In The Matter Of: NXIVM CORPORATION v. MORRIS SUTTON

KEITH A. RANIERE Vol. III May 13, 2009

FITZSIMMONS REPORTING & VIDEOCONFERENCE CENTER

570 W. Mt. Pleasant Avenue Suite 102
Livingston, New Jersey 07039
PHONE: (973) 994-3510 FAX: (973)-994-3621
www.Fitzsimmonsreporting.com

Original File 051309_1.TXT
Min-U-Script® with Word Index

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

	ORRIS SUTTON			May 13, 2009
	Page 427			Page 429
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1	APPEAR	ANCES (Continued) :
2	DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY	2	DRIN	KER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
3	NXIVM CORPORATION, formerly known as	3	BY:	ROBERT M. LEONARD, ESQ.
4	EXECUTIVE SUCCESS PROGRAMS, INC. and FIRST PRINCIPLES, INC.,	4		THOMAS F. CAMPION, ESQ. 500 Campus Drive
5	No. 2:06-cv-01051 Plaintiffs, (DMC/MF)	5		Florham Park, New Jersey 07932-1047 (973) 549-7370
6	vs.	6		rneys for Keith Raniere
7	MORRIS SUTTON, ROCHELLE SUTTON, THE ROSS INSTITUTE, RICK ROSS a/k/a "RICKY"	8		R DANZIG SCHERER HYLAND PERRETTI LLP HAROLD L. KOFMAN, ESQ. Headquarters Flaza
8	ROSS, STEPHANIE FRANCO, PAUL MARTIN, Ph.D., and WELLSPRING RETREAT, INC.,	9		One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, New Jersey 07962-1981
9	Defendants.	10	Attor	(973) 538-0800 neys for Morris Sutton, Rochelle Sutton,
10	RICK ROSS,	11		hanie Franco
11	Counterclaim-Plaintiff,	12		NSTEIN SANDLER PC PETER L. SKOLNIK, ESQ.
12	vs.	13		65 Livingston Avenue Roseland New Jersey 07068
13	KEITH RANIERE, NANCY SALZMAN,	14	At-to:	(973) 597-2508 rneys for The Ross Institute, Rick Ross,
	KEITH RANIERE, NANCY SALZMAN, KRISTIN KEEFFE INTERFOR, INC., JUVAL AVIV, ANNA MOODY, JANE DOE	15	Paul	Martin and Wellspring Retreat, Inc.
15	and JOHN DOES 1-10,	16	FRIE	DMAN KAPLAN SEILER & ADELMAN LLP DANIEL IAN MEE, ESQ.
16	Counterclaim-Defendants.	17	21.	1633 Broadway New York, New York 10019-6708
17	(Caption continued on following page)	18	2++~	(212) 833-1100 crneys for Interfor, Inc., Juval Aviv
18	CONFIDENTIAL	19	ALEO	and the amount of the pull and the
19	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF: KEITH A. RANIERE	20	ALSO PRESEN	T:
20	(Volume III)	21		Knodel WENSTEIN SANDLER PC
21	DATE TAKEN: WEDNESDAY, MAY 13, 2009	22	Nanc	y Salzman
22	FITZSIMMONS REPORTING & VIDEOCONFERENCE CENTER	23	Karı	. Petry, Videographer
23	570 West Mount Pleasant Avenue Livingston, New Jersey 07039	24		
24	PHÓNE: (973) 994-3510 FAX: (973) 994-3621	25		
25		25		
	Page 428	-		Page 430
,		1		•
1	(Continued)	2	THE OWNER OF CO.	INDEX CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
3	INTERFOR, INC., JUVAL AVIV, and ANNA MOODY,	3	WITNESS	(Cont'd)
.3	Cross-Claimants,		PETTER STAN	DANTEDE
-	CIUSS-CIAIMANCS,		KEITH ALAN By Mr.	RANIERE Skolnik: 433 625
4	vs.	4	By Mr.	RANIERE Skolnik: 433 625 Kofman: 608
4 5	vs. NXIVM CORPORATION, KEITH RANIERE,	4 5	By Mr. By Mr.	RANIERE Skolnik: 433 625 Kofman: 608 EXHIBITS
4 5 6	VS. NXIVM CORPORATION, KEITH RANIERE, NANCY SALZMAN and KRISTIN KEEFFE,	4 5 6	By Mr. By Mr.	RANIERE Skolnik: 433 625 Kofman: 608 EXHIBITS Description For Identification
4 5 6 7	vs. NXIVM CORPORATION, KEITH RANIERE,	4 5 6 7	By Mr. By Mr.	RANIERE Skolnik: 433 625 Kofman: 608 EXHIBITS
4 5 6 7 8	vs. NXIVM CORPORATION, KEITH RANIERE, NANCY SALZMAN and KRISTIN KEEFFE, Cross-Claim Defendants.	4 5 6 7 8	By Mr. By Mr.	RANIERE Skolnik: 433 625 Kofman: 608 E X H I B I T S Description For Identification One-page U.S. Copyright Office document entitled Public Catalog 521 Application for Letters Patent Bates
4 5 6 7 8 9	vs. NXIVM CORPORATION, KEITH RANIERE, NANCY SALZMAN and KRISTIN KEEFFE, Cross-Claim Defendants.	4 5 6 7 8 9	By Mr. By Mr. Exhibit Raniere-18	RANIERE Skolnik: 433 625 Kofman: 608 E X H I B I T S Description For Identification One-page U.S. Copyright Office document entitled Public Catalog 521 Application for Letters Patent Bates stamped P0000000685 through 768 539
4 5 6 7 8 9	VS. NXIVM CORPORATION, KEITH RANIERE, NANCY SALZMAN and KRISTIN KEEFFE, Cross-Claim Defendants. TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic	4 5 6 7 8 9	By Mr. By Mr. Exhibit Raniere-18	RANIERE Skolnik: 433 625 Kofman: 608 E X H I B I T S Description For Identification One-page U.S. Copyright Office document entitled Public Catalog 521 Application for Letters Patent Bates
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	VS. NXIVM CORPORATION, KEITH RANIERE, NANCY SALZMAN and KRISTIN KEEFFE, Cross-Claim Defendants. TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of the proceedings in the above-entitled	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	By Mr. By Mr. Exhibit Raniere-18 Raniere-19 Raniere-20	RANIERE Skolnik: 433 625 Kofman: 608 E X H I B I T S Description For Identification One-page U.S. Copyright Office document entitled Public Catalog 521 Application for Letters Patent Bates stamped P000000685 through 768 539 Confidentiality Agreement Effective 5/13/06 Bates stamped SP1013-2030 554 5/10/04 Memorandum Bates stamped
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	VS. NXIVM CORPORATION, KEITH RANIERE, NANCY SALZMAN and KRISTIN KEEFFE, Cross-Claim Defendants. TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, as taken by and before CHERYL McGANN, a	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	By Mr. By Mr. Exhibit Raniere-18 Raniere-19 Raniere-20 Raniere-21	RANIERE Skolnik: 433 625 Kofman: 608 E X H I B I T S Description For Identification One-page U.S. Copyright Office document entitled Public Catalog 521 Application for Letters Patent Bates stamped P000000685 through 768 539 Confidentiality Agreement Effective 5/13/06 Bates stamped SP1013-2030 554 5/10/04 Memorandum Bates stamped SP1163-1165 556
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	VS. NXIVM CORPORATION, KEITH RANIERE, NANCY SALZMAN and KRISTIN KEEFFE, Cross-Claim Defendants. TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, as taken by and before CHERYL McGANN, a Certified Court Reporter and Certified Realtime	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	By Mr. By Mr. Exhibit Raniere-18 Raniere-19 Raniere-20 Raniere-21	RANIERE Skolnik: 433 625 Kofman: 608 E X H I B I T S Description For Identification One-page U.S. Copyright Office document entitled Public Catalog 521 Application for Letters Patent Bates stamped P000000685 through 768 539 Confidentiality Agreement Effective 5/13/06 Bates stamped SP1013-2030 554 5/10/04 Memorandum Bates stamped
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	NXIVM CORPORATION, KEITH RANIERE, NANCY SALZMAN and KRISTIN KEEFFE, Cross-Claim Defendants. TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, as taken by and before CHERYL McGANN, a Certified Court Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter of the State of New Jersey, held at the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	By Mr. By Mr. Exhibit Raniere-18 Raniere-19 Raniere-20 Raniere-21 Raniere-21	RANIERE Skolnik: 433 Kofman: 608 E X H I B I T S Description For Identification One-page U.S. Copyright Office document entitled Public Catalog 521 Application for Letters Patent Bates stamped P000000685 through 768 539 Confidentiality Agreement Effective 5/13/06 Bates stamped SP1013-2030 554 5/10/04 Memorandum Bates stamped SP1163-1165 556 Note to Keith with attachments Bates
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	VS. NXIVM CORPORATION, KEITH RANIERE, NANCY SALZMAN and KRISTIN KEEFFE, Cross-Claim Defendants. TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, as taken by and before CHERYL McGANN, a Certified Court Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter of the State of New Jersey, held at the offices of DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP, 500 Campus	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	By Mr. By Mr. Exhibit Raniere-18 Raniere-19 Raniere-20 Raniere-21 Raniere-22 Raniere-23	RANIERE Skolnik: 433 625 Kofman: 608 E X H I B I T S Description For Identification One-page U.S. Copyright Office document entitled Public Catalog 521 Application for Letters Patent Bates stamped P000000685 through 768 539 Confidentiality Agreement Effective 5/13/06 Bates stamped SP1013-2030 554 5/10/04 Memorandum Bates stamped SP1163-1165 556 Note to Keith with attachments Bates stamped SP0903-0907 556
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	NXIVM CORPORATION, KEITH RANIERE, NANCY SALZMAN and KRISTIN KEEFFE, Cross-Claim Defendants. TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, as taken by and before CHERYL McGANN, a Certified Court Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter of the State of New Jersey, held at the offices of DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP, 500 Campus Drive, Florham Park, New Jersey, on Wednesday,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	By Mr. By Mr. Exhibit Raniere-18 Raniere-20 Raniere-21 Raniere-22 Raniere-23 Raniere-24	RANIERE Skolnik: 433 625 Kofman: 608 E X H I B I T S Description For Identification One-page U.S. Copyright Office document entitled Public Catalog 521 Application for Letters Patent Bates stamped P000000685 through 768 539 Confidentiality Agreement Effective 5/13/06 Bates stamped SP1013-2030 554 5/10/04 Memorandum Bates stamped SP163-1165 556 Note to Keith with attachments Bates stamped SP0903-0907 556 1/26/05 e-mail Bates stamped SP0480 556
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	NXIVM CORPORATION, KEITH RANIERE, NANCY SALZMAN and KRISTIN KEEFFE, Cross-Claim Defendants. TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, as taken by and before CHERYL McGANN, a Certified Court Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter of the State of New Jersey, held at the offices of DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP, 500 Campus Drive, Florham Park, New Jersey, on Wednesday,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	By Mr. By Mr. Exhibit Raniere-18 Raniere-19 Raniere-20 Raniere-21 Raniere-22 Raniere-23 Raniere-24 Raniere-25	RANIERE Skolnik: 433 608 E X H I B I T S Description For Identification One-page U.S. Copyright Office document entitled Public Catalog 521 Application for Letters Patent Bates stamped P000000685 through 768 539 Confidentiality Agreement Effective 5/13/06 Bates stamped SP1013-2030 554 5/10/04 Memorandum Bates stamped Sp1163-1165 Note to Keith with attachments Bates stamped SP0903-0907 556 N/26/05 e-mail Bates stamped SP0480 556 8/7/04 e-mail Bates stamped SP1284 556 Memorandum to K. Russell Bates
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	NXIVM CORPORATION, KEITH RANIERE, NANCY SALZMAN and KRISTIN KEEFFE, Cross-Claim Defendants. TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, as taken by and before CHERYL McGANN, a Certified Court Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter of the State of New Jersey, held at the offices of DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP, 500 Campus Drive, Florham Park, New Jersey, on Wednesday, May 13, 2009, commencing at 10:04 a.m.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	By Mr. By Mr. Exhibit Raniere-18 Raniere-19 Raniere-20 Raniere-21 Raniere-22 Raniere-23 Raniere-24 Raniere-25 Raniere-26	RANIERE Skolnik: 433 Skofman: 608 E X H I B I T S Description For Identification One-page U.S. Copyright Office document entitled Public Catalog 521 Application for Letters Patent Bates stamped P000000685 through 768 539 Confidentiality Agreement Effective 5/13/06 Bates stamped SP1013-2030 554 5/10/04 Memorandum Bates stamped SP1163-1165 556 Note to Keith with attachments Bates stamped SP0903-0907 556 1/26/05 e-mail Bates stamped SP0480 556 8/7/04 e-mail Bates stamped SP1284 556 11/28/04 e-mail Bates stamped SP1814 556 Memorandum to K. Russell Bates stamped SP0429 557
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	NXIVM CORPORATION, KEITH RANIERE, NANCY SALZMAN and KRISTIN KEEFFE, Cross-Claim Defendants. TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, as taken by and before CHERYL McGANN, a Certified Court Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter of the State of New Jersey, held at the offices of DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP, 500 Campus Drive, Florham Park, New Jersey, on Wednesday,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	By Mr. By Mr. Exhibit Raniere-18 Raniere-19 Raniere-20 Raniere-21 Raniere-22 Raniere-23 Raniere-24 Raniere-25 Raniere-26	RANIERE Skolnik: 433 608 E X H I B I T S Description For Identification One-page U.S. Copyright Office document entitled Public Catalog 521 Application for Letters Patent Bates stamped P000000685 through 768 539 Confidentiality Agreement Effective 5/13/06 Bates stamped SP1013-2030 554 5/10/04 Memorandum Bates stamped Sp1163-1165 Note to Keith with attachments Bates stamped SP0903-0907 556 N/26/05 e-mail Bates stamped SP0480 556 8/7/04 e-mail Bates stamped SP1284 556 Memorandum to K. Russell Bates
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	NXIVM CORPORATION, KEITH RANIERE, NANCY SALZMAN and KRISTIN KEEFFE, Cross-Claim Defendants. TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, as taken by and before CHERYL McGANN, a Certified Court Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter of the State of New Jersey, held at the offices of DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP, 500 Campus Drive, Florham Park, New Jersey, on Wednesday, May 13, 2009, commencing at 10:04 a.m. APPEARANCES:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	By Mr. By Mr. Exhibit Raniere-18 Raniere-19 Raniere-20 Raniere-21 Raniere-22 Raniere-23 Raniere-24 Raniere-25 Raniere-26	RANIERE Skolnik: 433 625 Kofman: 608 E X H I B I T S Description For Identification One-page U.S. Copyright Office document entitled Public Catalog 521 Application for Letters Patent Bates stamped P000000685 through 768 539 Confidentiality Agreement Effective 5/13/06 Bates stamped SP1013-2030 554 5/10/04 Memorandum Bates stamped Sp163-1165 556 Note to Keith with attachments Bates stamped SP0903-0907 556 1/26/05 e-mail Bates stamped SP0480 556 8/7/04 e-mail Bates stamped SP1284 556 11/28/04 e-mail Bates stamped SP1814 556 Memorandum to K. Russell Bates stamped SP0429 557 4/8/04 Memorandum Bates stamped SP0432 557 Confidential and Legal Product
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	NXIVM CORPORATION, KEITH RANIERE, NANCY SALZMAN and KRISTIN KEEFFE, Cross-Claim Defendants. TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, as taken by and before CHERYL McGANN, a Certified Court Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter of the State of New Jersey, held at the offices of DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP, 500 Campus Drive, Florham Park, New Jersey, on Wednesday, May 13, 2009, commencing at 10:04 a.m. APPEARANCES: TOMPKINS McGUIRE WACHENFELD & BARRY LLP BY: WILLIAM B. McGUIRE, ESQ. 4 Gateway Center	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	By Mr. By Mr. Exhibit Raniere-18 Raniere-19 Raniere-20 Raniere-21 Raniere-22 Raniere-23 Raniere-24 Raniere-25 Raniere-26 Raniere-26	RANIERE Skolnik: 433 608 E X H I B I T S Description For Identification One-page U.S. Copyright Office document entitled Public Catalog 521 Application for Letters Patent Bates stamped P000000685 through 768 539 Confidentiality Agreement Effective 5/13/06 Bates stamped SP1013-2030 554 5/10/04 Memorandum Bates stamped SP1163-1165 S56 Note to Keith with attachments Bates stamped SP0903-0907 556 1/26/05 e-mail Bates stamped SP0480 58/7/04 e-mail Bates stamped SP1284 556 Memorandum to K. Russell Bates stamped SP0429 557 4/8/04 Memorandum Bates stamped SP0432 557
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	NXIVM CORPORATION, KEITH RANIERE, NANCY SALZMAN and KRISTIN KEEFFE, Cross-Claim Defendants. TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, as taken by and before CHERYL McGANN, a Certified Court Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter of the State of New Jersey, held at the offices of DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP, 500 Campus Drive, Florham Park, New Jersey, on Wednesday, May 13, 2009, commencing at 10:04 a.m. APPEARANCES: TOMPKINS McGUIRE WACHENFELD & BARRY LLP BY: WILLIAM B. McGUIRE, ESQ. 4 Gateway Center 100 Mulberry Street Newark, New Jersey 07102	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	By Mr. By Mr. Exhibit Raniere-18 Raniere-19 Raniere-20 Raniere-21 Raniere-22 Raniere-22 Raniere-24 Raniere-25 Raniere-26 Raniere-27 Raniere-27	RANIERE Skolnik: 433 Skofman: 608 E X H I B I T S Description For Identification One-page U.S. Copyright Office document entitled Public Catalog 521 Application for Letters Patent Bates stamped P000000685 through 768 539 Confidentiality Agreement Effective 5/13/06 Bates stamped SP1013-2030 554 5/10/04 Memorandum Bates stamped SP1163-1165 556 Note to Keith with attachments Bates stamped SP0903-0907 556 1/26/05 e-mail Bates stamped SP0480 556 8/7/04 e-mail Bates stamped SP1284 556 11/28/04 e-mail Bates stamped SP1814 556 Memorandum to K. Russell Bates stamped SP0429 557 4/8/04 Memorandum Bates stamped SP0432 557 Confidential and Legal Product Memorandum to K. Russell from J.J. O'Bara Bates stamped SP0518 557 12/9/04 e-mail Bates stamped NXR000079
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	NXIVM CORPORATION, KEITH RANIERE, NANCY SALZMAN and KRISTIN KEEFFE, Cross-Claim Defendants. TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, as taken by and before CHERYL McGANN, a Certified Court Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter of the State of New Jersey, held at the offices of DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP, 500 Campus Drive, Florham Park, New Jersey, on Wednesday, May 13, 2009, commencing at 10:04 a.m. APPEARANCES: TOMPKINS McGUIRE WACHENFELD & BARRY LLP BY: WILLIAM B. McGUIRE, ESQ. 4 Gateway Center 1000 Mulberry Street Newark, New Jersey 07102 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Cross-Claim Defendants, NXIVM Corp., Nancy Salzman	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	By Mr. By Mr. Exhibit Raniere-18 Raniere-19 Raniere-20 Raniere-21 Raniere-22 Raniere-22 Raniere-24 Raniere-25 Raniere-26 Raniere-27 Raniere-28 Raniere-28	RANIERE Skolnik: 433 Skofman: 608 E X H I B I T S Description For Identification One-page U.S. Copyright Office document entitled Public Catalog 521 Application for Letters Patent Bates stamped P000000685 through 768 539 Confidentiality Agreement Effective 5/13/06 Bates stamped SP1013-2030 554 5/10/04 Memorandum Bates stamped SP1163-1165 556 Note to Keith with attachments Bates stamped SP0903-0907 556 1/26/05 e-mail Bates stamped SP0480 556 8/7/04 e-mail Bates stamped SP1284 556 11/28/04 e-mail Bates stamped SP1814 556 Memorandum to K. Russell Bates stamped SP0429 557 4/8/04 Memorandum Bates stamped SP0432 557 Confidential and Legal Product Memorandum to K. Russell from J.J. O'Bara Bates stamped SP0518 557 12/9/04 e-mail Bates stamped NXR00079 through 80 594
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	NXIVM CORPORATION, KEITH RANIERE, NANCY SALZMAN and KRISTIN KEEFFE, Cross-Claim Defendants. TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, as taken by and before CHERYL McGANN, a Certified Court Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter of the State of New Jersey, held at the offices of DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP, 500 Campus Drive, Florham Park, New Jersey, on Wednesday, May 13, 2009, commencing at 10:04 a.m. APPEARANCES: TOMPKINS McGUIRE WACHENFELD & BARRY LLP BY: WILLIAM B. McGUIRE, ESQ. 4 Gateway Center 100 Mulberry Street Newark, New Jersey 07102 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Cross-Claim	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	By Mr. By Mr. Exhibit Raniere-18 Raniere-19 Raniere-20 Raniere-21 Raniere-21 Raniere-22 Raniere-24 Raniere-25 Raniere-26 Raniere-27 Raniere-27 Raniere-28 Raniere-29 Raniere-30	RANIERE Skolnik: 433 Skofman: 608 E X H I B I T S Description For Identification One-page U.S. Copyright Office document entitled Public Catalog 521 Application for Letters Patent Bates stamped P000000685 through 768 539 Confidentiality Agreement Effective 5/13/06 Bates stamped SP1013-2030 554 5/10/04 Memorandum Bates stamped SP1163-1165 556 Note to Keith with attachments Bates stamped SP0903-0907 556 1/26/05 e-mail Bates stamped SP0480 556 8/7/04 e-mail Bates stamped SP1814 556 Memorandum to K. Russell Bates stamped SP0429 557 4/8/04 Memorandum Bates stamped SP0432 557 Confidential and Legal Product Memorandum to K. Russell from J.J. O'Hara Bates stamped SP0518 557 12/9/04 e-mail Bates stamped NXR00079

