what I was | 6 | conversations, okay. |
| 7 | oing to put on the record. | 7 | THE WITNESS: Okay. |
| 8 | At the last deposition -- I think it was | 8 | How do -- if I have found factual |
| 9 | . Keeffe -- it was agreed that we would reserve | 9 | rmation through O'Hara that I know, how do I |
| 10 | rights concerning the attorney-client | 10 | add that in? |
| 11 | ationship with Mr. O'Hara. | 11 | MR. CAMPION: There's the communication |
| 12 | So with your agreement, I will stipulate | 12 | is privileged. What you do with the result of |
| 13 | u will stipulate and the two of us will | 13 | the communication may not be privileged, okay. |
| 14 | stipulate that we're reserving all of those rights. | 14 | Proceed. |
| 15 | MR. SKOLNIK: Agreed. | 15 | THE WITNESS: Okay. |
| 16 | MR. McGUIRE: Okay. | 16 | Continuing... |
| 17 | MR. CAMPION: Continue to answer the | 17 | A. I'm trying to think of what I heard next. I |
| 18 | question without reference to conversations with | 18 | have heard that -- I heard that Juval had some |
| 19 | O'Hara. | 19 | incredible stories about what was going on with us |
| 20 | MR. McGUIRE: Unless they took place | 20 | politically. I heard that Juval had said that the |
| 21 | after a point in time where in the Treece opinion | 21 | Legions of Christ from Mexico were against us, but |
| 22 | O'Hara conceded that he became the attorney for | 22 | the Masons were for us and that the Legions of |
| 23 | NXIVM. I think that was sometime in July, if I | 23 | Christ -- some representative from there had come up |
| 24 |  | 24 | to New York and given Senator Alphonse D'Amato a |
| 25 | MR. SKOLNIK: So the record is clear -- | 25 | substantial portion of money to go and execute |
|  | Page 3 |  | Page 356 |
| 1 | and, Mr. Campion, for your benefit because I know | 1 | agenda items against us. |
| 2 | you haven't been following all of this for as long | 2 | Q. All right. Let me interrupt you just so that |
| 3 | as the rest of us -- it's our position that the | 3 | we could get the sequence here -- |
| 4 | rulings that Judge Falk has made and that Judge | 4 | MR. McGUIRE: Well -- |
| 5 | Treece has made make the entire Interfor | 5 | -- because what I'm interested in is the |
| 6 | investigation outside of the attorney-client | 6 | sequence. |
| 7 | privilege. | 7 | MR. McGUIRE: Mr. Skolnik, let him |
| 8 | There were findings about the Crime | 8 | answer the question. You want to follow up on it, |
| 9 | Fraud Doctrine, so it's our position that there is | 9 | fine, but you -- |
| 10 | no attorney-client privilege attaching to Mr. O'Hara | 10 | MR. SKOLNIK: How many lawyers are |
| 11 | or to any other aspect of that investigation. | 11 | making objections here? |
| 12 | That's the basis upon which Mr. McGuire and I and | 12 | MR. McGUIRE: Wait a minute. Just a |
| 13 | other counsel came to an agreement about such | 13 | cond. I don't interrupt you. Please don't |
| 14 | questions during the Keeffe deposition. | 14 | interrupt me. We'll get along much better. |
| 15 | MR. CAMPION: Okay. | 15 | We can all sing together, but we can't |
| 16 | MR. McGUIRE: That's an overstatement. | 16 | lk together. |
| 17 | And let me quote from the Treece opinion, which is | 17 | You asked him a question. The gentleman |
| 18 | that "Ross and O'Hara should not conclude that this | 18 | as in the process of giving you an answer. Wait |
| 19 | decision and order has opened the corral doors to | 19 | ntil he finishes his answer. Then if you have any |
| 20 | allow that which may be protected by the | 20 | objection, move to strike anything or have any |
| 21 | attorney-client privilege be trampled. It is very | 21 | additional questions, proceed; but you do not have |
| 22 | limited and it does not include the whole Interfor | 22 | the right unilaterally to stop this man from |
| 23 | relationship." | 23 | answering a question. |
| 24 | MR. CAMPION: In any event, so that we | 24 | MR. CAMPION: Mr. Skolnik, it appeared |
| 25 | can continue this, you may answer the question put | 25 | to me it was an open-ended question. |

MR. SKOLNIK: It was indeed an open-ended question, but I was asking for sequence; and it seemed to me that we would be better able to establish sequence if I occasionally asked for some time frames.

But if you want to go and give me the entire story, then we'll backtrack on it. We're just going to be here longer.

MR. CAMPION: Continue with the answer. Continuing...
A. That was the next thing I remember now hearing about it and that I also heard then that Juval had a neighbor that knew Alphonse D'Amato and that Alphonse D'Amato could be, if you will, stopped as far as harassing us and that, in fact, Alphonse D'Amato no longer had much interest in it because he had done what he was paid for.

I'm not sure what time those series of events that I heard from Interfor. I am not sure if I actually heard that before I met Juval Aviv, the night that I met him at Nancy's. I think I heard that afterwards. When I met Juval, he was telling me at Nancy's about himself; and I think had I known that information, I would have evaluated the data

I don't remember where in the sequence of events was the first time that I heard that Juval had a preexisting relationship with Rick Ross. I heard that Juval had this preexisting relationship and that allegedly Juval did not want to work with Rick Ross because allegedly Rick Ross wanted him to fabricate evidence.

I'm not sure about when I heard the results of the sting, so to speak, or whatever you want to call it. I did hear that there was a meeting and that the meeting was recorded and that there were representations made in the meeting which -- some of which I've said already.

Is there more?
Q. The information that you heard about Juval Aviv's statements about Senator D'Amato and the Children of Christ, did you hear that information about Mr. Aviv before the investigation itself of Rick Ross commenced?
A. I don't know. I don't know when the investigation of Rick Ross commenced.
Q. So you might have heard it before the investigation commenced, and you might have heard it while the investigation was ongoing?
A. Yes, I think that that may be so.
Q. Okay. Did you meet Mr. Aviv at Nancy Salzman's house before or after the investigation had commenced?
A. I think it was after, although I don't know when the investigation commenced 'cause Rick -because Juval mentioned Ross in conversation. So I imagine that if he was mentioning Ross like that, he would have already been investigating.
Q. Did he mention anything about the meeting that had been conducted with Mr. Ross?
A. No.
Q. Were you aware at the time you met Mr. Aviv that a meeting with Mr. Ross had taken place?
A. No.
Q. Who told you about Juval Aviv claiming to have had a prior relationship with Rick Ross and had been asked to fabricate evidence?
A. I believe Kristin Keeffe told me that. It may have been that Juval mentioned that at Nancy's house.
Q. Are you saying that you either heard it from Kristin Keeffe or you heard it directly from Juval?
A. Or both.
Q. Or both, but you can't recall today?
A. No, I cannot.
Q. When you became aware of the fact that NXIVM had retained Interfor to investigate Mr. Ross, you knew that a lawsuit against Mr. Ross by NXIVM was already pending; didn't you?
A. I'm not sure of the sequence. I think that's possible.
Q. But you never discussed with anyone the propriety of --
A. I would have had -- I believe I would have had to have known because Joe O'Hara came on after the lawsuit with NXIVM; and Joe O'Hara hired Nolan \& Heller which hired Juval's firm, so yes.
Q. Okay, and did you ever discuss with anyone the propriety of NXIVM arranging to interview Mr. Ross through Interfor without Mr. Ross having counsel present while a lawsuit against him was pending?

MR. McGUIRE: Object to the form of that question.
A. No.
Q. You told us that Kristin Keeffe was friendly with Juval Aviv.
A. Yes.
Q. How was she friendly? How did she know him?
A. Well, I think she met him professionally, but
it was my impression that they became friends.
Q. Did they become friends before he was retained by NXIVM?
A. I don't know for sure. I don't think -- no, it wouldn't make sense that she would have.
Q. Do you have any understanding about whether she had a prior relationship with Mr. Aviv while she worked at NXIVM?
A. In other words, did she know -- did she have a relationship with Mr. Aviv before Mr. Aviv came to
NXIVM's attention?
Q. Yes.
A. Okay. I don't know Kristin's personal life, but I don't have that impression.
Q. To your knowledge, has Kristin Keeffe ever been arrested?
A. No. I don't know for sure.
Q. You never heard that she was arrested breaking into Toni Natalie's house?
A. Oh, I heard that there was -- okay, then she
was probably arrested. Yes, I heard that she delivered a present to Toni Natalie and that Toni Natalie waged a complaint against her and that they went to court over it.
Q. Who told you that she was delivering a
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present to Toni Natalie?
A. Kristin did.
Q. Are you under the impression that she was
delivering this present when Toni Natalie was home?
A. Yes.
Q. So it's not your understanding that she was breaking into Toni Natalie's house?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay, and it's not your impression that she was trying to uncover the very letter that is referred to in the transcript that we looked at earlier?
A. A letter -- oh, no. No.
Q. What did you discuss with Mr. Bergeron about Rick Ross?
A. I don't -- you know, over time, because he's a friend and I see him two, three times a week, I said to him -- and I tutor him -- he has read the internet that Rick Ross thinks we're like Scientology and different things, so I think it's his opinion that we're not like Scientology.

He asks sometimes, you know, "How is the legal stuff going, do you know? How is the PR going?" You know, "What's going on?" Those sort of things.
Q. Whose job at NXIVM is it to hire outside counsel?
A. I think ultimately it's Nancy's. She has not had that job the whole time, being it was Joe's job for a time. There was also another woman at one point who was acting as a counsel. I don't know if -- I think there was another man named Alan Korman who also had that job.
Q. When NXIVM hires outside counsel, are you consulted?
A. Often not. Sometimes I have been.
Q. Have you been consulted about the counsel that NXIVM has hired to represent it in this litigation?
A. Which one?
Q. Funny you should ask.

Have you been involved in the decisions that have been made periodically to replace counsel?
A. I think sometimes comments I have made have fomented the replacement of counsel. No, I am not involved with that.
Q. I want to clarify some of your testimony in response to Mr. Kofman's questions yesterday. Excuse me.

You have no personal knowledge that Rick Ross
told Aaron Kassin or anyone else that he "wanted
NXIVM's confidential information," isn't that right?
A. When you say "personal knowledge," did I hear him say that directly? No.
Q. Well, you didn't hear him say it directly?
A. No.
Q. So what, if any, basis do you have for
believing that Rick Ross told Aaron Kassin that he wanted NXIVM's personal information?
A. I think I saw it in some of the papers here, and I think I remember hearing it maybe from Michael Sutton.
Q. Okay. But, again, you have no personal knowledge about that?
A. Correct.
Q. And is it also true that you have no personal knowledge of the actual process through which NXIVM materials were given to Rick Ross?
A. Correct.
Q. Kristin Keeffe asked you to look for any notes that you had taken either on or about the three articles, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you didn't find any?
A. No written notes. I believe there were some
documents that I turned over.
Q. Who did you turn them over to?
A. I think I gave them to Kristin or -- I don't
know if Joe was involved or whatever. I don't know who I gave them to specifically.
Q. And when you say you think there were some documents, documents of what nature?
A. Word-type files, either printouts of them or the files themselves.
Q. You remember there being such documents?
A. Yes.
Q. And you remember turning them over to someone?
A. I believe so.
Q. And you might have turned them over to Kristin Keeffe?
A. Yes.
Q. And you might have turned them over to who else?
A. Joe O'Hara.
Q. To Joe O'Hara. Anyone else that you might have turned them over to?
A. Not that I can think of.

MR. SKOLNIK: Let me request on the record those documents have never been produced in
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this litigation. To the extent that NXIVM is in possession of them, I'd call for their production.

THE WITNESS: NXIVM wouldn't be unless they turned them over.

MR. McGUIRE: We've given you everything we have.

When I say "we," we and prior counsel.
BY MR. SKOLNIK:
Q. Were all of the requests that Kristin Keeffe made to you to look for documents, specific ones like look for X or look for Y, as opposed to look for any documents relating to this litigation?
A. No. They're normally more general, although there have been times when there have been specific requests.
Q. And did those requests invariably come from Kristin Keeffe?
A. No.
Q. Who else made those requests?
A. Joe O'Hara, Bob Leonard.
Q. Since Joe O'Hara has no longer been involved in the litigation, did anyone other than Kristin Keeffe and your attorneys make those requests?
A. I don't believe so.

When you say my attorneys, not me
specifically, but NXIVM.

## Q. NXIVM's attorneys.

And you may have answered this previously, but I can't recall. Did anyone ever come and search through your documents?
A. Search through my documents?
Q. Yeah, looking for documents --
A. Not at my house. In the storage area, yes.
Q. In the storage area?
A. Yeah.
Q. Who searched in the storage area?
A. I don't know.
Q. And what about documents at your house?
A. I looked for them.
Q. No one else came --
A. No one else.
Q. -- and helped you look for them?
A. Right, correct.
Q. Are those the only two places where you might have had any documents relating to this lawsuit?
A. I believe so.
Q. Did anyone ever examine the e-mails on your computers?
A. No, just me.
Q. Since this litigation began, have you deleted
any e-mails whatsoever from your computer relating in any way to the litigation or the issues in the litigation?
A. Well, I've had different computers; and I've had different hard drives. No, I haven't specifically deleted them, but they have been deleted as a matter of that course.
Q. When you say, "as a matter of that course," the replacing of computers?
A. Yes.
Q. But no one ever told you that those had to be preserved?
A. No, and I'm not aware of that many of them. I don't -- I don't think there was even anything I was receiving that was important, as far as that goes.
Q. Well, what about -- what about documents?

Since this litigation has begun, have you destroyed any documents that relate in any way to the issues in this litigation?
A. No.
Q. Have you thrown away any documents that relate in any way to this litigation?
A. No, not that I know of. I have not thrown away documents.

|  | Page 369 |  | Page 371 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. Well, when you say not that you know of, what | 1 | MR. SKOLNIK: Why don't we take five |
| 2 | do you mean by that? | 2 | minutes? |
| 3 | A. My house is a mess. I have things in piles. | 3 | MR. CAMPION: Sure. |
| 4 | There have been times when people have come and | 4 | (At this point, there was a short |
| 5 | changed my piles. Things have been thrown out, and | 5 | recess.) |
| 6 | sometimes things have been thrown out that are | 6 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning |
| 7 | important to me. I do not have track of all my | 7 | of Tape Number 6. The time is $4: 17$. |
| 8 | papers. | 8 | BY MR. SKOLNIK: |
| 9 | Q. You told us a few minutes ago that no one | 9 | Q. Mr. Raniere, you have no personal knowledge |
| 10 | came to your house to search for documents. | 10 | of discussions, if any, between Rick Ross and |
| 11 | A. Correct. | 11 | Stephanie Franco relating to whether or not she had |
| 12 | Q. Have you searched through all of those piles | 12 | a confidentiality agreement; isn't that right? |
| 13 | in your house for documents responsive to this | 13 | A. Correct. |
| 14 | lawsuit? | 14 | Q. What material, if any, did the Dalai Lama's |
| 15 | A. Yes. | 15 | representative review before agreeing to the program |
| 16 | Q. When did you do that? | 16 | that you're planning with the Dalai Lama? |
| 17 | A. I've done it on several occasions. I did it | 17 | A. I don't know all the details. He went |
| 18 | just recently and -- I don't know -- probably there | 18 | through I believe a 16-day Intensive. I believe he |
| 19 | was I imagine some discovery requests in the past | 19 | interviewed and spent time with people within the |
| 20 | year or two. | 20 | organization. He interviewed me. |
| 21 | Q. And in your -- in your more recent searches | 21 | Q. When did he interview you? |
| 22 | through these documents, have you found other | 22 | A. I don't know, maybe a year ago. |
| 23 | documents and turned them over? | 23 | Q. And what did you discuss? |
| 24 | A. No. There are no other documents. | 24 | A. Um, he asked all different things; and he's |
| 25 | Q. You testified that you took one course in | 25 | also been involved in a lot of the discussions I've |
|  | Page 370 |  | Page 372 |
| 1 | neuro linguistic programming in the 1980s, is that | 1 | had with the people from Mexico. We had a bunch of |
| 2 | correct? | 2 | people come up with Mexi -- from Mexico, and he was |
| 3 | A. Yes. | 3 | in that where we're talking about the different ways |
| 4 | Q. Where did you take that course? | 4 | of working the peace movement down there. And I was |
| 5 | A. In my home. | 5 | using him as an advisor in part because of his I |
| 6 | Q. From whom? | 6 | will say extreme experience. |
| 7 | A. A woman named Lynn Stafford Clark. | 7 | Q. In any of your discussions with the Dalai |
| 8 | Q. She came to your home and taught just you, or | 8 | Lama's representative, did you discuss any aspects |
| 9 | was it a group? | 9 | of the Rational Inquiry Method? |
| 10 | A. No, it was a group. | 10 | A. I don't suspect so. |
| 11 | Q. Did you keep any materials from her course? | 11 | Q. Do you recall whether you did or not? |
| 12 | A. No. | 12 | A. Not directly, but the conversations that I |
| 13 | Q. Did she give you any materials? | 13 | do recall we did not. |
| 14 | A. Not that I know of. | 14 | Q. But there are conversations that you don't |
| 15 | Q. Did you use any of the knowledge that you | 15 | recall? |
| 16 | gained from this course in your development of the | 16 | A. Possibly. |
| 17 | Rational Inquiry Method? | 17 | Q. And you testified yesterday that you're not |
| 18 | A. That's a very broad question. If you believe | 18 | sure whether or not the Dalai Lama's representative |
| 19 | in the theory that in any one moment you use all of | 19 | signed a confidentiality agreement, is that correct? |
| 20 | the things that you've been exposed to, then yes. | 20 | A. Yes, but I asked Nancy, and she said she |
| 21 | Have I directly taken something from neuro | 21 | believes he did. |
| 22 | linguistic programming and put it into the Rational | 22 | Q. She believes he did? |
| 23 | Inquiry Method, no. | 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me. We have | 24 | Q. But you have no -- you have no knowledge of |
| 25 | to change tapes. | 25 | that other than what Nancy told you? |

A. Correct.
Q. To your knowledge, has anyone ever been given access to parts of the Rational Inquiry Method or other NXIVM course material without signing a confidentiality agreement?
A. That's correct. That's in part why I
answered that I don't believe I discussed any of the Rational Inquiry Method with Lama Tenzin.
Q. Let me -- let me ask my question again.

To your knowledge, has anyone ever been given access to parts of the Rational Inquiry Method or other NXIVM materials without signing a confidentiality agreement?
A. No.
Q. No one under any circumstances?
A. Correct.
Q. I'm going to refer to the Exhibit that has been marked as Raniere-11.

MR. CAMPION: This would be the

## Affidavit.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Should I just take it?

MR. CAMPION: No, that's my copy. THE WITNESS: I got it. (A discussion was held off the record.)
Q. And for the record, you've already identified this as an Affidavit --
A. Uh-huh.
Q. -- that you signed.
A. Yes.
Q. And it's an Affidavit dated or sworn to on the 22nd day of August, 2003; is that right?
A. Let me get to the page.

August 22nd, 2003, yes.
Q. Let me ask you to turn to Paragraph 9.
A. (Witness complies.)

Paragraph 9. I'm sorry.
Q. And I'm going to read you some or all of the paragraph and then ask you some questions about it.
"I discovered I had an exceptional aptitude for Mathematics and computers when I was 12. It was at the age of 12 I read The Second Foundation by Isaac Asimov and was inspired by the concepts on optimal human communication to start to develop the theory and practice of Rational Inquiry. This practice involves analyzing and optimizing how the mind handles data. It involves mathematical set theory applied in a computer programmatic fashion to processes such as memory and emotion. It also involves a projective methodology that can be used
for optimal communication and decision making."
Did I read that correctly?
A. I believe so.
Q. Is Rational Inquiry based at all on the ideas of Isaac Asimov?
A. As I said, it's a two-handed question. You would say certainly it was inspired by, but Isaac Asimov did not to my knowledge exhibit Rational Inquiry.
Q. But some of Rational Inquiry is inspired by or based upon Isaac Asimov's ideas, is that correct?
A. I think that would be fair to say.
Q. How did Isaac Asimov's ideas inspire and influence the theory and practice of Rational Inquiry?
A. In Isaac Asimov's book there is a group called The Second Foundation who had optimized communication, so with a minimal amount of words or sounds or motions they could communicate very large amounts of information. I thought that was interesting that one could communicate more or less by how they spoke, what they -- how they moved. So I started playing with that idea of what is the true nature, if you will, or different nature of communication other than just the words.
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Q. And how is that idea reflected in the

Rational Inquiry Method?
A. Well, as I started to examine human
communications, I started to understand more and discover things. Those discoveries ultimately led to things within Rational Inquiry.
Q. What concepts of Rational Inquiry did you develop at the age of 12 ?
A. I don't know if I developed any of them at the age of 12. I think the precursors to what is Rational Inquiry today were started back then.
Q. When you say the precursors were started back then, in what way were they started?
A. I started to experiment with human communication, with the more programmatic aspects of human communication and also the more expressive, less programmatic aspects; examining things like free will and possibly why a human would behave a certain way or not. That curiosity ultimately led to the codification of what is known as Rational Inquiry.
Q. These experiments that you began to do at the age of 12 , did you tell anyone about them?
A. Well, not -- I mean, for me to say over the past 30 years have I told anyone about any
experiments that I do, I certainly have.
I had a friend that we played a game where we tried to communicate optimally to each other with minimal amounts of speech from the book. We did that for about a week. He became tired of it.
Q. When you say "from the book," from what book?
A. The Second Foundation.
Q. Did you ever write down your thoughts or your experiments --
A. I think over the years --
Q. -- at this period?
A. This period, no.
Q. At some later period?
A. Probably.
Q. What do you remember writing down?
A. I -- I mean, I've written many computer programs; and I've, you know, written many notes about my thoughts on different things and my thoughts on mathematics in particular.
Q. What about your thoughts that became parts of the Rational Inquiry Method?
A. You could say in some ways all of those thoughts were inspirational to the Rational Inquiry Method.
Q. Well, beyond being inspirational, are any of
them embodied in the Rational Inquiry Method?
A. No, because the Rational Inquiry Method was a -- a type of discovery that occurred from having many different events and then drawing a specific conclusion about how the basis elements of any behavior and human endeavor --

THE WITNESS: Is it okay that I --
MR. CAMPION: Go ahead and talk. THE WITNESS: Okay.
Continuing...
A. -- the basis elements of any human endeavor can be codified and maximized and the nature of how abstract mathematics could be utilized to help with human endeavors and athletic endeavors and mental endeavors and things like that.
Q. And as you were developing Rational Inquiry, did you write down any of those conclusions you were drawing?
A. I think it was more of a quick discovery, but probably not.
Q. Did you keep them a secret?
A. Well, once I made that discovery, yes.
Q. Once you made what discovery?
A. The understanding of how to take mathematics, if you will, and apply it in a practical sense to
human behavior and thought.
Q. And about how old were you when you did that?
A. I don't know, 39 somewhere, whenever -- I had just done that months before I met Nancy.
Q. You had just done what?
A. Made that discovery.
Q. What did you study at RPI?
A. I studied some mathematics, some physics, some biology, some psychology, some philosophy, some music, some computer programming, some language; French.
Q. Were any of the concepts that you learned at RPI used in Rational Inquiry?
A. No, not directly.
Q. What about indirectly?
A. Yeah. I think RPI is a unique rec -- RPI --

Rational Inquiry is a unique recipe of concepts that exist plus things that were discovered as combinations of those concepts.
Q. So some of the concepts were used in Rational Inquiry?
A. No.
Q. Well, tell me again what you just told me.
A. Okay. It depends how you define "used in."

If you are -- I guess I would need you in
part to define what you mean by "discovery" or "used in."

I think that I learned to speak English and I used that in Rational Inquiry; and English is used in Rational Inquiry, but it's not Rational Inquiry as a discovery. English is not confidential. So like I use English, so I used things in mathematics, things in philosophy, all of my background to bear to create the discovery.
Q. Let me ask you to look at Paragraph 11 of this Affidavit.
A. (Witness complies.)
Q. I'm going to read the first part of the paragraph.
"I entered RPI just after my 17th birthday and started to take high level (PhD) graduate courses in Mathematics. These courses are normally reserved for advanced graduate school students. To my knowledge I was the first 17 year old to take advanced 600 level mathematics courses in RPI's then 153 year history. I continued to develop Rational Inquiry and formalized a new method of computer programming which I called 'functional
programming.'"
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Did I read that correctly?

Okay. You say, "I continued to develop Rational Inquiry."
A. Yes.
Q. What part of Rational Inquiry did you continue to develop?
A. I would say all of the precursor concepts. I gained a deeper and deeper understanding of this mode of thinking.
Q. When you say, "all of the precursor concepts," what precursor concepts are you referring to?
A. Well, in this particular case, the things relating to functional programming and information processing; how input variables, first of all, in a computer relate to output variables and how the computer uses a set of three operations which are addition, comparison, and iteration to solve all the problems. So you have a problem, and you have three operations, and that starts to raise the question can you create other operations to solve a problem set.
Q. Did you write -- well, did you tell anybody about your -- your thinking on this subject?
A. On functional programming, I imagine. I know
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that I had actually written some functional programs.
Q. Did you -- did you keep those programs a secret?
A. No, the programs weren't kept a secret.
Q. What, if anything, was kept a secret?
A. My method of writing the programs. I worked for the Gammi-Pi physics group. We had a program that took 16 hours of CPU time to run and was something like 4,000 lines long. I wrote a functional program that took something like 6 minutes and was 12 lines long.
Q. And that was written down?
A. The program was.
Q. So what you kept a secret was how it is that you reduced it down --
A. Can do such a thing.
Q. Moving to Paragraph 12 of this Affidavit.

I'm starting about four lines down, "At this time I noted that a human could often 'see' a data pattern quicker than a computer. So I created a visually driven computer system to allow for 'human intervention' in optimal parameter selection and curve fitting."
A. Yes.
Q. "This successfully reduced our system's search time by over $90 \%$. I thereby refined and tested my model of how the human mind, with sense capability, compared to a computer and how the human mind, equipped with a motivationally driven projective mechanism, 'understood' and strategically made decisions."

Did I read that correctly?
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. When you say, "I thereby refined and tested my model," what model are you referring to?
A. Understanding of not only how the human mind creates templates but how to solve problems, human-created problems. In the Gammi-Pi physics group, we had a tremendous amount of data, and we had to fit a certain type of graph to that data. And although it's in a 12-parameter space, you can look at it on a screen as a two-dimensional visualization.