	13, 2009	Document	002	MORRIS SUTTON	
Lay	13, 2007	Page 431		Page 433	
123 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Exhibits attached.) INFORMATION REQUESTED (Request) Page 467 (Request) Page 506 (Request) Page 530 (Request) Page 608		3 4 5 6 7 8	11 Learnh O'll larg about those hard	
11 12 13		:	11 12 13	subjects. We have further agreed that counsel for you and NXIVM reserve all of their rights with respect to the admissibility of such testimony as	
14 15			14 15	well as their rights to challenge the findings and conclusions of the opinions by Judge Treece and all	
16 17 18			16 17 18	of their rights to the applicability of the attorney-client and work product privileges to questions that are not covered by that above	
19 20 21			19 20 21	agreement. Do you understand that? A. I wasn't aware of that but MR. LEONARD: That's correct.	
22 23 24 25			22 23 24 25	A okay. Then yes. Q. Are you today under the influence of any drugs or any other substance that would prevent you	
-		Page 432		Page 434	
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's May 13, 2009, at the time indicated on the screen. We're here in Florham Park, Ne take the continuing deposition of Keith R	e video w Jersey, to aniere in	1 2 3 4	from answering my questions fully and truthfully? A. No. Q. You told us last time that the statement in your bio, which was Raniere-1, saying that you have total retention is accurate as far as how someone	

5 the matter of NXIVM versus Morris Sutton. At this time, would the attorneys please

7 identify themselves and the clients they represent. MR. SKOLNIK: Yeah. I'm Peter Skolnik

9 of Lowenstein Sandler. I represent all of the Ross 10 parties.

MR. KOFMAN: Harold Kofman from Riker 11 12 Danzig. I represent Stephanie Franco, Morris Sutton 13 and Rochelle Sutton.

MR. McGUIRE: Tompkins McGuire 14 15 representing NXIVM, Nancy Salzman, and Kristin 16 Keeffe.

MR. LEONARD: Robert Leonard and Tom 17 18 Campion -- Thomas Campion on behalf of Keith 19 Raniere.

MR. SKOLNIK: Would you swear the 20 21 witness.

22 23 KEITH ALAN RANIERE, residing at 24 3 Flintlock Lane, Clifton Park, New York 12065,

25 is duly sworn and testifies on his oath as follows:

total retention is accurate as far as how someone

would measure retention. 6

Do you remember that testimony?

A. To some degree, yes. 8

Q. Okay. How would you measure retention?

A. Oh, I have no idea. I guess I would seek to 10

have the test that they use to measure retention. I 11

think they show people different cards and see how 12

they remember them or I think there are things with 13

digital span so I don't know. 14

Q. Have you taken that test? 15

A. I've taken tests like that. 16

Q. So what is the basis for your statement that

you have total retention, as far as that's the way 18

you measure retention? 19

A. When I was a child I was tested, and I had 20 seen a report that said I had had total retention.

21 I was told by guidance counselors in school that had

22 seen those reports. That's -- I mean, I think there

23 are other things -- other people along the way who 24

had been somehow associated with those tests that

7

9

- 1 had said similar things.
- 2 Q. Okay. Over what kind of materials or
- 3 information do you have total retention?
- 4 A. I have no idea. I --
- 5 Q. Do you have total retention of books or
- 6 articles that you read?
- 7 A. I don't understand "total retention" other
- 8 than what I've been told.
- 9 Q. Well, do you believe that you retain
- 10 virtually everything that you read in books and
- 11 articles?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. What about the results of research that
- 14 you've conducted? Do you have total retention over
- 15 that?

1

- 16 A. I don't know what you mean by "total
- 17 retention," but if you say do I remember every bit
- 18 of sensory input I've had with respect to something
- 19 like that, I would say no.
- 20 Q. What about the contents of lectures that
- 21 you've attended?
- 22 A. Depending if I'm paying attention, I'll have
- 23 more or less retention.
- 24 Q. Conversations?
- 25 A. The same thing.

1 A. I think it was pretty early on. I'm not

- sure. I'm not sure. I don't know the exact date.
- 3 Q. Can you give us an approximate date?
- 4 A. That's why I'm trying to think because I
- 5 wasn't involved in it. I'm thinking on where I have
- 6 seen the name. I've seen the name on the back of
- 7 the Ethos brochure, so no. I don't know even the
- 8 year.
- 9 Q. Do you know why First Principles was
- 10 established?
- 11 A. Yeah. It's my understanding that First
- 12 Principles is -- controls, if you will, the
- 13 deployment of the information in the patents, and i
- 14 think they have the patents or different trademarks
- or things like that assigned to them, although I'm
- 16 not sure if that's how it is currently.
- 17 Q. Okay. Do you know what First Principles is?
- 18 A. It's a corporation.
- 19 Q. And you believe that it's the assignee of
- 20 rights in patents?
- 21 A. Yeah. I believe it's a recipient of
- 22 royalties. I believe that it is the recipient of
- 23 assignment of rights of certain patents and
- 24 trademarks, but I'm not sure of the extent.
 - Q. What royalties is it the recipient of?

Page 436

25

- Q. You may -- you may retain all of it,
- 2 depending upon whether you're paying attention?
- 3 A. Actually, I don't know if I would say I
- 4 retain all of it. You know, the label total
- 5 retention or the assessment total retention was not
- 6 something I gave to myself. I -- you know, I don't
- 7 know if there's such a thing as a total retention, a
- 8 what I would call like a video memory.
- 9 Q. Well, I'm still trying to understand why you
- told us that the statement on your own bio that says
- that you have total retention, that you told us that
- 12 that's accurate.
- 13 A. I believe that's true.
- 14 Q. But what does total retention mean to you?
- 15 A. It's something that I was told, I was
- 16 assessed by psychologists and that I scored as
- 17 having total retention.
- 18 Q. So your belief comes from what you were told
- 19 by other people?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. Do you by any chance recall how many
- 22 times you testified "I don't recall" during the
- 23 prior two days of your deposition?
- 24 A. No, I don't recall.
- Q. When was First Principles established?

- A. I believe it is the recipient of royalties of
- 2 the Executive Success/NXIVM curriculum. I think
- 3 there is a certain percentage of the total business
- 4 that goes to First Principles.
- 5 Q. And do you know what First Principles does
- 6 with that income?
- 7 A. Not exactly, although I do believe that some
- 8 of the expenses for patent work and things like that
- 9 may come out of it.
- 10 Q. Anything else that you know comes out of the
- 11 money that goes into First Principles?
- 12 A. Well, there is a royalty that's supposed to
- 13 go to me. I don't know how exactly that's handled.
- 14 Ultimately that's to be paid to a foundation for
- 15 scientific research.
- 16 Q. A royalty that is meant to be paid to you
- 17 goes to someone else?
- 18 A. A royalty that is on the technology that I
- 19 have created is meant to go to a scientific
- 20 foundation.
- 21 Q. What is that foundation?
- 22 A. I don't know what it is currently. I think
- 23 originally it was the one that Joe O'Hara was
- 24 involved with.
- 25 Q. But you don't know where that money goes

Page 438

Page 439

today? 1

- A. No. I don't know. 2
- Q. Do you know what that foundation is meant to 3
- do?
- A. It's supposed to conduct research relating 5
- to the technology of Rational Inquiry and try to 6
- examine it from the scientific model. 7
- Q. What is it that generates the royalty income?
- A. The curriculum, I believe the sale of 9
- courses. 10
- Q. The sale of courses. In other words -- and 11
- is this the same 10 percent royalty that you told us 12
- about last time? 13
- A. Yes. 14
- Q. And, in other words, 10 percent of all of the 15
- income that NXIVM receives from courses goes to --16
- is a royalty payable to a foundation on your behalf? 17
- A. I'm not sure if that's quite how it's framed; 18
- but I believe that's so, yes. 19
- Q. Do you know why the RIM patent was assigned 20
- to First Principles rather than to ESP or NXIVM? 21
- A. The RIM? 22
- Q. Rational Inquiry method patent. 23
- A. Yeah, because Executive Success Programs is 24
- an administrator of curriculum. They don't put 25

materials that Executive Success Programs uses 1

Page 441

Page 442

- specifically I think the decision was made to assign 2
- them there. There have been some things like the
- Mission Statement which is assigned to me 'cause I 4
- wrote that. I think depending on whose -- who owns 5
- or uses the material, they may be assigned. I think
- there are some materials that are copyright First 7
- Principles, although I'm not sure.
 - Q. And you're under the impression that the
- Mission Statement is copyrighted in your name? 10
- 11 A. I believe so now.
- Q. Has the patent that was held by First 12
- Principles, has that ever been reassigned to NXIVM?
- A. A patent -- which patent that was held by 14
- First Principles? 15
- Q. The Rational Inquiry method patent. 16
- A. I don't think so. 17
- Q. Okay. Do you know who or what entity 18
- currently owns the Rational Inquiry method patent? 19
 - A. No.