If the computer is searching -- if you were to imagine the space as a geometric object, it has all sorts of bumps and saddle points and things like that. A computer blindly looks in a systematic fashion. That systematic fashion is created by humans to go and find the optimal solution, but the
human can look at a graph and see almost instantly just like a chess player can do, can rival -- not so much any more -- a computer. A computer does 400 million moves in a second. Computer -- a human mind can do four moves in a second, yet the human mind can work in these ways as well as a computer, so the question was why. And I started to understand that more and more by working on a programmatic basis and applying aspects of human perception to that programmatic basis.
Q. And all of that was part of your development of Rational Inquiry?
A. Part of the journey.
Q. Paragraph 13.
"From 1982 to 1984 I worked as a teacher of computer science and computer programming through Public Management Systems, a state contractor. I created the curriculum, taught classes and interviewed, evaluated, sub-contracted other instructors to teach classes with my curriculum and methodology in Manhattan, Albany, Saratoga and Poughkeepsie. I also worked as an independent computer and business consultant. It was during this time, as a teacher of science, I studied how humans processed problems and learned. I refined my

|  | Page 385 |  | Page 387 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | learning and teaching methodology which I embodied | 1 | doesn't necessarily mean you're a good teacher. In |
| 2 | into a business plan called 'The Concept School' | 2 | art, teaching is entertainment, if you will, |
| 3 | later changed to 'The Life Learning Institute.'' | 3 | keeping the students' attention, enrolling, |
| 4 | Did I read that correctly? | 4 | inspiring the students' interest in the subject. |
| 5 | A. Yes. | 5 | I know you hear often that people have been |
| 6 | Q. Okay. | 6 | spired by great teachers. So the question is, |
| 7 | A. I believe so. | 7 | well, if you were to take teachers and instead of |
| 8 | Q. Is the -- is the business plan that's called | 8 | just hiring them because they knew the subject, look |
| 9 | The Concept School and later changed to The Life | 9 | to see who could offer curriculum and lecture after |
| 10 | Learning Institute the foundation for Rational | 10 | lecture keep it entertaining, more like someone who |
| 11 | Inquiry? | 11 | had the ability to act or entertain. And if you |
| 12 | A. No. | 12 | combined it with a different way of scheduling the |
| 13 | Q. Is it the foundation for NXIVM? | 13 | courses, you could provide a unique adult education |
| 14 | A. No. | 14 | program. |
| 15 | Q. How does it differ from Rational Inquiry? | 15 | Q. Is there any difference between The Concept |
| 16 | A. It doesn't use the Rational Inquiry Method | 16 | School and The Life Learning Institute? |
| 17 | at all. It was merely a way of delivering course | 17 | A. No, just a difference in I think one person |
| 18 | material that is for adult education in a way that | 18 | that was involved, but it was a better name. People |
| 19 | was more efficient. What it did was -- can I | 19 | liked the name better, Life Learning Institute. |
| 20 | explain this? | 20 | Q. How many business plans did you prepare for |
| 21 | Q. Of cours | 21 | the Concept School? |
| 22 | A. Okay, 'cause it's a little long. I'm sorry | 22 | A. One. |
| 23 | The Socratic method is a method of teaching | 23 | Q. And what did you do with the plan? |
| 24 | that hasn't undergone a lot of changes over, say, | 24 | A. Showed to it some investors. |
| 25 | the past few thousand years. When a teacher teaches | 25 | Q. Paragraph 17 you say, "I explored what I |
|  | Page 386 |  | Page 388 |
| 1 | the class, | 1 | termed different types of learning: For example, |
| 2 | able to get the information across somehow; and | 2 | you can't really learn to ski out of a book; you |
| 3 | there has been an art of teaching. | 3 | can't replace physical or experiential learning with |
| 4 | The way curriculum and one example that I | 4 | intellectual learning. Motivation had a strong |
| 5 | gave in the concept school -- let's suppose you were | 5 | experiential learning component and I developed |
| 6 | learning calculus, and instead of offering Calculus | 6 | tools to teach it." |
| 7 | 1 in ten sections all starting at the same time, | 7 | A. Uh-huh. |
| 8 | suppose we were to stagger them. So you could take | 8 | Q. Did I read that correctly? |
| 9 | Lecture 1, and you could take Lecture 1 again if you | 9 | A. Yes, I believe so. |
| 10 | missed it, and you could take Lecture 1 again and | 10 | Q. What is the "it" in the last sentence? "I |
| 11 | then 2 and maybe go to 3, 4. And then if you had | 11 | developed tools to teach it"? |
| 12 | trouble in 4, you could go to 4, back to 3 . | 12 | A. The experiential learning component of |
| 13 | This would allow you an ability to not worry | 13 | motivation. I started to understand that and |
| 14 | about -- so much about I must learn the material | 14 | developed tools to help isolate it and help teach |
| 15 | here. If I'm stuck on this material, I can take the | 15 | it. |
| 16 | course again. And from the teacher's perspective, | 16 | d are those tools components of the |
| 17 | the teacher always has to teach to the bottom 20 | 17 | Rational Inquiry Method? |
| 18 | percent of the class; and if the bottom 20 percent | 18 | A. They're precursors. |
| 19 | of the class can always take the course again, the | 19 | Q. Did you publish them in any way? |
| 20 | teacher can actually teach in a more accelerated | 20 | A. No. |
| 21 | fashion. So by allowing students the liberty to go | 21 | Q. Did you tell anyone about them? |
| 22 | and take courses in a different organized fashion, | 22 | A. No, not directly. I have taught people, for |
| 23 | they can go and repeat things and learn better and | 23 | example, to be -- to do certain things that help in |
| 24 | the teachers could also learn better. Additionally, | 24 | motivation. For example, as silly as it sounds, if |
| 25 | to teach -- to be -- to know the information well | 25 | someone wants to have a certain state and it's tied |


|  | Page 389 |  | Page 391 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | to a certain musical piece, playing that piece can | 1 | as price clubs, this was similar, but it was a 1800 |
| 2 | allow through state dependent learning to increase | 2 | number membership. |
| 3 | that motivation. But the question has always been | 3 | Q. What did it sell? |
| 4 | when someone does an activity what is the motivator | 4 | A. Well, Consumers' Buyline was a membership and |
| 5 | underneath it and how do you harness that | 5 | through it you were able to get -- it was over a |
| 6 | motivation. So I've taught -- I've told people | 6 | million products. It was almost every book in |
| 7 | things like that but nothing relating to the | 7 | print, it was electronics, circuits. You were able |
| 8 | Rational Inquiry Method there. | 8 | to get groceries delivered to your door. We had a |
| 9 | Q. Well, when you -- when you told people things | 9 | grocery catalog that came out every two months. We |
| 10 | like that, did they sign a confidentiality | 10 | had special deals on things like luggage and things |
| 11 | agreement? | 11 | like cassette tape recorders or the cassettes that |
| 12 | A. Actually, most of them did, I believe, if | 12 | go in. |
| 13 | not all; but I don't consider that part of the | 13 | We had a special company called Direct |
| 14 | Rational Inquiry Method. | 14 | Buyline, which was a subsidiary which went to |
| 15 | Q. Why not? | 15 | manufacturers and got special either crate deals or |
| 16 | A. Well, 'cause it's a precursor to it. It led | 16 | large discount deals which we passed on to our |
| 17 | to the discovery and it led to this tool set that we | 17 | members at no additional cost. So what Consumers' |
| 18 | call Rational Inquiry today. It was not that tool | 18 | Buyline was like was the Consumer Reports -- it's as |
| 19 | set, similar to if I'm starting to learn about tools | 19 | if you had Consumer Reports but was also offered the |
| 20 | and I have a hammer and I have a saw and I have | 20 | ability to buy the object at the price stated with |
| 21 | chisel, and one day I discover the screwdriver. I | 21 | the lowest price guarantee. |
| 22 | cannot say that the hammer, the saw and the chisel | 22 | Q. Did -- did your experience with Consumers' |
| 23 | are the screwdriver. It did lead to its discovery, | 23 | Buyline influence your development of Rational |
| 24 | but the screwdriver has unique traits. | 24 | Inquiry and NXIVM? |
| 25 | Q. Does it provide a window to the hammer and | 25 | A. I'm sure. |
|  | Page 390 |  | Page 392 |
| 1 | the chisel? | 1 | Q. How? |
| 2 | A. It can, but if they are precursors, as | 2 | A. I had contact with many people in many |
| 3 | opposed to once the discovery exists actually | 3 | different contexts as a head of a large marketing |
| 4 | viewing the discovery. | 4 | organization, as a CEO of a company with many |
| 5 | Q. How do you define precursor? | 5 | employees. For example, as a CEO of the company, I |
| 6 | A. Something that comes before. | 6 | had some almost 200 employees. The question is what |
| 7 | Q. In the context that you're using it, what are | 7 | can you do to make their work meaningful. What can |
| 8 | the precursors to the Rational Inquiry Method? | 8 | you do to make the company serve the employees and |
| 9 | A. Understandings of human emotion, | 9 | foster a type of community as much as possible. |
| 10 | understandings of human motivation, understandings | 10 | I also had a lot of opportunity to help |
| 11 | in mathematics, how mathematics relates to human | 11 | resolve ethical disputes amongst marketers and |
| 12 | thoughts. | 12 | amongst different people like that. |
| 13 | Q. What was Consumers' Buyline? | 13 | Q. So what -- what parts of your development of |
| 14 | A. Consumers' Buyline was a company that I was | 14 | Rational Inquiry came from Consumers' Buyline? |
| 15 | the primary owner of and CEO. | 15 | A. I would say it probably furthered a lot of my |
| 16 | Q. What did it do? | 16 | understanding. I learned a lot about ethics. I |
| 17 | A. It did severalfold. It was what some people | 17 | learned a lot about business. I learned a lot about |
| 18 | termed a multi-level marketing company where people | 18 | motivation of why people do things or not. I think |
| 19 | had an opportunity to have home-based businesses and | 19 | all of my understandings on those levels were |
| 20 | sell a product. On the other hand, the product was | 20 | furthered by this experience. |
| 21 | a membership. By bringing a coalition of people | 21 | Q. And are all of those understandings part of |
| 22 | together, and in this case quite a large coalition, | 22 | the Rational Inquiry Method? |
| 23 | we were able to negotiate discount buying | 23 | A. No. They lead up to the Rational Inquiry |
| 24 | opportunities so it was sort of the -- what a lot of | 24 | Method. |
| 25 | people can do now online and even what people know | 25 | Q. How were -- |

A. They were necessary for me to create the Rational Inquiry Method.
Q. Let me ask you to turn to Paragraphs 25 and 26.
A. (Witness complies.)
Q. Let me read them into the record, and then I have several questions about them.

Paragraph 25 says, "In Mathematics, all things are proven based on axioms and a step by step systematic construction. Computers work the same way. To program a computer one must first understand the axioms of the computer language, and then the step by step systematic construction of the problem-solution methodology. Finally, one must construct the problem-solution methodology in a step by step fashion using the axioms of the language. I discovered the human mind works the same way and I formalized the process."
"This process involved treating each word or part in a communication as a mathematical set. As such, the operations of set theory and Boolean logic could be utilized. Each word is seen as both a general objective representation and a highly specific subjective representation."
A. Uh-huh.
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Q. "By precisely choosing exact words in precise orders one could communicate in a far deeper and measurable way. And, similar to mathematical proofs, the precise questions and their order are of ultimate importance. In some ways it is similar to saying a person cannot walk before they crawl -- the order is essential."
A. Yes.
Q. Did I read all that correctly?
A. Yes.
Q. Is -- are the ideas that you are expressing
in Paragraphs 25 and 26 the basis or foundation of
Rational Inquiry?
A. Getting closer.
Q. Are they the basis of NXIVM?
A. I think NXIVM has developed not only beyond that but is different than Rational Inquiry.
Q. How is NXIVM different from Rational Inquiry?
A. NXIVM is a company. Rational Inquiry is a method, if I were to describe it that way. NXIVM has a lot of components that are not Rational Inquiry.
Q. Well, referring to the courses that NXIVM teaches, how -- how does Rational Inquiry differ from the coursework at NXIVM?
A. Some of the coursework is a product of Rational Inquiry.
Q. Is there some coursework at NXIVM that is not a product of Rational Inquiry?
A. I think there are de -- I think there are a
few sections that are defined as such.
Q. When you say they're defined as such, defined as such by whom?
A. Within the module I believe it states where they came from.
Q. And that they came from something other than Rational Inquiry?
A. Yeah. I believe there's a Birdwhistle (phon.) Or whatever the person's name is that did a study and a few things like that.
Q. Well, what modules are taught by NXIVM that are not based on Rational Inquiry?
A. Communication/At Cause has certain parts of it that have been taken -- that are based not on Rational Inquiry but utilizing Rational Inquiry have been enhanced.
Q. But they utilize Rational Inquiry?
A. Yes.
Q. You testified yesterday that the Rational Inquiry Method is a tool. It's theoretical
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procedures involved in the creation of certain results. Do I have that right?
A. Say the last few -- the last sentence again.
Q. That it's theoretical procedures involved in the creation of certain results.
A. Yes.
Q. And you told us that the results include the ordering of questions and the ordering of philosophical concepts, is that right?
A. Uh-huh. Yes.
Q. You also testified that creating the questions in a module is like assembling the pieces of a puzzle, and the questions can only be interlocked in a certain way.

Do I have that right?
A. To a great extent. In other words, often they can be interlocked in only one way; but there are limitations as to how the different questions and words can be interlocked.
Q. Okay, and -- and is it true that you believe that the nature of that organization is a NXIVM trade secret?
A. The nature of how that organization is derived.
Q. Is a trade secret?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do -- do the Martin or Hochman articles reveal or disclose the ordering of questions?
A. I believe it does, especially the Martin article.
Q. How does it do that?
A. Well, the products of Rational Inquiry can also be used to determine Rational Inquiry much like a -- a footprint in a sand can be used to figure out what the footprint is. The questions themselves, the order of the words may not be -- they are a product of Rational Inquiry, but utilizing those things people can deduce Rational Inquiry, possibly recreate many of the trade secrets of Rational Inquiry.
Q. So, once again, is it -- is it fair to say that the articles themselves don't disclose the actual ordering of questions in print but that you think that someone might be able to infer the ordering of questions based upon the things that the articles do say?
A. No. The articles do disclose the ordering of questions.
Q. What questions -- what ordering -- what
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questions are disclosed?
A. I believe in the Money module, the order of concepts and questions, projective questions which is not as order dependent but were dependent within the order. I believe those things were quoted.
Q. Anything else?
A. Most of the quoted stuff that has questions involved and even some of the stuff that does not.
Q. Do -- do the Martin and Hochman articles reveal or disclose the ordering of philosophical concepts?
A. I believe so.
Q. How?
A. In the Money module I believe it specifically diagrams out the different concepts addressed and the order.
Q. The Money module again?
A. I -- I think some of the others, I believe also.
Q. You want to -- you want to look at the articles and tell me what else you think discloses the ordering of philosophical concepts?
A. Okay. Can you -- what are they?
Q. I think they are 5, 6 and 8 .
A. 5, 6 and 8 .
(A discussion was held off the record.)
A. Do you want me to read these articles start to finish and --
Q. Well, you've read them pretty closely in the last couple of days. I want you to try to tell me where you think the articles disclose the ordering of philosophical concepts.
A. Okay. I will say that when you say "disclose the ordering," there's one thing to directly disclose it, which I believe they do. There is also indirectly disclose it, which are ways I may not be able to contemplate sitting here reading it because for some --
Q. Let's -- I'm sorry.
A. -- for someone who is motivated to solve the problem of finding it out they well could by this disclosure.
Q. Let's focus on direct disclosure.
A. Okay.
Q. Which one are you looking at first?
A. Raniere-8, A Critical Analysis of Executive

Success Programs.
So on the first article, without going into a lot of detail, there are things referenced with page numbers in the module -- the manual and dates. And
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whenever you're copying parts of a manual and putting them in, if you start to put the page references of where the paragraphs came from, you start to say what the order of the manual is.

I also know that in some of these things the modules are specifically not only referenced, but their order within a series is referenced. I can continue to get more direct examples, but there are.
Q. Well, tell me what you mean by the order is referenced.
A. Okay. For example --

MR. McGUIRE: We're talking about what now?
A. -- if you go to Raniere-6.

MR. McGUIRE: 6.
Q. Oh, we've moved to Raniere-6? I thought you were starting with Raniere-8.
A. I did. Do you want me to go to Raniere-8
first?
Q. No, I just wanted to know which one you were talking about.
A. Okay. Raniere-6 because I think it's
clearer. I'd would be a bad driver. I shift everything around.

|  | Page 401 |  | Page 403 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | On the first page it says "Phone tree," and | 1 | A. Yes. |
| 2 | it says $12 / 2000$, which is the Intensive manual it | 2 | Q. Do you consider those philosophical concepts? |
| 3 | comes from, Page 4. | 3 | A. Well, let me read them. |
| 4 | And the next page at the very top it says, "I | 4 | MR. McGUIRE: Where are you, Peter? |
| 5 | will not speak of them, or in any way give others | 5 | MR. SKOLNIK: I'm on Page -- the second |
| 6 | knowledge of them." | 6 | page of Raniere-6, "Arranging for Coaching Support." |
| 7 | That's 12 Point Mission Statement 4th Comment | 7 | MR. McGUIRE: Thank you. |
| 8 | -- 4th Point of 12 points. | 8 | Continuing... |
| 9 | Next paragraph, "Arranging for Coaching | 9 | A. I think they represent certain things that |
| 10 | Support" in quotes. It goes through specifically | 10 | are philosophical concepts in Word. I don't think |
| 11 | quoting the order of the different steps. | 11 | -- how would you define something representing a |
| 12 | And then it is Page 1, Number 2. | 12 | philosophical concept in Word? |
| 13 | Now, the next is it has the Money module. It | 13 | Q. Well, happily, I'm not answering questions |
| 14 | says "Concepts presented," and it specifically | 14 | today. I'm not sure that I understand your |
| 15 | delineates eight concepts in order and says this is | 15 | question, but, anyway -- |
| 16 | Page 2, Number 3 from the Money module. | 16 | A. Well, I misunderstood your question maybe. |
| 17 | Similar things like this. | 17 | Q. Let me -- let me ask you a different question |
| 18 | Q. Well, let me ask you this. You referred to | 18 | about the articles. |
| 19 | the Phone tree, and you said "12/2000" refers to the | 19 | Do the articles disclose how to apply the |
| 20 | Intensive manual. | 20 | tool of the Rational Inquiry Method to create |
| 21 | The article doesn't say that it refers to the | 21 | certain results? |
| 22 | Intensive manual, does it? | 22 | A. I think they might indirectly. |
| 23 | A. The other article does. | 23 | Q. Indirectly? |
| 24 | Q. No, I'm talking about this article. | 24 | A. Yeah, and might directly, depending on how |
| 25 | A. Oh. No, this article does not. I don't | 25 | well they were studied. |
|  | Page 402 |  | Page 404 |
| 1 | believe it does. It might in the back. | 1 | Q. Well, what do you mean that whether or not |
| 2 | Q. And it doesn't tell you what was on Pages 3 | 2 | they do so directly depends upon how well they're |
| 3 | or Pages 5, does it? | 3 | studied? Either they do so directly or they don't. |
| 4 | A. Hold on a second. Let me see if it -- I | 4 | A. Well, if I look at, for example, a murder |
| 5 | don't think he put a Bibliography on this article. | 5 | mystery and I -- |
| 6 | Right now I don't see this as saying what's | 6 | Q. Can you talk about the articles? |
| 7 | on 3 -- Page 3 or Page 5, that is correct. | 7 | MR. McGUIRE: Well, why don't you let |
| 8 | Q. And the reference to the 12 Point Mission | 8 | m finish the answer. |
| 9 | Statement, there's nothing that tells you what | 9 | MR. SKOLNIK: Because he's not being |
| 10 | Points 1, 2, 3 or 5 are. It's just this is Point 4, | 10 | sponsive to the question. |
| 11 | right? | 11 | MR. McGUIRE: That is -- you wait until |
| 12 | A. In this particular context, yes, but I | 12 | the end of the answer, not during the answer. |
| 13 | believe he -- out of the 12 points, I think he | 13 | MR. CAMPION: Okay. Could you have the |
| 14 | recopies 7 of them. | 14 | question repeated, please. |
| 15 | Q. Okay. But this doesn't tell you also where | 15 | (The following was read back by the |
| 16 | the 12 Point Mission Statement fits in relation to | 16 | reporter: |
| 17 | the Intensive manual or to Rules and Regulations, | 17 | "Can you talk about the articles?") |
| 18 | does it? | 18 | THE REPORTER: Did you want me to read |
| 19 | A. No. That's seemingly correct, yes. | 19 | further back? |
| 20 | Q. All right. You want to direct me to -- oh, | 20 | MR. CAMPION: That's the question. |
| 21 | and one other -- one other point. | 21 | Okay. You can answer the question. |
| 22 | The specifications under "Arranging for | 22 | Continuing... |
| 23 | Coaching Support" -- | 23 | A. What do you want me to say about the |
| 24 | A. Which page? I'm sorry. | 24 | articles? |
| 25 | Q. Second page. | 25 | Q. How they disclose directly the ways in which |

the tools create certain results.
A. Well, depending on the level of depth with which you read an article, we all have different understandings. A person that reads an article who is maybe not as well versed, maybe not a competitor, maybe not as intelligent, maybe not as good a reader will gather certain information from the article. Someone who has deeper compl -comprehension will gather more and even on a deeper level will gather logical implications that are absolute within the article. And what I was saying before, you can see a murder mystery and although the murder mystery doesn't say who did it, the murder mystery implies absolutely who did it so that's -- those fall in class of direct.
Q. Was that sentence finished?
A. Yes. I'm sorry. Those fall in the class of direct, if you will, ascertaining or transmission of the information.
Q. In Paragraphs 25 and 26 that we just looked at, you described the process of programming a computer.
A. Is this Raniere-11?
Q. Yes.
A. Okay.
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Q. You described the process of programming a computer. You state that the human mind works the same way as a computer, and you claim that you formalized the process; is that right?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. So Rational Inquiry as practiced by NXIVM is a process for programming the human mind?
A. That's part. You -- you can call it programming, deprogramming, yes.
Q. So NXIVM programs its students?
A. To a degree, yes.
Q. Paragraph 27, "My new found mode of communication, when added to my projective model, allowed a person to understand others on a far deeper level. It enabled a person to find a common understanding with others and to logically build a belief system that matched a person's subjective world -- highly individual yet consistent. Using this model people seemed to understand themselves and each other better. They understood their decisions and in so doing often derived a sense of self-determinism. In short, their motivation was redirected from fear generated to objective generated. Over the next 7 years I would interview hundreds of people before I found the correct person
to duplicate and teach my model."
Did I read that correctly?
A. I believe so.
Q. The model that you're referring to when you say I interviewed hundreds of people before I found the right person to duplicate and teach my model, what model were you referring to?
A. That was the beginnings of Rational Inquiry.

I think even some of the trade secrets that now exist a few of them might have been developed at that time.
Q. And did you discuss those trade secrets with some of these hundreds of people that you interviewed?
A. Absolutely not.
Q. Who were some of the people that you interviewed?
A. People that I had come in contact with through a company called National Health Outlet, even people I had come in contact with through Consumers' Buyline, different people who I knew not only who were friends but who were different professionals.
Q. And you were interviewing these people specifically to find someone to duplicate and teach

Page 408
your model?
A. To teach my model to, yes, and then to see if it was something that was duplicatable.
Q. And you were trying to find someone to whom you could teach your model and have it be duplicated without talking about your model, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Who was the correct person?
A. Nancy Salzman.
Q. Paragraph 28 -- well, let me go back for a minute.

What convinced you that Nancy Salzman was the correct person?
A. Not only her background and her experience, but I spent a number of days meeting with her. We were going to meet for an hour. I don't know how long it was. We met many hours several days in a row, and I believed that she had not only the background but the personality and what I believe the value set to do this and also a willingness.
Q. What was the value set that you were looking for?
A. I believe she was honest. I believe she was hardworking.
Q. Anything else?
to change tapes.
We're back on the record. The time is
5:17.
BY MR. SKOLNIK:
Q. Were you in the middle of an answer?
A. I'm not sure.

MR. SKOLNIK: Can you read back the last testimony?

MR. McGUIRE: Read the question, too, so we'll know. Read the question, also.
(The following was read back by the reporter:
"QUESTION: What ideas did the paper include?

ANSWER: Relating to multi-level marketing, the fallacies of multi-level marketing, and a type of lay analysis of different marketing materials, pyramid schemes. I think it even went on to explain the nature of a pyramid scheme of effort, Ponzi schemes, although I'm not sure if those were included in the initial White Paper.")
A. That's the --
Q. Was that it?
A. Yes.
Q. Was the paper -- withdrawn.

Did any part of the paper talk about your model?
A. No.
Q. Did any part of the paper contain any aspects of the Rational Inquiry Method?
A. No.
Q. Have a look at Paragraphs 36 and 37.
A. (Witness complies.)
Q. Paragraph 36 says, "The first most
fundamental step in creating a company is the precise determination of the guiding principles on which it is built -- a mission statement. Everything must be based on and flow from this ethic. For our success school, I felt it was necessary to start with a philosophical statement of what success is (point 1 of the 12 point Mission Statement) then have the rest of the Mission Statement follow from there."
"I searched my life experience and wrote the copyrighted 12 point Mission Statement that was the basis of our company, technology and education. When someone signs up for a program, they sign a confidentiality agreement. The first module they take is 'Rules and Rituals.' Within this module they learn of the 12 point Mission Statement. At
that point, if they find any of the premises disagreeable, they can have their money refunded without further obligation except for the terms of the confidentiality agreement."

Did I read that correctly?
A. There is a parenthetic expression on the end of Clause 36 that says in my copy, "(See Appendix D for protective procedures)."