20

21

24

1

3

4

- MR. KOFMAN: Objection to form.
- Q. Let's turn to the Exhibit that was previously 22 23
 - marked as Raniere-11.

And for the record, this is an Affidavit of

Keith Raniere dated August 18, 2003.

Page 440

- together the curriculum. First Principles handles 1
- from what I understand the patents and the 2
- information. Ultimately the curriculum that is put 3
- together is what ESP delivers, so ESP wouldn't have 4
- that patent. 5
- Q. What about NXIVM? Why wouldn't the patent be 6
- assigned to NXIVM? 7
- A. I think they're the same companies, ESP and 8
- NXIVM. I'm sorry if I'm -- when I say "ESP," I mean 9
- NXIVM at this point. 10
- Q. Do you use those terms interchangeably? 11
- A. I have. 12
- Q. Do you think of them as the same? 13
- A. No. not exactly. I think of NXIVM as a 14
- broader thing. I think of ESP specifically as the 15
- Intensive curriculums. Sometimes I think of some --16
- there are some other curriculums that might be 17
- offered through ESP that are not the traditional 18
- Intensives. 19
- Q. Now, you told us I think that you're under 20
- the impression that the patents and trademarks have 21
- been assigned to First Principles. 22
- What about the copyrights? 23
- A. I think the copyrights, some of them are 24
- assigned to Executive Success Programs because 25

- MR. CAMPION: What was the date?
- MR. SKOLNIK: August 18, 2003. 2
 - MR. CAMPION: I have August 22 on Page
 - 15. I think it makes no difference, frankly.
- THE WITNESS: I have August 22 on this 5
- version as the sworn date. I don't know what --6
- MR. CAMPION: It doesn't matter. The 7
- witness has the Exhibit. 8
- BY MR. SKOLNIK: 9
- Q. All right. August of 2003. And, 10
- Mr. Raniere, if you'd turn to Page 15 and just 11
- confirm that that's your signature. 12
- A. Yes. 13
- Q. Okay. 14
- A. That is my signature --15
- Q. All right. 16
 - A. -- I believe.
- Q. In Paragraph 1 you say, "I am the founder of 18
- NXIVM Corporation formerly known as Executive 19
- Success Programs, Inc. ('NXIVM')." 20
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Are you also the founder of Executive Success 22
- 23 Programs?
- A. Yes. I believe so, yeah. 24
- Q. And does Executive Success Programs still 25

17

- exist? 1
- A. It exists at least as a DBA. I don't know if 2
- it exists as a separate corporation. 3
- Q. Do you know whether the corporation has been 4
- dissolved? 5
- A. No, I don't know. 6
- Q. Are you the founder of First Principles? 7
- A. You know, I'm not sure. I never thought of 8
- it. Being a founder is not so much of a legal title 9
- in my estimation. 10
- Q. So you don't know whether you're the founder 11
- of First Principles? 12
- A. Yeah, I don't think it was my idea that 13
- First Principles be formed. 14
- Q. Does -- does First Principles utilize the 15
- Rational Inquiry method? 16
- A. I'm not really sure because I'm not sure of 17
- everything that First Principles does. 18
- Q. But as far as you know, First Principles 19
- still exists? 20
- A. Yes. 21
- Q. Were you actively involved in Executive 22
- Success Programs when it became NXIVM? 23
- A. Can you tell me what you mean by "actively 24
- involved"? 25

2

- A. I assume I'm a philosophical, yes. I said
- I'm the founder of NXIVM Corporation, formerly 2

Page 445

Page 446

- Executive -- I'm the philosophical founder of that.
- Q. Is anyone else a philosophical founder of 4
- NXIVM? 5
- A. I don't believe so, no. 6
- Q. If you turn to Paragraph 34 of your 7
- Affidavit, you say, "In 1998 I met Nancy Salzman.
- She was an international authority on human
- potential. She had trained over 25,000 people in 10
- communication methods and she had studied with many 11
- of the top people in the world in this field. I 12
- determined she was ideal person to learn, duplicate 13 and apply my model." 14

is Rational Inquiry method the model that you

were referring to? 16

15

- A. Part of it. At that point in time, I had 17
- developed certain techniques and certain models of 18
- human behavior, which I had not found anyone that I 19
- felt I would want to or could co-experiment with me 20
- on how to develop that model, and I felt that she 21
- was the person. 22
- Q. Was the Rational Inquiry model fully formed 23
- at that point? 24
- A. No. 25

- Q. Well, let me ask a different question. 1
 - At the point when Executive Success Programs
- became NXIVM, what was your role with either or both 3
- of those entities? 4
- A. I created curriculum. From time to time, I 5
- gave forums. I answered philosophical and ethical 6
- questions. Sometimes I gave opinion on any sort of 7
- problems that came up. 8
- Q. So is it fair to say that you were not out 9
- of the picture of ESP and NXIVM at that point? 10
- A. I would say that's correct. 11
- Q. In what way are you the founder of NXIVM 12
- Corporation? 13
- A. The idea for such a curriculum, the idea of 14
- having a school or I should say a -- not really a 15
- school; it's a program -- and even sometimes the 16
- ideas of having different types of programs come 17
- 18 from me.
- Q. Do you consider anyone else to be a founder 19
- of NXIVM? 20
- A. Nancy Salzman could be considered possibly a 21
- founder, depending on if you're talking about a 22
- philosophical founder or a business founder. 23
- Q. Well, what kind of founder are you talking
- about in Paragraph 1? 25

- Q. You continued to develop it after you met 1
- Nancy Salzman? 2
- A. Yes. 3
- Q. When you say that you determined that she 4
- was the ideal person to learn, duplicate and apply 5
- my model, was this the start of ESP and NXIVM? 6
- A. No. We did work before, before we created 7
- ESP/NXIVM. 8
- Q. In other words, you met her, you did work; 9
- and it was some time later that you formed ESP and 10
- NXIVM? 11
- A. Yes. 12
- Q. Did Nancy Salzman sign a confidentiality 13
- agreement before beginning to learn, duplicate and 14
- 15 apply your model?
- A. She signed a confidentiality agreement 16
- relating to another company at that time. She also 17
- made confidentiality assertions which I think at the 18
- time were videoed, so, yes. She affirmed a 19
- confidentiality, and she did sign a confidentiality 20 agreement at the time. 21
- Q. You say she signed a confidentiality 22
- agreement with another company. 23 What company was that? 24
- A. National Health Network. 25

- Q. And what is the relationship of National
- 2 Health Network to NXIVM or ESP?
- 3 A. Well, National Health Network became a buying
- 4 organization within NXIVM/ESP, but National Health
- 5 Network was a health company, and people who entered
- 6 into that company signed confidentiality agreements
- 7 with respect to information that they came in
- 8 contact with.
- 9 Q. Would that confidentiality agreement have
- 10 encompassed all of the methods and training in
- 11 NXIVM?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. What specifically did you do to mentor
- 14 Nancy Salzman?
- 15 A. We would have meetings. We would discuss
- 16 different issues that came up. She was doing work
- in the human performance field. I would help her
- 18 solve problems. I would teach her techniques which
- 19 she would practice and then come back and we would
- 20 talk about them.
- Q. The model that you refer to in Paragraph 34,
- 22 was that model written down?
- 23 A. There were parts. There's one part of the
- 24 model in particular that was written down. The rest
- 25 was not.

1 A. I'm not sure. I believe she would have had

Page 449

Page 450

- 2 to, but I don't know.
- 3 Q. Why do you say she would have had to?
- 4 A. Because I think when you create a corporation
- 5 it costs money.
- 6 Q. Do you know if anyone else put up any money
- 7 for the formation of ESP?
- 8 A. No, I do not know.
- 9 Q. You don't know?
- 10 A. I don't know.
- 11 Q. Was anyone else involved in the opening or
- 12 the creation of ESP other than you and Nancy
- 13 Salzman?
- 14 A. Yes. We had a group of people that we
- 15 brought through some initial curriculum and, yeah,
- 16 there was a small group of people.
- 17 Q. This was before ESP was formed as a
- 18 corporation?
- 19 A. I believe it was after, but I'm not positive.
- 20 Q. Well, I'm asking whether or not anybody else
- 21 was involved with the actual formation of the
- 22 corporation other than you and Nancy.
- 23 A. Oh, not that I know of.
- 24 Q. And Nancy Salzman is the President and sole
- 25 shareholder of NXIVM?

- Q. Did you provide Nancy Salzman with any
- 2 written materials or books?
- 3 A. Yes. I provided her that one piece of
- 4 written material.
- 5 Q. Is that all you provided her?
- 6 A. As far as written materials, yes.
- 7 Q. Did you and Nancy Salzman co-own ESP?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Who owned ESP?
- 10 A. Nancy Salzman owns ESP.
- 11 Q. And did she always own ESP?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Did you put up any money?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Was Rational Inquiry your contribution to ESP
- 16 rather than cash?
- 17 A. I -- I don't know how contributions to ESP
- 18 worked, but I certainly did contribute the
- 19 technology of Rational Inquiry to be utilized within
- 20 the curriculum of ESP.
- 21 Q. Were you ever a director or an officer of
- 22 ESP?
- 23 A. Not that I know of, no.
- Q. Did Nancy Salzman put up money for the
- 25 foundation of ESP?

- 1 A. Yes, to my knowledge.
- 2 Q. And she was the sole shareholder of ESP?
- 3 A. Yes, to my knowledge.
- 4 Q. Now, you told us last time that you have
- 5 never been employed by NXIVM or First Principles;
- 6 is that right?
- 7 A. I believe so.
- 8 Q. Well, wouldn't you know whether you were
- 9 ever an employee of either of those entities?
- 10 A. I don't know what the legal definition of
- 11 "employee." I have never earned any money in the
- 12 form of a salary or I have not had any job
- 13 description that I knew of per se.
- 14 Q. What about ESP? Were you ever an employee of
- 15 ESP?
- 16 A. Same thing. No, not to the best of my
- 17 knowledge.
- 18 Q. Have you ever had a financial or other
- 19 ownership interest in NXIVM?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. What about in ESP?
- 22 A. No. The only direct interest is the royalty
- 23 that's supposed to go to a foundation, so I don't --
- 24 I don't know how you'd consider that, but I don't
- 5 consider that having an interest in the corporation.

- Q. And that royalty comes from First Principles? 1
- A. I believe it does. 2
- Q. As far as you know, has that royalty been 3
- 4 paid?
- A. I don't think it's been paid. I don't think 5
- there's been any profits. 6
- Q. Oh, the 10 percent royalty is only paid when 7
- there are profits? 8
- A. Originally the 10 percent royalty was 9
- supposed to be paid after ESP reached a certain 10
- success and was supposed to be paid out of profits. 11
- The 10 percent royalty is not supposed to ever 12
- endanger the business' functioning, so I don't think 13
- there's been any profit so I don't know how that's 14
- 15 handled.
- Q. So when you say you don't think there's ever 16
- been any profits, you're saying that NXIVM has never **17**
- been a profitable corporation? 18
- A. To my knowledge, I don't think it has. 19
- Q. So other than the 10 percent share of 20
- profits, you have no current ownership interest in 21
- NXIVM or ESP or First Principles; is that right? 22
- A. It's not a 10 percent share of profits. It's 23
- a 10 percent royalty to be paid from profits; but to 24
- answer your question, no, I do not.

- A. Yes.
- Q. Who actually -- who actually wrote the 2
- modules? 3
- A. I'm not sure. 4
- Q. You don't know who any of the authors of the 5
- modules are? 6
- 7 A. I know who some of them might have been, and
- I believe it's changed over time. 8
- Q. Who are some of the people who you believe
- 10 might have been the authors of the modules?
- A. Nancy Salzman, Lauren Salzman, Ivy Nevares.
- I think there were a few other people, but I'm not 12
- sure. It's whoever can write. 13
- Q. When a module is written, does it come to you 14
- 15 for review?

17

- A. Most of the time, no. 16
 - Q. And has that been true from the beginning?
- A. In the beginning, I did review more of the 18
- modules. I don't believe I reviewed every one, but
- I think of the basic 20 modules ultimately I had 20
- 21 reviewed probably all of them.
- Q. Okay. Did you assist or participate in the 22
- writing process of any of the modules? 23
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Which ones? 25

Page 452

Page 454

Page 453

- Q. Do you own any interest in any
- NXIVM-affiliated company? 2
- A. Not that I know of, no. 3
- Q. Have you personally ever derived income of 4
- any sort from NXIVM? 5
- A. No, not that I know of. 6
- Q. Well, again, you say not that you know of. 7
- Wouldn't you know if you had derived income? 8
- A. Depending on how you define "income." I 9
- have gone over to Nancy's house on some mornings, 10
- and she makes me omelettes and things like that. 11
- 12 No. I would answer your question squarely with
- respect to money, things like that, no. 13
- Q. And is that also true of ESP and First 14
- 15 Principles?
- A. Yes. 16
- Q. Who do you believe holds the copyright on the 17
- Rational Inquiry modules? 18
- A. I'm not sure. I think ESP holds the 19
- copyrights on the modules directly, but I'm not 20
- positive of that. I'd have to look -- look at the 21
- bottom of the modules. 22
- Q. Now, is it accurate that you created the 23
- concepts and the order of the concepts in the 24
- modules, but you did not actually write them? 25

- A. I don't know. It was very long ago. I think
- I helped edit the Tribute module. I might have 2
- helped with writing one or two of the intros to show 3
- how to give a -- sort of an introduction or a flavor 4
- to the modules. 5
- Q. Now, you say that it was long ago. Would 6
- your involvement in the writing process of modules
- be restricted to the core 20 modules that you
- 9 referred to?
- 10 A. Mostly. There may have been some outside of
- that, but that was where I was primarily involved in 11
- the writing. 12
- Q. Did you ever have any written agreement with 13
- the authors of the modules? 14
- A. Other than the confidentiality agreements, I 15
- don't think so. I didn't. 16
- Q. You didn't? 17
- A. I did not have an agreement with them other 18
- than the confidentiality agreements. 19
- Q. What about an oral agreement with them? 20
- A. I think there are a lot of oral agreements. 21
- Whenever someone writes a module, those things are 22
- to be kept in strict confidence. And, as I said, 23 there would only be very few people who would write
- the modules. As I said, I know of Nancy Salzman,

- Lauren Salzman and ivy. Nancy may know of a fewother people, but I don't think there have been that
- з many.
- 4 Q. Do you know how -- well, I think you told us
- 5 that whoever it is that owns the copyrights on the
- 6 modules, it was not the actual authors of the
- 7 modules. Is that your understanding?
- 8 A. That is my understanding.
- 9 Q. So how did the current owner of the
- 10 copyrights become the owner of the copyrights if
- 11 they were not the authors?
- 12 A. I think the authors were assigned by Nancy
- 13 Salzman who is owner of, to my knowledge, both First
- 14 Principles and Executive Success Programs, and I
- 15 don't think the auth -- the modules weren't
- 16 necessarily authored by any one person. I don't
- 17 know the details of how or why choices are made to
- 18 copyright in different situations necessarily.
- Q. So as far as you know, whoever it is that
- 20 actually wrote the modules did that as a work for
- 21 hire for Nancy?
- 22 A. I don't know the term, but I suppose. It's
- 23 as directed through Nancy.
- Q. And do you know for what entity they were
- 25 creating the modules?