Is that on yours?
Q. Yes, it is but I'm not -- I'm not reading.
A. No. I just wanted to be sure that it was accurate.
Q. But other than that, I read it correctly?
A. I believe so.
Q. All right. And I think you've already told us that you consider the 12 Point Mission Statement to be a trade secret, is that right?
A. I don't know if I said that.
Q. Well, do you consider the 12 Point Mission Statement to be a trade secret?
A. I think that it contains windows into trade secrets. I don't know if any written expression except for even maybe a formula -- I don't know enough about trade secrets. I do consider it secret. I do consider it essential.
Q. Well, using -- using your definition of trade secret, the one that -- the one that you gave us yesterday. We were -- we were working with your definition of trade secret yesterday, and I want to be sure we're talking about the same thing today.

I believe you told us that a trade secret is something we believe is unique that we keep as a secret and if it were not kept secret would be a disadvantage to us.
A. I believe --
Q. Do I have that right?
A. I believe the Mission Statement falls into that.
Q. Okay. Was the development of the 12 Point Mission Statement the most fundamental step in creating ESP and NXIVM?
A. The most fundamental?
Q. Well, in Paragraph 36 you say, "The first most fundamental step in creating a company."
A. Right. That doesn't mean it's the most
fundamental, but when you're taking first steps, the first most fundamental step -- I don't know if it's the most fundamental thing of all, but it's
certainly very fundamental.
Q. Okay. Is -- is everything in NXIVM based on
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the 12 Point Mission Statement?
A. No.
Q. Well, what do you mean in Paragraph 36 when you say, "Everything must be based on and flow from this ethic"?
A. It flows from this ethic. Depending on how you define "based on" --
Q. Well, it's your word, Mr. Raniere.
A. I understand. As far as what I am saying
here is that this is the foundational principle and principles from which everything else is ultimately derived. So, yes, I guess in answer to your question, in some way, shape or form all ESP, NXIVM flows from this.
Q. Now, you told us yesterday that you
understood that the 12 Point Mission Statement may, in fact, have been posted on NXIVM's website; right?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And you testified that if it appeared on the website you would acknowledge that it's not a trade secret, right?
A. That part of it.
Q. Well, if all of it appeared on the website --
A. Yes, but where -- it in itself, but there are also the trade secrets which it implies and the
trade secrets which have been derived after the point of the Mission Statement.
Q. Okay. But referring just to the 12 Point Mission Statement --
A. Uh-huh.
Q. -- would you agree that if the 12 Point

Mission Statement was posted on NXIVM's website, then it as written is not a trade secret?
A. Well, it's not secret in the same way if it was downloaded by anyone, I imagine.
Q. Let me ask you again.
A. All right. I'm being specific because when you post something on the web, if nobody looks at it, it hasn't been downloaded. It hasn't been viewed so -- but if it was posted on the web and if it indeed was downloaded, then that becomes some form of more public knowledge.
Q. If it was downloaded or even if it was just viewed, is that right?
A. Well, but what I mean by -- to view it -- in my mind, to view it means you've taken the package from the website and put it on your computer. I'm sorry.
Q. And you testified yesterday that you don't know of any other modules that have been posted on
the internet.
A. Correct.
Q. But would you make the same acknowledgement about any other NXIVM material that NXIVM or its representatives posted on the internet?
A. Yeah. If people can publicly access them, they've lost certainly a degree of secrecy.
Q. Okay. Would you acknowledge that you don't believe that NXIVM can claim trade secret status for any NXIVM materials that it has made available to the public through its own actions?
A. Maybe the materials. There is a difference between NXIVM's trade secrets which generate the materials and the materials themselves. If the materials embody specifics of a trade secret, then that is true. It is now sort of the cat is out of the bag.

MR. SKOLNIK: Can I hear that answer back?
(The following was read back by the reporter:
"ANSWER: Maybe the materials. There is a difference between NXIVM's trade secrets which generate the materials and the materials themselves. If the materials embody specifics of a trade secret,
then that is true. It is now sort of the cat is out of the bag.")
BY MR. SKOLNIK:
Q. What research did you do to come up with the 12 Point Mission Statement?
A. I imagine in one sense it's my life. That's
an expression of what my experience was. I don't know exactly I guess what you mean by research.
Q. Well, did you conduct any research
specifically aimed at creating a 12 Point Mission Statement?
A. No, not a 12 Point Mission Statement.
Q. Which points of the 12 points did you come up with first?
A. Um, I'm not sure.
Q. Did you create any early drafts of the 12

Point Mission Statement?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. So you sat down to write it, and it was just one draft?
A. Yes.
Q. Paragraph 38 says, "As part of the curriculum, students recite this 12 point Mission Statement before class and reflect upon its contents. The whole curriculum is based on this
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12 point Mission Statement and as students learn and experience more, it is our hope they will gain a deeper and deeper understanding of these tenets."

Did I read that correctly?
A. I believe so.
Q. What does it mean that the whole curriculum is based on the 12 point Mission Statement?
A. It means that if you understand the 12 point Mission Statement deep enough, that at this point in time, one would gain a better understanding on the whole -- of the whole curriculum, that they reflect each other; and the 12 point Mission Statement is something that helps in gaining a deeper understanding of the curriculum.
Q. Is -- is the 12 point Mission Statement the most important of NXIVM's trade secrets?
A. I -- no.
Q. What --
A. Not at all.
Q. What trade secrets do you consider more important than the 12 point Mission Statement?
A. The Rational Inquiry Method and all trade secrets relating directly to that.
Q. Paragraph 39 you say, "For the next several months, we continued to refine the curriculum based
on the 12 point Mission Statement: Using the model I had discovered, we codified the subject matter into twenty 2 hour modules and refined the wording and order of each question."
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Did I read that correctly?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you recall sitting here today what this list of the first group of 20 modules was?
A. Not completely. I can probably run off some of them.
Q. Tell me what you remember.
A. Um, well, there's Rules and Rituals. There's I believe it's Communication/At Cause, Honesty and Disclosure, there's Work and Value, there's Self-Esteem, there's Good and Bad, there is Excited State, there is Persistency and Motivational State, there is Intensity and Power State, there's Time and Lists. I don't know if I mentioned Parasite Module I and Parasite II and Parasite Practice, which is Parasite III. There's a Tribute module. There is a Money module. There's a Crime and Punishment module.

Those are -- I mean, those are the ones that come up off the top of my head. I think I listed
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like 16 of them.
Q. You say in Paragraph 39, "We continued to refine the curriculum."

Who is the "we"?
A. Nancy, myself.
Q. Anyone else?
A. Not that I can think of. I -- it's my belief
that I learn from the people around me, from students taking classes and things like that, so although they are not involved in the refinement of the curriculum, what I learn from them and the data that I gather helps me. So Nancy and I are the people that refine the curriculum, but the students provide data to some degree.
Q. And when you say in Paragraph 39, "using the model I had discovered," is the model you discovered the Rational Inquiry Method?
A. I think it is a basis for the Rational Inquiry Method. It is one of the foundational things. That model has been developed and has grown over the years.
Q. Were all 20 of the original modules based on the Rational Inquiry Method?
A. The original 20 modules were either products of or based on the Rational Inquiry Method.