1 A. No.

2 Q. Do either Sara or Claire Bronfman cover any

Page 457

- з of your living expenses?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Does the Rational Inquiry method address
- 6 lying?
- 7 A. Yes, to some degree.
- 8 Q. What does the Rational Inquiry method teach
- 9 about lying?
- 10 A. Well, I don't know if it teaches anything
- 11 specific. The Rational Inquiry method is a method
- 12 of analyzing human behavior and decision making. So
- 13 if a decision does not match with a certain aspect
- 14 of reality, I guess you would consider that a lie,
- 15 so it addresses lying.
- 16 Q. Pursuant to the Rational Inquiry method, are
- 17 there any circumstances when lying is acceptable?
- 18 A. The method would not address that but the
- 19 curriculum would; and within the curriculum, I
- 20 believe there is one example we use where lying is
- 21 acceptable.
- Q. And what is that? What is the circumstance
- 23 when lying is acceptable?
- 24 A. I believe if you -- in the extreme, if you
 - are in Nazi Germany and you have your family

Page 456

25

- 1 A. In general, the modules were created to be
- 2 used by ESP. That's the best I know.
- 3 Q. So as far as you know, the authors assigned
- 4 their copyrights to ESP?
- 5 A. I don't know if they had copyrights to
- 6 assign. If they did, I suspect they would have.
- 7 Q. Okay. Now, do you know whether or not ESP
- 8 assigned the copyrights to NXIVM?
- 9 A. No, I don't know.
- 10 Q. Do you receive any money personally from
- 11 NXIVM followers?
- 12 A. No, not that I know of. No.
- 13 Q. Do you receive any money from Sara Bronfman?
- 14 A. No, not that I know of.
- 15 Q. Well, again, you say not that you know of.
- 16 Wouldn't you know if you receive money?
- 17 A. I'm being general. I -- no, I do not receive
- any monies, dollars. My concern is, for example, if
- 19 Sara Bronfman pays for part of Vanguard Week and
- 20 part of Vanguard Week is a celebration of my
- 21 birthday, is that considered my receiving monies
- 22 from Sara Bronfman?
- 23 If you're asking in a traditional sense do l 24 receive from Sara Bronfman, no, nor have I.
 - Q. What about from Claire Bronfman?

- Page 458
- upstairs and a Nazi soldier comes to the door andyou believe because you've seen the Nazi soldiers
- 3 enter other houses and kill the families that if you
- 4 are asked, "Is your family here?" and you say "no"
- 5 as a lie, that is a strategic lie that is valuable.
- 6 Q. So the training incorporates the notion of
- 7 strategic lies. What about white lies?
- 8 A. I don't know what you mean by "white lies."
- 9 Q. Let me ask a different question. Under
- 10 NXIVM's teaching, is lying ever acceptable to
- 11 protect the executive enterprise?
- 12 A. The executive -- you mean ESP?
- 13 Q. Well, yes.
- 14 A. I don't think that would be addressed. We
- 15 address ethics. Lying -- things like lying, things
- 16 like how you make a decision are tools that people
- use, so the use of those -- the tools themselves are
- one thing, but the use of the tools is what ethics
- 19 would address.
- 20 Q. Under NXIVM's ethical constructs, is it ever
- 21 acceptable to use the tools to conclude that lying
- 22 is appropriate?
- 23 A. I would imagine lying could be appropriate in
- 24 certain circumstances.
- Q. Give me some examples.

- A. Well, as I said, Nazi Germany; someone comes
- to your house. I think in a circumstance where 2
- someone is -- has bad intent, is looking to destroy
- especially other human life or human values, if you 4
- are put in a circumstance that is what you would say 5
- an immoral circumstance, then strategic lies are 6
- 7 sometimes utilized or good. I can't think of
- examples off the top of my head. 8
- Q. Well, let me ask you this. I think you've 9
- told us that you think that Rick Ross has malintent, 10
- haven't you? 11
- A. I said I think Rick Ross may have bad intent. 12
- Q. Okay. In your view then, would it be 13
- acceptable for you or Nancy or Kristin Keeffe to lie 14
- under oath because of what you view as Rick Ross' 15
- intention to harm NXIVM? 16
- A. I doubt it. I would have to see the 17
- circumstance. I have not fied under oath. I don't 18
- know what their ethics or what their circumstances 19
- are, but the reason why we're going through legal 20
- proceedings is because of the ethics of the law 21
- so... 22
- Q. In your view, is lying under oath ever 23
- acceptable? 24
- A. I suspect I could derive a situation under 25

- Q. Okay. In our prior deposition sessions we
- were working with your definition of a trade secret, 2

Page 461

Page 462

- and I want to be sure that we're talking about the
- 4 same thing today.
- 5 I believe you told us that a trade secret is something we believe is unique that we keep as a 6
- secret and if it were not kept secret would be a 7
- 8 disadvantage to us.
 - Do I have that right?
 - A. I think that's an overview of it, but I --
- again, I don't believe I necessarily know what a 11
- trade secret is so that's a -- what I would say was 12
- 13 my on-the-moment lay definition.
- 14 Q. And will it serve as your definition for
- today? 15

9

10

17

- 16 A. For now, yeah.
 - Q. To the best of your knowledge, does NXIVM
- consider all of its modules and materials
- proprietary and confidential? 19
- A. Yes. 20
- Q. Do you? 21
- A. Yes, except those that for some reason NXIVM 22
- would decide to release in a more public setting 23
- 24
- Q. Do you have a contract or confidentiality 25

Page 460

- agreement with NXIVM?
- A. I don't believe I have anything other than
- the other -- the agreements that I have signed. I'm
- not sure if any of the agreements incorporate my
- confidentiality, although I take that as part of the 5
- agreement.
- Q. What agreements have you signed? 7
- A. Well, there's student agreements. There are 8
- things that I've signed at Arlen's office, things 9
- relating to either patents or I don't know if I've 10
- specifically signed one with respect to assignment 11
- of royalties and things like that but all of 12
- whatever those agreements are. 13
- Q. But as far as you know, you've never signed 14
- anything that was specifically a confidentiality 15
- 16 agreement?
- A. I don't know if I've signed specifically a 17 confidentiality agreement.
- 18
- Q. You are in a small circle of people who know 19
- all of NXIVM's trade secrets, isn't that right? 20
- A. I am not sure if I know all of NXIVM's trade 21
- secrets because I'm not sure I know what trade 22
- secrets are. I know a set of important trade 23
- secrets relating to Rational Inquiry. 24
- Q. And is it a small group of people who know 25

- which -- under which lying under oath would be 1 acceptable. 2
- Q. Now, you have a personal code of ethics? 3
- A. Yes. 4
- Q. What does your personal code of ethics say 5
- about lying? 6
- A. Well, in every decision I try to be mindful 7
- and weigh out what the best thing to do is. Q. And sometimes the best thing to do is to lie? 9
- A. I would say that's a very rare circumstance. 10
- I don't think of myself as lying very much. 11
- Q. Did you read the deposition transcript of 12
- Kristin Keeffe? 13
- A. No. 14
- 15 Q. You never read it?
- A. I don't believe so, no. 16
- Q. Did she talk to you about the deposition? 17
- A. I don't recall right off specifically. She 18
- mentioned she was deposed. I don't believe she 19
- talked to me about any of the content. 20
- Q. Did she say anything to you about whether 21
- she had told the truth during her deposition? 22
- A. I don't think that was mentioned. 23 Q. It wasn't mentioned?
- 25 A. No.

that group of trade secrets? 1

- A. Most of them are either known by myself, 2
- myself and Nancy only. There may be one or two 3
- other people that know some of the trade secrets. 4
- Q. Who are those people? 5
- A. Karen Unterreiner is one of them; 6
- Lauren Salzman is another. 7
- Q. So is the group that knows --8
- A. I'm sorry. Kristin Keeffe may know some, 9
- also. 10
- Q. Okay. So the group that knows most of 11
- NXIVM's trade secrets is you, Nancy Salzman, Kristin 12
- Keeffe, Lauren Salzman, and Karen Unterreiner? 13
- A. I would say that's the group that knows the 14
- most of the trade secrets. The -- for example, 15
- people who are trainers and people who are coaches 16
- and people who are facilitators all know some trade 17
- secrets; but when you talk about who has the most 18
- robust knowledge of the trade secrets, it would be 19
- those people. 20
- Q. Okay. Now, you conducted what you have 21
- identified as in-depth scientific research during 22
- your development of the Rational Inquiry method. 23
- Has any of your research been published in any 24
- peer-reviewed journals? 25

my head, yes. 1

Q. What materials were not stored in your head? 2

Page 465

Page 466

- A. Computer programs that I had written, data 3
- analysis that I had written relating to the gamma pi 4
- project that I worked on. There were some things
- when I taught computers and things like that that 6
- were written. 7
- Q. Now, I assume that you consider the Rational 8
- Inquiry method a trade secret. 9
- A. I think it's a big thing, so yes, although I 10
- -- I suspect, yes, I would consider it a trade 11
- secret. 12
- Q. And you consider it proprietary? 13
- A. Yes. 14
- Q. Who has access to the Rational Inquiry 15
- method? 16
- A. The full method? 17
- O. Yeah. 18
- A. Nancy Salzman. She's the main person. 19
- Q. Is the Rational Inquiry method the basis or 20
- foundation for all of NXIVM's trade secrets and 21
- modules and materials? 22
- 23 A. I don't know.
- Q. What is your understanding of the degree to 24
 - which the Rational Inquiry method is the basis for

Page 464

- A. I don't think any of the direct research
- relating to Rational Inquiry has been published in 2
- any peer-reviewed journals. Some of the research I 3
- have done in my career may have been published. I 4
- do not know. 5

1

- Q. You don't know whether it's been published? 6
- A. Correct. 7
- Q. During the course of your development of the 8
- Rational Inquiry method, did you write up your 9
- research? 10
- A. I have not written up my research relating to 11
- the Rational Inquiry method yet. 12
- Q. You haven't written it up --13
- A. Written yet. 14
- 15 Q. Yet?
- A. Relating to the Rational Inquiry method 16
- 17 itself.

- Q. So all of your research related to the 18
- Rational Inquiry method is stored in your head? 19
- A. No. The research that I did before I 20
- discovered the Rational Inquiry method, which aided 21
- in my understanding human behavior which aided in my 22
- understanding computers and things like that, was
- not all stored in my head. The things that are 24
- related to Rational Inquiry are primarily stored in 25

- NXIVM's modules? 1
- A. I think relating to the human behavior model, 2
- Rational Inquiry is the basis of that. Some of the 3
- things within the corporation which may be trade 4
- secrets I don't know, either business methods or 5
- other mechanizations that are unique probably are 6
- trade secrets; but I don't know if they have 7
- anything to do directly with the Rational Inquiry 8
- method. 9
- Q. Well, putting aside business techniques and 10
- focusing only on the training, the NXIVM training, 11
- is the Rational Inquiry method the basis of all of 12
- that training? 13
- A. I believe so. 14
- Q. Did you give Nancy Salzman any documents 15
- reflecting or memorializing the Rational Inquiry 16
- method to assist her in developing the modules or 17
- in working with others to develop the modules? 18
- A. No, not that I know of at all. 19
- Q. So was all of the information conveyed 20
- 21
- A. Yes. There have been a few charts that I've 22
- written that are used in some of the advanced 23
- courses. There may have been a few other things, 24
- but in general it was conveyed orally. 25

- Q. So when you were working with -- with Nancy
- or with other authors in anticipation of the 2
- creation of a module, you would simply talk to them; 3
- and then they would go off and write the module? 4
- A. Yes. I think that would be a general way of 5 putting it, yes.
- Q. Did Nancy take any notes in your presence? 7
- A. Yes. 8
- Q. What about the other authors that you met 9
- 10 with?
- A. There are not many people who have -- well, 11
- with respect to Rational Inquiry within NXIVM, the 12
- 13 only other people that have aided in any way in
- authoring a module are Karen Unterreiner and 14
- Lauren Salzman. 15
- 16 Q. And did they take notes?
- A. Yes, I believe so. 17
- Q. Okay. 18
- MR. SKOLNIK: Let the record reflect a 19 request for any notes taken by Nancy Salzman, Karen 20
- Unterreiner or Lauren Salzman. 21
- (Request.) 22
- MR. McGUIRE: Is that consistent with 23
- your position that the discovery period is over and 24
- you're not entitled to that?

- Q. Okay.
- A. I want to say that it is one of the first 2
- modules taken in certain programs within the NXIVM

Page 469

Page 470

- 5 Q. There are some programs where Rules and
- Rituals is not one of the first modules? 6
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Okay. Is it one of the first modules in the
- Intensive? 9
- A. Yes. 10
- Q. I'm going to give you a list of concepts 11
- contained in certain NXIVM modules and ask you to 12
- tell me if that concept is a trade secret or if 13
- NXIVM considers it proprietary or confidential. 14
- These concepts come from the Rules and 15
- Rituals module. Sashes and scarves. 16
- A. I can't speak for what NXIVM thinks is 17
- confidential. I think that the sashes and scarves 18
- have certain confidential aspects to them. 19
- Q. Is there something in the nature of a trade 20
- secret about secrets (sic) and scarves? 21
- 22 A. About sashes and scarves? I think if people
- were to disclose all the things relating to sashes 23
- and scarves and how they are used, there may be 24
 - trade secrets disclosed; and certainly it would be

Page 468

- undesirable for us because that is confidential
- 2 information, private property.
 - Q. What about promotion? Is that also 3
 - confidential information, private property? 4
 - 5 A. Yes.
 - Q. Pictures of founders in the class? 6
 - A. Yes. Those don't exist, though. 7
 - 8 Q. Removal of shoes.
 - A. Depending -- the actual removal of shoes is
 - not a trade secret, per se, but it is how it is used 10
 - and why. There are trade secrets that are I believe 11
 - confidential information involved with that. 12
 - Q. Are there trade secret aspects to bowing? 13

 - A. I would imagine that is so, also, yes. 14
 - Q. What about standing when high rank enters? 15
 - Is that in the nature of a trade secret? 16
 - A. I -- it in itself is just a window into 17
 - confidential information and trade secrets, but 18
 - standing when a certain person enters a room is a 19
 - custom I believe that's practiced in many contexts. 20
 - Q. But the way in which it's employed within 21
 - 22 NXIVM is in the nature of a trade secret?
 - A. I think it is involved with some of the trade 23 secrets. 24
 - Q. What about the ESP handshake? 25

MR. SKOLNIK: It is because it's already 1 2 been asked for, Bill.

- MR. McGUIRE: Oh, it's already been 3
- asked for? 4
- MR. SKOLNIK: Yeah. 5
- MR. McGUIRE: Okay. 6
- BY MR. SKOLNIK: 7
- Q. Let me ask you to turn to Paragraph 37 of 8
- your Affidavit. 9
- A. Yes. 10
- Q. And in Paragraph 37 you told us, "When 11
- someone signs up for a program, they sign a 12
- confidentiality agreement. The first module they 13
- take is 'Rules and Rituals."' 14
- A. Uh-huh. 15
- Q. "Within this module they learn of the 16
- 12 point Mission Statement." 17
- A. Uh-huh. 18
- Q. Did I read that accurately? 19
- A. Yes. 20
- Q. So am I correct to assume that Rules and 21
- Rituals is a key module? 22
- A. I don't know what you mean by "key module." 23
- It is an important module, and it is one of the
- first modules taken. 25

1 A. The same thing. I would classify it the same 2 way.

- 3 Q. There is something of the nature of a trade
- 4 secret about it?
- 5 A. I think it may be a window to trade secrets;
- 6 and certainly certain things as to how it's used,
- 7 why it's used are confidential and important to us.
- 8 Q. And when you say it's a window to trade
- 9 secrets, in what way is it a window?
- 10 A. Well, if you have -- if you have trade secret
- 11 information and you give various aspects of the
- 12 trade secret information out without giving the
- trade secret, per se, you can transmit the trade
- secret; so inasmuch as something can be used to
- 15 transmit a trade secret when you have the trade
- 16 secret, it becomes a window.
- 17 Q. So is the entire Rules and Rituals module a
- 18 trade secret, and all of these different parts of
- 19 the Rules and Rituals module are windows into the
- 20 overall trade secret?
- 21 A. When you say "the entire Rules and Rituals
- 22 module," there's the written portion of the Rules
- 23 and Rituals module. There's the practice portion of
- 24 the Rules and Rituals module. There's the
- 25 conceptual portion of the Rules and Rituals module.

- 1 Q. Recitation of the Mission Statement. What is
- 2 that in the nature of?
- 3 A. A similar thing.
- 4 Q. How about use of phone trees?
- 5 A. I would say a similar thing, yes.
- 6 Q. The confidentiality of materials?
- 7 A. I would say, again, these are all pieces.
- 8 Q. They're all windows into the trade secret
- 9 that is the entirety of the Rules and Rituals
- 10 module?
- 11 A. Potential windows.
- 12 Q. And the wearing of standard business attire,
- 13 same thing?
- 14 A. Yes. That could be classified the same
- 15 thing.
- 16 Q. Okay. What did you do to come up with these
- 17 Rules and Rituals?
- 18 A. I guess I'm not exactly sure what you're
- 19 asking.
- 20 Q. Well, were you the one who conceived all of
- 21 these Rules and Rituals?
- 22 A. I believe so.
- 23 Q. Okay. What I'm asking is how you conceived
- them. What, if any, research did you do; or did you
 - 5 just sit and think? What was the basis of your

Page 472

- Depending on how much of those things one has, it
- 2 starts to create a window, more of a window into
- 3 possibly smaller or even larger trade secrets.
- 4 Q. So the written portion is only a portion of
- 5 the trade secret?
- 6 A. That is correct.
- 7 Q. So someone couldn't reconstruct or reverse
- 8 engineer the trade secret just from the written
- 9 portion, is that right?
- 10 A. Oh, I don't know that. I -- someone may be
- 11 that clever.
- 12 Q. Well, if they didn't have any experience of
- the way it's taught and what actually goes on in the
- 14 session --
- 15 A. Uh-huh.
- 16 Q. -- but only had the written materials, could
- they reverse engineer the entire process?
- 18 A. I'm not sure. They might be able to.
- 19 Q. What about stripes signifying rank? Is that
- 20 in the nature of a trade secret?
- 21 A. That's similar again to the sashes, although
- 22 different types of stripes and demarcations in
- 23 general culture signify different types of ranks, so
- 24 that in itself is not. The fact that that is part
- 25 of the puzzle may create a window.

Page 474

Page 473

- conception of these Rules and Rituals?
- 2 A. Different Rules and Rituals come from
- 3 different things, and depending on -- in some cases,
- 4 some of what I had studied, in some cases some of
- 5 what I have observed, in some cases, some of what
- 6 I've read, those experiences are embodied in the
- 7 Rules and Rituals, and each of them have a purpose
- 8 and a meaning based on those things.
- 9 **THE VIDEOGRAPHER:** Excuse me. We have 10 to change tapes.
- 11 MR. SKOLNIK: Okay. Well, why don't we
- 12 take a short break.
- 13 (At this point, there was a short
- 14 recess.)
- 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning
- of Tape Number 2. The time is 11:13.
- 18 CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SKOLNIK:
- 19 Q. Mr. Raniere, we were talking about the
- 20 various Rules and Rituals.
- Did you spend any money developing the Rules and Rituals?
- 23 A. I think over my lifetime I've spent money for
- 24 my experience, but did I spend money to develop
- 25 those things directly at the moment I developed

- 1 them. no.
- Q. Okay. Do these Rules and Rituals give NXIVM 2
- 3 an advantage over its competitors?
- A. I believe they do. 4
- 5 Q. How?
- 6 A. I believe that the Rules and Rituals act to
- some degree as a protection and a swording as does 7
- the patent and the copyright and things like that so 8
- that people who are likely to want to take the ESP 9
- curriculum will and people who are not likely to 10
- 11 either want to take the curriculum will not.
- Q. Well, you've described why you think that 12
- they are important; but my question is in what way 13
- they give NXIVM an advantage over its competitors. 14
- 15 A. If I'm a corporation and I have a way of
- selecting clients that is superior to the way my 16
- competitors select clients, I have a competitive 17
- advantage. 18
- Q. Do the Rules and Rituals inform how NXIVM 19
- 20 selects clients?
- A. The Rules and Rituals aid in NXIVM selecting 21
- 22 clients.
- 23 Q. Aren't clients only exposed to the Rules and
- Rituals once they have selected NXIVM? 24
- A. To some degree, but there are clients who 25

- will not; than is a type of selection device I 1
- suspect the Elks Club uses to have people who are 2

Page 477

Page 478

- 3 Elks or not.
- Q. But the Elks Club didn't get the Rules and Δ
- Rituals from NXIVM, did it? 5
- 6 A. In that case, I don't know. That concept,
- though, is applied in a way but not from us. 7
- Q. And you consider the Elks Club a competitor 8
- 9 of NXIVM's?
- 10 A. If you're looking at the Elks Club as an
- 11 organization to which people may develop their time
- or believe it increases their joy, betterment --12
- their betterment in life, their feeling of that, 13
- 14 then it is because NXIVM looks to help people
- 15 increase their joy and increase their ability to
- make ethical decisions. I think the Elks Club does 16
- 17 the same thing.
- Q. So any organization that helps people 18
- increase their joy or make ethical decisions is a 19
- 20 competitor of NXIVM's?
- A. I think could be considered a competitor of 21
 - NXIVM's for people's time certainly and maybe even
- 23 also commerce.
- 24 Q. Do you know whether or not any direct
- 25 competitors in the sense of teaching executive

Page 476

22

- leave at Rules and Rituals; and there are clients 1 who hear about certain aspects of the Rules and 2
- 3 Rituals that we have released that may never come
- because of those. 4
- Q. Do any of NXIVM's competitors use these Rules 5
- 6 and Rituals?
- A. I don't know. I suspect NXIVM's competitors 7
- use Rules and Rituals of their own. 8
- 9 Q. But not NXIVM's Rules and Rituals?
- A. Well, I'm not sure. 10
- Q. You have no knowledge of any competitor using 11
- NXIVM's Rules and Rituals? 12
- A. Um, I have knowledge I think of competitors 13
- 14 or what you might call competitors using similar
- devices to NXIVM's that may serve them. 15
- Q. Give me some examples. 16
- 17 A. Take, for example, if you -- depending on how
- you view it, the Elks Club. If that is considered 18
- 19 in some ways a competitor for people's time with
- NXIVM, they have a title from what I've heard. The 20
- head of their areas -- and it might be the lodge; 21
- I'm not sure -- is called the Grand Exalted Ruler. 22
- That serves a purpose within that organization which 23
- I'm not privy to, but there are certainly people who 24
- will thrive with something like that and people who

- training use these Rules and Rituals? 1
- A. Um, I think there are organizations like 2
- 3 maybe in General Electric that use some sort of a
- ranking system. I think they have like black belts, 4
- green belts or some such thing like that. I know 5
- 6 that there have been martial arts organizations that
- have translated over to do corporate training and 7
- executive performance training. They certainly use 8
- 9 titles and ranks to some degree. Now, I don't know
- 10 exactly how they use them or why they use them.
- That would be their trade secrets. So, yes, I mean, 11
- I imagine a number of them. 12
- 13 Q. Is it your understanding that they developed
- 14 these trade secrets independently and not from
- 15 NXIVM?

- A. I wouldn't know. I mean, the older ones 16
- 17 developed them independently of NXIVM I'm sure.
- Q. You told us last time when we were discussing 18
- Paragraph 38 of Raniere-11 that the 12 point Mission 19
- 20 Statement is the foundational principle and
- principles from which everything else is ultimately 21
- 22 derived and that in some way, shape or form all ESP,
- 23 NXIVM flows from the 12 point Mission Statement. 24
 - A. I don't know if that's precisely what I said.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 479

A. I think the module Tribute -- are you talking

about the written form of the module? 2

Q. The written form of the module. 3

A. As I said with the written form of the module 4

of Rules and Rituals, I think that is an important 5

thing. I think that it acts -- can act as a window 6

into the trade secrets. You know, I think it is a 7

very important aspect -- asset to ESP. 8

Q. When you say they could act as a window, 9

are you saying that you don't think that Rules and 10

Rituals -- the written module Rules and Rituals is 11

itself a trade secret? 12

> A. I don't know if I could evaluate that, and I quess I would -- if we decided to go the copy route -- copyrighting it, inasmuch as it's disclosed for copyrighting purposes, I guess the written portion of it is not, but it becomes -- see, if someone takes material that they should not have, they waive a certain right. They actually have a certain responsibility.

I might say if I've stolen the trade secret of say Coca-Cola, the formula, are any of the letters trade secrets, no, but because I know the trade secret the way I orchestrate them in my transmission of information could give away the

Q. Well, let me ask you if you think that that's 2 3 a true statement?

A. Could you repeat it?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

Q. The 12 point Mission Statement is the foundational principle and principles from which everything else is ultimately derived.

MR. McGUIRE: What are you reading from, Mr. Skolnik, what paragraph?

Do you have the deposition transcript?

MR. SKOLNIK: I'm not reading from any paragraph, Bill.

MR. McGUIRE: Are you reading from a transcript?

MR. SKOLNIK: I'm --

MR. McGUIRE: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought you were quoting something. I beg your pardon.

MR. SKOLNIK: I'm quoting Mr. Raniere's testimony at his last deposition.

MR, McGUIRE: Okay.

A. And I can't see that?

Q. That the 12 point Mission Statement is the foundational principle and principles from which everything else is ultimately derived; and in some way, shape or form all of ESP, NXIVM flows from the 12 point Mission Statement.

Page 480

A. Okay. What I would say is that the 12 point

Mission Statement embodies some bas -- some of the 2

very basic principles, the starting principles of 3

all of ESP, NXIVM. I even think there have been 4

other principles certainly developed after that, but 5

the very start of ESP, NXIVM -- now NXIVM was a 6

certain type of discovery and understanding. That 7

discovery and understanding was embodied in part in 8

the 12 point Mission Statement, yes, so I think that 9

is a foundational document. 10

Q. Okay. So Rules and Rituals and the Mission 11

Statement are important and valuable trade secrets 12

for NXIVM, is that right? 13

A. Well, I don't know about the 12 point Mission 14

Statement being a trade secret. The 12 point 15

Mission Statement is a copyrighted work of mine. 16

Rules and Rituals is a different thing. Rules and 17

Rituals is a whole module. There's the actual 18

statement, the copyrighted module, and then there's 19

the practices of it, and then there's the concepts 20

behind it. I think when you talk about Rules and 21

Rituals, it's a much more robust thing. 22

Q. What about the module called Tribute? Is 23

that an important and valuable trade secret of 24

25 NXIVM's? Page 482

Page 481

- trade secrets. So the letter of Rules and Rituals
- inasmuch as it is copywritten is not in itself a 2
- trade secret but wielded by someone who has the 3
- trade secrets can become a window to see those trade 4

- Q. Wielded by someone who has the trade secret. 6
- How has that person come into possession of the 7
- trade secret? 8
- A. Well, in the particular case here, I believe 9
- that the trade secrets, the confidential manuals, 10
- things that are our property were taken and utilized 11
- improperly. And when you take someone else's 12
- property, you are given abilities to disclose things 13 about that property that you wouldn't have if you 14
- 15 didn't have the property.
- Q. Coming back to the Tribute module. Is the --16
- is the written portion of the Tribute module a trade 17
- 18 secret, a NXIVM trade secret?
- A. Well, inasmuch as the written portion of the 19
- module has been published through the copyright 20
- process so that it is generally available to people, 21
- those actual words in themselves are not a trade 22
- 23 secret but can be used by someone who has the trade
- secrets to reveal the trade secrets. 24
- Q. Is the Tribute module also foundational? 25

- A. I think it is a very important module. 1
- Q. What about Face of the Universe? Is Face of 2
- the Universe foundational? 3
- 4 A. That's even more confidential and
- 5 foundational.
- Q. And, once again, the written portion of Face 6
- of the Universe is a trade secret or is not? 7
- 8 A. Well, as with any of the modules but
- especially with Face of the Universe there are
- several versions. There's the module that's in the 10
- Intensive. There's the student notes. With Face of 11
- the Universe, there's also facilitator notes. There 12
- 13 are also coach notes. Those are different works, if
- 14 you will. So with a module where we may have something registered in the copyright office which 15
- is the version that the student receives in the 16
- course, something like Face of the Universe has much 17
- more behind it and other written portions behind it. 18
- Q. You're the sole author of the Mission 19
- Statement, is that correct? 20
- A. Yes. 21
- Q. Did you transfer or assign your rights to ESP 22
- or NXIVM? 23
- A. At one point, it was copyright, I believe, 24
- Executive Success Programs; and then I believe I had 25

- interests to NXIVM or ESP?
- A. I don't know if I had copyright interest. 2
- Q. Did you write any of them? 3
- A. I wrote parts of them. Does -- I have a 4
- question of law. If I write a sentence, does that 5

Page 485

Page 486

- give me copyright interest in a chapter or a 6
- 7 document?