|  | Page 421 |  | Page 423 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | LNIK: Okay. I'm going into a | 1 | MR. CAMPION: Okay. Then I gather this |
| 2 | whole new line of questioning now, and if we are not | 2 | ill end up as the subject of some motion then. We |
| 3 | going to -- well, we're not going to finish today | 3 | accommodated your request that we have our |
| 4 | I think we should stop for the day; and as I | 4 | endars available, and we've told you we're |
| 5 | uggested earlier, I think that we should while | 5 | abl |
| 6 | re all here agree upon a date to resume this | 6 | MR. SKOLNIK: Okay. Let me -- let me |
| 7 | sition if Judge Falk so orders it. | 7 | sult with my co-counsel. |
| 8 | MR. CAMPION: We are disposed to | 8 | MR. CAMPION: In any event, let's all |
| 9 | nuing until 7:00 this evening after a short | 9 | five minutes, anyway. |
| 10 | cess now and resuming tomorrow morning at 9:30. | 10 | (At this point, there was a short |
| 11 | hat would take care of the question of checking | 11 | recess.) |
| 12 | erybody's calendar and continue until 1:00 | 12 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the |
| 13 | morrow if that is necessary. | 13 | cord at 5:44. |
| 14 | MR. SKOLNIK: I cannot be here tomorrow | 14 | MR. SKOLNIK: We're going to adjourn the |
| 15 | MR. KOFMAN: I'm unavailable. This was | 15 | position for the day. While I appreciate your |
| 16 | only noticed for a single -- for these two days. | 16 | fer, it's been a long day today. Yesterday was |
| 17 | MR. CAMPION: Well, the offer is there. | 17 | ken by the couple of hours that we spent in |
| 18 | ve to accommodate my schedule to do this. I | 18 | lement discussions, and there is no way that I |
| 19 | lieve McGuire -- | 19 | finish my questioning today. And, |
| 20 | MR. McGUIRE: So do I | 20 | ortunately, neither I nor Mr. Kofman n |
| 21 | MR. CAMPION: -- has to do the sam | 21 | Landy are available to resume the deposition |
| 22 | thing. We are prepared | 22 | rrrow morning so we expect to make an a |
| 23 | So I do want to be sure that | 23 | he Court to continue the deposition. |
| 24 | a record of the same, and I throw one o | 24 | repeat my suggestion that we shou |
| 25 | out which I think is a practical factor. | 25 | try to before we all leave today find a date when |
|  | age 4 |  | Page 42 |
| 1 | We've been living with this now for two | 1 | ryone can make it if the Coun |
| 2 | days. We're familiar with the Exhibits. As you get | 2 | e going to stop for the day. |
| 3 | way from a deposition, any deposition, we all know | 3 | MR. CAMPION: Okay. Well, we have made |
| 4 | hat you have to get back up to speed. You have to | 4 | ur position clear already, so thank you very much. |
| 5 | art to review a transcript from the start, getting | 5 | okay. |
| 6 | into all the Exhibits again. So I do want the | 6 | MR. McGUIRE: Peter, before we g |
| 7 | ord to be clear that that is the offer that | 7 | record, you were going to give me dates for some |
| 8 | have on the table. | 8 | depositions. |
| 9 | We're prepared to go until 7:00 tonight | 9 | MR. SKOLNIK: I do not have dates yet |
| 10 | ter a very short recess here, resume at 9:30 | 10 | Mr. O'Hara, and I've already responded about |
| 11 | morrow and continue until 1:00. | 11 | Martin |
| 12 | MR. SKOLNIK: Unfortunately, that's not | 12 | MR. McGUIRE: How about Mr. Ross? Is he |
| 13 | pssible for me; and I heard at least one other | 13 | ilable on the dates we discussed? Did you send |
| 14 | counsel say that they couldn't either. | 14 | e an e-mail saying something happened? |
| 15 | I mean, I take your point about the | 15 | Maybe that was you. |
| 16 | Exhibits being fresh in everyone's mind, and I | 16 | MR. KOFMAN: I think that was me, and |
| 17 | certainly would be happy to resume this depositio | 17 | ve you a call about that. |
| 18 | next week. | 18 | MR. McGUIRE: That's right. That's fair |
| 19 | MR. CAMPION: Well, we've brought the | 19 | ough. |
| 20 | witness down here. He had to rearrange his own | 20 | tes. |
| 21 | life. | 21 | HE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off th |
| 22 | Se have our offer on the table, | 22 | ord at 5:46. |
| 23 | Mr. Skolnik. | 23 | (Witness excused.) |
| 24 | MR. SKOLNIK: Well, unfortunately, it's | 24 | (The deposition was adjourned for the |
| 25 | one that doesn't work so... | 25 | day at 5:46 p.m.) |
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| 230:10 | 341:20,23 342:2 | 208:16 218:17,20 | 175:3 181:25 | 267:23 282:22 |
| analyzing | apologize | 262:2 351:14 | 182:2,5 185:20 | 372:8 376:15,17 |
| 374:21 | 174:3 | 367:8,9,11 | 188:7 198:17,21 | 384:9 411:4 |
| anamann | appalled | areas | 198:25 199:1,5,10 | aspirations |
| 336:11 | 295:3 296:2 | 271:14 | 199:13 203:14 | 257:20 |
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| 261:18 | 242:15 316:12 | 350:14 | 212:20 275:13,18 | 281:22 |
| animals | appeals | arlen | 275:21,24 276:3 | assembling |
| 261:2,5,6,20 | 203:11 | 237:15 283:8,13 | 276:12,14 277:3 | 396:12 |
| anna | appear | arms | 277:20,23 279:18 | assess |
| 165:14 166:3 | 182:22 216:5 | 257:17 | 280:6,10,19 346:5 | 188:10,10 |
| announcements | appeared | arrange | 364:22 397:3,18 | assessment |
| 218:18,19 | 243:22 356:24 | 315:23 | 397:22,23 398:9 | 243:8 |
| answer | 414:19,23 | arranging | 398:21 399:2,6 | assets |
| 171:24 206:1,17 | appears | 360:14 401:9 | 403:18,19 404:6 | 265:8 |
| 222:15 233:4 | 192:17 201:25 | 402:22 403:6 | 404:17,24 | assignment |
| 272:24 285:16,21 | 202:1 209:11 | arrested | artistic | 346:8,10 349:24 |
| 286:5,7 301:5,8 | 234:5 245:25 | 343:1 361:16,18 | 266:18 | 352:9 |
| 301:15 302:11 | 266:7 293:15 | 361:21 | ascertain | assignments |
| 305:15,15 319:6,9 | appendix | arrow | 183:8 | 351:9 352:2 |
| 320:17 321:25 | 235:25 412:7 | 198:5 | ascertaining | assist |
| 339:1 343:4 350:6 | application | art | 405:18 | 174:20 273:12 |
| 350:8 351:21,21 | 168:14 194:4 | 386:3 | aside | 274:5 |
| 353:1,17 354:25 | 263:13 264:2,8,16 | arti | 192:4 306:20 | assisted |
| 355:5 356:8,18,19 | 344:7,13 423:22 | 187:20 | 328:25 333:4 | 287:5 |
| 357:9 404:8,12,12 | applied | article | 345:5 | associate |
| 404:21 410:5,15 | 192:6 374:23 | 170:3 172:13,25 | asimov | 329:2 |
| 414:12 416:18,22 | apply | 173:11,14,20,21 | 374:18 375:5,8 | association |
| answered | 345:14 378:25 | 174:9,14,18 | asimovs | 240:21,24 241:2 |
| 207:4 335:4 367:3 | 403:19 | 181:16 185:6 | 375:11,13,16 | 257:25 |
| 373:7 | applying | 187:12,20,25 | asked | assume |
| answering | 345:6,14 384:9 | 191:10 193:7 | 170:3 188:9,13 | 179:11 187:4 |
| 356:23 403:13 | appreciate | 194:11 199:6,9,24 | 197:21 205:10 | 202:6 209:12 |
| answers | 423:15 | 200:8,15 202:1,19 | 254:6 256:2 257:4 | 286:8 288:5 |
| 349:17 | appreciation | 205:17,19,25 | 257:8 269:21 | 312:13 |
| anthony | 204:8 | 206:9,13 208:3 | 282:24 283:2,5,7 | assumed |
| 269:16 | approach | 276:6,10,10 | 303:3,25 308:1 | 188:11 315:25 |
| antimysticism | 309:1 350:25 | 277:15 283:23 | 356:17 357:4 | assumes |
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| 341:21 | 352:19 353:4,22 | 285:11 289:6,9 | 251:7 252:21 | 394:12,15 411:21 |
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| astro | 167:15,19 250:20 | 317:18 318:10,18 | 323:17,18 341:20 | 329:24 |
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| 198:24 | 271:25 278:8 | 357:20 358:18 | 408:10 410:2,7,11 | bearing |
| athletic | 295:11 340:12 | 359:1,12,15 | 416:19,20 422:4 | 321:17 |
| 378:14 | 366:23,25 367:2 | 360:22 361:7,10 | 423:12 | bears |
| attach | auction | 361:10 | background | 294:16,18 312:23 |
| 233:19 | 246:16 | avivs | 380:8 408:14,19 | 312:23,24 329:24 |
| attached | audible | 315:6 358:16 | backtrack | beauty |
| 168:21 208:23 | 340:8 | avoid | 357:7 | 294:21 |
| 233:18 | audio | 290:11 | bad | beg |
| attaching | 168:18 273:6 | aware | 180:23 207:20 | 198:6 353:1 |
| 354:10 | 329:22,23 330:13 | 177:22 203:14 | 241:5 400:24 | began |
| attack | audit | 206:7,12 244:2,22 | 419:16 | 249:3 367:25 |
| 219:24 | 243:9 | 262:8 294:3 307:8 | bag | 376:22 |
| attacked | august | 307:11,12,13 | 416:17 417:2 | beginning |
| 220:3 | 168:8 169:24 | 308:25 309:3,6 | bailiwick | 169:12 186:16,16 |
| attacking | 208:23 216:17 | 317:17,21,25 | 341:11 | 209:1 299:14 |
| 219:25 | 238:21 239:1,10 | 318:1 319:14 | banal | 307:9,11 329:18 |
| attacks | 374:7,9 | 328:9,14 338:18 | 196:24 197:3 | 355:4 371:6 |
| 238:11 | author | 340:19,19 352:20 | bankruptcy | beginnings |
| attempted | 193:3 298:7 | 359:12 360:1 | 244:16 | 183:7 407:8 |
| 327:9 | authority | 368:13 | barbara | begins |
| attend | 181:11 197:6 | awful | 225:11 | 326:14 |
| 211:1 253:11 | authorize | 310:5 | barely | begun |
| attended | 297:13 300:15 | axiomatic | 297:6 299:1 | 368:18 |
| 253:14 327:8 | authorized | 409:4 | barry | behalf |
| 337:1 | 426:6 | axioms | 166:21 | 287:14 297:7 |
| attention | authors | 393:9,12,16 | based | 299:8 301:24 |
| 210:4,20 240:6 | 198:1,3,8 |  | 258:10 375:4,11 | 302:9 309:1 |
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| 327:16 361:11 | available | 227:2 | 413:25 414:4,7 | behave |
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| call | 259:21 | 261:12 270:1,2 | 311:13 376:19 | 256:9,12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 197:15 201:6 | cannon | 271:15,23 283:10 | 383:16 388:23,25 | check |
| 202:12 225:21 | 297:16 300:20 | 308:8 313:14 | 389:1 395:18 | 270:9 |
| 233:11 268:4 | capability | 318:12 344:6 | 396:1,5,14 403:9 | checked |
| 281:23 299:15 | 383:4 | 381:13 390:22 | 403:21 405:1,7 | 209:11 |
| 305:5 352:11 | capable | cassette | certainly | checkerboard |
| 358:10 366:2 | 189:21 262:18 | 391:11 | 171:2,3 193:23 | 234:21 |
| 389:18 406:8 | capacity | cassettes | 198:2 205:5,7 | checking |
| 424:17 | 207:18 208:1 | 391:11 | 209:16 210:17 | 172:25 421:11 |
| called | 230:8 | casually | 216:14 236:16,20 | chemical |
| 186:14 187:13 | capitalism | 172:2 | 259:9,21 269:5 | 178:25 |
| 228:9 229:23 | 256:23 | cat | 290:14 297:13 | chemistry |
| 231:2 233:7 | caption | 416:16 417:1 | 300:14 304:4 | 196:2 |
| 235:10,12,15 | 165:16 | catalog | 310:15 313:18 | cheryl |
| 247:23 257:24 | capture | 391:9 | 375:7 377:1 | 166:12 426:4,22 |
| 289:12 339:9 | 183:18 322:15 | catch | 413:24 416:7 | chess |
| 375:17 380:23 | captured | 299:20 | 422:17 | 384:2 |
| 385:2,8 391:13 | 198:21 320:15,24 | catching | certified | chet |
| 407:19 | car | 304:22 | 166:13,13 426:4,5 | 334:7 |
| calling | 213:16 | cause | certify | child |
| 191:14 | carbon | 178:15 188:1 | 425:3 426:7,11,16 | 291:11 332:20 |
| calls | 178:25 179:1,2,3 | 212:6 221:20 | cetera | children |
| 233:11 | 179:5 196:4,6,6 | 226:4 259:22 | 255:6 | 358:17 |
| campaign | card | 318:12 336:18 | challenge | chisel |
| 339:6 | 208:11,12 244:14 | 359:5 385:22 | 349:15,18 | 389:21,22 390:1 |
| campion | 244:25 245:1 | 389:16 395:18 | chancellor | choosing |
| 167:4 200:19 | care | 419:14 | 235:14 | 394:1 |
| 208:17 215:20,23 | 421:11 | caused | change | chris |
| 233:4 246:10 | career | 259:21 | 220:3 250:23 | 307:6 |
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| 281:6 293:3,7,10 | 218:21 | cd | 410:1 | 355:21,23 358:17 |
| 296:4,6 305:14 | carefully | 330:2 336:12 | changed | chronic |
| 329:7,13 330:10 | 170:16 171:17 | center | 254:25 255:1 | 217:21 |
| 330:18 336:20 | careless | 165:23 166:22 | 369:5 385:3,9 | church |
| 344:17,21 345:8 | 201:6 | 261:14 | changes | 218:17,23 219:3 |
| 350:8 351:22 | carries | centers | 209:23 247:20 | 335:6,9,25 336:1 |
| 352:6 353:17 | 258:17 | 279:23 | 257:22,22 385:24 | 336:7 |
| 354:1,15,24 355:4 | carrying | ceo | 425:5 | circuits |
| 355:11 356:24 | 191:9 | 242:13 390:15 | changing | 391:7 |
| 357:9 371:3 | cars | 392:4,5 | 248:19 | circulated |
| 373:19,23 378:8 | 214:20 | certain | characteristics | 232:8,15,24 409:3 |
| 404:13,20 421:8 | carve | 181:6 187:10 | 181:6 | 409:14 |
| 421:17,21 422:19 | 176:12 | 191:19 198:7 | charges | circulating |
| 423:1,8 424:3 | case | 207:18 232:22 | 241:4 244:15 | 409:16 |
| campus | 171:7,11 173:17 | 243:23 246:21 | charity | circumstance |
| 166:16 167:4 | 181:15 194:4 | 249:18,18 259:8 | 256:7 | 311:13 |
| cancelled | 202:3,12 203:5,8 | 265:17 266:18 | chat | circumstances |
| 192:25 225:3 | 203:12 213:3 | 267:23 273:4,9 | 329:12 | 207:16,21,23 |
| cancer | 220:20 236:15 | 286:2 287:15 | cheat | 269:4 309:5 |
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| 343:13,14 373:15 | clearly | 272:16 | 273:2,9 375:19,21 | 190:16 191:23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cite | 303:14 | combative | 377:3 394:2 | 193:17 198:12 |
| 194:15 | client | 312:6 | communicated | 269:12,22,23 |
| city | 181:8 299:4 309:2 | combination | 334:21 | 284:11 405:6 |
| 253:15 274:16,23 | clients | 177:8 179:21 | communication | competitors |
| civilization | 181:10 291:13 | combinations | 202:5,6 328:10 | 173:23 177:22 |
| 204:9 | clifton | 379:19 | 335:2 355:11,13 | 178:24 179:3 |
| claim | 169:7 | combined | 374:19 375:1,18 | 181:8 187:5 189:1 |
| 194:10 197:5 | close | 387:12 | 375:25 376:15,16 | 189:2,22 190:3,8 |
| 228:18 233:1 | 307:24 314:13 | come | 393:20 395:18 | 192:1 199:2 283:3 |
| 315:15 406:3 | closely | 185:11 189:4 | 406:13 419:14 | 283:14,20,24 |
| 416:9 | 337:10 340:10,11 | 199:17 210:20 | communications | compl |
| claimed | 340:12 399:4 | 223:24 224:1,2,5 | 182:8,19 325:7 | 405:8 |
| 219:25 316:10 | closer | 224:6 228:19 | 355:1 376:4 | complaint |
| 322:23 325:10,23 | 394:14 | 229:20 257:3 | community | 361:23 |
| claiming | clubs | 261:6 269:13 | 275:12 392:9 | complaints |
| 192:23 359:15 | 391:1 | 277:3 294:13 | company | 337:25 |
| claims | coach | 336:16 341:22 | 200:3 228:12 | complete |
| 203:5 228:17 | 222:23 223:3 | 342:1 355:23 | 231:2 233:7,16 | 174:4,5 189:22 |
| 251:19 258:21 | 224:17 261:11 | 366:16 367:4 | 243:10 244:25 | 295:1 320:15,24 |
| clarify | coaching | 369:4 372:2 | 245:8 265:18 | 343:14 |
| 191:21 302:3 | 401:9 402:23 | 407:18,20 417:4 | 289:12 295:3 | completely |
| 363:22 | 403:6 | 417:13 419:25 | 296:1 339:9,10 | 319:10 340:6 |
| clark | coalition | comes | 390:14,18 391:13 | 419:10 |
| 370:7 | 390:21,22 | 196:15 268:19 | 392:4,5,8 394:19 | completion |
| class | cocounsel | 390:6 401:3 | 407:19 411:10,21 | 304:9 |
| 187:13 188:17 | 423:7 | comfortable | 413:19 | complex |
| 227:20 249:12 | codification | 409:1 | compare | 274:14 |
| 253:9,9 262:15 | 376:20 | coming | 291:19 349:24 | complies |
| 386:1,18,19 | codified | 189:7 225:13 | compared | 175:6 209:7 240:8 |
| 405:15,17 417:24 | 378:12 419:2 | 226:2 | 383:4 | 313:2 314:10 |
| classes | coerce | commenced | comparison | 327:4,22 374:11 |
| 189:17 191:6 | 325:14 | 358:19,21,23 | 381:18 | 380:12 393:5 |
| 226:14 227:8,21 | coincidence | 359:3,5 | compe | 411:8 |
| 227:23 232:1,5 | 201:9,11,15 | commencement | 185:7 | component |
| 247:25 249:13 | collaboration | 426:8 | compendium | 388:5,12 |
| 255:7 384:18,20 | 276:7 | commencing | 279:18 | components |
| 420:9 | colleagues | 166:17 | compete | 388:16 394:21 |
| classify | 285:2 | comment | 187:6 | comprehensibility |
| 339:8 | collected | 170:8,9 303:12 | competitive | 183:1 |
| clause | 333:20 337:13 | 309:14 401:7 | 178:5,9 184:1,4 | comprehension |
| 190:1 267:12 | collectively | commenting | competitor | 405:9 |
| 412:7 | 285:20 286:1 | 267:11 | 172:9 177:6 178:3 | compromising |
| clear | college | comments | 178:7,13,20 179:8 | 309:9 331:23 |
| 184:2 204:8 | 227:12 | 251:25 363:19 | 181:2,5 184:15,16 | computer |
| 254:11 338:8 | column | commission | 184:20,22 185:7 | 219:14 221:15 |
| 353:25 422:7 | 277:15 | 228:12 | 185:24 187:19 | 230:10 368:1 |
| 424:4 | columns | common | 188:20,25 189:3,4 | 374:23 377:16 |
| clearer | 277:17 290:2 | 197:2 406:15 | 189:11,14 190:7 | 379:10 380:22 |
| 263:21 400:24 | com | communicate | 190:10,11,12,13 | 381:16,17 382:21 |
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| 382:22 383:4,20 | 236:23 378:5 | 243:15 278:1 | 392:2 407:18,20 | 326:25 352:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 383:23 384:3,3,4 | conclusions | 280:11 353:4 | contain | 355:16 357:10 |
| 384:6,16,16,23 | 378:17 | 389:13 403:2 | 174:1 194:1 | 378:10 403:8 |
| 393:11,12 405:22 | concocted | 412:16,19,24,25 | 280:11,18 411:4 | 404:22 421:9 |
| 406:2,3 415:22 | 304:3 | 418:20 | contained | contract |
| computeraided | conditions | consideration | 171:4 174:14 | 300:10,11 302:19 |
| 165:22 | 263:20 264:21 | 345:2 | 179:18 212:18 | contractor |
| computers | condo | considered | 265:6 | 229:15 230:15 |
| 367:23 368:4,9 | 241:2 | 170:6 266:19 | containing | 231:4 288:25 |
| 374:16 393:10 | condone | 275:9 | 211:24 | 289:1 290:24 |
| con | 304:5 | considering | contains | 384:17 |
| 248:23 | conduct | 301:21 | 179:12 240:10 | contracts |
| conceded | 259:7 417:9 | consistent | 248:17 412:21 | 300:12 |
| 353:22 | conducted | 406:18 | contemplate | control |
| concept | 340:20 341:4 | consisting | 179:7 399:12 | 197:9 246:4 247:9 |
| 175:20,21 180:16 | 359:10 | 330:15 | contemplating | controlled |
| 192:18 385:2,9 | conducting | constitute | 307:18 | 246:23 247:3 |
| 386:5 387:15,21 | 259:5 | 204:12 | content | controlling |
| 403:12 | confidence | constitutes | 210:10 253:17,18 | 197:10 |
| concepts | 246:9 | 254:13,18 | 276:23 | conversation |
| 195:7,8,10,24 | confidential | constrictions | contention | 223:14 226:11 |
| 198:9 205:4,5 | 165:17 193:6 | 281:19 | 210:13 | 254:6 289:11,18 |
| 248:23 276:8 | 202:13 249:2,5 | construct | contents | 306:17 323:3 |
| 374:18 376:7 | 251:11 264:3 | 393:15 | 417:25 | 335:11 342:7 |
| 379:12,17,19,20 | 278:9 364:2 380:6 | construction | context | 359:6 |
| 381:7,11,11 396:9 | confidentiality | 260:2,2 393:10,13 | 178:6 183:8 316:9 | conversations |
| 398:3,11,15,22 | 202:15 248:23 | constructive | 347:19 390:7 | 211:12 215:18 |
| 399:7 401:14,15 | 371:12 372:19 | 188:8 | 402:12 | 223:8,18 306:18 |
| 403:2,10 | 373:5,13 389:10 | consult | contexts | 308:10 335:18 |
| concern | 411:23 412:4 | 423:7 | 257:2 392:3 | 353:18 355:6 |
| 311:24 | conflict | consultant | continue | 372:12,14 |
| concerned | 223:10 247:21 | 347:1,2 384:23 | 300:24 301:14 | convicted |
| 265:23 | 248:1 | consultants | 344:16 353:17 | 343:6 |
| concerning | connecticut | 238:10 | 354:25 357:9 | convinced |
| 192:9 203:15 | 242:18 | consulted | 381:6 400:8 | 408:12 |
| 261:4 319:12 | connection | 363:10,12 | 421:12 422:11 | copied |
| 334:14 335:1,18 | 220:19 257:9 | consulting | 423:23 | 193:5 264:24 |
| 335:24 336:7 | 286:3 | 254:19 347:5 | continued | 267:6,13 |
| 340:2 342:7 | connections | consumer | 165:16 166:1 | copies |
| 351:15 353:10 | 346:16 | 211:3 391:18,19 | 167:1 168:2 | 204:25 212:19 |
| concerns | conocimiento | consumers | 169:10 263:3 | 215:18 272:9 |
| 253:24 | 275:16,22 277:1,4 | 210:25 231:8,9 | 271:10 380:21 | 277:20,23 280:24 |
| concert | 277:24 280:11 | 242:13 243:21 | 381:2 418:25 | 281:2 |
| 339:15 | conocimientos | 257:10 258:11 | 420:2 | copy |
| conclude | 277:5 | 329:3 390:13,14 | continues | 193:6 204:23 |
| 344:19 348:8 | consid | 391:4,17,22 | 234:6 326:14 | 212:1 222:1 234:5 |
| 354:18 | 181:1 | 392:14 407:21 | continuing | 263:20 264:10 |
| concluding | consider | contact | 169:3 205:1 | 293:12 332:17 |
| 271:12 | 171:13 220:19 | 223:20 297:6 | 215:13 231:14 | 373:23 412:7 |
| conclusion | 224:16 226:22 | 299:1,7 337:7,8,9 | 296:8 318:20 | copying |
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| $\begin{aligned} & 232: 24 \text { 400:1 } \\ & 409: 15 \end{aligned}$ | 391:17 | 210:12 394:25 395:1,3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { critical } \\ & \text { 170:4 197:16 } \end{aligned}$ | cute 3448 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| copyright | 236:13 237:16 | court | 210:11 399:21 | 261:5 |
| 237:21 | counsel | 165:1 166:13 | criticism |  |
| copyrighted | 248:8 330:4,19 | 203:5,8,11,12 | 181:16 186:2,21 | D |
| 210:8 211:25 | 340:16 344:4 | 278:10 324:3 | 186:25 | daily |
| 411:20 | 345:14 351:13 | 332:21 344:7 | criticisms | 214:16 |
| copyrights | 354:13 355:1 | 361:24 423:23 | 187:10 | dalai |
| 237:14 | 360:16 363:2,6,9 | 424:1 426:4 | criticizing | 371:14,16 372:7 |
| copywritten | 363:12,18,20 | courts | 181:17 | 372:18 |
| 238:13 | 366:7 422:14 | 296:13 | cross | damage |
| corp | 426:17 | covered | 168:2 | 173:24 181:19 |
| 166:24 | counseling | 267:17 346:17 | crossclaim | 225:22,23 226:5 |
| corporate | 254:13 | cpu | 166:8,23 | 296:16 |
| 284:7,14,16 | count | 382:9 | crossclaimants | damaged |
| corporation | 294:14 | craft | 166:4 | 183:19 |
| 165:2 166:6 | counter | 179:5 | crossexamination | damaging |
| 228:12 242:22 | 339:22 | crate | 285:7 345:10 | 192:3 |
| 284:10,17 285:19 | counterclaimdef... | 391:15 | cruise | damato |
| 285:24 324:7,10 | 165:15 | crawl | 315:24 316:11,11 | 296:12,15 355:24 |
| corporations | counterclaimplai... | 394:6 | 317:9,11 | 357:13,14,16 |
| 284:7,9,13,22 | 165:11 | crazy | culmination | 358:16 |
| corral | counterclaims | 332:21 | 236:6 238:7 | damning |
| 354:19 | 328:17,18,19 | create | cult | 320:3,9 |
| correct | county | 180:5,9 287:11 | 186:7,15 216:22 | danzig |
| 199:7 213:5 218:3 | 274:23 | 303:8 339:18,19 | 218:11,20 331:16 | 167:7 |
| 234:13 249:11 | couple | 380:9 381:21 | curiosity | dashes |
| 259:16 265:15 | 285:17 292:25 | 393:1 403:20 | 376:19 | 319:9 |
| 279:11 302:1,11 | 302:15 306:21 | 405:1 417:16 | curious | data |
| 302:18 319:19 | 340:1 399:5 | created | 324:18 | 266:12 282:12 |
| 323:10 325:24 | 423:17 | 179:19 382:21 | current | 321:10,12 322:9 |
| 338:7 362:8 | course | 383:24 384:18 | 256:24 | 322:11 340:14,14 |
| 364:15,19 367:18 | 182:1 186:25 | creates | currently | 357:24 374:22 |
| 369:11 370:2 | 191:15 196:2 | 303:9 383:13 | 210:8 219:19 | 382:20 383:15,16 |
| 371:13 372:19 | 199:18 211:1,4,8 | creating | 331:20 | 420:11,14 |
| 373:1,6,16 375:11 | 211:15 224:10 | 396:11 411:10 | curricula | date |
| 402:7,19 406:25 | 240:14 250:7 | 413:16,19 417:10 | 256:15 | 165:19 169:1 |
| 408:6,8,13 416:2 | 266:24 268:20 | creation | curriculum | 220:6 236:23 |
| correction | 277:12 328:8 | 396:1,5 | 180:20 196:14,15 | 239:3,7 252:22 |
| 425:6 | 368:7,8 369:25 | credible | 204:19 256:2,4,17 | 264:19 280:23 |
| correctly | 370:4,11,16 373:4 | 217:23,24 309:25 | 384:18,20 386:4 | 344:11,13,14 |
| 316:13 375:2 | 385:17,21 386:16 | 310:4 312:9 | 387:9 417:23,25 | 421:6 423:25 |
| 381:1 383:8 385:4 | 386:19 | credit | 418:6,11,14,25 | 425:21 426:14 |
| 388:8 394:9 407:2 | courses | 244:6,10,14,25 | 420:3,11,13 | dated |
| 409:8 412:5,13 | 172:12 224:4,6 | 245:1 | cursed | 242:8 244:7 |
| 418:4 419:6 | 228:4 284:16 | crime | 214:20 | 251:13 374:6 |
| corrupt | 380:17,17,20 | 257:1 343:6 354:8 | curve | dates |
| 297:11,11 300:7,8 | 386:22 387:13 | 419:22 | 382:24 | 399:25 424:7,9,13 |
| 300:13 | 394:23 | criteria | cut | 424:20 |
| cost | coursework | 192:6 | 264:18 295:5 | dave |
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| 231:16,23 | 170:11 172:24 | delete | 422:3,3,17 423:15 | develop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| day | 381:8,8 394:2 | 355:3 | 423:21,23 424:24 | 374:19 376:8 |
| 169:2 216:11,16 | 405:8,9 406:15 | deleted | depositions | 380:21 381:2,6 |
| 239:10 343:19 | 418:3,3,13 | 271:18 367:25 | 228:11 424:8 | developed |
| 344:8 374:7 | default | 368:6,7 | deprogramming | 376:9 388:5,11,14 |
| 389:21 421:4 | 243:11 | delineate | 406:9 | 394:16 407:10 |
| 423:15,16 424:2 | defend | 286:5 | depth | 420:20 |
| 424:25 425:22 | 243:11 | delineates | 405:2 | developing |
| days | defendant | 401:15 | derivative | 378:16 |
| 173:7 344:23 | 168:9,16 239:19 | deliver | 268:21 | development |
| 399:5 408:15,17 | 239:25 324:5,8 | 313:19 | derive | 370:16 384:11 |
| 421:16 422:2 | defendants | delivered | 178:17 179:9 | 391:23 392:13 |
| daytoday | 165:9 166:8,24 | 313:16 361:22 | derived | 413:14 |
| 337:1 | 208:24 239:21 | 391:8 | 200:2 236:22 | device |
| de | 245:17 251:14 | delivering | 296:20 396:24 | 256:9 |
| 395:5 | 264:4 285:23 | 361:25 362:4 | 406:21 414:12 | devices |
| deal | 324:11 330:16 | 385:17 | 415:1 | 256:12 |
| 303:11,18,20 | 345:12,13 | demonstrable | describe | devote |
| 347:18 | define | 221:7 | 394:20 | 247:21 |
| dealing | 174:24 184:22 | demonstrated | described | diagrams |
| 225:9,10 | 190:7,8 223:25 | 282:8,9 | 215:21 315:16 | 398:15 |
| dealings | 230:1 250:12 | dentist | 323:4,14,21 | differ |
| 287:6 | 262:12 379:24 | 191:14 | 405:21 406:1 | 385:15 394:24 |
| deals | 380:1 390:5 | deny | describing | difference |
| 391:10,15,16 | 403:11 414:7 | 330:19 | 269:14 | 183:20 206:16 |
| debt | defined | department | description | 252:5,7 306:3 |
| 243:13,16,20,20 | 395:6,7,7 | 230:9 242:8 | 168:6 303:19 | 387:15,17 416:12 |
| 244:4,17 | defining | 243:24 | designate | 416:23 |
| debunk | 184:19 | depend | 278:8 | different |
| 409:5 | definite | 269:7 | desire | 170:10 174:1 |
| decide | 310:8 | dependent | 211:1 | 176:18 184:11 |
| 227:14 | definitely | 389:2 398:4,4 | destroy | 190:15 194:16 |
| decided | 196:13 253:5 | depending | 189:4 192:1 | 203:1 207:21 |
| 261:11 337:23 | 337:8 | 178:6 184:6 | destroyed | 226:21 228:14,22 |
| decision | definition | 193:22 269:4 | 368:18 | 230:13 238:9 |
| 227:16 354:19 | 185:13 186:11 | 403:24 405:2 | detail | 244:18 247:25 |
| 375:1 | 195:21 204:8 | 414:6 | 248:2 308:8 | 248:20 254:18 |
| decisions | 413:1,4 | depends | 399:24 | 255:14 262:16 |
| 363:17 383:7 | degree | 172:8 188:22 | detailed | 263:23 269:25 |
| 406:21 | 207:22 226:5,22 | 190:7 234:1 | 173:3 | 272:12 273:22 |
| deduce | 226:23,25 227:9 | 250:12 269:8,11 | details | 277:10 284:18 |
| 206:4 397:14 | 227:15 259:8,20 | 284:17 379:24 | 243:20 320:12,21 | 321:5 322:5 |
| deduced | 276:24 297:24 | 404:2 | 327:7 371:17 | 343:19 362:20 |
| 206:6 | 299:3 311:5 342:5 | deposition | detective | 368:4,5 371:24 |
| deemed | 346:14 350:19 | 165:18 169:3,12 | 199:15 | 372:3 375:24 |
| 325:12 | 406:11 416:7 | 172:2 217:10 | determination | 377:18 378:4 |
| deep | 420:14 | 271:13 295:17 | 411:11 | 386:22 387:12 |
| 182:25 196:16 | degrees | 319:18 344:5,14 | determine | 388:1 392:3,12 |
| 418:9 | 226:21 227:3,6 | 344:16,19 353:8 | 209:20 236:8 | 394:17,18 396:18 |
| deeper | 228:16 | 354:14 421:7 | 397:9 | 398:15 401:11 |
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| 403:17 405:4 | 399:6,8,10,11 | discussed | doctrine | dolan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 407:21,22 409:20 | 403:19 404:25 | 186:15,16,17 | 354:9 | 167:13 |
| 410:17 | disclosed | 256:3 306:2 308:9 | document | dollars |
| differently | 172:6 173:4 | 311:7 315:1 | 201:21 205:23 | 236:5,9 237:8 |
| 357:25 | 174:24 191:18 | 318:23 348:18 | 206:20 209:5,8,10 | 238:6,24 243:3 |
| difficult | 194:11 206:8,13 | 360:7 373:7 | 221:4,21 238:22 | dominant |
| 238:16 259:18 | 223:12 398:1 | 424:13 | 240:4 245:11,20 | 252:25 |
| 303:15 | discloses | discussing | 245:22 251:16,18 | door |
| difficulty | 183:21 398:21 | 297:23 310:17,22 | 251:22 252:1,8,11 | 391:8 |
| 263:17 | disclosing | 322:25 325:16 | 252:15,16 254:9 | doors |
| dinner | 189:18 | 330:1 | 254:23 258:16 | 354:19 |
| 292:2 315:4,7 | disclosure | discussion | 261:25 263:14,23 | dossier |
| direct | 177:25 178:3,19 | 239:15 251:6 | 264:7 272:10,14 | 333:14 |
| 168:2 169:10 | 179:13 196:22 | 283:9 318:19 | 272:15,19,21 | dot |
| 194:23 218:14 | 399:17,18 419:15 | 324:12 328:2 | 292:21 303:9 | 200:25 |
| 271:10 292:25 | discontinued | 333:6 373:25 | 306:7 312:21 | double |
| 296:22 313:22 | 229:9 | 399:1 | 313:1,9,10,15,16 | 235:7 |
| 324:23 326:12 | discontinuing | discussions | 313:20,21 324:4 | doubt |
| 391:13 399:18 | 309:18 | 308:15,19,22 | 324:14 | 222:1 289:3 304:7 |
| 400:8 402:20 | discount | 371:10,25 372:7 | documentation | doubtful |
| 405:15,18 | 390:23 391:16 | 423:18 | 245:6,6 337:18 | 265:22 |
| directed | discourage | dishonesty | documented | doug |
| 272:21 283:22 | 259:15 | 190:23 | 299:21 306:11,15 | 314:14 |
| 284:1 | discover | dismissed | 321:9 322:8 | download |
| directly | 177:7 376:5 | 203:5,8,9 | documents | 219:20,21 276:8 |
| 176:22 177:24 | 389:21 | disposed | 202:18 210:21 | downloaded |
| 185:12 205:2 | discovered | 421:8 | 221:1,2,5,18 | 415:10,14,16,18 |
| 236:16 274:16 | 374:15 379:18 | disputes | 235:1,3 237:4 | downtown |
| 276:6 311:12 | 393:17 419:2 | 392:11 | 271:16 272:6 | 246:16 |
| 359:22 364:4,5 | 420:16,16 | disregarded | 273:2,13 308:7 | draft |
| 370:21 372:12 | discoveries | 247:15 | 313:14 335:21,23 | 209:15 417:20 |
| 379:14 388:22 | 376:5 | distribute | 336:3,5 338:22 | drafted |
| 399:9 403:24 | discovery | 232:17 | 365:1,7,7,10,25 | 266:2 |
| 404:2,3,25 418:23 | 213:23 251:18 | distributed | 366:10,12 367:5,6 | drafting |
| dirty | 329:24 369:19 | 275:11 278:6 | 367:7,13,20 | 209:16 |
| 293:25 | 378:3,19,22,23 | distributor | 368:17,19,22,25 | drafts |
| dis | 379:6 380:1,6,9 | 233:6 | 369:10,13,22,23 | 417:16 |
| 316:5 | 389:17,23 390:3,4 | district | 369:24 | drawing |
| disadvantage | discredit | 165:1,1 203:4 | doe | 378:4,18 |
| 178:5,10 413:9 | 169:18,21,25 | 256:25 | 165:14 | drew |
| disagree | 339:24 | divine | dog | 197:5 |
| 259:4 | discuss | 197:5 | 241:4 261:8,9 | drinker |
| disagreeable | 278:12 280:7,14 | division | doing | 166:15 167:2 |
| 412:2 | 289:24 293:1 | 230:11 | 170:10 174:19 | drive |
| disagreement | 306:23,25 307:4 | dmc | 185:22 189:12 | 166:16 167:4 |
| 305:2 | 308:4,8,13 309:18 | 165:4 | 237:18 262:9,11 | 219:14 341:17 |
| disclose | 310:10 315:5,6 | doctor | 266:17 292:13 | driven |
| 176:14 189:13 | 318:17 360:13 | 191:15 | 296:16 297:14 | 382:22 383:5 |
| 266:20 397:3,18 | 362:14 371:23 | doctors | 299:20 300:17 | driver |
| 397:23 398:10 | 372:8 407:12 | 262:21 | 305:4 406:21 | 400:24 |


| drives | effort | 378:1 385:1 | 387:11 | esp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 220:4 368:5 | 223:23 224:1 | embody | entertaining | 200:6,9 248:22 |
| driving | 247:22 310:5 | 416:15,25 | 387:10 | 249:3 250:1 255:2 |
| 213:16 | 409:22 410:19 | emotion | entertainment | 265:7,8 413:16 |
| drove | efforts | 207:17 374:24 | 387:2 | 414:13 |
| 214:19 | 246:13,22,24 | 390:9 | entire | especially |
| due | 247:1,13 | emotional | 174:23 210:12,21 | 179:14 181:9 |
| 242:19 243:25 | eggs | 207:12 | 293:14 331:1 | 184:7 198:12 |
| 260:8 | 179:13,15,16 | emphatic | 354:5 357:7 | 200:1 221:4 397:5 |
| dues | egregious | 320:13,22 | entirely | esq |
| 241:7,9,13,14,22 | 221:6 | employed | 297:8 299:9 | 166:21 167:3,4,7 |
| duly | egypt | 229:12 | entirety | 167:12,13,17 |
| 169:8 | 260:1 | employee | 205:14,16,24 | essay |
| duplicatable | eight | 288:21 317:18,24 | 206:9,13 208:7 | 171:3 232:21 |
| 408:3 | 192:6 401:15 | 426:17 | 210:15,17 | essential |
| duplicate | either | employees | entities | 265:7 394:7 |
| 407:1,6,25 | 176:22 180:23 | 392:5,6,8 | 283:19 | 412:25 |
| duplicated | 181:9,10 183:15 | enabled | entitled | essentials |
| 264:24 267:6,13 | 183:17 184:12,13 | 406:15 | 245:20 324:4 | 247:24 249:23 |
| 408:5 | 185:12 200:11 | encourage | 328:10 | establish |
|  | 213:25 250:22 | 268:22 | entrance | 357:4 |
| E | 256:4,15 273:11 | endeavor | 190:15 | estate |
| earlier | 274:18 290:15 | 378:6,11 | entry | 287:6 |
| 304:15 308:9,9 | 311:3 315:24 | endeavors | 187:12 188:16 | et |
| 318:23 319:20 | 326:4 351:9 352:2 | 378:14,14,15 | 281:24 | 255:6 |
| 343:22 345:20 | 359:21 364:21 | ended | envision | ethic |
| 362:12 421:5 | 365:8 391:15 | 312:12 352:20 | 247:11 | 268:7,12 269:6 |
| early | 404:3 420:24 | 409:15 | episode | 411:14 414:5,6 |
| 186:3 417:16 | 422:14 | engaged | 262:14 263:4 | ethical |
| earn | electronics | 250:21 | episodes | 243:15 244:3 |
| 189:2 247:9 | 391:7 | engagement | 262:19 | 246:24,25 259:22 |
| earning | elements | 225:3 | equation | 267:10 268:16 |
| 188:22 189:12 | 210:18 378:5,11 | english | 171:23,23 178:22 | 278:24 279:6,6 |
| ease | ellipses | 182:15 380:3,4,6 | 178:24 | 392:11 |
| 317:10 | 297:12 | 380:7 | equipped | ethically |
| easily | elses | enhanced | 383:5 | 246:3 247:3 |
| 238:4 | 241:5 | 395:21 | erect | ethicist |
| education | em | enroll | 332:6 | 249:22 |
| 259:20 385:18 | 254:12 | 250:1,6,18 251:1 | erratic | ethics |
| 387:13 411:21 | email | enrolling | 255:10 | 392:16 |
| effect | 211:20 213:11 | 387:3 | erraticism | ethos |
| 169:13 182:7,11 | 218:5,7 220:18 | enrollment | 255:12 | 249:21 |
| 184:11 218:18,19 | 424:14 | 168:14 250:13,14 | error | evaluate |
| 282:1,2,6,7 | emails | 250:15,24 263:12 | 200:5,5 201:5,6,7 | 189:20 |
| effective | 218:9 219:7,13,16 | 264:2,8,16 | 264:18 | evaluated |
| 191:22 | 219:19,20 220:10 | entered | errors | 262:22 263:3 |
| effectively | 220:11,17 271:18 | 240:11,18,20 | 199:21 201:23,24 | 357:24 384:19 |
| 189:7 | 272:7 367:22 | 241:12 242:7 | 248:18 | evaluating |
| efficient $385: 19$ | 368:1 | 278:9 380:15 | escapes | 262:18 |
| 385:19 | embodied | entertain | 291:1 | evaluation |
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| 189:22 190:23 | 386:4 388:1,23,24 | 177:20 293:17 | exposed | 317:17 318:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| evening | 392:5 400:12 | existing | 370:20 | 322:22 333:19 |
| 421:9 | 404:4 | 260:1,2 | express | 334:4,25 351:2 |
| event | examples | exists | 318:9,14,25 | 357:15 360:1 |
| 354:24 423:8 | 186:8 400:8 | 214:11 321:14 | 319:11 | 414:17 |
| events | exbusiness | 390:3 | expressed | factor |
| 197:7 346:17 | 329:2 | exit | 255:24 256:1 | 261:5 269:9 |
| 357:18 358:2 | exceptional | 273:21 | 283:17 290:4,6 | 421:24,25 |
| 378:4 | 374:15 | expand | expresses | facts |
| eventually | excerpt | 304:24 305:24 | 248:21,22 | 211:24 |
| 181:21 | 168:18 329:22 | expect | expressing | factual |
| everybodys | 330:6,7,13 331:1 | 423:22 | 394:11 | 355:8 |
| 421:12 | excited | expense | expression | fair |
| everyones | 419:16 | 265:8 | 248:16,21 412:6 | 248:3 350:13 |
| 422:16 | exclude | expenses | 412:22 417:7 | 375:12 397:17 |
| evidence | 317:11 | 238:18,19 | expressive | 424:18 |
| 199:12,16 220:19 | excuse | experience | 376:16 | fairly |
| 303:25 358:7 | 251:3 294:8 296:7 | 207:17 257:8 | exsenator | 196:24 293:1 |
| 359:17 | 363:24 370:24 | 267:24 372:6 | 296:12 | falk |
| evil | 409:25 | 391:22 392:20 | extent | 344:15 354:4 |
| 218:11 | excused | 408:14 411:19 | 174:5 366:1 | 421:7 |
| exact | 270:15 424:23 | 417:7 418:2 | 396:16 | fall |
| 236:22 280:23 | execute | experiences | external | 292:19 341:11 |
| 394:1 | 355:25 | 258:10 268:23 | 207:16 | 405:15,17 |
| exactly | executive | experiential | extras | fallacies |
| 237:9,12 251:23 | 165:3 170:4 192:7 | 388:3,5,12 | 264:13 | 409:19 410:16 |
| 274:22 320:1 | 231:20 265:7 | experiment | extreme | falling |
| 328:15 347:7 | 285:20,24 399:21 | 376:14 | 372:6 | 343:11 |
| 417:8 | exhibit | experiments | extremely | fallon |
| exaggerated | 168:6 200:17 | 376:22 377:1,9 | 255:10 258:5 | 223:1,6 224:22,23 |
| 326:4 | 204:23 208:24 | explain | extremes | fallons |
| exaggeration | 235:25 239:13,21 | 181:13 235:1,4 | 207:9 | 223:2 |
| 316:14,15 | 245:18 251:14 | 246:7 248:13 | F | falls |
| examination | 264:4 292:22 | 252:9 301:15 | F | 413:12 |
| 169:10 207:4 | 312:23 319:15 | 325:25 385:20 | fabricate | false |
| 271:10 426:8 | 324:11 330:16 | 409:21 410:19 | 303:8,25 358:7 | 183:16,21,23 |
| examine | 373:17 375:8 | explained | 359:17 | 184:10,12 211:24 |
| 282:2 367:22 | exhibits | 285:14 | fabrication | 225:4 299:2,24 |
| 376:3 | 168:8,21 208:23 | explanation | 303:14 | falsely |
| examines | 233:19 422:2,6,16 | 207:7 | face | 325:11 |
| 282:10 | exist | explicit | 210:10,14 | familiar |
| examining | 181:17,20 191:3,4 | 268:20 | facilitator | 242:10 279:4 |
| 376:17 | 214:13 237:4 | exploration | 194:12 233:23 | 286:13 422:2 |
| example | 323:12 325:23 | 254:11 262:10,11 | facilities | families |
| 171:19 190:14 | 326:3,7 379:18 | explorations | 235:22 273:23 | 268:24 |
| 201:13 214:5 | 407:10 | 253:24 | fact | family |
| 237:15 250:18,22 | existed | explore | 177:25 178:3,15 | 175:15,24 186:3 |
| 250:23 256:8 | 307:3,5 323:15,22 | 349:14 | 183:22 190:13 | 267:22,25 |
| 266:17 269:15 | 352:17 | explored | 192:22 204:15 | fantastic |
| 273:6 283:22 | existence | 387:25 | 228:21 295:18 | 303:9 |
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| far | 284:5,6 | 423:19 | 294:21 | 426:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 172:24 221:6,6 | figure | finished | fits | forensic |
| 243:7 256:17,18 | 172:10 238:11 | 191:14 305:14,15 | 402:16 | 205:19 |
| 283:25 300:23 | 397:10 | 405:16 | fitting | forgot |
| 301:13 303:15 | filed | finishes | 382:24 | 204:16 |
| 357:15 368:15 | 213:25 237:21 | 356:19 | fitzsimmons | form |
| 394:2 406:14 | 239:3 242:19 | fire | 165:23 | 206:10 248:6 |
| 414:9 | 328:18 | 340:15 | five | 264:15 268:8,20 |
| farouk | files | firm | 190:2 206:24 | 269:19 275:5 |
| 276:22 | 331:13,15 365:8,9 | 285:9 290:25 | 328:4 371:1 423:9 | 297:20 337:3 |
| fashion | filing | 291:1 308:11 | fivepage | 350:4 360:18 |
| 374:23 383:24,24 | 169:17,21,24 | 339:13 350:3 | 168:13 251:11 | 414:13 415:17 |
| 386:21,22 393:16 | final | 352:19,22,23 | flintlock | formalized |
| faster | 193:23 198:15 | 360:12 | 169:7 | 380:22 393:18 |
| 294:15 | 265:19,21 | firms | florham | 406:4 |
| favor | finally | 283:24 291:7,17 | 166:16 167:5 | formally |
| 240:20 | 265:13 393:14 | 291:18 349:20 | flow | 172:3 |
| favorable | finances | 351:10 352:3,18 | 411:13 414:4 | former |
| 203:14 | 334:2,5 | 352:21 | flows | 332:23 |
| fax | financial | first | 414:6,14 | formerly |
| 165:25 | 334:9,13 | 165:3 168:9,10,16 | focus | 165:2 |
| fear | financially | 175:13 181:14 | 399:18 | formula |
| 406:23 | 241:20 | 182:12,13 187:19 | follow | 170:22,23 178:24 |
| fearful | find | 195:8 210:7 | 222:8 277:24 | 178:25 179:2,3,5 |
| 226:3 | 177:1,2 178:11 | 211:22 226:22 | 356:8 411:18 | 196:2,3,6 412:23 |
| february | 182:10 200:14 | 227:12 228:3,20 | followers | formulas |
| 253:12,13 261:14 | 201:8,10 218:25 | 233:11 237:22 | 201:1 | 179:1 |
| 324:21 | 249:4 259:1 282:1 | 239:18,20,24 | following | forth |
| feedback | 283:22 294:7 | 240:1 242:4 | 165:16 296:22 | 426:15 |
| 175:15,24 | 295:10 309:25 | 243:21 264:15 | 298:17 301:9 | forum |
| feel | 310:3 331:16 | 267:11 281:25 | 302:6 305:22 | 214:6,6,7,8 |
| 180:18 189:21 | 333:12 334:1,21 | 288:3,4 291:1,3,6 | 320:18 322:1 | 256:16,18 |
| 217:22,24,24 | 351:3,4,5,6 | 293:15 294:14 | 323:18 351:25 | forums |
| 256:22,23,23 | 364:24 383:25 | 295:1 296:23 | 354:2 404:15 | 256:2,4 |
| 266:3 | 406:15 407:25 | 297:5 305:3,12 | 410:11 416:20 | forward |
| feeling | 408:4 412:1 | 313:8,11,23 | 425:5 | 277:13 278:11 |
| 180:13 | 423:25 | 319:15,23,25 | follows | 281:9 |
| feels | finding | 324:6,9 326:24 | 169:8 271:8 | foster |
| 262:6 | 175:22 399:16 | 337:19 341:12 | followup | 392:9 |
| felt | findings | 358:2 380:13,19 | 270:10 343:21 | fought |
| 258:14 261:12 | 354:8 | 381:15 393:11 | fomented | 243:9 |
| 311:22 409:1 | fine | 399:20,23 400:20 | 363:20 | found |
| 411:14 | 187:10 241:6 | 401:1 409:4 411:9 | footprint | 255:12 297:10 |
| fiction | 244:6,10 262:24 | 411:23 413:18,21 | 397:10,11 | 300:6 303:10 |
| 279:16 | 312:6 343:4 356:9 | 413:22 417:14 | forbes | 316:11,13 347:8 |
| fictional | fingerprints | 419:9 | 283:23 339:21 | 348:8,10,13 355:8 |
| 279:19,21 | 199:14 | fit | forced | 369:22 406:12,25 |
| fictitious | finish | 182:16 303:13 | 181:18 | 407:5 |
| 309:2 | 319:3,8 353:1 | 383:16 | foregoing | foundation |
| fields | 399:3 404:8 421:3 | fitness | 327:19 425:3 | 374:17 375:17 |
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| 377:7 385:10,13 | freedom | 413:24 | generals | 424:7,17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 394:12 | 259:8 | funded | 257:6,15,18,24 | given |
| foundational | freely | 229:17 311:25 | 258:2 | 187:7,7 191:1 |
| 414:10 420:19 | 211:2 | funding | generate | 194:12 204:9 |
| founded | french | 229:19 | 345:21 346:2 | 211:2 217:20 |
| 229:25 230:1 | 379:11 | funds | 416:13,24 | 273:17 319:18 |
| founder | frequently | 243:23 | generated | 349:24 351:10 |
| 226:8 | 214:24 | funny | 242:21 406:23,24 | 352:3 355:24 |
| founding | fresh | 363:16 | generously | 364:18 366:5 |
| 231:8,20,24 | 422:16 | furry | 188:12 | 373:2,11 |
| four | friedman | 261:20 | gentleman | gives |
| 231:9 321:23 | 167:16 168:19 | further | 231:15 286:24 | 184:3 196:5 |
| 328:4 382:19 | 285:10 330:14 | 197:18 210:24 | 356:17 | 204:18 |
| 384:5 | friend | 213:9 238:11,12 | genuine | giving |
| fourpage | 219:2 223:4 311:4 | 343:24 404:19 | 312:10 | 189:21 214:6 |
| 251:17 | 314:12,13 335:16 | 412:3 426:11,16 | genuinely | 282:19 311:22 |
| fourstripe | 362:17 377:2 | furthered | 250:21 | 347:21 356:18 |
| 223:3 | friendly | 392:15,20 | geometric | glasses |
| fourth | 224:19 310:24 | future | 383:21 | 304:19 |
| 206:21 294:15 | 360:21,24 | 315:1 | gestures | go |
| fragment | friends |  | 285:16 | 170:15,22 175:5 |
| 191:20 | 175:15,24 186:3 | G | getting | $176: 25187: 25$ |
| frame | 231:9 299:3 312:8 | gain | 203:18 210:2 | 190:15 192:5 |
| 308:24 341:3 | 346:20 361:1,2 | 418:2,10 | 237:17 248:4 | 197:17,19 207:9 |
| frames | 407:22 | gained | 267:15 311:14,15 | 208:15 214:3,5,6 |
| 357:5 | front | 370:16 381:8 | 311:17,18,18 | 218:13 227:17 |
| framewo | 203:2 220: | gaining | 394:14 422:5 | 230:20 234:24 |
| 409:5 | 241:19 277:8 | 418:13 | gibberish | 235:13 243:25 |
| franchise | 324:19 332:2 | game | 183:14,23 185:17 | 246:17 248:2 |
| 274:18 | fulfill | 224:5 377:2 | 185:19,22 187:15 | 250:14,17 253:23 |
| franco | 250: | gammipi | 188:2 | 254:21 263:11 |
| 165:7 169:23 | full | 382:8 383:14 | girlfriend | 268:22 269:2 |
| 194:13 208:4,6,8 | 170:14 182:13 | garbage | 252:24 253:2 | 271:19 273:16 |
| 371:11 | 202:21 295:13 | 304:11,14 | 332:3,23 | 295:10 296:6,13 |
| francos | 296:23 297:5 | gateway | girlfriends | 296:13,19 298:12 |
| 168:10 239:19,25 | 303:19 313:23 | 166:22 | 331:24 | 302:14,25 329:11 |
| frank | 327:2,3 | gather | gist | 330:10,25 333:7 |
| 286:25 287:2 | function | 340:14 405:7,9,10 | 182:4 | 344:18 353:1 |
| 289:25 290:4 | 224:18 309:22 | 420:12 423:1 | give | 355:25 357:6 |
| 293:23 294:1,1,2 | functional | general | 170:16,18,23 | 378:8 383:25 |
| 295:18 300:21 | 380:23 381:14,25 | 255:5 256:14,20 | 171:19 184:5,5 | 386:11,12,21,23 |
| 301:2,6,11,19 | 382:1,11 | 257:9,25 258:1,5 | 193:7,9 196:1,7,8 | 391:12 400:15,19 |
| 343:10 345:21 | functions | 258:12 283:16 | 200:13 203:20 | 408:10 422:9 |
| franks | 331:22 | 285:14 335:20 | 204:8 214:6 219:8 | 424:6 |
| 290:15 346:15 | fund | 366:13 393:23 | 225:24 232:21 | god |
| fraud | 233:7 | generalized | 271:25 282:12 | 331:25 332:7 |
| 354:9 | fundamental | 269:19 | 284:15 294:7 | goes |
| free | 249:7,9 305:2 | generally | 317:15 319:8 | 214:7 241:4 |
| 211:5 246:20 | 411:10 413:15,17 | 248:14 325:9 | 352:12 357:6 | 256:17,18 297:5 |
| 376:18 | 413:19,21,22,23 | 346:4 | 370:13 401:5 | 331:13 368:16 |
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| 401:10 | graduate | 414:12 417:8 | happily | 291:3 296:9 301:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| going | 226:18 380:16,18 | guessing | 403:13 | 302:4 315:18,20 |
| 173:17 174:16 | grant | 277:19 | happy | 315:22 316:3,19 |
| 182:24 194:8 | 292:5 | guiding | 422:17 | 321:24 328:23 |
| 203:11 208:15,18 | grants | 411:11 | harassing | 358:10,17 364:3,5 |
| 209:4,14 213:9 | 344:15 | guilt | 357:15 | 387:5 416:18 |
| 217:4 218:15 | graph | 180:19 181:3 | harassment | heard |
| 228:11 232:6 | 383:16 384:1 | guilty | 332:22 | 218:18 224:25 |
| 233:20 243:10,19 | great | 180:13,18 | hard | 236:15 244:10 |
| 251:16 268:1 | 258:2,3,13 265:8 | guinness | 194:14,17 198:19 | 245:6,7 258:21 |
| 270:12 277:22 | 346:14 387:6 | 228:15 | 198:24 219:14 | 259:5,24 260:9,12 |
| 278:12 281:4 | 396:16 | guy | 220:4 252:14 | 260:17,19 261:17 |
| 285:3 286:8 | greatly | 189:17 268:3 | 257:21 282:12 | 286:11,24 289:3,5 |
| 292:21 294:4,11 | 261:5 | 303:7 | 326:8 368:5 | 289:12 291:4 |
| 298:10 303:10 | green | guys | hardin | 295:24 296:9 |
| 304:16 311:10 | 234:16 | 217:3 | 334:7 | 304:10,12 305:13 |
| 312:16,21 313:7,8 | groceries |  | hardworking | 307:18 309:12 |
| 316:17 317:7 | 391:8 | H | 408:24 | 310:6 315:21 |
| 319:6 324:22 | grocery | half | harm | 316:2,4,5,7,9,21 |
| 326:4 329:8,14,25 | 391:9 | 174:16 241:17,18 | 178:16 | 319:5 321:5,6 |
| 330:11,25 331:17 | ground | 241:18 301:4 | harness | 322:5,6 328:24 |
| 331:19 340:1 | 285:14,17 | halfway | 389:5 | 332:11 334:6 |
| 344:2 345:6 348:5 | group | 186:4 194:21,23 | harnessed | 337:15,19,21 |
| 351:14 353:7 | 171:2 182:16 | 200:24 331:12 | 246:25 | 338:1,9 339:9 |
| 355:19 357:8 | 183:11 184:7 | hammer | harold | 341:11 355:17,18 |
| 362:23,24,24 | 185:8,14 186:2,5 | 389:20,22,25 | 167:7 202:9 204:1 | 355:18,20 357:12 |
| 373:17 374:13 | 197:10 219:24 | hand | hate | 357:19,20,21 |
| 380:13 399:23 | 223:2 229:8 | 176:24 263:6 | 207:9 | 358:2,4,8,15,22 |
| 408:16 421:1,3,3 | 249:13 253:4,6 | 313:16,19,20 | hawn | 358:23 359:21,22 |
| 423:14 424:2,7,21 | 318:7 370:9,10 | 332:18 390:20 | 225:3,6,13,18 | 361:18,20,21 |
| goldie | 375:16 382:8 | handful | 226:1 | 422:13 |
| 225:3,13,16,17,18 | 383:15 419:9 | 289:23 | hawns | hearing |
| 226:1 | groups | handing | 225:16,17 | 242:4 300:1 |
| good | 186:6 | 330:6 | hazard | 310:19 331:9 |
| 169:11 226:5 | growing | handle | 296:3 | 350:13 357:12 |
| 238:17 257:22 | 233:16 | 346:15 | head | 364:11 |
| 266:3 285:8 293:7 | grown | handled | 178:21 180:4 | hearsay |
| 299:20 320:4,9,15 | 420:20 | 180:20 | 212:17 230:11 | 321:7,12 322:7,12 |
| 320:24 339:20 | growth | handles | 233:5 277:5,7 | 326:4 |
| 342:5 347:16,17 | 233:12 | 374:22 | 339:20 392:3 | heart |
| 350:12 387:1 | guarantee | happen | 419:25 | 210:11 |
| 405:7 419:16 | 332:16 391:21 | 244:2 | heading | held |
| gotten | guard | happened | 175:14,23 211:20 | 166:14 219:23 |
| 235:11 263:19 | 249:4 | 244:17 269:22 | headquarters | 239:15 251:6 |
| 291:10,11 307:20 | guarded | 291:18 308:23 | 167:8 | 320:6 324:12 |
| 318:4 | 249:3 | 309:3,6 312:15 | health | 328:2 333:6 |
| government | guess | 424:14 | 294:21 407:19 | 373:25 399:1 |
| 256:22 296:18 | 212:20,21 213:6 | happens | hear | heller |
| gradations | 265:9 296:3 | 214:24 250:16 | 215:3,7 219:1 | 291:1,5 292:14 |
| 235:2,4 | 327:13 379:25 | 269:24 | 266:24 283:1 | 308:11,16 318:8 |


| 319:21 327:13 | 303:3,7 308:12,13 | 419:3 | 184:13 | image |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 349:21 351:11 | 308:16,19 350:25 | hours | hyland | 339:7,18 |
| 352:4,19 360:12 | 387:8 | 173:7 303:7 344:3 | 167:7 | imagine |
| help | history | 345:4,5 382:9 | hypothesis | 257:12 266:15 |
| 250:2 378:13 | 228:4 233:16 | 408:17 423:17 | 201:15 | 279:24 287:15,20 |
| 388:14,14,23 | 380:21 | house | hypothesize | 289:10 304:14 |
| 392:10 | hochman | 216:24 241:17,19 | 244:11 | 320:11,20 326:8 |
| helped | 176:18 190:11,17 | 288:4 292:2,5 |  | 339:8 345:25 |
| 367:17 | 199:9,11,24 200:4 | 302:22 307:14 | I | 346:5 359:7 |
| helpful | 200:11,12 201:7 | 314:25 359:2,20 | idea | 369:19 381:25 |
| 309:22 | 201:13,16,18 | 361:19 362:7 | 184:15 192:14 | 383:21 415:10 |
| helps | 202:18 203:6,8,9 | 367:8,13 369:3,10 | 195:18,19,24 | 417:6 |
| 418:13 420:12 | 203:10 212:19 | 369:13 | 196:25 241:14 | impact |
| hemorrhaging | 397:2 398:9 | howard | 274:23 350:25 | 232:25 |
| 238:12 | hold | 233:8 | 375:23 376:1 | implementation |
| hereinbefore | 182:10 226:4 | hows | ideas | 327:10 |
| 426:14 | 227:5 247:13 | 331:21 | 181:2 197:3 | implications |
| hesitant | 265:1 319:3 402:4 | human | 248:22 375:4,11 | 405:10 |
| 188:5 | holds | 184:25 196:17 | 375:13 394:11 | implies |
| high | 207:23 | 247:23 249:23 | 409:17 410:13 | 340:22 405:14 |
| 242:25 380:16 | home | 374:19 376:3,14 | identification | 414:25 |
| higher | 274:3,6 362:4 | 376:16,18 378:6 | 168:6 208:25 | importance |
| 234:14 257:20 | 370:5,8 | 378:11,14 379:1 | 239:22 245:18 | 394:5 |
| highest | homebased | 382:20,22 383:3,4 | 251:14 264:5 | important |
| 234:12 235:7 | 390:19 | 383:12 384:1,4,5 | 324:11 330:17 | 180:21 182:23 |
| highlighted | homeowners | 384:9 390:9,10,11 | identified | 183:1,2 197:14 |
| 192:8 | 240:21,24 241:21 | 393:17 406:2,7 | 171:9 244:6 | 210:17 248:24 |
| highly | honest | humancreated | 295:12 297:22 | 258:4,6 261:12 |
| 191:22 393:23 | 201:23,24 252:10 | 383:14 | 334:8 374:1 | 311:4 368:15 |
| 406:18 | 255:12 408:23 | humankind | identify | 369:7 418:16,21 |
| hill | honestly | 207:15 | 170:5 172:6 173:4 | impress |
| 292:5 | 189:21 292:19 | humankinds | 173:12,18 174:10 | 331:18 |
| hint | honesty | 204:10 | 174:13 282:25 | impressed |
| 176:22 | 190:23 419:14 | humans | 283:3 | 291:12 |
| hints | hope | 207:25 383:25 | identifying | impression |
| 178:16 | 217:4 418:2 | 384:25 | 174:21 | 311:21 318:2 |
| hire | hoped | humoring | identities | 361:1,14 362:3,9 |
| 238:10 291:17 | 255:11 | 311:3 | 226:4 | impressive |
| 340:15 349:20 | hopper | hun | idiosyncratic | 331:21 |
| 350:2 363:1 | 265:18 | 237:7 | 182:8 187:16 | improbable |
| hired | horrible | hundred | ignored | 201:8 |
| 287:4,9,13 288:19 | 348:15 | 221:5 243:2,4 | 217:15 | improperly |
| 292:7,8,12 297:7 | hospital | hundreds | ii | 198:9,10 |
| 299:8 339:17,23 | 262:22 | 198:23 236:4,9,19 | 165:18 419:20 | improve |
| 345:21 346:2 | hostile | 236:25 237:7 | iii | 339:7 |
| 360:11,12 363:13 | 214:21 252:25 | 238:5,18,24 | 253:18,20 419:21 | ina |
| hires | hotel | 406:25 407:5,13 | illegal | 305:5 |
| 297:11 300:7 | 263:1 | hung | 297:14 299:11 | inappropriate |
| 363:9 | hour | 189:9 | 300:10,12,15 | 305:5 306:4 |
| hiring | 174:16 408:16 | hurt | 302:17 | 325:12 |

Case 2:06-cv-01051-KSH-CLW Document 852-2 Filed 09/20/19 Page 83 of 110 PageID: 20862