10

- 8 Q. Well, you can ask your counsel about that.
- Have you entered into a written transfer or 9
 - assignment to ESP of your rights to any materials
- 11 that you've created?
- A. I think I have. 12
- Q. What do you believe that you have transferred 13
- to ESP in a written assignment? 14
- A. ESP, I'm not sure. I think there may have --15
- at one point, I think the Mission Statement was 16
- theirs. I don't know if I actually signed a written 17
- transference. I know that First Principles has a 18
- number of the copyrights -- not copyrights --19
- patents assigned to them, and I did sign those 20
- assignments. I've signed a number of different 21
- assignments. I don't know what they all are. 22
- Q. Let me ask you to turn to Paragraph 40 of 23
- 24 vour Affidavit.
 - A. Uh-huh.

Page 484

- Q. And you say, "We also created a Persistency
- and Motivational State module which contained the 2
- 3 psycho-physical motivational portion of my model
- (the experiential learning of motivation). I had 4
- started to develop this in 1989 and had tested it 5
- with success through my corporate marketing and 6
- sales experiences. This foundational module taught 7
- people the experiential learning of motivation. 8
- This is one of the copyrighted modules that is being 9
- given away and disparaged." 10
- A. Uh-huh. 11
- Q. Did I read that correctly? 12
- A. Yes. 13
- Q. How is this module foundational? 14
- A. Well, one of the important aspects of 15
- behavior is motivation. It's also important in 16
- 17 decisions. This module represents a portion of how
- a person can learn motivation, can alter their 18
- motivation, so that allows them to alter their 19
- behavior and alter their decisions. They can 20
- become, if you will, more the author of their 21
- behavior.
- 22
- Q. How is this module being given away? 23
- A. It is my understanding that your client has 24
 - the materials or some of the materials relating to

1

it transferred back to me. 1

- Q. Are you the sole author of Rules and Rituals? 2
- A. No, I don't think so. 3
- Q. What about Tribute? 4
- A. I don't think so. 5
- Q. Work and value?
- A. I don't think so. 7
- Q. Face of the Universe? Я
- A. No. I don't think so. 9
- Q. Are you a joint author on any of those works? 10
- A. I may be certainly Tribute, I believe. I 11
- don't know if the current version or the version 12
- that your client received, assuming they received 13
- such a version I believe they did -- was authored 14
- at all by me, but the initial version of Tribute 15
- certainly had some of the words that I put together 16 in the final product. That's what I mean by
- 17 "author." 18
- Q. And, again, with respect to any of those 19
- modules, did you transfer or assign your rights to 20
- ESP or NXIVM? 21
- A. I believe those modules are copyright ESP and 22
- NXIVM, the words. 23
- Q. But to the extent that you wrote some of 24
- them, did you transfer or assign your copyright

- this module and that those were given to different
- people to look at, to evaluate, to maybe even 2
- disburse. I think that's how this material is being 3
- given away. 4
- Q. So, in other words, you're not talking about 5
- it being given away to the general public? 6
- A. Well, it may be given away to the general 7
- public through these activities. They provide a 8
- window that is a window into our private property 9
- 10 that we did not want.
- Q. In Paragraph 41 you say, "We started our 11
- company with 20 basic copyrighted modules and have 12
- now grown to have over 250 modules. Work and value 13
- was and is the foundational module for the whole 14
- Ethos and Intensive curriculums. This is another 15
- one of the copyrighted modules that is being given 16
- away and disparaged." 17
- A. Uh-huh. 18

1

- Q. So Work and value is an important and 19
- valuable trade secret of NXIVM's? 20
- A. Work and value taken in its -- in its 21
- entirety, meaning the written word, the practice of 22
- it, how it's executed and the concepts behind it, is 23
- an extremely important module. 24
- Q. In what way is it foundational? 25

- Page 488
- A. If a person doesn't understand their values,
- they can't make decisions based on them. The best 2
- decis -- the best type of decision is if a person 3
- understands their values and can make decisions 4
- based on those values. 5
- Q. And once again, how is -- how is this module 6
- being given away? 7
- A. Well, because -- and, again, I believe it is 8
- one of the written expressions that your client has 9
- -- to have that not only exposed but analyzed by 10
- people who have the rest of the material, have the 11
- rest of the manuals, the student notes, the 12
- facilitator notes, things that aren't available, 13
- that person can use it to provide a window; and even 14
- the nature of having those materials changes their 15
- expression. 16
- Q. When you wrote in Paragraph 40 and 41 that 17
- the modules were being given away, did you mean by 18
- "given away" that Rick Ross gave these materials to 19
- other people? 20

25

- A. I think both directly and indirectly if 21
- portions of Work and value are published on the 22
- internet and this is our confidential information 23
- that is not to be published, it's being given away. 24
 - Q. You say if portions of Work and value were

- being published on the internet. Do you know 1
- whether or not portions of Work and value are, in 2
- fact, being published on the internet? 3
- A. I believe they were. I believe they're in 4
- the Martin report, although I'm not positive at this 5
- point. 6
- Q. What about Persistency and Motivational 7
- State? 8
- A. I believe the same thing. I think they are 9
 - part of the Martin report; but when it comes
- 10 especially to things like values and things like 11
- motivation, to have a psychiatrist write a report 12
- who has that information starts to give windows into 13
- our private property that are not appropriate. 14
- Q. So you believe that the Martin articles 15
- provide windows into the trade secret of Persistency 16
- and Motivational State and Work and value? 17
- A. I believe that -- yes, I believe that's 18
- likely true. 19

20

- Q. Let me ask you to turn to Paragraph 43. And
- in Paragraph 43 you say, "To become a facilitator 21
- requires earning the right to be a coach, being 22
- observed over a period of months, meeting rigorous 23
- standards to be promoted and reaffirming the 24
- confidentiality of the material. This process can 25

Page 490

Page 489

- take more than a year. The facilitator is then 1
- given access to the facilitator projective training. 2
- Our written information for this course is stored 3
- offsite in a single computer with only 3 people who 4
- have access: Myself (the creator of the material), 5
- 6 Nancy Salzman, (the president of NXIVM Corporation)
- and Loreta Garza (Nancy Salzman's personal 7
- assistant). This trade secret, possibly the most 8
- valuable trade secret in our company, is being
- displayed on the internet." 10

Did I read that accurately?

- A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. Okay. Your last sentence says, "This trade 13
 - secret, possibly the most valuable trade secret in
- our company, is being displayed on the internet." 15
- What precisely is the trade secret you're 16 17 referring to in that sentence?
- A. The confidential property that was taken. 18
 - Q. Can you be more specific about what you mean
- by "this trade secret"? 20
- A. What I wrote in this paragraph I gave some 21
- background as to how someone gets access to this 22
- material, and this material was never to even have 23
- left the center, the facilitator notes for Face of 24
- 25 the Universe projective training. And those notes

11

12

14

- were taken. Those notes were incorporated into an
- amalgamation of different things, the manual, 2
- facilitator notes, coach's notes, student notes and 3
- were given to Rick Ross, your client, who gave those 4
- 5 materials to be evaluated and to be commented upon;
- 6 and I believe it was Paul Martin that actually
- printed some of those materials and put them in a 7
- report that is on the web. Yes. 8
- 9 Q. What is the facilitator projective training?
- A. It's a training. Can you be more specific? 10
- Q. Well, you say in this paragraph, "The 11
- facilitator is then given access to the facilitator 12
- projective training." 13
- A. Yes. 14
- Q. So I'm asking you to tell us what that means. 15
- A. Oh. It's a special training in -- actually 16
- in the specific case here, there was a very special 17
- facilitator projective training that was given to I 18
- think maybe only 25 people in the whole history of 19
- 20 the company; and it was a special training on the
- materials, the use of the materials, things about 21
- the materials. It's a training. 22
- 23 Q. Does the facilitator projective training have
- a written component? 24
- A. Yes, I believe it does. 25

- Q. Are there hard copies of the written 1
- 2 information?
- A. I don't believe there are unregistered hard 3

Page 493

- copies, but I'm not sure. 4
- 5 Q. Well, whether registered or not, do you know
- whether or not there are hard copies of the 6
- material? 7
- A. I do not know. 8
- 9 Q. Do you know whether or not there is a backup
- of the material that's stored on the computer? 10
- A. I would imagine there is a backup that would 11
- be offsite from where the computer is, but I'm not 12
- sure. 13
- Q. Have all of the people who have access to 14
 - this training signed confidentiality agreements?
- A. Yes. 16

17

20

- Q. Now, in the beginning of Paragraph 43 you
- talk about becoming a facilitator requires meeting 18
- rigorous standards. 19

What are the rigorous standards to being promoted? 21

- 22 A. Without knowing all of the specifics, the
- person has to be observed. They have to be skilled
- at interacting with people, using certain tools.
- They have to make assertions relating to what they 25

Page 492

- want to do within the program and keeping the
 - information confidential, those sort of things and 2
 - meet, if you will, the approval of the people or
 - person teaching this course to be allowed in.
 - Q. Now, a part of earning the right to be a 5
 - 6 coach requires bringing in new students to NXIVM;
 - 7 isn't that right?
 - A. I believe so, yes. 8
 - Q. Okay. Well, taking all of the rigorous 9
 - standards that you just described for us as well as 10
 - bringing in new students, which of those rigorous 11
 - 12 standards for promotion did Stephanie Franco meet?
 - A. My assumption is her being in the facilitator 13
 - 14 program means she met all of the standards.
 - Q. You make that assumption?
 - 15
 - A. Uh-huh. 16
 - Q. Do you know that for a fact? 17
 - A. I didn't see the process. 18
 - Q. But is it your understanding that if she 19
 - 20 hadn't satisfied all of those rigorous standards she
 - would not have been given access to the facilitator 21
 - projective training? 22
 - 23 A. That is my understanding, yeah.
 - Q. I'm going to read you a few quotes from the 24
 - Martin and Hochman articles and ask you some

Q. And is that written component information 1 for the course that students take to become 2

- facilitators? 3
- A. The specific facilitator projective training, 4
- the written portion of that is used only for that 5
- 6
- 7 Q. And the training you're talking about is the
- training to become a facilitator? 8
- A. Yes, yes. 9
- Q. Have the copyrights been registered in any of 10
- those written materials? 11
- A. I believe they are copywritten by the indicia 12
- or whatever on the bottom. I don't think they have 13
- 14 been registered with the copyright office. I'm not
- 15
- Q. Okay. Were you involved in developing the 16
- security protocol for the facilitator projective 17
- training? 18
- A. Was I involved? Not so directly. I believe 19
- that was done by attorneys. 20
- Q. Where is the information stored? 21
- A. Well, at this time it was stored in a single 22
- 23 computer. I think it is still stored in a single computer offsite, but I don't know. I haven't
- accessed that information in many years.

Page 495

- questions about the quotes. 1
- A. Can I read along with you or can I --2
- Q. Well, if you want to try and find it. I 3
- don't think you're going to need to. 4
- A. Okay. 5
- Q. The first quote is from Parasite/producer I. 6
- The quote is, "Parasites unable to take care of 7
- themselves. They depend on others for their very 8
- survival. Parasite strategies keep people dependent 9 on others and lower self-esteem." 10
- Are those passages trade secrets? 11
- A. I would say they're certainly windows to 12 trade secrets. 13
- Q. Again, windows rather than trade secrets 14
- themselves? 15
- A. That may be a trade secret. I don't know. 16
- Our -- I believe our understanding of self-esteem is 17
- extremely unique and valuable. 18
- Q. Well, does NXIVM consider those statements 19
- confidential and proprietary? 20
- A. I don't know what NXiVM considers them, and I 21
- don't know if those statements are registered in the 22
- copyright office available to the public. I don't 23
- know. 24
- Q. Do you consider them confidential? 25

- start to define self-esteem by our methods and our
- materials, you start to give away information that's 2
- extremely valuable to us. 3
- Q. The next quote is from Building an excited 4
- state. The quote is, "Enthusiastic people are
- better able to communicate and even sell their ideas 6 with ease." 7
 - Is that statement a trade secret?
- A. Well, like all of the other statements in 9 themselves, it starts to provide a window into the 10 technology; and if someone has the manuals and the
- 11 trade secrets there or some of them, they can 12
- utilize that statement to begin to transmit our 13
- confidential property. 14
- Q. Is there anything that you consider unique 15
- about that statement? 16
- A. I'm not sure. The first thing, if that -- if 17
- it has been decided that that statement was released 18
- to the public in an exchange for copyright 19
- protection, I couldn't say that that statement is 20
- any longer secret. The objective in releasing any 21
- statements through copyright was to maximize the 22
- protection of our property. Much like the formula 23 of Coke: If it's carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen, I
- 24 don't know if carbon is a trade secret, but I can 25
- Page 496

1

9

12

14

20

24

- A. The statements themselves?
- Q. Yeah. 2

1

- A. If they are not released to the public, I do 3
- consider them confidential. 4
- Q. Well, putting aside whether they've been 5
- released to the public, do you consider them secrets 6
- of NXIVM's? 7
- A. I can't answer that because if they're 8
- released to the public, they're not secrets. 9
- Q. Okay. What do you consider unique about 10
- those statements? 11
- A. I consider the relationship between 12
- self-esteem and parasite strategies something that 13
- is unique to a great degree. I believe that our 14
- training relating to self-esteem is highly 15
- confidential and valuable. 16
- Q. What about the statement itself, the 17
- statement, "Unable to take care of themselves. 18
- Parasites depend on others for their very survival"? 19
- Is there anything unique about that statement? 20
- A. Well, you're starting to describe something 21
- under the label of parasite and relating it to 22
- self-esteem. Self-esteem is a very commercially 23
- valuable concept. People look to increase 24
- self-esteem and often can't even define it. As you 25

- use it to present a trade secret. So that specific
- quote could be used, but I don't know if that quote 2
- contains a trade secret per se. 3
- 4 Q. My question was whether or not you consider
- anything unique about the statement, "Enthusiastic 5
- people are better able to communicate and even sell 6
- their ideas with ease." 7
- A. I may have heard that elsewhere. I think it 8
 - seems somewhat obvious on face value, but then
- sometimes obvious things are very unique. 1 -- 1 10
- haven't studied that in the rest of the world. 11
 - I think it's a good statement.
- 13 Q. Do you think it's a unique statement?
 - A. I don't know if it's unique.
- Q. The next quote is from Crime and punishment. 15
- The quote is, "Ethics are well-formed consistent 16
- postulates by which we live. Ethics is an internal 17
- quide, something that we have personally derived 18
- from our experience and is personal to us." 19
 - Is that passage a trade secret?
- A. I think that passage represents a window 21
- into trade secrets, and I think some of the ideas 22
- presented there are likely very unique and 23
 - proprietary.
- Q. Who do you consider to be NXIVM's direct 25

- 1 competitors?
- 2 A. I guess I don't know what you mean by "direct
- 3 competitors."
- 4 Q. Competitors who train executives and train
- 5 individuals about better ways to live their lives.
- 6 A. Gosh, that's a very broad field. I think --
- 7 I guess I wouldn't define direct competitor like
- 8 that. I would say anyone that increases -- where an
- 9 executive has a choice between spending time or
- 10 resources focusing on something to do with NXIVM to
- 11 get more joy in their life and be a more joyous
- 12 person and focusing on an -- or focusing on another
- 13 program that other program is directly competing
- with that executive's time, focus, resources so...
- Q. Are you familiar with the concept large group
- 16 awareness training?
- 17 A. Yes, to some degree.
- 18 Q. Is NXIVM a large group awareness training
- 19 organization?
- 20 A. I don't think so.
- 21 Q. You don't think so?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Who do you consider to be a large group
- 24 awareness training organization?
- 25 A. Well, I heard that Landmark Forum is a large

- 1 what materials they have.
- 2 Q. In addition to having access to written
- 3 materials, would they need to take the course in
- 4 order to duplicate a module?
- 5 A. I don't know.
- 6 Q. Do you think someone could duplicate a NXIVM
- 7 module without taking the course?
- 8 A. I'm not sure. It's hard for me to judge the
- 9 cleverness of people trying to infiltrate your
- 10 organization.
- 11 Q. Well, you're a clever guy. Do you think you
- 12 could duplicate a NXIVM module if you had never --
- 13 if you were an outsider just taking written
- 14 materials and not taking the course?

MR. CAMPION: Object to the form of the question, the characterization of clever.

You may answer the question.

18 A. I wouldn't try so I don't know.

- 19 Q. Is it possible for a person to compete with
- 20 NXIVM by using only what was disclosed in the
- 21 articles?

15

16

17

- 22 A. I believe so.
- 23 Q. Are you aware of any NXIVM competitor who
- 24 has used the information from the manual that was
- 25 included in the Martin and Hochman articles to

Page 500

Page 502

Page 501

- group awareness training. I've actually looked
- 2 superficially for a definition of large group
- 3 awareness training. I've seen a few, and in those
- 4 i think Landmark would probably fall into that or at
- 5 least some of their programs. Anthony Robbins
- 6 maybe. I'm not that well familiar with the
- 7 different trainings that are around that would be
- 8 large group awareness trainings.
- 9 Q. Any others that you can think of beside
- 10 Landmark and Anthony Robbins?
- 11 A. I'm not sure if Tabi Kahler is. I can't
- 12 think off the top of my head of any more.
- 13 Q. Is Landmark Education a competitor of
- 14 NXIVM's?
- 15 A. I would say so.
- 16 Q. What about Anthony Robbins? Is he a
- 17 competitor of NXIVM's?
- 18 A. I would say so.
- 19 Q. Tabi Kahler?
- 20 A. I would say so.
- 21 Q. What would a competitor have to do to
- 22 duplicate a NXIVM module?
- 23 A. I don't know. I think depending on the
- 24 cleverness of the competitor or their motivation
- 25 there could be either a lot or a little depending on

- 1 compete with NXIVM?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Who?
- 4 A. Rick Ross.
- 5 Q. Anyone else other than Rick Ross?
- 6 A. I -- yes. I could certainly say Forbes, the
- 7 newspapers, yes.
- 8 Q. You consider Forbes a competitor?
- 9 A. Yes. Inasmuch as Forbes looks to have people
- 10 believe in what they say and find what they say
- valuable, Forbes has put themselves at least in some
- 12 people's minds that either that person is to believe
- Forbes and be more, if you will, a Forbes type of member or come to our courses. They've certainly
- 15 competed with certain people's attentions with us.
- 16 Q. And the same for newspapers that have written
- to Q. And the same for newspapers that have v
- 17 about NXIVM?
- 18 A. Some.
- 19 Q. And in what way is Rick Ross a competitor?
- 20 A. Well, for example, with Michael Sutton, Rick
- 21 Ross was hired to dissuade Michael Sutton from being
- 22 involved with NXIVM. He was competing with his
- 23 authority compared to our authority. Even he earned
- 25 Sutton from being with us so it came down to one of

money by being able to hopefully dissuade Michael

reports of people who have stopped taking NXIVM

Page 505

Page 506

courses for various reasons relating to what is on 2

the Ross site, and I believe some of them 3

specifically relate to the Hochman and Martin 4

5 articles.

Q. Well, that's -- my question is specifically 6

the Martin and Hochman articles. 7

A. Yes. I believe I have heard of such people.

I even believe I have spoken to such people 9

potentially. I can't think of who they are off the 10

top of my head. There have been hundreds of people 11

or thousands. 12

13 Q. Thousands of people who have said that they stopped taking NXIVM's courses because of the Martin 14 and Hochman articles and have said that to you?

15 A. I -- no. I believe that there are thousands 16

of people who have not become involved with ESP 17

because of the negative PR generated from the Ross 18

site. The subset of how many of those people 19

specifically did not take ESP because of the Hochman 20 and Martin articles I don't know, and it's certainly 21

I don't believe thousands, but I don't know. 22

Q. And you say that you believe that there are 23

some written materials where people talk about not 24

taking the course because of the Martin and Hochman

Page 504

25

1

3

4

6

7

choose to either hire Rick Ross or hire us. We sit as competitors.

efforts, attentions. In some cases, Rick Ross

selling videos through his sites, for example, may

compete with us because he sells videos on critical

thinking. We sell programs on critical thinking.

Someone who decides to choose one or the other would

Q. Are you aware -- let me rephrase my question.

offers training who has used the information from

the manual that was included in the articles to

A. I think Rick Ross offers training.

compete with NXIVM?

Q. You think so?

Are you aware of any NXIVM competitor who

A. He does what he calls exit interviews similar

programs that we run. People come to him to achieve

assume people come to him at times to be more

joyful. People come to us for that, too. People

pay good money, I believe, for that. And if it's a

choice -- for example, if someone is -- I'm going to

use in something that Rick Ross calls a cult or a

destructive group or something that Rick Ross has

studied and that person wants advice on how to

handle their experience in that group, they can

to our coaching sessions, similar to different

certain objectives within their personality. I

see them as competitors I imagine. I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 2
- Q. Other than Rick Ross, is there any -- a 3
- competitor who offers training who you believe has 4
- used the information that was in the articles to 5
- compete with NXIVM? 6
- A. Off the top of my head, I don't think so, 7
- although I do classify both Paul Martin and John 8
- Hochman as people who do offer trainings and do 9
- offer similar services in some ways to us. They 10
- sell videos for people, they give informational 11
- seminars that people pay to come to; and in some 12
- ways, some people would say they're even in -- are 13
- active in giving seminars and so do we. 14
- Q. Are you aware of any competitor who has 15
- reverse engineered or reconstructed or mimicked or 16
- duplicated the Rational Inquiry method or any other 17
- NXIVM training methods using only the materials 18
- disclosed on the Ross website? 19
- A. Not that I am aware of. 20
- Q. Do you know of anyone who stopped taking 21
- NXIVM courses because of the posting of the Hochman 22
- and Martin articles on the Ross website? 23
- A. I -- yes, I do, and I have -- I should say I 24
- have heard of and heard that there are written 25

- articles?
- A. I believe so. 2
 - Q. Okay.

MR. SKOLNIK: Those have certainly been requested in prior production, and we have received no such, so I would call for their production.

(Request.)

- Q. Do you know of anyone who did not start 8
- taking NXIVM courses because of the posting of the 9
- Martin and Hochman articles? 10
- A. I don't know specifically. I have not spoken 11 specifically to any such people. 12
- Q. Now, you understand that my earlier question 13
- was about people who started taking NXIVM and then 14
- stopped --15
- A. Right. 16
- Q. -- because of the articles. 17
- 18 A. Right.
 - Q. And you're saying that there are some such
- people, as far as you know? 20
- 21 A. I believe so, but I don't know of any that
- I've had direct contact or seen direct contact who 22
- were intending on taking a course and saying, "Well, 23
- now I have not because of these articles," although 24
- certainly such people may exist. 25

- Q. Would you agree that if NXIVM has lost any 1
- business or any money from the Martin and Hochman 2
- articles it's not because anyone has used those 3
- 4 articles to compete with NXIVM other than Ross and
- Martin and Hochman but because those articles 5
- disparage NXIVM? 6
- 7 A. I don't know enough about what you mean by
- "disparage," but I don't think I would agree with 8
- that statement because I think Forbes, things like 9
- that, as I have explained, have dissuaded people 10
- from taking NXIVM courses and probably to some 11
- 12 degree have even boosted subscriptions. I don't
- 13 know.
- Q. But, again, the loss of business would be 14
- attributable to what it is that Forbes said about 15
- NXIVM that was disparaging? 16
- A. I don't know if I would say that's the only 17
- reason why the business was lost. 18
- Q. What else -- what else about Forbes would 19
- have led to the loss of business? 20
- 21 A. I guess it depends on what you say as
- disparaging, but if Forbes goes and prints 22
- confidential material and someone believes the 23
- confidential material is out, so to speak, the cat 24
- out of the bag, I imagine there may be some people 25

- A. I wouldn't say it's an aspect of the 1
- 2 training. That's -- I think they're effects of the

Page 509

Page 510

- training, hopefully. 3
- 4 Q. Hopefully, effects of the training?
- 5 A. Hopefully.
- Q. What about doing everything with integrity? 6
- A. I don't -- I think an effect of any ethics 7
- training program we would hope that people have more 8
- 9 integrity.
- 10 Q. What about gaining the ability to virtually
- take control of any situation? Is that an aspect of 11
- 12 the NXIVM training?
- A. I would say when you say take control of a 13
- situation, that would have to have an ethics thought
- in it. I would hope that it would be an effect of 15
- the ethics training or the NXIVM training that 16
- people would believe they have more control of their 17
- destiny and their lives. 18
- 19 Q. Let me read you some quotes, and then when
- I'm done I'll ask you to tell me if you recognize 20
- the quotes and if you know who said them. 21
- The first quote is, "In essence, if we want 22
- to direct our lives, we must take control of our 23
- consistent actions. It's not what we do once in 24
 - awhile that shapes our lives but what we do

- 1 who say, well, I don't have to go to NXIVM. This is
- probably out and distributed and nothing new. 2
- nothing unique. 3
- 4 Q. Do you believe that Forbes printed
- confidential material? 5
- A. I don't recall completely the Forbes article. 6
- although I do recall that it had an excerpt from the 7
- Hochman report and referenced it. So inasmuch as 8
- the Hochman report and leading then to the Ross site 9
- prints confidential information, I guess Forbes 10
- directing people either directly or indirectly to 11
- that does that.
- 12
- Q. Would you agree that each of the following 13
- is an aspect of NXIVM training; harnessing your 14
- 15 personal power?
- A. I would like to think that's part of the 16
- **NXIVM training.** 17
- 18 Q. How about taking responsibility for your
- choices? 19
- A. Well, I think these are general effects 20
- hopefully of the NXIVM training. I don't know if 21
- it's what I'd call part of the NXIVM training. It 22
- sounds like something that would be desirable 23
- effects, yes. 24
- Q. Is it an aspect of the training? 25

1 consistently."

Now, let me read you another. "Our programs 2

focus on creating consistency in all areas." 3 4 And another: "Beliefs have the power to

create and the power to destroy. Human beings have 5

- the awesome ability to take any experience of their 6
- lives and create a meaning that disempowers them or 7
- one that can literally save their lives." 8

Another: "The will that transforms our ideas 9

into actions with our guiding beliefs forms our 10

emotional constitution. You'll experience the joy 11

and power of taking control of your life and look 12

ahead to a future in which all things are possible." 13

Then a final pair: "Knowing what to do is 14 15 useless without the emotional strength to do what you know. 16

And finally, "It's not knowing what to do. 17

- 18 It's doing what you know."
- 19 A. Okay.
- Q. Do you recognize those guotes? 20
- A. Well, I think the one before the last is from 21
- me. I don't know. Some of those quotes are very 22
- 23 nice. I don't recognize them, per se. They seem
- like they reflect some important human values. 24
- Q. Any others that you recognize that you were 25

the author of? 1 A. I might have been authors of the other -- no, 2

not off the top of my head, though. 3 Q. What about, "Our programs focus on creating 4

consistency in all areas." Do you know who said 5

that? 6

- A. It could have been me, but I think it could 7 have been others. Я
- Q. What about, "In essence, if we want to direct G our lives, we must take control of our consistent 10
- actions. It's not what we do once in awhile that 11
- shapes our lives but what we do consistently"? 12 A. I don't know if I would have said that. I
- 13 think that in a broader context that's good, but I 14
- do think someone can do a single decision and shape 15
- -- help shape their lives, also, so I'm not sure I 16
- completely agree with the quote as it is extracted. 17
- Q. What about, "Beliefs have the power to create 18 and the power to destroy. Human beings have the
- 19 awesome ability to take any experience of their 20
- lives and create a meaning that disempowers them or 21
- one that can literally save their lives"? 22 Do you know who says that? 23
- A. I don't. I think it's an interesting quote. 24
- Q. What about this one: "The will that 25

you know"? 1

- A. I think that's a quote in one of the 2
- brochures, but I'm not positive. 3
- Q. And who wrote that quote? 4
- A. I think I wrote that at some point. 5
- Q. So some of the quotes that I've read you 6
- you identify as things that you wrote, and others 7
- you don't know who wrote them; is that right?
- A. Correct. 9
- Q. You know who Anthony Robbins is, don't you? 10
- 11
- Q. You attended Tony Robbins' seminars before 12
- you formed ESP, NXIVM?
- A. No. 14
- Q. You didn't? 15
- A. I've never attended an Anthony Robbins 16
- 17
- Q. You met with Mr. Robbins personally at least 18
- once, isn't that so? 19
- A. Yes. 20
- Q. And it's also true that both Anthony Robbins 21
- and Nancy Salzman once taught neuro-linguistic 22
- programming, isn't that right? 23
- A. I believe so. I don't know if Anthony 24
 - Robbins taught neuro-linguistic programming. I know

Page 512

- transforms our ideals into ac -- our ideas into 1
- actions with our guiding beliefs forms our emotional 2
- constitution. You'll experience the joy and power 3
- of taking control of your life and look ahead to a 4 future in which all things are possible"?
- 5 A. That might be something in like an Ethos 6
- brochure or something, but I think it's an 7
- optimistic quote. 8
- Q. You don't know who wrote it? 9
- A. Not off the top of my head. 10
- Q. Well, you did. 11
- A. Oh. Thank you, I guess. 12
- Q. How about, "It's not knowing what to do. 13
- It's doing what you know"? 14
- A. I've written similar things like that. I 15
- think in a broad context, that's good. In a limited 16
- context, it may be problematic; I mean, if you're 17 talking about a killer.
- 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me. We have 19 to change tapes.
 - THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning of Tape Number 3. The time is 12:13.
- BY MR. SKOLNIK: 23