Page 17

| incident | indictment | 343:2 | 222:14,17 229:11 | interested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 211:10 | 217:14 | initial | 262:8,19 | 356:5 426:19 |
| include | indirectly | 280:25 409:24 | instantly | interesting |
| 215:20 236:13 | 176:15 379:15 | 410:21 | 384:1 | 180:24 257:23 |
| 248:4 251:1 | 399:11 403:22,23 | input | institute | 260:5 375:21 |
| 266:13 267:21 | individual | 381:15 | 165:7 167:15 | interests |
| 354:22 396:7 | 179:25 180:1 | inquiry | 229:23 230:3 | 347:25 |
| 409:17 410:14 | 217:21 406:18 | 172:12 275:3 | 385:3,10 387:16 | interface |
| included | individuals | 277:4 280:7,15 | 387:19 | 287:9 |
| 409:24 410:21 | 231:18,19,25 | 281:14 282:6 | instruct | interfacing |
| includes | 232:1 262:9 | 370:17,23 372:9 | 268:17 271:15 | 211:23 224:20 |
| 237:24 314:1 | industry | 373:3,8,11 374:20 | 351:20 | interfor |
| including | 232:8 233:14 | 375:4,9,10,15 | instructed | 165:13 166:3 |
| 355:5 | 409:3,6 | 376:2,6,7,11,21 | 220:25 271:17 | 167:19 285:11 |
| inclusive | infer | 377:21,23 378:1,2 | instruction | 289:13,16 290:20 |
| 267:20 | 397:20 | 378:16 379:13,17 | 271:20 | 291:9 292:8 |
| incompetencies | influence | 379:21 380:4,5,5 | instructors | 294:17,19,19 |
| 243:23 | 375:14 391:23 | 380:22 381:3,5 | 384:20 | 296:23 302:17,20 |
| incomprehensible | influenced | 384:12 385:11,15 | integrates | 307:9 308:1,25 |
| 182:20 | 253:3,6,7 | 385:16 388:17 | 195:11 | 309:19 312:12 |
| incorporated | infor | 389:8,14,18 390:8 | integration | 314:2,4,6 315:22 |
| 202:2 249:6 | 267:4 | 391:24 392:14,22 | 204:18 | 317:18 318:7 |
| 285:11 289:13 | informally | 392:23 393:2 | integrity | 321:18 322:15 |
| incorrectly | 409:12 | 394:13,17,18,19 | 252:8 | 328:10 329:25 |
| 234:11 | information | 394:22,24 395:2,4 | intellectual | 333:19 334:4,13 |
| increase | 168:22 177:18 | 395:12,17,20,20 | 388:4 | 334:25 337:12 |
| 185:5,8,11 207:25 | 178:1 179:6 | 395:22,25 397:8,9 | intelligent | 338:21 339:15 |
| 283:17 389:2 | 193:12,22 196:9 | 397:13,14,16 | 405:6 | 352:14,18 354:5 |
| increasing | 199:21 211:4,22 | 403:20 406:6 | intensity | 354:22 357:19 |
| 185:3,15 188:18 | 212:1,4,12,15,23 | 407:8 411:5 | 419:18 | 360:2,15 |
| incredible | 213:4,8 219:6 | 418:22 420:17,19 | intensive | interfors |
| 355:19 | 222:7 228:9 | 420:23,25 | 175:9,19 176:6 | 307:16 308:4 |
| incumbent | 238:13,25 249:2,4 | insane | 177:14,14 179:18 | 315:1 318:20 |
| 386:1 | 264:24 265:6,10 | 303:11,18,20,21 | 179:19 187:11 | 319:12 325:10 |
| incurred | 266:11,13,19,23 | inspirational | 249:21 371:18 | 327:9 337:1,7 |
| 238:20 | 267:5,13 272:1 | 377:23,25 | 401:2,20,22 | 338:19 339:5 |
| independent | 296:21 307:20 | inspire | 402:17 | 351:16 |
| 207:13,22 230:15 | 316:17 318:3 | 207:16 247:19,20 | intensives | interlocked |
| 288:24 289:1 | 319:22 333:20 | 248:1 375:13 | 263:7 284:19,22 | 396:14,17,19 |
| 290:24 384:22 | 334:13 335:1,22 | inspired | intent | international |
| indicate | 335:24 336:12,16 | 374:18 375:7,10 | 249:3 269:7 | 231:1 |
| 223:11 224:22 | 338:6,19 341:10 | 387:6 | intention | internet |
| 355:4 | 355:9 357:24 | inspiring | 325:14 | 187:21 210:9,15 |
| indicated | 358:15,17 364:2,9 | 387:4 | interacted | 210:22 211:5 |
| 224:23 263:16 | 375:20 381:14 | installed | 255:11 | 238:15,21 239:1 |
| 279:15 | 386:2,25 405:7,19 | 217:1 | interaction | 307:21 336:13 |
| indicates | informed | instance | 214:23 | 340:23,24,25 |
| 202:19 | 186:20 224:8 | 261:17 | interest | 341:15 349:3 |
| indicating | 325:13 | instances | 330:25 357:16 | 362:19 416:1,5 |
| 197:12 252:3 | infraction | 211:10,11 217:16 | 387:4 | interrelationships |
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| 292:16 | 300:8 308:12,13 | itemized | join | 247:15 248:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| interrogatories | 308:16,18 | 237:3 | 248:9 | 254:20 255:12 |
| 168:10,17 239:20 | investigators | items | joint | 264:18 281:23 |
| 240:1 324:6,9 | 291:6 308:19 | 253:22 356:1 | 229:18 | 285:18 286:3 |
| interrogatory | 350:23 351:1 | iteration | jones | 290:8 293:4,14 |
| 324:24 326:13 | investors | 381:18 | 314:11,15,15 | 294:18 297:19 |
| 327:17,23 | 387:24 | ivy | joseph | 300:25 301:2,15 |
| interrupt | involve | 276:19,19,19,23 | 251:12,19 319:21 | 302:14 304:18 |
| 356:2,13,14 | 352:7 |  | 349:19 | 305:4,17 310:12 |
| intervention | involved | J | journal | 311:3,18 317:10 |
| 382:23 | 201:14 209:16 | jane | 275:9,13 | 317:11 319:7 |
| interview | 214:1 225:25 | 165:14 | journals | 320:16 325:6,16 |
| 360:14 371:21 | 231:19,24 237:16 | janitor | 275:7,8 | 326:22 333:5 |
| 406:24 | 241:3 261:10 | 219:3 | journey | 335:19 338:6 |
| interviewed | 278:25 279:2 | jay | 384:13 | 343:9 351:21 |
| 371:19,20 384:19 | 296:17 300:5 | 192:5 | joy | 353:7 356:2,12 |
| 407:5,14,17 | 316:24,25 317:4 | jersey | 185:3,5,8,12,15 | 357:8 367:24 |
| interviewing | 318:8 325:9 | 165:1,24 166:14 | 188:1,18 207:19 | 369:18 370:8 |
| 407:24 | 327:14 329:3,4 | 166:16,23 167:5,9 | 207:22 208:1 | 373:21 375:25 |
| interviews | 363:17,21 365:4 | 167:14 341:16 | 247:19 283:17 | 379:4,5,23 380:15 |
| 347:21 | 366:21 371:25 | 426:6 | jr | 384:2 387:8,17 |
| intimately | 387:18 393:19 | jj0000699 | 286:25 287:2 | 400:21 402:10 |
| 300:5 | 396:1,4 398:8 | 312:25 | 343:11 | 405:20 412:11 |
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| 419:1 420:16,16 | 189:8 242:22 | moving | 230:16 295:23 | 188:21 216:5 |
| 420:20 | morning | 332:14 382:18 | 350:23 | 243:8 256:5 258:6 |
| modeled | 169:11 421:10 | moxon | nancy | 316:8 333:15 |
| 235:23 | 423:22 | 338:24 | 165:13 166:7,24 | 387:1 |
| module | morris | mud | 167:21 216:24 | necessary |
| 179:25 180:1 | 165:6 167:10 | 293:25 | 217:6,10 251:12 | 393:1 411:15 |
| 195:13 196:13,14 | 169:16 | muddled | 251:20 283:9 | 421:13 |
| 204:19 205:3 | morristown | 319:10 | 285:25 288:5,6 | need |
| 395:9 396:12 | 167:9 | mulberry | 292:4 310:14 | 169:14 170:15 |
| 398:2,14,17 | mortgage | 166:22 | 311:8,22,22 | 181:25 182:2 |
| 399:25 401:13,16 | 241:18,25 | multilevel | 336:10 350:19 | 191:21 211:8,14 |
| 411:23,24 419:19 | mossad | 390:18 409:18,19 | 359:1 372:20,25 | 217:4 233:15 |
| 419:21,22,23 | 291:10 | 410:15,16 | 379:4 408:9,12 | 249:16 301:7 |
| modules | motion | murder | 420:5,12 | 304:16 340:13 |
| 179:18,21,23 | 423:2 | 404:4 405:12,13 | nancys | 349:17 379:25 |
| 180:5 181:22 | motions | 405:14 | 217:10 288:4 | needed |
| 182:22 282:18,20 | 375:19 | music | 292:2 302:22 | 228:13,14,15,17 |
| 395:16 400:6 | motivated | 379:10 | 307:14 309:21 | needs |
| 415:25 419:3,9 | 172:8,9,22 234:3 | musical | 357:21,23 359:19 | 188:15 |
| 420:22,24 | 257:19 258:15 | 389:1 | 363:3 | negative |
| moment | 399:15 | mystery | nashika | 202:2,4 223:9 |
| 202:9 291:2 294:7 | motivation | 206:3 404:5 | 231:2 | 224:24 225:1 |
| 301:1 370:19 | 388:4,13,24 389:3 | 405:12,13,14 | natalie | 268:23 312:2,2 |
| monetary | 389:6 390:10 | mystical | 244:13 245:1 | 345:25 |
| 225:12 | 392:18 406:22 | 197:4 | 329:1,2 361:22,23 | negotiate |
| money | motivational |  | 362:1,4 | 390:23 |
| 185:15 188:23 | 419:17 | N | natalies | negotiation |
| 194:22 195:5,15 | motivationally | naag | 342:13 361:19 | 345:4 |
| 195:15 196:19 | 383:5 | 257:24,24 | 362:7 | neighbor |
| 237:24 241:18 | motivator | naked | national | 213:11 218:5 |
| 243:9 244:3 246:4 | 389:4 | 332:2 | 257:25 407:19 | 296:14,15 357:13 |
| 246:11,12,12,14 | motives | name | nations | neighbors |
| 246:21,23 247:9 | 304:2 | 218:23 232:10,11 | 277:7 | 214:21 216:3 |
| 247:10,14,15 | mount | 233:8 242:23 | naturally | 218:10 219:8,11 |
| 248:4 255:13,15 | 165:23 | 244:15 275:15 | 250:16 | neither |
| 311:16,17,25 | mouthful | 276:14 277:5 | nature | 423:20 426:16 |
| 350:11 355:25 | 240:2 324:7 | 278:19,23 285:8 | 195:16 196:8,20 | nestled |
| 398:2,14,17 | move | 286:13,25 291:1,5 | 250:24 274:19 | 283:25 |
| 401:13,16 412:2 | 266:18,20 302:13 | 291:6 295:13 | 282:3 292:15 | network |
| 419:22 | 302:23,24 356:20 | 315:25 336:10 | 297:15 300:18 | 226:8 |
| monies | moved | 342:16,22 387:18 | 325:11,15 365:7 | neuro |
| 247:1 | 230:11 341:12 | 387:19 395:14 | 375:24,24 378:12 | 370:1,21 |
| monterrey | 347:12 375:22 | 409:10 | 396:21,23 409:22 | neutralization |
| 274:15 277:2 | 400:17 | named | 410:19 | 186:1 |
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| nevares | 175:8 | 212:12 214:19 | 273:24 274:10,16 | 272:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 276:19 | nonadvertising | 218:9 230:19 | 279:22 280:12 |  |
| never | 296:24 | 244:18 252:3 | 282:25 283:3 | 0 |
| 192:2 215:16 | noncompete | 253:18,22 258:20 | 285:19,19,23,24 | oath |
| 222:7 226:2 229:6 | 187:6 190:1 | 269:4 272:12 | 286:3 287:4 | 169:8 271:7 |
| 244:10 253:14 | noncompetitors | 283:24 294:19 | 288:19,21 289:2 | 345:18 |
| 295:24 303:16 | 187:7 | 313:3 321:22 | 290:1,21,23,24 | oaths |
| 307:3,5 313:20 | nonfiction | 324:17,24 326:13 | 292:13,22 294:18 | 426:7 |
| 326:6 338:9 348:3 | 279:16 | 327:17 329:19 | 294:18 295:2,6,24 | object |
| 348:5 360:7 | nonparticipants | 350:21 371:7 | 297:6 298:15,25 | 206:10 248:6 |
| 361:18 365:25 | 175:18 176:5 | 391:2 401:12,16 | 299:19 300:22 | 268:8 297:20 |
| new | nonsense | 408:15 | 301:12,24 302:9 | 337:3 350:4 |
| 165:1,24 166:14 | 183:25 184:17 | numbers | 302:20 305:1 | 360:18 383:21 |
| 166:16,23 167:5,9 | 188:19 | 294:16 312:24,2 | 308:1,5 312:11,22 | 391:20 |
| 167:14,18,18 | nonverbal | 321:17 329:25 | 314:16 315:3 | objected |
| 169:7 230:7 242:7 | 266:12,14 | 399:25 | 317:24 318:9 | 244:20 |
| 242:14 248:4 | nonviolent | numerous | 319:15 324:6,10 | objection |
| 253:15 254:17,20 | 247:20 248:1 | 223:18 248:18,19 | 325:14 335:16 | 206:14,18 318:10 |
| 274:12,13,20 | normal | 254:20 | 337:7,8 339:15,18 | 318:15,16,18 |
| 331:17 341:16 | 202:24 | nxivm | 340:4,12,21 341:5 | 319:1,5,12 327:19 |
| 345:7 355:24 | normally | 165:2 166:6,2 | 343:10 345:22,25 | 356:20 |
| 380:22 406:12 | 218:10,14 234:1 | 168:17 169:18,21 | 346:6,22,23,25,25 | objections |
| 421:2 426:6 | 257:16 276:12 | 169:25 170:6 | 347:6 348:6 350:2 | 326:18 333:23,24 |
| newark | 366:13 380:17 | 172:12 173:17,24 | 351:16 352:20 | 334:18 356:11 |
| 166:23 | notary | 174:24 175:20 | 353:23 360:1,3,11 | objective |
| news | 425:24 | 176:8 177:18 | 360:14 361:3,8 | 207:14,15,17 |
| 220:7 | note | 178:1,4,5,7,15,16 | 363:1,9,13 364:17 | 393:23 406:23 |
| newspaper | 313:2 | 178:18 179:18,19 | 366:1,3 367:1 | objectives |
| 187:21 287:19 | noted | 179:23 180:16 | 373:4,12 385:13 | 333:25 334:20 |
| 293:14 | 382:20 | 181:6,7 184:1,18 | 391:24 394:15,16 | obligation |
| newspapers | notes | 185:1,2,7,11,21 | 394:18,19,20,23 | 248:5 412:3 |
| 287:10,13 | 166:11 270:9 | 186:21 187:4,6,13 | 394:25 395:3,16 | observe |
| nexttolast | 343:9,22 364:21 | 188:17 189:8,8,10 | 396:21 406:6,10 | 285:13 306:6 |
| 246:2 | 364:25 377:17 | 192:15,19,20,23 | 413:16,25 414:13 | observed |
| niagara | notforprofit | 193:20 195:7,18 | 416:4,4,9,10 | 233:23 |
| 274:24,25 | 256:8 | 195:20 197:14 | nxivm9 | obtained |
| nice | notice | 201:19 203:7,15 | 313:5 | 319:22 335:1 |
| 233: | 292:24 | 204:13 205:13 | nxivms | 342:10,13 |
| night | noticed | 211:4 219:22 | 176:11 182:4,22 | obviously |
| 172:23 174:11 | 421:16 | 220:15,16 223:23 | 185:17 189:16 | 330:18 |
| 200:8,16,18 | november | 224:11,25 225:12 | 197:13 198:14 | occasion |
| 205:23 263:2 | 168:19 244:7 | 226:14 232:1 | 203:5 271:25 | 214:23 |
| 309:20 357:21 | 330:15 | 235:1 249:12 | 283:3,14 287:14 | occasionally |
| nolan | nude | 250:5 255:7,17,24 | 309:19 339:7 | 357:4 |
| 291:5,5 292:13 | 332:1 | 256:14 258:25 | 347:24 352:14 | occasions |
| 308:11,16 318:7 | number | 259:6,7,24 260:6 | 361:11 364:2,9 | 214:19 217:19 |
| 319:21 327:13 | 195:14 196:19 | 260:10 261:7,9 | 367:2 414:17 | 369:17 |
| 349:21 351:11 | 200:17 201:3 | 263:6 264:16 | 415:7 416:13,23 | occurred |
| 352:4,18 360:11 | 203:22 205:22 | 266:21,24 272:5,6 | 418:16 | 202:7 315:9 378:3 |
| non | 206:24 209:2 | 272:22 273:3,15 | nycap | odd |
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| 228:10 | 306:19 308:10 | 283:18 284:14,24 | 390:25 | optimizing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| odin | 309:14 310:17,22 | 285:5 289:1 | opened | 374:21 |
| 278:20,22 279:7 | 314:13,20 318:7 | 292:10 293:2,10 | 189:9 277:9 | options |
| 280:17,20 | 319:21 327:13 | 294:3,6,14,25 | 354:19 | 241:20 |
| offer | 349:20 350:2,22 | 298:4,6,8,15,23 | openended | orally |
| 259:8 387:9 | 351:18 352:17 | 301:23 302:2,8 | 356:25 357:2 | 273:2 |
| 421:17 422:7,22 | 353:4,11,19,22 | 304:18 305:7,18 | operation | orange |
| 423:16 | 354:10,18 355:2,9 | 308:22 310:16 | 308:25 314:2 | 234:12,15 |
| offered | 360:10,11 365:20 | 317:21 318:25 | 317:22 | order |
| 391:19 | 365:21 366:20,21 | 324:3,18 325:20 | operational | 195:10,11,24 |
| offering | 424:10 | 325:25 328:21 | 230:3 | 196:8,10,11,13,14 |
| 386:6 | okay | 330:10,23 333:9 | operations | 202:15 205:5 |
| offhand | 169:16,20 173:2 | 336:22 339:3,14 | 381:17,20,21 | 233:23 238:10 |
| 218:8 | 174:5 175:23 | 344:21 345:8,20 | 393:21 | 278:9 354:19 |
| office | 179:16 184:15,24 | 350:9 352:6 353:3 | opinion | 394:4,7 397:12 |
| 228:7 274:12,13 | 185:4,14 191:9 | 353:16 354:15 | 183:24 258:13 | 398:2,4,5,16 |
| 274:14,15,20 | 192:4 193:24 | 355:2,6,7,13,15 | 290:4,6 300:19,21 | 400:4,7,10 401:11 |
| 275:1 330:7 | 194:20 195:5 | 359:1 360:13 | 300:22 301:12,16 | 401:15 419:4 |
| 342:24 | 196:24 199:17 | 361:13,20 362:9 | 301:25 302:10 | ordering |
| offices | 204:22 205:22 | 364:13 373:21 | 306:3 310:12 | 396:8,8 397:3,19 |
| 166:15 274:10,17 | 206:20 208:14 | 378:7,9 379:24 | 320:6,14,23 321:2 | 397:21,23,25 |
| 274:17 | 209:4,14,23 | 381:2 385:6,22 | 321:11 322:11 | 398:10,22 399:6,9 |
| official | 210:20,24 211:17 | 396:20 397:2 | 348:19,23 349:2,5 | orders |
| 330:8 | 213:9 214:13 | 398:23 399:8,19 | 351:15 353:21 | 196:12 394:2 |
| officially | 215:15 217:8 | 400:12,23 402:15 | 354:17 362:21 | 421:7 424:1 |
| 226:19,20 | 218:4 223:4 | 404:13,21 405:25 | opinions | organic |
| oh | 224:10 225:2 | 413:14,25 415:3 | 255:23 259:9 | 196:2 |
| 182:11 189:24,24 | 226:17 227:2,20 | 416:8 421:1 423:1 | 283:5 289:25 | organization |
| 193:18 203:23 | 227:24 228:1,24 | 423:6 424:3,5 | 341:18 | 178:12,13 200:1 |
| 206:19 217:2 | 230:5 231:18 | old | opponent | 211:24 223:9 |
| 221:25 222:16 | 232:6 233:9,18 | 228:3 379:2 | 321:11 322:10 | 224:21 225:8,25 |
| 229:8 232:4 234:7 | 234:10 237:13 | 380:19 | opponents | 228:8 242:17 |
| 234:10 246:18 | 238:3 239:12 | olsen | 255:3 | 249:8 257:23 |
| 272:25 276:2 | 240:3,16 241:7 | 283:13 | opportunities | 259:19 268:16 |
| 277:18 288:10 | 242:1,7,24 245:5 | once | 390:24 | 371:20 392:4 |
| 312:18 317:2 | 245:11 246:11 | 214:15 217:21 | opportunity | 396:21,23 |
| 321:4 322:4 | 247:16 249:11,15 | 249:14 255:4 | 390:19 392:10 | organizations |
| 331:25 332:7 | 251:5 252:11 | 291:25 321:25 | oppose | 230:16,17 244:19 |
| 335:10 350:7 | 254:22 255:2 | 343:3 378:22,23 | 344:12 | organized |
| 352:25 361:20 | 258:19 260:12,21 | 390:3 397:17 | opposed | 386:22 |
| 362:13 400:17 | 261:1,25 262:25 | onepage | 183:21 188:12 | original |
| 401:25 402:20 | 263:11 264:14 | 264:2,7 | 285:16 366:11 | 420:22,24 |
| ohara | 265:2,14,23 266:4 | ones | 390:3 | originally |
| 168:13 217:15 | 267:4 268:15 | 200:5 230:20 | optimal | 248:15 351:3 |
| 251:12,20 252:1 | 270:4 271:11,20 | 366:10 419:24 | 374:19 375:1 | origins |
| 252:10,23 253:8 | 271:22 273:12 | ongoing | 382:23 383:25 | 249:21 |
| 291:2,4 292:13 | 274:5,8 277:22 | 213:20 245:10 | optimally | ought |
| 297:7 299:8,14 | 278:3,16 279:12 | 306:18 352:21 | 377:3 | 344:14 |
| 304:15,21,25 | 279:22 280:10 | 358:24 | optimized | outlet |
| 305:1,1,3,4 306:1 | 281:7 282:24 | online | 375:17 | 407:19 |
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| output | page | paperwork | parentheses | 180:18 186:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 381:16 | 165:16 168:23,23 | 245:9 316:1,2 | 313:25 | 191:13 |
| outrageous | 168:24,24,25 | 318:2 340:13 | parenthetic | participation |
| 299:18 | 175:12,13,25 | paragraph | 412:6 | 297:9 299:22 |
| outside | 176:1 180:11 | 175:7,14 176:2 | parish | 300:2 |
| 199:19 266:20 | 182:7,11 191:9,10 | 180:11 182:11,12 | 219:4 | particle |
| 278:6 312:1 | 192:10,11,12 | 182:13 186:1 | parishioners | 229:11 |
| 336:23 340:15 | 194:24,25 195:1 | 187:3 192:8 | 218:21 | particular |
| 351:14 354:6 | 200:23 203:19 | 193:16,19,23,24 | park | 181:16 193:3 |
| 363:1,9 | 204:14,15 205:8 | 194:1 197:4,22 | 166:16 167:5 | 236:15 262:20 |
| outsiders | 206:22 234:4,5,6 | 206:20 210:5,25 | 169:7 | 346:1 377:19 |
| 182:20 186:6 | 234:9 236:2 239:5 | 211:19 212:2,16 | parlato | 381:13 402:12 |
| outweighs | 240:6 253:16,18 | 216:21 222:19,19 | 286:25 287:2,18 | particularly |
| 261:5 | 253:21,22 258:17 | 226:7,17 228:1 | 287:24 289:8,15 | 303:21 |
| overinclusive | 258:18 261:1 | 229:22 230:14 | 289:19 294:1,2 | particulars |
| 174:6 | 264:9,15 294:16 | 231:7,11,12 232:6 | 295:18 300:21 | 280:14 |
| oversees | 294:22 295:1 | 233:2,22 234:4,5 | 301:2,6,11,20 | parties |
| 235:14,16 | 296:23,24,25 | 237:10 240:12 | 343:10 345:21 | 426:18 |
| oversight | 297:2,2,2 313:23 | 249:24 254:1 | 346:2,9,11,18 | partner |
| 225:20 | 314:9 324:16,16 | 255:2 258:17 | 347:8 348:17 | 314:14 |
| oversights | 324:22 325:1 | 264:21 268:1,6,11 | parlatos | parts |
| 199:23 | 326:14,15 327:6 | 269:1 294:25 | 290:4 346:8 | 192:3 193:5 293:1 |
| overstate | 327:16,24 328:4 | 296:24 297:5,18 | 348:19 | 298:9 373:3,11 |
| 290:16 | 331:12 332:14 | 297:23,24 298:17 | parole | 377:20 392:13 |
| overstatement | 374:8 399:24 | 298:19 302:13,25 | 230:11 | 395:18 400:1 |
| 354:16 | 400:2 401:1,3,4 | 313:23 324:23 | part | partway |
| overtheline | 401:12,16 402:7,7 | 326:14,24 327:2,3 | 176:3 178:16 | 179:14 |
| 254:12 | 402:24,25 403:5,6 | 327:18,20 374:10 | 180:1,4,8 183:3,6 | passages |
| overview | 425:6 | 374:12,14 380:10 | 183:15 184:6 | 211:1 |
| 174:15 | pages | 380:14 382:18 | 187:5 188:13 | passed |
| owed | 293:14 330:15 | 384:14 387:25 | 193:1 195:23 | 391:16 |
| 243:21 | 402:2,3 | 393:8 401:9 | 196:8 219:4 260:6 | passing |
| owner | paid | 406:12 408:10 | 290:13,13 337:13 | 335:19 |
| 390:15 | 225:16 241:18 | 409:2 411:9 | 339:6,23 345:3 | passive |
|  | 243:6,15 275:24 | 413:18 414:3 | 372:5 373:6 380:1 | 251:1 |
| P | 296:11 357:17 | 417:22 418:24 | 380:13 381:5 | pattern |
| p3658 | pam | 420:2,15 | 384:11,13 387:2 | 382:20 |
| 203:22 204:2 | 231:16 | paragraphs | 389:13 392:21 | paul |
| p3677 | paper | 298:18 326:20 | 393:20 406:8 | 165:7 167:15 |
| 206:21 | 214:2 216:25 | 393:3 394:12 | 409:2 411:1,4 | 190:12 193:3 |
| pack | 232:7,10,12,20,21 | 400:3 405:20 | 414:22 417:22 | 199:6,10,10 200:8 |
| 211:23 212:2,5,8 | 233:12,13 244:19 | 411:7 | partial | 200:11,11 202:7 |
| 212:10,15,23 | 299:10 302:16 | parameter | 213:7 340:7,9 | 424:11 |
| 213:4 222:7 | 409:2,10,13,17,24 | 382:23 | partially | pause |
| package | 410:13,21,25 | paraphrasing | 335:4 | 300:25 |
| 415:21 | 411:1,4 | 326:2 | participant | pausing |
| packs | papers | parasite | 317:1 | 305:17 |
| 212:4,12 213:8 | 213:24 266:9 | 419:19,20,20,21 | participants | pay |
| pad | 319:17 364:10 | pardon | 175:8,9,17 176:4 | 241:8,14 243:5 |
| 303:7 | 369:8 | 353:2 | 178:8 180:12,13 | 244:4 248:4 |
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| paying | 316:18 321:5 | 405:4 406:14,15 | 342:10,13 401:1 | plaintiffs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 311:14 | 322:6 350:21 | 406:25 407:6 | 401:19 | 165:4 166:23 |
| payment | 351:7 369:4 | 408:8,13 | photocopied | plan |
| 241:19 | 371:19 372:1,2 | personal | 232:16 | 181:21 246:5 |
| pc | 387:5,18 388:22 | 207:13 220:18 | photocopying | 247:5,16,18 248:3 |
| 167:11 | 389:6,9 390:17,18 | 325:11,15 361:13 | 264:18 | 315:22 316:24 |
| peace | 390:21,25,25 | 363:25 364:3,9,13 | photograph | 317:8 327:7 385:2 |
| 372:4 | 392:2,12,18 | 364:16 371:9 | 332:10 | 385:8 387:23 |
| peculiar | 397:14 406:19,25 | personality | photographs | planned |
| 182:16 | 407:5,13,16,18,20 | 312:7 408:19 | 315:16 331:22 | 316:16 317:21 |
| peddled | 407:21,24 416:6 | personally | 332:12 | planning |
| 191:13 | 420:8,13 | 240:18 329:5,6 | phrase | 317:4 344:5 |
| peer | peoples | personnel | 182:9,15 207:2 | 371:16 |
| 275:4 281:14 | 246:13,22 349:7 | 263:6 | 247:5 267:8 | plans |
| peerreviewed | perceive | persons | 348:21 | 314:25 387:20 |
| 202:25 | 186:6 | 269:7,24 395:14 | physical | play |
| pending | percent | 406:17 | 388:3 | 223:19 335:15 |
| 360:4,17 | 193:5 238:13 | perspective | physicist | played |
| penis | 386:18,18 | 183:11 267:3 | 229:4 | 377:2 |
| 332:4 | perception | 301:22 386:16 | physics | player |
| people | 384:9 | pertaining | 227:25 229:8 | 384:2 |
| 170:23 171:20 | perceptions | 283:10 | 379:8 382:8 | playing |
| 183:12 184:8 | 253:4,6 | peter | 383:14 | 330:6 375:23 |
| 185:11 187:4 | perform | 167:12 223:1 | picture | 389:1 |
| 188:24 189:2,7 | 173:3 | 345:11 403:4 | 216:25 298:22 | plaza |
| 195:16 196:20 | period | 424:6 | 332:2 | 167:8 |
| 198:25 199:4,18 | 340:4,18 341:1 | petry | pictures | pleasant |
| 199:20,25 200:4,7 | 342:23 377:11,12 | 167:22 | 266:10 309:9 | 165:23 |
| 200:9 201:3,5 | 377:13 | ph | 323:5 | please |
| 206:4 207:10 | periodically | 165:8 227:22 | piece | 175:21 202:12 |
| 211:6,23 213:16 | 363:18 | phd | 193:23 198:15 | 209:6 223:25 |
| 214:18,18,19 | permission | 380:16 | 341:10 389:1,1 | 251:9 286:6 301:5 |
| 216:5,8,22 217:2 | 225:24 245:2 | phil | pieces | 305:21 321:14 |
| 217:17 219:8 | perretti | 286:12 295:12,17 | 194:17 396:12 | 323:17 351:23 |
| 222:20 223:19 | 167:7 | philosophical | pigeon | 356:13 404:14 |
| 225:2,24,24 226:2 | persistency | 276:9 396:9 | 347:3,5 | pleased |
| 232:11,16 233:1 | 419:17 | 398:10,22 399:7 | piles | 309:15 322:14 |
| 234:2 238:10 | person | 403:2,10,12 | 369:3,5,12 | pledge |
| 246:14,18,23 | 170:24,25 171:22 | 411:15 | place | 246:3 249:25 |
| 247:2,14,25 | 178:11,12 179:7 | philosophy | 204:19 243:21 | plus |
| 248:25 250:1,6,18 | 183:10 186:13 | 180:5,8 255:3 | 247:4 250:2 | 178:22,23 379:18 |
| 256:2 257:17,17 | 207:10,12 212:6 | 305:2 379:9 380:8 | 253:12 265:1 | poem |
| 257:21 258:2,7 | 217:1,20 233:3,5 | phon | 353:20 359:13 | 248:16 |
| 259:7 261:21 | 234:1 247:7,8 | 395:14 | 426:14 | poetic |
| 268:22 269:25 | 256:10 265:13 | phone | placed | 248:21 |
| 273:15 276:16,17 | 268:19 273:10,20 | 165:24 192:9,14 | 345:6 | point |
| 283:11,12,21,22 | 277:11 286:15 | 192:18,22,24 | places | 168:11 208:20 |
| 283:23 284:1,4,12 | 295:25 297:22 | 193:18 213:15 | 274:11 367:19 | 210:13 222:11 |
| 287:10 293:22 | 311:20 336:1 | 223:7 233:11,11 | plain | 228:19 233:7 |
| 296:20 299:17 | 387:17 394:6 | 273:1,10 334:8 | 234:17 | 236:11 244:2,20 |
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| 245:16,20 249:11 | 257:22 258:4,5,6 | power | 167:20 221:5 | principals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 254:6 263:2 266:7 | 258:7 309:21 | 419:18 | 360:16 361:22 | 242:23 |
| 270:6,16 281:24 | 310:4,23 326:6,10 | powers | 362:1,4 | principle |
| 284:24 288:14 | 330:19 354:3,9 | 352:11 | presentation | 414:10 |
| 307:8,19 309:18 | 424:4 | pr | 266:18 | principles |
| 317:19 328:8 | positions | 339:13 362:23 | presented | 165:3 168:9,10 |
| 329:8,16,21 338:1 | 257:16,20 286:2 | practical | 196:10 401:14 | 239:18,20,24 |
| 353:21 363:6 | 310:25 | 378:25 421:25 | presently | 240:1 409:4 |
| 371:4 401:7,8 | positive | practice | 243:13 274:10 | 411:11 414:11 |
| 402:8,10,16,21 | 221:14 253:1 | 204:7 374:20,21 | preserve | print |
| 411:16,16,20,25 | 268:23 287:11 | 375:14 419:20 | 220:25 271:16 | 220:10,17 278:25 |
| 412:1,16,19 | 290:5 307:22 | practiced | 272:6 | 391:7 397:19 |
| 413:14 414:1,16 | 311:1 328:22 | 406:6 | preserved | printed |
| 415:2,3,6 417:5 | 339:19 345:21 | practices | 368:12 | 220:13,16 242:18 |
| 417:10,12,17,23 | 346:5,5,17 | 247:24 409:5 | preserving | 242:20 |
| 418:1,7,8,9,12,15 | possess | precepts | 206:17 | printer |
| 418:21 419:1 | 325:11 | 249:8,10 | press | 242:15 |
| 422:15 423:10 | possession | precise | 225:1,4 279:4,6,7 | printout |
| points | 215:6 366:2 | 394:1,4 411:11 | 287:11 312:2 | 221:16 |
| 383:22 401:8 | possibility | precisely | 329:8 339:19 | printouts |
| 402:10,13 417:13 | 214:12 248:19 | 394:1 | 345:22,25 346:1 | 365:8 |
| 417:13 | 259:18 | precision | 346:17 350:12 | prior |
| police | possible | 174:18 | presume | 307:16 346:22,23 |
| 217:12,14,18 | 202:6 206:4 224:8 | precursor | 310:16 | 359:16 361:7 |
| 218:2 222:17 | 246:5 249:4 | 381:7,10,11 | pretty | 366:7 426:8 |
| political | 252:22 330:9 | 389:16 390:5 | 200:5 201:5 | privacy |
| 350:14 | 335:10 360:6 | precursors | 203:13 236:20,24 | 325:13 326:2,6,8 |
| politically | 392:9 422:13 | 376:10,12 388:18 | 248:17 252:25,25 | private |
| 257:19 258:15 | possibly | 390:2,8 | 254:7,10 297:15 | 207:13 249:5 |
| 312:1 350:11 | 206:5 275:9 | preemptive | 300:20 311:1,8 | 290:19 291:6 |
| 355:20 | 327:14 338:2,3,6 | 186:1 | 322:18 399:4 | 297:12 300:8 |
| politicallyappoin... | 372:16 376:18 | preexisting | prevent | 308:12,13,16,18 |
| 257:16 | 397:14 | 315:10 358:3,4 | 236:18 | 308:19 |
| politics | post | prefect | previous | privatized |
| 312:2 | 415:13 | 235:10,16 | 170:12 205:19 | 257:1,2 |
| ponzi | posted | prefects | 303:23 | privilege |
| 409:22 410:20 | 187:12 210:8,15 | 235:17 | previously | 351:17 352:7 |
| portion | 210:16,19,21 | preliminary | 222:6 271:6 | 354:7,10,21 |
| 195:13 210:18 | 238:20 239:1 | 281:17,21 | 292:22 304:21 | privileged |
| 234:8 267:12 | 414:17 415:7,15 | premises | 312:22 313:4 | 336:20 355:12,13 |
| 271:12 282:10,17 | 415:25 416:5 | 412:1 | 367:3 | pro |
| 282:18,20 331:2,3 | potential | prepaid | price | 252:4 |
| 351:15 355:25 | 181:8,24 184:25 | 230:23 | 391:1,20,21 | probab |
| portions | 261:4 | prepare | primary | 220:16 |
| 276:11 | potentially | 387:20 | 276:5 337:7,9 | probably |
| poses | 192:20 193:13 | prepared | 390:15 | 171:15 179:1 |
| 331:23 | 205:6 267:16 | 165:22 330:7 | prime | 188:25 194:2 |
| position | 308:17 | 344:18 421:22 | 235:15 | 198:23 200:2 |
| 176:18 181:9 | poughkeepsie | 422:9 | principal | 204:16 212:19 |
| 183:17 189:3 | 384:22 | present | 222:23 290:20 | 218:25 219:15 |
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| 220:8 222:1 | product | projection | 171:5 173:14 | purported |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 226:10 234:24 | 179:21 311:15,16 | 296:18 303:13 | 205:7,7 | 322:21 |
| 243:2 244:21 | 390:20,20 395:1,4 | 310:7 | provisional | purportedly |
| 255:19 256:19 | 397:13 | projective | 269:13 | 322:20 |
| 259:22 264:18 | production | 374:25 383:6 | proximity | purpose |
| 265:16 266:19,22 | 202:12 272:10 | 398:3 406:13 | 307:24,25 | 197:9,10 204:10 |
| 268:14 275:12 | 366:2 | prominent | psych | 232:20 333:11,13 |
| 277:18 279:17 | products | 277:11 | 205:20 281:22 | 333:15,17,22 |
| 280:8 290:14 | 391:6 397:8 | promise | psychiatrist | purposes |
| 340:25 342:25 | 420:24 | 175:8,18 176:4 | 190:15,17 262:23 | 267:19 |
| 343:16 361:21 | profanity | 177:13,17,21 | 262:24 | pursuant |
| 369:18 377:14 | 290:12,14,15,17 | 180:14 207:23 | psychological | 278:9 426:7 |
| 378:20 392:15 | professional | promises | 281:22,23 282:14 | pursue |
| 419:10 | 255:4,8,18 | 177:23 | psychology | 227:14 |
| problem | professionally | pronouncements | 254:18 379:9 | put |
| 173:9 194:5 | 360:25 | 197:8 | psychotherapy | 181:8 188:16 |
| 269:19 305:3,12 | professionals | proof | 260:18,22 | 192:4 193:2 198:3 |
| 381:19,21 399:16 | 407:23 | 409:5 | psychotic | 198:5 200:24 |
| problems | program | proofs | 262:14,18 263:4 | 213:15 215:2 |
| 381:19 383:13,14 | 188:1 224:3 | 394:4 | public | 221:8 222:4 |
| 384:25 | 229:17 235:23 | proper | 181:18,20,22 | 238:14 241:19 |
| problemsolution | 247:23 252:23 | 301:5 | 184:9,13 339:6 | 254:22 261:25 |
| 393:14,15 | 269:13 296:18 | property | 384:17 415:17 | 265:17 281:6 |
| procedures | 371:15 382:8,11 | 249:5 341:5,6,7 | 416:11 425:24 | 306:20 328:25 |
| 396:1,4 412:8 | 382:14 387:14 | prophesies | publication | 333:4 350:11 |
| proceed | 393:11 411:22 | 197:8 | 181:15,19 279:13 | 353:7 354:25 |
| 330:22 355:14 | programmatic | propose | publicist | 370:22 400:2 |
| 356:21 | 374:23 376:15,17 | 314:6 | 287:5,8 | 402:5 415:22 |
| proceedings | 384:8,10 | proposed | publicized | puts |
| 166:11 | programmer | 314:2,3 | 225:23 | 183:16 |
| process | 229:4 230:10 | propriety | publicly | putting |
| 172:4,24 202:24 | programming | 360:8,14 | 416:6 | 187:20 311:16 |
| 237:18 243:25 | 370:1,22 379:10 | prosecute | publish | 400:2 |
| 356:18 364:17 | 380:23,24 381:14 | 257:1 | 180:25 279:6 | puzzle |
| 393:18,19 405:21 | 381:25 384:16 | protect | 388:19 | 198:16,18 396:13 |
| 406:1,4,7 | 405:21 406:1,7,9 | 236:5,9 237:2,5 | published | pyramid |
| processed | programs | 237:14 238:6,11 | 203:15 232:13 | 409:21,22 410:18 |
| 384:25 | 165:3 170:5 182:4 | protected | 277:1,14 278:17 | 410:19 |
| processes | 192:7 231:20 | 205:3 354:20 | 279:7 280:20,24 | pyramids |
| 374:24 | 249:18,19,20 | protecting | 294:4 | 260:1 |
| processing | 265:7 285:20,25 | 237:16 | publisher |  |
| 381:15 | 303:10 377:17 | protective | 278:23 | Q |
| produce | 382:2,3,5,7 | 265:24 412:8 | publishing | question |
| 264:12 321:14 | 399:22 406:10 | proven | 278:24 | 171:21 174:1 |
| produced | prohibit | 393:9 | punishment | 176:12 180:17,22 |
| 202:11 222:7 | 268:1 | provide | 419:22 | 184:23 196:11 |
| 251:18 252:1,2,13 | prohibits | 198:18 259:19,20 | purchased | 197:25 198:7 |
| 252:13 263:19 | 178:18 | 387:13 389:25 | 242:17 245:8 | 206:2,11,15 |
| 264:9 321:15 | project | 420:14 | purple | 209:14 233:4 |
| 329:23 365:25 | 229:9,18 | provides | 234:17 | 248:7,10 254:17 |
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| 260:8,9 262:16 | 382:21 | 251:20 260:13 | 234:14 235:5,11 | 307:3,5 318:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 268:9 269:21 | quickly | 263:24 268:4 | 235:11,13 | 320:17,18 322:1 |
| 286:8 288:7,9 | 172:3 194:8 | 271:11 277:23 | rapidly | 323:16,18 325:6 |
| 293:15 302:5 | 248:17 | 285:8 292:21 | 201:2 | 326:22 327:3,20 |
| 305:20 308:21 | quite | 313:8 325:2,4,7 | ration | 331:2,3 351:24,25 |
| 312:16 313:8,11 | 206:4 209:25 | 325:12 327:8 | 284:15 | 362:18 374:13,17 |
| 317:3 318:14 | 214:25 216:12 | 328:9 329:21 | rational | 375:2 380:13 |
| 319:4,8,11 323:13 | 247:21 252:14 | 331:22 342:15,20 | 172:11 275:3 | 381:1 383:8 385:4 |
| 323:20 326:11,23 | 279:20,21 291:12 | 344:2,3 345:1,11 | 277:4 280:7,14 | 388:8 393:6 394:9 |
| 331:2,4 336:4 | 305:19 307:21 | 371:9 414:8 425:2 | 281:14 282:6 | 399:2,4 403:3 |
| 337:4 338:11 | 346:19 390:22 | raniere11 | 370:17,22 372:9 | 404:15,18 405:3 |
| 341:2 350:5,14 | quote | 168:7 208:24 | 373:3,8,11 374:20 | 407:2 409:8 410:7 |
| 351:22 352:7 | 171:21 192:16 | 209:5 373:18 | 375:4,8,10,14 | 410:9,10,11 412:5 |
| 353:18 354:25 | 193:2,8,8,10 | 405:23 | 376:2,6,7,11,20 | 412:13 416:20 |
| 356:8,17,23,25 | 194:3,4,21 195:9 | raniere12 | 377:21,23 378:1,2 | 418:4 419:6 425:3 |
| 357:2 360:19 | 195:15 198:2 | 168:9 239:17,21 | 378:16 379:13,17 | reader |
| 370:18 373:9 | 203:2 204:5,7,17 | 239:24 | 379:20 380:4,5,5 | 405:7 |
| 375:6 381:20 | 204:18 205:10 | raniere13 | 380:21 381:3,5 | reading |
| 384:7 387:6 389:3 | 298:1,5 301:5 | 168:11 245:18,20 | 384:12 385:10,15 | 172:25 182:5 |
| 392:6 403:15,16 | 332:15 354:17 | raniere14 | 385:16 388:17 | 185:5,16 198:25 |
| 403:17 404:10,14 | quoted | 168:13 251:10,14 | 389:8,14,18 390:8 | 212:11 237:10 |
| 404:20,21 410:9 | 196:18 197:23 | 251:17 | 391:23 392:14,22 | 251:25 254:1 |
| 410:10,13 414:13 | 205:9 398:5,7 | raniere15 | 392:23 393:2 | 263:17 303:4 |
| 419:4 421:11 | quotes | 168:14 264:4,7 | 394:13,17,18,19 | 318:2 349:3 |
| questioned | 171:19 172:1 | raniere16 | 394:21,24 395:2,4 | 399:12 412:10 |
| 218:25 345:1 | 193:15 194:2 | 168:16 293:3 | 395:12,17,20,20 | reads |
| questioning | 197:25 204:15 | 324:2,4,11 328:25 | 395:22,24 397:8,9 | 254:4 313:25 |
| 330:22 344:2 | 205:2,8,12 298:2 | raniere17 | 397:13,14,15 | 328:8 405:4 409:2 |
| 345:14 421:2 | 298:3 401:10 | 168:18 330:16 | 403:20 406:6 | real |
| 423:19 | quoting | raniere5 | 407:8 411:5 | 287:5 |
| questions | 198:8 401:11 | 171:9 172:7 173:5 | 418:22 420:17,18 | realize |
| 196:12 228:10 |  | 175:5,13 192:4 | 420:23,25 | 190:25 |
| 256:19 270:5 | R | 194:9 205:20 | react | really |
| 271:12 284:25 | raise | raniere6 | 312:4 348:14 | 188:1 307:2 |
| 285:3,12 298:16 | 180:17 381:20 | 171:9 192:5 | reaction | 311:16,25 312:9 |
| 306:21 310:19 | raises | 194:20 195:3 | 310:23 311:6 | 325:23 388:2 |
| 327:21 340:1 | 180:22 | 203:18,20 204:2 | read | realtime |
| 343:24 344:3 | ran | 400:15,17,23 | 181:25 183:13 | 166:13 426:5 |
| 354:14 356:21 | 244:15 325:2 | 403:6 | 184:17 187:14 | rearrange |
| 363:23 374:14 | random | raniere8 | 193:16 200:7,16 | 422:20 |
| 393:7 394:4 396:8 | 199:22 | 170:4 174:11,21 | 200:17 201:12 | reason |
| 396:12,13,18 | raniere | 399:21 400:18,19 | 208:3,5,8 210:25 | 185:10 188:4 |
| 397:4,11,19,21,24 | 165:13,18 166:6 | raniereexhibit | 211:7 238:1 | 193:2 202:4 |
| 397:25 398:1,3,3 | 167:6 168:3,7,13 | 330:12 | 240:13,15 252:18 | 223:12 224:23 |
| 398:7 403:13 | 169:3,11,16 173:3 | ranieres | 273:1 293:24 | 246:13 281:13 |
| quick | 173:11 174:23 | 168:16 324:5,8 | 297:4,4,24 298:3 | 293:8 295:20 |
| 185:13 285:17 | 186:20 200:23,25 | rank | 298:10,17 300:4,9 | 301:20 |
| 302:15 326:23 | 202:10 205:22 | 223:2 234:12,14 | 300:23 301:9,12 | reasonable |
| 327:21 378:19 | 208:22 209:4 | 235:7,9 | 301:17 302:6 | 201:14 341:16 |
| quicker | 245:12,21 251:12 | ranks | 303:5 305:20,22 | reasons |
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| 281:15 293:23 | 191:15 219:10 | refer | 261:4 | released |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 295:22 | recollect | 212:2 229:22 | registered | 193:9,11,13 |
| reath | 289:17 | 231:7 232:7 | 237:17 | releasing |
| 166:15 167:2 | recollection | 240:17 275:13 | registrars | 193:8 |
| rebuild | 239:6 352:12 | 306:22 317:4,7 | 228:7 | relevant |
| 259:25 | recopies | 373:17 | regret | 219:18 221:2,8,11 |
| rec | 402:14 | reference | 303:7 | reliable |
| 379:16 | record | 256:6 353:18 | regretted | 321:9 322:9 |
| recall | 169:4 208:19 | 355:1 402:8 | 303:3 | religion |
| 209:23 210:2,3 | 215:11,19 222:5 | referenced | regulations | 255:5 |
| 211:16 212:8 | 239:15 251:6,8 | 216:21 256:7 | 402:17 | remember |
| 213:4,7 240:23 | 270:12 271:4 | 399:24 400:6,7,11 | reinterpret | 212:5 213:1,3 |
| 251:24 254:1 | 294:12,18 298:3 | references | 197:7 | 218:8,24 230:21 |
| 257:11 260:11 | 324:12 325:6 | 240:10 400:3 | relate | 236:22 257:7 |
| 288:13,16 289:11 | 328:2 329:11,14 | referred | 242:12 298:16 | 308:22 316:9,13 |
| 313:15 318:16 | 330:5 333:6 344:1 | 232:12 362:11 | 368:19,23 381:16 | 318:24 319:2 |
| 325:3,4,7 352:12 | 344:9,24,25 345:6 | 401:18 409:12 | related | 328:16 335:17 |
| 353:24 359:24 | 353:7,25 365:25 | referring | 177:2 179:23 | 357:11 358:1 |
| 367:4 372:11,13 | 373:25 374:1 | 201:17 212:16 | 241:12 272:13 | 364:11 365:10,12 |
| 372:15 419:8 | 393:6 399:1 410:2 | 213:14 222:25 | 351:11 352:4 | 377:15 419:12 |
| receive | 421:24 422:7 | 226:8 237:12 | relates | remembered |
| 280:3 | 423:13 424:7,22 | 238:2,4 239:2 | 244:8 285:21 | 409:11 |
| received | recorded | 265:3 282:15 | 390:11 | remote |
| 208:23 212:25 | 358:11 | 285:19,24 318:5 | relating | 242:16 |
| 213:10,13 218:4 | recorders | 327:12 332:24 | 170:25 171:1,2 | renting |
| 221:22 233:13 | 391:11 | 381:11 383:11 | 181:6,7 186:12 | 229:10 |
| 239:20 245:17 | recording | 394:23 407:4,7 | 191:19 256:19 | rents |
| 251:13 264:3 | 168:18 329:22,23 | 415:3 | 281:19 340:23 | 273:20 |
| 279:12,25 322:20 | 330:13 | refers | 346:6 366:12 | repeat |
| 324:10 330:16 | recordings | 253:12,16 255:2 | 367:20 368:1 | 169:14 175:21 |
| 338:21 | 273:7 | 258:20 401:19,21 | 371:11 381:14 | 180:7 189:19 |
| receiving | records | refine | 389:7 409:18 | 222:8 290:8 |
| 313:15 368:15 | 228:15 332:21 | 418:25 420:3,13 | 410:15 418:23 | 386:23 423:24 |
| recess | 342:10,13 | refined | relation | repeated |
| 208:21 270:16 | recreate | 383:2,10 384:25 | 402:16 | 404:14 |
| 329:17 371:5 | 397:15 | 419:3 | relations | repeating |
| 421:10 422:10 | recruit | refinement | 339:6 | 290:11 |
| 423:11 | 250:15 | 420:10 | relationship | rephrase |
| recipe | red | reflect | 303:23 309:19 | 286:10 297:21 |
| 179:15,16 379:17 | 332:3 | 344:1,25 417:24 | 312:12 315:10 | 308:20 317:16 |
| recite | redefine | 418:11 | 339:21 351:16 | 337:5 |
| 417:23 | 187:15 | reflected | 353:11 354:23 | replace |
| recited | redefined | 376:1 | 358:3,4 359:16 | 363:18 388:3 |
| 249:12,14,19,20 | 182:16 | reform | 361:7,10 | replacement |
| 249:22,23 | redirect | 192:6 | relative | 363:20 |
| recognize | 168:2 | refresh | 426:17 | replacing |
| 209:8 245:22 | redirected | 239:6 | release | 368:9 |
| 313:9,12,13 | 406:23 | refunded | 173:22 191:25 | replicate |
| 324:14 | reduced | 412:2 | 192:2 193:14 | 172:11,14,17 |
| recognized | 382:16 383:1 | regard | 238:12 | 177:7 193:20 |
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| replied | request | respected | 361:3 | 325:10,14 328:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 303:6 | 168:23,23,24,24 | 247:2 | retreat | 330:14 334:7,9 |
| report | 168:25 215:17,24 | respond | 165:8 167:15 | 335:9 338:2,5 |
| 202:25 212:20 | 221:17,19 222:8,9 | 221:24 290:1,2 | return | 339:5 341:11 |
| 217:12,13,16 | 272:14,15,19 | responded | 224:10,15 225:17 | 358:3,6,6,19,21 |
| 218:1 222:14,17 | 273:5 277:23 | 301:21 305:7 | 226:14 314:22 | 359:5,16 362:15 |
| 244:25 328:11,11 | 278:4 281:4,8 | 424:10 | reveal | 362:19 363:25 |
| 328:14 334:8 | 344:15 365:24 | response | 170:24 171:1,3,22 | 364:8,18 371:10 |
| reported | 423:3 | 239:25 240:12 | 171:24 178:8 | ricky |
| 223:15 231:4 | requested | 245:4 257:14 | 180:24 181:12 | 165:7 |
| reporter | 168:22 222:6 | 324:23 326:13 | 183:17 187:18,23 | ridiculous |
| 166:13,14 245:14 | 273:3,4 | 327:17,24 340:8 | 188:3 198:1,11,14 | 316:12 |
| 301:10 302:7 | requests | 363:23 | 205:13 210:10 | right |
| 305:23 320:19 | 272:10,17,21 | responses | 244:17 269:2 | 176:9,25 181:14 |
| 322:2 323:19 | 366:9,15,16,19,23 | 168:9,16 239:19 | 397:3 398:10 | 192:16 199:8 |
| 324:2,4 334:7 | 369:19 | 324:5,8 | revealed | 207:15 213:1 |
| 352:1 404:16,18 | requirement | responsibilities | 186:19,19 205:17 | 217:10 233:17 |
| 410:12 416:21 | 263:9,10 | 230:13 340:4 | 205:25 206:8 | 235:8 247:20 |
| 426:5,5 | requires | responsibility | 226:4 | 262:4 278:8 295:9 |
| reporters | 178:1,4 286:5 | 241:16 | revealing | 301:19 302:23 |
| 287:10,14,19 | reread | responsible | 186:21 187:1 | 303:4 306:2 |
| reporting | 301:4 | 241:21 304:4 | 188:8 | 307:24 317:14 |
| 165:23 | research | responsive | reveals | 321:20 323:24 |
| reports | 228:10 229:3,3 | 273:13 369:13 | 183:14,15 205:10 | 324:22 325:13 |
| 391:18,19 | 281:16,16,20,24 | 404:10 | revelation | 326:2 327:6 |
| represent | 281:25 282:8,11 | rest | 325:9 | 328:25 330:11 |
| 285:10 293:12 | 417:4,8,9 | 344:6 354:3 | review | 343:21 345:22 |
| 295:9,16 329:22 | reserve | 411:17 | 209:17 259:23 | 349:23 356:2,22 |
| 345:12 348:6 | 353:9 | restricted | 265:14,20 266:1 | 364:2,22 367:18 |
| 363:13 403:9 | reserved | 308:21 | 275:4 281:14 | 371:12 374:7 |
| representation | 380:18 | restrictions | 313:1 343:9 | 396:2,9,15 402:6 |
| 259:12 330:21 | reserving | 281:19 | 371:15 422:5 | 402:11,20 406:4 |
| 393:23,24 | 353:14 | result | reviewed | 407:6 412:15,17 |
| representations | residing | 182:19 225:13 | 265:16 | 413:11,20 414:17 |
| 258:20,24 259:2,6 | 169:6 | 244:21 355:12 | reviewing | 414:21 415:12,19 |
| 259:10 358:12 | resolution | results | 175:3 | 424:18 |
| representative | 247:21 248:1 | 203:1 291:19 | revision | rights |
| 277:6 336:6 | resolve | 307:15 309:15 | 264:19 | 353:10,14 |
| 355:23 371:15 | 392:11 | 311:19,23 349:25 | ribbon | riker |
| 372:8,18 | resolved | 358:8 396:2,5,7 | 332:3,11 | 167:7 |
| representatives | 254:8,10,16 | 403:21 405:1 | rick | ring |
| 416:5 | resort | resume | 165:7,10 167:15 | 252:16 |
| represented | 315:24 | 421:6 422:10,17 | 167:22 168:16,18 | rituals |
| 173:20 255:17,20 | resources | 423:21 | 190:10 200:13 | 191:20 411:24 |
| 256:11 265:6 | 246:4 | resumed | 202:2,4,8 220:1 | 419:13 |
| 303:22 314:16,18 | respect | 271:7 344:14 | 223:8 289:20 | rival |
| representing | 224:20 228:18 | resuming | 290:2,4,7,9 | 384:2 |
| 403:11 | 258:14 260:8 | 421:10 | 307:10 308:2,5 | road |
| reps | 286:2 306:10 | retained | 309:8 317:8,12,13 | 274:14 |
| 232:22 | 311:23 314:4,7 | 277:20 360:2 | 319:13 324:5,9 | rob |
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| 287:16 | 316:17 317:8,13 | 402:17 411:24 | sashes | schedule |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| robbins | 317:17,22 318:10 | 419:13 | 234:14 | 421:18 |
| 190:13,18,23 | 318:11,19 319:13 | rulings | sat | scheduling |
| 191:7 193:17 | 319:23 321:6,6,11 | 354:4 | 261:9 417:19 | 387:12 |
| 269:16 | 322:6,7,10,20,22 | rummaging | save | scheme |
| robert | 322:24 324:5,9 | 304:10,13 | 188:18 213:18 | 409:22 410:19 |
| 167:3,17 192:5 | 325:10,14,23 | rumor | 221:11,13 | schemes |
| 285:9 | 328:12,19,19 | 218:18 | saw | 409:21,23 410:18 |
| robertson | 330:14 331:21 | run | 202:2 203:3 208:9 | 410:20 |
| 286:12,14,18,19 | 332:1,6,9,15,16 | 216:6 280:25 | 208:10,12 268:3 | scherer |
| 286:20,21 295:3,6 | 332:25 333:11,12 | 339:22 382:9 | 297:11 300:7 | 167:7 |
| 295:12,12,18 | 333:20,20 334:1,2 | 419:10 | 302:19 316:2 | school |
| 297:6,17,23 | 334:5,7,9,14,20 | runs | 319:15 338:9 | 192:25 259:1,12 |
| 298:13,25 303:6 | 334:21 335:1,9,18 | 330:2 | 364:10 389:20,22 | 259:14,15,17 |
| robes | 335:24 336:7 | rutnik | saying | 380:18 385:2,9 |
| 235:19 | 337:13 338:2,5 | 314:14 | 172:24 179:12 | 386:5 387:16,21 |
| robinson | 339:5,24 341:12 |  | 183:13,24 198:20 | 411:14 |
| 286:17 | 341:20,23 342:2,4 | S | 199:4 207:19 | schools |
| rochelle | 342:7,10 345:12 | sacred | 230:24 247:8 | 235:15,17 277:8 |
| 165:6 167:10 | 348:18,19 349:12 | 247:14 | 253:3 259:17 | science |
| 169:20 | 354:18 358:3,6,6 | saddle | 268:3 299:18 | 227:3 303:17 |
| rojas | 358:19,21 359:6,7 | 383:22 | 301:16,23 302:8 | 384:16,24 |
| 276:22 | 359:10,13,16 | safe | 306:12,13 309:8 | scientific |
| roll | 360:2,3,15,15 | 266:23 | 320:11,20 322:17 | 197:16 202:1,20 |
| 243:12 | 362:15,19 363:25 | sale | 337:25 359:21 | 202:24,25 275:7,8 |
| rolled | 364:8,18 371:10 | 246:16 | 394:6 402:6 | 275:9 |
| 244:1 | 424:12 | sales | 405:11 409:11 | scientologists |
| rolls | row | 230:16 | 414:9 424:14 | 338:22 |
| 242:22 | 285:2 408:18 | salzman | says | scientology |
| romantically | royalties | 165:13 166:7,24 | 175:17 177:12 | 219:25 220:2 |
| 329:6 | 279:12,25 280:4 | 167:21 168:13 | 180:11 183:22 | 335:6,9,25 336:1 |
| room | rpi | 235:9 251:12,20 | 191:12 193:19 | 336:7 362:20,21 |
| 268:5 | 226:18 228:7,16 | 253:8 285:25 | 195:15 206:24 | screamed |
| roseland | 228:19 229:16,19 | 288:5,6 306:24 | 207:20 210:7,25 | 214:20 |
| 167:14 | 379:7,13,16,16 | 311:6 318:17 | 211:22 218:4 | screen |
| ross | 380:15 | 334:16 343:17 | 221:3 222:22 | 383:18 |
| 165:7,7,7,10 | rpis | 408:9,12 | 225:3 226:7 228:2 | screwdriver |
| 167:15,15,22 | 228:4 380:20 | salzmans | 230:14 236:4 | 389:21,23,24 |
| 168:16,18 190:10 | rr | 314:25 359:2 | 238:5 239:8 | se |
| 200:13 202:2,4,8 | 272:16 | sand | 240:12 249:25 | 172:16 256:6 |
| 220:1 223:8 | ruff | 397:10 | 261:2 264:7,23 | 259:14 260:6 |
| 289:20 290:2,4,7 | 233:8 | sandler | 265:5 266:11 | 273:16 290:8 |
| 290:9 299:17,18 | rule | 167:11 | 267:4 297:6 | 316:19 |
| 303:23,24,24 | 267:11 268:12,13 | saratoga | 298:13,20,25,25 | sean |
| 304:2,22 306:7,11 | 269:5 270:1 | 314:12 384:21 | 304:4 313:16 | 335:13 |
| 306:13 307:10 | 283:16 | sash | 327:7 332:15 | search |
| 308:2,5 309:1,8 | rules | 234:12,15,16,16 | 340:23 393:8 | 219:15 274:8 |
| 309:16 311:24 | 169:11 191:20 | 234:17,17,18,20 | 401:1,2,4,14,15 | 351:11 352:4 |
| 314:5,7 315:5,7 | 269:19 285:14,17 | 234:21,23 235:7,9 | 411:9 412:7 | 367:4,6 369:10 |
| 315:11,15,23 | 305:10 345:13 | 235:12,12 | 417:22 | 383:2 |


| searched | 205:13 226:1,5 | 272:14 291:20 | 296:12 355:24 | 392:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 367:11 369:12 | 323:1 378:21 | 293:5 294:25 | 358:16 | served |
| 411:19 | 382:4,5,6,15 | 298:2 302:21 | send | 272:10 |
| searches | 396:22,25 412:17 | 327:6 328:21 | 245:5 424:13 | server |
| 369:21 | 412:20,25 413:2,4 | 329:9 336:16 | senior | 219:23 220:3,8,15 |
| searching | 413:6,8,8 414:21 | 341:17 362:17 | 235:14,17 | service |
| 383:20 | 415:8,9 416:9,15 | 382:20 384:1 | sense | 228:10 |
| season | 416:25 | 387:9 402:4,6 | 185:10 207:6 | services |
| 292:17 | secretive | 405:12 408:2 | 249:1,1 250:25 | 208:10 230:12 |
| second | 194:6 | 412:7 | 315:4 320:13,22 | 318:21 |
| 168:10 169:2 | secrets | seed | 323:2 361:5 | session |
| 182:7,11 185:23 | 171:10,14 172:6 | 332:20 | 378:25 383:3 | 204:7 253:11 |
| 195:9 228:1 | 173:22,23 174:7 | seeing | 406:21 417:6 | 258:22 259:6,25 |
| 237:10,23 239:19 | 174:21 176:24 | 175:25 212:5 | sent | 260:10,15,18 |
| 240:1,12 302:14 | 177:3,7 178:9,12 | 221:21 | 211:23 212:5,12 | 261:19 262:10,12 |
| 313:24 317:3,16 | 178:14,14,19 | seeking | 212:15 220:11,15 | 266:25 |
| 317:22 329:11,12 | 179:20 181:7 | 250:6 319:23 | 245:6 | sessions |
| 332:14,15 333:5 | 183:3,9 184:8,13 | seemingly | sentence | 253:25 254:12 |
| 356:13 374:17 | 184:14 186:18,19 | 402:19 | 174:1 176:3,8 | 255:18,25 256:15 |
| 375:17 377:7 | 186:22 187:1,8,9 | seen | 177:6,8,10 181:1 | 258:25 259:7 |
| 384:4,5 402:4,25 | 187:24 188:8 | 206:3 212:3,12 | 181:4 182:21,21 | 261:7,9 268:3 |
| 403:5 | 189:23 190:4,9,19 | 240:4 248:20 | 185:16 186:5 | set |
| seconds | 190:21,24,25 | 251:22 252:6,12 | 189:19,24 191:12 | 168:10,16 239:19 |
| 200:14,22 330:2,3 | 191:24,25 193:10 | 252:17,19 283:24 | 191:18 198:13 | 240:1 246:20 |
| secondtolast | 194:10,19 196:9 | 293:16 299:10 | 210:7 211:22 | 282:11 324:6,9,20 |
| 324:16 | 197:3,20 198:10 | 302:16 308:7 | 213:10 228:2,2 | 374:22 381:17,22 |
| secrecy | 205:6,16,24 206:5 | 311:4 313:20 | 236:1 246:3 | 389:17,19 393:20 |
| 416:7 | 206:7,12 223:12 | 316:1 322:16 | 249:25 265:4 | 393:21 408:20,21 |
| secret | 236:10 237:2,5 | 326:7 335:21,23 | 295:2 298:23,23 | 426:14 |
| 170:6,21,23 171:3 | 269:3 280:12,18 | 336:2,5,9,14,23 | 301:17,21 313:24 | sets |
| 171:4,22,24 | 397:15 407:9,12 | 337:16 393:22 | 328:5,6 388:10 | 312:23 |
| 172:14,18 173:4 | 412:22,24 414:25 | sees | 396:3 405:16 | settled |
| 173:13,18,19 | 415:1 416:13,23 | 247:7 | sentencebysente... | 203:10 |
| 174:2,14,23 | 418:16,20,23 | segment | 189:20 | settlement |
| 176:17,20,21 | section | 171:5 321:18 | sentences | 201:13,16,18,20 |
| 177:15,17,18 | 194:22 253:20 | seiler | 186:18 198:2,3 | 202:11,18 203:2 |
| 178:1,4,23 179:1 | 277:15 294:23 | 167:16 285:10 | separate | 423:18 |
| 179:4,11,13,24 | 330:1 | select | 233:11 | severalfold |
| 180:2,21,24 | sections | 308:17 | separately | 390:17 |
| 181:12,13 183:4,5 | 386:7 395:6 | selection | 237:21 | sex |
| 183:7,10,14,16,18 | security | 382:23 | sequence | 309:10 |
| 183:22 184:5,7 | 217:1,3 | selfdeterminism | 352:13 356:3,6 | sf |
| 185:23,25 186:10 | see | 406:22 | 357:2,4 358:1 | 168:12 245:17 |
| 187:18 188:3 | 176:2 181:14 | selfesteem | 360:5 | shape |
| 189:13,18 191:17 | 187:22 202:16,21 | 259:21 419:16 | series | 414:13 |
| 193:12,14 194:1,5 | 204:4,14 212:1,10 | sell | 204:14 298:16 | share |
| 195:20,21 196:1,7 | 230:24 233:18 | 390:20 391:3 | 357:18 400:7 | 250:1 276:15,16 |
| 196:22 197:1,13 | 237:9,12 240:10 | selling | seriously | 276:17 |
| 198:1,4,14,22 | 250:21 254:3 | 188:22 | 311:9 | shared |
| 204:13 205:3,11 | 267:2 270:9 | senator | serve | 350:18 |


| shares | sign | sitting | socialism | sort |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 350:19,20 | 268:20 389:10 | 172:2 261:7 266:4 | 256:24 | 170:20,21 176:25 |
| sheds | 411:22 | 399:12 419:8 | socratic | 177:1 197:19 |
| 255:13 | signature | situation | 385:23 | 198:5 202:5 |
| sheila | 209:12 324:17 | 197:22 | soft | 225:22 233:11 |
| 226:9 | signed | situations | 191:13 | 241:2,3 242:18 |
| shift | 168:7 208:22 | 208:2 | sold | 262:14 274:18 |
| 400:24 | 209:18 236:24 | ski | 279:22 | 281:24 291:11 |
| shifter | 238:22 239:4,8,9 | 388:2 | sole | 296:19 305:8 |
| 186:9,13,14 256:5 | 244:14 324:20 | skolnik | 186:8 | 310:7 311:12,20 |
| shingle | 372:19 374:4 | 167:12 168:4 | solid | 311:25 333:14 |
| 189:9 | 425:5 | 202:9,14 204:1 | 281:16 | 362:24 390:24 |
| ship | significant | 302:4 310:18 | solomons | 416:16 417:1 |
| 316:11,11 317:9 | 179:4 | 313:3 331:5,8 | 282:13,14 | sorts |
| 317:11 | signing | 344:1,17,20,24 | solution | 201:4 202:22 |
| short | 209:24 248:25 | 345:10,12 351:24 | 173:9 383:25 | 337:25 383:22 |
| 208:20 293:1 | 373:4,12 | 353:15,25 356:7 | solutions | sound |
| 329:16 371:4 | signs | 356:10,24 357:1 | 206:5 | 303:21 310:8 |
| 406:22 421:9 | 411:22 | 365:24 366:8 | solve | sounded |
| 422:10 423:10 | silly | 371:1,8 403:5 | 173:9 381:18,21 | 310:4 320:2 |
| shorten | 221:4 388:24 | 404:9 410:4,7 | 383:13 399:15 | 322:17 |
| 323:3 | similar | 416:18 417:3 | somebody | sounds |
| show | 177:23 178:22 | 421:1,14 422:12 | 179:12 183:13,22 | 252:22 285:16 |
| 175:11 209:4 | 235:19 389:19 | 422:23,24 423:6 | 184:21,24 185:4 | 286:13 300:4 |
| 234:2 236:24 | 391:1 394:3,5 | 423:14 424:9 | 185:14 186:24 | 316:16 375:19 |
| 251:16 256:8 | 401:17 | slander | 275:18 | 388:24 |
| 272:9,22 292:21 | simple | 326:5 | somebodys | sources |
| 312:21 | 236:24 291:19 | slanderous | 183:24 | 338:18 |
| showed | 349:24 351:9 | 306:12 | somewhat | sp0554 |
| 272:18 387:24 | 352:2,10 | slave | 235:19 267:20 | 312:24 |
| shower | simply | 246:16 | 317:9 346:12 | space |
| 309:10 332:12 | 172:12 349:7 | slaves | son | 193:7 383:17,21 |
| showing | sing | 246:16 | 192:24 | spanish |
| 293:12 | 356:15 | slide | sorry | 275:16,17,18 |
| shown | single | 285:3 | 177:5 180:7 | speak |
| 272:12 | 172:17 189:24 | slightly | 192:12 194:24 | 176:21 197:19 |
| shuffled | 264:9 421:16 | 295:5 | 203:24 232:4 | 212:13 225:6 |
| 244:19 | sir | slip | 239:9 265:2 | 275:17 276:1,8,8 |
| shut | 194:20 293:12 | 259:16 | 272:24 276:1,2 | 285:15 287:13 |
| 220:7 | 324:14 343:23 | small | 283:1,2 284:9,13 | 288:13 289:8 |
| sic | sit | 205:7 280:25 | 296:7 302:4 | 293:18,21 309:1 |
| 231:7 238:13 | 189:24 261:3,18 | 292:24 341:12 | 305:13 310:20,21 | 334:12,16 358:9 |
| 251:17 260:1 | 296:19 | smartest | 314:3 321:24 | 380:3 401:5 |
| 296:23 331:23 | site | 260:13 | 323:2 328:1 | speaking |
| 332:19,22 | 220:1 | smith | 331:18 339:4 | 174:12 287:19 |
| side | sites | 231:17,23 232:4 | 341:25 342:18 | 300:22 301:3,6,11 |
| 197:5 243:24 | 226:24 | snyder | 352:25 374:12 | 347:20 |
| 264:13 311:18 | sitrick | 351:4,6,8,12 | 385:22 399:14 | special |
| sides | 339:9,10,12,14,17 | social | 402:24 405:17 | 234:2 391:10,13 |
| 243:24 | 339:20,23 | 315:4 | 415:23 | 391:15 |


| specific | 305:6 310:8 315:2 | 400:2,4 411:15 | 411:20,25 412:16 | 299:16,23 300:1,1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 170:18 195:10 | 334:7 347:12 | 422:5,5 | 412:20 413:12,15 | 303:11,18,20 |
| 207:16 212:8 | 375:22 | started | 414:1,16 415:2,4 | 304:9 314:1 317:5 |
| 283:19,19 305:6 | spoken | 230:9 231:9 243:1 | 415:7 417:5,11,12 | 317:9 358:9 |
| 306:5 311:19 | 259:11 283:21 | 375:23 376:3,4,11 | 417:17,24 418:1,7 | stinks |
| 320:10 339:19 | 289:15,19 291:22 | 376:12,13,14 | 418:9,12,15,21 | 188:2 |
| 366:10,14 378:4 | 293:22 299:17 | 380:16 384:7 | 419:1 | stipulate |
| 393:24 415:12 | 330:4 335:5,8 | 388:13 | statements | 353:12,13,14 |
| specifically | spokesman | starting | 188:7 203:13 | stop |
| 171:25 173:20 | 295:2,6 | 176:25 196:7 | 209:20 332:9 | 296:15 302:14 |
| 196:11 198:6 | spokesperson | 227:12 298:13 | 347:15 358:16 | 356:22 421:4 |
| 211:11 257:4 | 295:24 | 331:11,11 382:19 | states | 424:2 |
| 269:21 272:4 | sports | 386:7 389:19 | 165:1 234:11 | stopped |
| 313:25 315:2 | 255:4,8,18 | 400:18 | 254:18,21 257:9 | 189:7 357:14 |
| 316:22 346:3 | spout | starts | 332:18 395:9 | storage |
| 365:5 367:1 368:6 | 185:17,19 | 172:1 174:15 | stating | 273:14,19,21,22 |
| 398:14 400:6 | spouting | 176:12,15,21 | 347:16 | 367:8,9,11 |
| 401:10,14 407:25 | 185:22 | 179:5 183:2 184:4 | status | stories |
| 417:10 | spring | 196:8 197:19 | 328:10,11,14 | 303:8 346:5 |
| specifications | 338:13 | 198:6 200:23 | 416:9 | 355:19 |
| 402:22 | spy | 269:20 295:2 | steal | story |
| specifics | 296:20 | 298:21 325:2,4 | 190:24 | 357:7 |
| 170:17 416:15,25 | stafford | 326:17 327:18 | stealing | strange |
| specify | 370:7 | 328:5 331:13 | 255:13,14 | 200:5 |
| 352:9 | stage | 381:20 | stem | strategically |
| speculate | 317:22 | state | 284:6 | 383:6 |
| 333:16 | stagger | 166:14 207:12,14 | stenographic | strategies |
| speech | 386:8 | 228:12 230:7 | 166:10 | 186:13 |
| 377:4 | stamp | 242:8,14 248:4 | stenographically | strategy |
| speed | 203:22 252:3 | 254:17,20 257:5 | 426:13 | 191:22 |
| 422:4 | 293:5 | 257:15 384:17 | step | street |
| speedwell | stamped | 388:25 389:2 | 233:15 393:9,9,13 | 166:22 233:6 |
| 167:8 | 168:12 245:17 | 406:2 419:17,17 | 393:13,16,16 | strict |
| spelled | stand | 419:18 426:6 | 411:10 413:15,19 | 268:25 |
| 276:19 | 250:22 271:7 | stated | 413:22 | strike |
| spent | standing | 299:22 305:9,11 | stephanie | 177:21 178:2 |
| 174:16 175:2 | 198:13 204:12 | 325:14 391:20 | 165:7 168:9 | 185:6 206:8 208:4 |
| 236:4,8,11,19 | 205:13 332:2 | statement | 169:23 194:12 | 211:17 223:13 |
| 237:2,8,24 238:5 | start | 168:11 180:22 | 208:3,5,8 239:19 | 224:22 252:4 |
| 238:25 371:19 | 169:2 176:19 | 184:3,6,10,12 | 239:25 371:11 | 279:15 281:12 |
| 408:15 423:17 | 180:23 187:23 | 188:9 193:5 | steps | 287:16 292:7 |
| spew | 188:3,4 193:21 | 194:21 204:12 | 401:11 413:21 | 315:5 326:11 |
| 184:17 | 269:14 275:21 | 210:9,14 212:7 | steve | 356:20 |
| sphinx | 287:12 292:7 | 238:14 245:16,21 | 347:3 | strong |
| 278:20,22 279:8 | 301:7 310:16 | 245:24,25 246:3 | sticker | 203:13 289:25 |
| 280:17,21 | 322:21 325:21 | 248:12,14,15 | 313:5 | 346:16 388:4 |
| spiritual | 326:20 333:24 | 249:12,25 269:17 | sticks | strongly |
| 197:6 | 334:19 335:22 | 269:18,20 351:21 | 233:14 | 277:12 |
| spoke | 336:4 341:2 344:2 | 401:7 402:9,16 | sting | struck |
| 288:16 299:14 | 374:19 399:2 | 411:12,15,17,18 | 297:9,10 299:12 | 200:7 |


| structure | 275:3 281:13 | 403:6 | 236:18 269:18 | 370:4 371:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 182:24,25 196:16 | subscribed | suppose | 295:23 311:9 | 373:21 378:24 |
| 196:16 256:24 | 425:22 | 196:1 386:5,8 | 333:13 343:18 | 380:16,19 386:8,9 |
| 276:10 | subsequent | supposed | 372:10 | 386:10,15,19,22 |
| structures | 169:24 327:9 | 193:13 210:19 | suspicion | 387:7 411:24 |
| 231:6 | subsidiary | 316:23 317:23 | 350:10,11,17,18 | 421:11 422:15 |
| stuck | 391:14 | supposedly | 350:19,20 | 423:9 |
| 386:15 | substance | 296:17 302:19 | sutton | takeaway |
| student | 335:17 | 304:1 332:12 | 165:6,6 167:10,10 | 311:15 |
| 168:14 181:24 | substantial | sure | 169:16,20 364:12 | taken |
| 229:19 261:10 | 355:25 | 170:1 177:24 | switch | 165:19 166:12 |
| 263:12,20 264:2,8 | success | 188:21 189:1 | 304:19 | 169:16,20,23 |
| 264:16,20 317:24 | 165:3 170:5 192:7 | 191:5 192:16 | sworn | 184:8 198:17 |
| 335:15 | 231:20 246:5 | 194:3 206:19 | 169:8 271:7 374:6 | 227:8 232:4 |
| studentrun | 247:5,13,16,18 | 208:12,17 212:3 | 425:22 426:9 | 252:23 263:3 |
| 228:9 | 248:3 265:7 | 213:19,22 214:11 | system | 270:17 318:18 |
| students | 285:20,24 399:22 | 224:12,19 226:16 | 217:1,3 255:5,22 | 359:13 364:21 |
| 199:17 260:19 | 411:14,16 | 229:17 236:23 | 255:24 382:22 | 370:21 395:19 |
| 309:11 380:18 | successful | 237:9,11 238:1 | 406:17 | 415:21 425:4 |
| 386:21 387:3,4 | 184:18 | 240:5,14 241:25 | systematic | 426:13 |
| 406:10 417:23 | successfully | 243:20 244:21 | 383:23,24 393:10 | takes |
| 418:1 420:9,13 | 383:1 | 248:24 249:9,17 | 393:13 | 268:19 311:8 |
| studied | suffer | 251:23 252:23 | systems | talk |
| 379:8 384:24 | 225:12 | 254:9 270:8 | 229:4 383:1 | 181:9 200:23 |
| 403:25 404:3 | suffering | 271:14 272:13 | 384:17 | 211:12 256:5 |
| study | 207:11 | 273:14,22 274:19 |  | 268:22 296:15 |
| 191:15 202:1,3,24 | sufficiently | 274:22 279:24 | T | 311:18 356:16 |
| 281:23 282:9,10 | 265:24 | 280:23 284:10,23 | table | 378:8 404:6,17 |
| 282:13,14,15,17 | suggest | 285:2 289:10 | 422:8,22 | 411:1 |
| 282:22 379:7 | 304:25 306:1,5,9 | 292:20 307:21 | tails | talked |
| 395:15 | 344:8 | 310:14 311:10 | 234:22 | 263:12 |
| studying | suggested | 328:15 329:13 | take | talking |
| 200:2 | 304:22 305:4 | 331:7 334:23,24 | 172:5,9,21,23 | 176:23 198:7 |
| stuff | 306:6,10 349:21 | 336:23 339:16 | 173:2,8,12 177:6 | 255:9 267:21,23 |
| 237:17 265:19,21 | 349:22,23 350:22 | 343:18 347:4 | 178:15 179:9 | 267:24 286:4 |
| 273:15,21 310:7 | 351:10,10 352:3,4 | 357:18,19 358:8 | 182:1 185:17,25 | 297:17 310:1,2 |
| 332:21 340:24 | 352:19 421:5 | 360:5 361:4,17 | 187:4 188:9,19 | 311:21 347:20 |
| 362:23 398:7,8 | suggesting | 371:3 372:18 | 189:16,23,23 | 348:11 349:9 |
| style | 344:9 350:2 | 391:25 403:14 | 190:4,9,18,20 | 372:3 400:13,22 |
| 287:21,22 | suggestion | 409:23 410:6,20 | 191:6 197:17 | 401:24 408:6 |
| subcontracted | 423:24 | 412:11 413:5 | 202:14 203:19 | 413:5 |
| 384:19 | suggestions | 417:15 421:23 | 208:14 209:6 | talks |
| subject | 248:19 | 424:19 | 211:4,8,15 224:4 | 177:13,13 237:13 |
| 198:7 213:6 278:7 | superficially | surface | 224:6 226:14 | tape |
| 325:8 349:14 | 188:11 280:8 | 182:24 196:16 | 228:3 232:1 239:5 | 169:2 209:2 214:7 |
| 381:24 387:4,8 | 308:6 | surveillance | 252:18 253:8 | 214:11,14,18 |
| 419:2 423:2 425:5 | supervisor | 341:4,6,8 | 270:6 292:23 | 215:1,4,8 309:8 |
| subjective | 231:3 | suspect | 298:23 329:9 | 309:12 318:4 |
| 393:24 406:17 | support | 186:17 189:5,10 | 344:22 345:2 | 320:3,8 321:13 |
| submitted | 401:10 402:23 | 190:21 221:25 | 347:18,19 349:7 | 322:16 329:19 |
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