20

21

22

- Q. What about the quote, "Knowing what to do is 24
 - useless without the emotional strength to do what

- he was schooled in neuro-linguistic programming.
- Q. And it's also true, isn't it, that just like 2
- ESP and NXIVM, Robbins uses the title "coach"? 3
- A. I wasn't aware of that. 4
- Q. Let's look at Paragraph 3 of your Affidavit. 5
- It says, "The expression of the work in the 6
- copyrights of NXIVM has been drafted in a very 7
- meticulous fashion such that words, the order of 8
- words, questions and the order of questions used in
- the copyrights are critical to the success of the 10
- organization."
- 11 12
 - Can you give us an example of what you're
- talking about here? 13
- A. In a module the order of the questions makes 14
- a difference as to how people think about the 15
- different concepts. Being inductive as opposed to 16
- deductive, in the copywritten materials some of the 17
- -- not only just the order of the concepts but even 18
- the way the questions are phrased themselves can be 19
- more open so that people will not import certain 20
- assumptions. 21
 - Q. And all of that as reflected in the written
- 22 work is critical to the success of the organization? 23
- A. That's a sweeping statement. 24 25

Are you saying that if the organization did

- not have any written work then we could not be successful, would not be able to innovate to be 2 successful? 3
- Q. Well, I'm just trying to understand what you 4 mean in Paragraph 3. The phrase "critical to the 5
- success of the organization" is yours. 6
- A. Uh-huh. Well, if you're asking me about the 7 question, the order of the questions used in the 8
- copyright are critical to the success of the 9
- organization. As those copyrights are used within 10
- the organization, it has garnered a certain degree 11
- of success. That success is in part not only 12
- attributable to those orders, but I believe the 13
- order of those words and those questions is critical 14
- to the success that the organization at this point 15
- in time had. 16
- Q. In turning to Paragraph 4, Paragraph 4 has a 17
- subtitle, "Damage and Irreparable Harm." You say, 18
- "Three of the copyrighted materials currently posted 19
- on the internet: 12 point Mission Statement, Work 20
- and value and Face of the Universe reveal the 21
- content and methodologies that are critical to the 22
- heart of the entire coursework. Whole passages of 23
- these copyrights have been literally copied by 24
- defendants." 25

- who read the material, read the passages and make a
- judgment based on those passages.
- Q. Can you give an example of where knowledge of 3
- the next question compromises and alters the answer 4
- to the current question?
- A. A lot of different jokes, a lot of different 6
- brain teasers. I could probably come up with a joke 7
- that does something like that, but I think there are
- a lot of situations in which knowing what comes next
- 10 changes what comes before. For example, the movie
- The Sixth Sense, if you go to that movie and you
- know ahead of time he is dead throughout the whole
- movie, it changes your experience of the movie.
- That's why they have plot spoiler alerts and things 14
- like that. 15
- Q. I'm really asking about what you mean in the 16
- context of NXIVM's copyrights. 17
- A. Okay. I don't have all of them in front of 18
- me; but in some of them, if I remember correctly, 19
- there were orders of concepts given. And if 20 someone, for example, knows that they are going to 21
- be thinking about a certain concept next, it alters 22
- the way they think of the concept that they are 23
- thinking about at the time. 24
- Q. And revealing the order of those questions 25

Page 516

Page 518

Page 517

A. Uh-huh. 1

- Q. "The wholesale copying of the copyrighted 2
- materials of NXIVM through posting them on the 3
- internet and other means reveals the heart of what 4
- is important to the consumer and thus damages 5
- NXIVM's ability to, among other things, sell 6
- coursework to new consumers. Consumers that read 7
- the passages may no longer desire to attend a course 8
- wherein the material has been freely given to them. 9
- Additionally, if the questions are known ahead of 10
- time, the entire process is damaged. It is similar 11
- to being told the punch line of a joke. The desired 12
- effect is irreparably damaged. Our copyrighted 13
- questions are designed to be answered without 14
- knowledge of the next question. Knowledge of the 15
- next question compromises and alters the answer to 16 17
 - the current question."
- Now, I assume that those are true statements 18 and you stand by them? 19
- A. Yes, I believe so. 20
- Q. What is the basis for your statement, 21
- "Consumers that related the passages may no longer 22
- desire to attend a course wherein the material has 23
- been freely given to them"? 24
 - A. Because I think there may be some consumers

- causes damage and irreparable harm to NXIVM? 1
- 2 A. I believe so.
- Q. Now, you told us last time that you 3
- acknowledge that you don't believe NXIVM can claim 4
- trade secret status for any NXIVM materials that it 5
- has made available to the public through its own 6
- 7 actions; right?
- A. That NXIVM -- when NXIVM makes materials 8
- available to the public what is specifically 9
- contained in those materials from my understanding 10
- of trade secret are no longer trade secret. That 11
- does not mean that those materials do not have 12
- anything to do whatsoever with the effects of the 13
- trade secrets. 14
- Q. And you went on to explain that if the 15
- materials embody specifics of a trade secret, sort 16 of the cat is out of the bag. 17
- 18
 - Do you recall that testimony?
- A. Well, I mean, I could imagine I would say 19
- that. What I -- to clarify it, you can release 20
- specifics relating to a trade secret and still not 21
- release the trade secret. If I have the formula for 22
- Coke and I say it has carbon in it, I haven't 23
- released a trade secret, but I have made a 24 25
 - compromise.

3

Page 519

- Q. Well, I think a minute ago you acknowledgedthat if the order of the questions, for example, is
- 3 revealed, that causes damage and irreparable harm to
- 4 NXIVM.
- 5 A. It can.
- 6 Q. Would you agree that if NXIVM has itself
- 7 placed the 12 point Mission Statement, Work and
- 8 value, and Face of the Universe on the internet or
- 9 otherwise made them publicly available that it has
- 10 caused itself the damage and irreparable harm that
- 11 you were discussing in that paragraph?
- 12 A. I think it's NXIVM's right to choose what it
- 13 does with its property. If NXIVM has placed things
- on the internet, there is a downside, but then
- 15 there's an upside for NXIVM. For someone else to do
- 16 that is stealing the upside while executing the
- 17 downside.
- 18 Q. What's the upside to NXIVM for placing this
- 19 material on the internet?
- 20 A. Well, in the case, for example, when material
- 21 is stolen and someone else is publishing, taking
- 22 your right of first publication or whatever it is
- 23 away, you may have to make the compromise. You may
- 24 be forced to publish where you would not want to
- 25 publish, sustaining a short range or even a

A. Now, I believe that is true, yes.

MR. SKOLNIK: 42.

(One-page United States Copyright Office

- 4 document entitled Public Catalog was received and
- 5 marked Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-18 for
- 6 Identification.)
- 7 BY MR. SKOLNIK:
- 8 Q. Mr. Raniere, Exhibit 18 is a printout from
- 9 the Copyright Office catalog listing all of NXIVM's
- 10 registered copyrights.
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 Q. Were you aware that NXIVM had made each of
- 13 the 22 modules listed here available to anyone who
- 14 might want to compete with NXIVM or duplicate its
- 15 programs?
- 16 A. I was aware that NXIVM had made a number of
- 17 these available. It was a sad decision because of
- the theft but, yes, I was aware that at least a
- 19 number of these, the student versions had been made
- 20 available.
- Q. Now, are you aware also that court filings
- 22 are publicly available on the internet unless they
- are submitted and accepted under seal by the Court?
- 24 A. I'm not sure if I'm completely aware of that.
- 25 I do believe that there are a lot of court

Page 520

- long-range damage to try to protect from an even
- 2 greater damage of someone else who has deprived you
- 3 of that right. So, you know, I don't know
- 4 specifically what you're talking about as far as
- 5 which things may or may not have been published; but
- 6 I suspect the decisions, because all of the NXIVM
- 7 material has been carefully guarded to the fullest
- 8 extent I think of the law with trademarks,
- 9 copyrights, patents, confidentiality, all that
- stuff, those are hard decisions when someone takesyour property.
- Q. Now, you're aware, aren't you, that when a
- work is registered with the copyright office you
- 14 have to provide a copy of the work which is then
- publicly available to anybody who wants to review
- 16 it?
- 17 A. I am aware now. I also think there are --
- and I'm not sure of this -- that there are ways in
- 19 certain circumstances to register part of a work,
- 20 but I don't know.
- Q. You don't know the details of that mechanism?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. But as a general matter, you know that when
- works are registered you deposit a copy that's made
- 25 available to the public?

Page 522

- procedures that are available. I don't know what --
- 2 I think there are some that aren't, but I don't
- з know.
- 4 Q. Were you aware that NXIVM attached copies of
- 5 Rules and Rituals, Tribute, 12-point mission
- 6 statement, Work and value and the Face of the
- 7 Universe to its court filings and that those modules
- 8 are available to anyone who might want to compete
- 9 with NXIVM or duplicate its programs?
- 10 A. I was under the impression that whatever was
- 11 attached to the court filings was either protected
- in some way, had been filed with the copyright
- office or had been submitted by the other side. 1
- 14 also believe that the versions of Face of the
- 15 Universe because there's a student version, a
- 16 coaching version and a facilitator version, that not
- 17 all of them are so submitted. That's my
- 18 understanding.
- 19 Q. Do you have any understanding about whether
- 20 or not the excerpts from any module that are quoted
- 21 in the Martin or Hochman articles come from the
- versions that are posted at the copyright office and
- 23 on the internet?
- 24 A. I think there are some things in those
- 25 articles that come from the copyright office and are

MORRIS SUTTON Page 525 Page 523 posted on the internet. I'm not sure -- I don't A. I think there's that aspect to it. There's an additional aspect to it, too. If I haven't believe all of them are. In particular, I think 2 2 the version of Face of the Universe that is posted published something, a curriculum, and you take that 3 on the Martin article I think it is may be 4 curriculum and publish false facts about it, I'm put 4 different. 5 in a position even if the false facts don't directly 5 6 damage me per se, being disparagement, I either have Q. And is it your understanding that it's 6 to allow the public to have a false impression or different in a way that is more revelatory of 7 7 NXIVM's trade secrets in the article as opposed to 8 must publish the true facts in order to either 8 counter or add to whatever is out there. at the copyright office? 9 9 A. I think even the fact that Martin had the Being forced to publish, in my estimation, 10 10 trade secret information makes that so but I would can be very damaging. 11 11 Q. But in the instances you're talking about in -- I believe so, yes. 12 12 MR. SKOLNIK: Now would be a good time 13 Paragraph 5 you're being forced to publish by virtue 13 of false and disparaging statements made about you to break, Tom. 14 or NXIVM, is that correct? MR. CAMPION: Sure. 15 15 A. I'm sorry. I'm having trouble reading it. 16 16 That's why -- "Additionally, a multitude of (Witness excused.) 17 17 factually false statements surrounded by logically (At this point, the luncheon recess was 18 18 invalid conclusions are used to" damage us (sic) -taken.) 19 19 or "disparage and damage us." So I think there's 20 20

> 24 25 Q. Okay. In other words, damage that flows from

Page 524

21

22

23

to publish.

Page 526

AFTERNOON SESSION

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 1:20.

KEITH ALAN RANIERE, previously 6 sworn, resumed the stand and testifies on his oath 7 as follows: 8

CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SKOLNIK: 10

- Q. Mr. Raniere, let me ask you to turn to 11
- Paragraph 5 of your Affidavit. 12
- 13 A. Yes.

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

q

19

- Q. And in Paragraph 5 before listing various 14
- items you say, "False statement damage as of 8/8/03. 15
- Additionally, a multitude of factually false 16
- statements surrounded by logically invalid 17
- conclusions are used to disparage and damage us." 18
 - Did I read that correctly?

A. I think so. 20

- Q. Okay. So am I correct to understand that 21
- what you are referring to in Paragraph 5 is damage 22
- that you believe stems from false statements that 23
- disparage you and/or NXIVM rather than damage from 24
- misuse of NXIVM's trade secrets or copyrights? 25

- a decision that you or NXIVM make to publish?
- A. Yeah. That's part of it, I think, yes. 2

damage outside of the disparagement.

A. Forcing us to publish where we wouldn't want

Q. And what is that damage?

- Q. Well, you say "part of it." What else is it? 3
- A. Well, I -- at the time I wrote this, it was 4
- 5 awhile ago. I would contemplate more. Let's see. There are damages from disparagement, damages from 6
- 7 false -- forcing to publish. I suspect there's also
- damages if someone says something that's inaccurate
- 8
- that doesn't necessarily disparage you but misleads 9
- people in interacting with you. For example, if 10
- you're a plastic surgeon and I publish that you only 11
- do some other type of operation, and that's the only 12
- 13
- information that could be out there, people who
- might use you as a plastic surgeon, although not 14
- thinking badly of you, may not use you. And, 15
- 16 therefore, you would be damaged by a non-disparaging
- false published statement. 17

So I think when I said "damages," I was also talking about a greater universe than just disparagement.

- Q. All right. But this is not damage that flows 21 from the publication of NXIVM's trade secrets or 22
- copyrights, is that right --23
 - A. No, I think it could.
- 25 Q. -- in Paragraph 5?

18

19

20

5

12

22

25

Page 527

A. No, I think it could. 1

- Q. How could it? 2
- A. Well, if they're publishing things that are 3
- not only just the copywritten materials but they 4
- say, I have NXIVM's manual. I am a psychiatrist 5
- which implies -- or a professor, whatever it is, 6
- which implies I have certain earned authority, I 7
- render these opinions, the opinions themselves may 8
- not be disparaging but may mislead potential 9
- customers to think we're a gynecologist instead of a 10
- plastic surgeon or... 11
- Q. So the statements may be misleading, but are 12
- they revelations of NXIVM's trade secrets or 13
- copyrights? 14
- A. They can be. That's in addition. 15
- Q. I'm talking about what you're addressing in 16
- 17

22

23

24

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

- A. Okay. The additional things or when it says, 18
- "Additionally, a multitude of factually false 19
- statements surrounded by invalid conclusions are 20
- used to disparage and damage us"? 21

Are you asking me is it possible that a multitude of factually false statements surrounded by logically invalid conclusions could aid or reveal

trade secrets? 25

people interfacing with our organization containing

these false facts and our copyrighted material and I

Page 529

Page 530

have received messages and a threatening e-mail addressed from a neighbor." 4

What information pack are you referring to?

A. There were information packs and have been 6

that were put together with information from the 7

Ross site. I also had heard that Rick Ross had an 8

- information pack that he gave to people like Michael 9 Sutton. 10
- Q. Who did you hear that from? 11
 - A. I heard that from Michael Sutton, and I had
- also heard rumor one of the neighbors that were 13
- opposing one of the NXIVM building projects said 14
- that an information pack from Rick Ross that could 15
- be just information from the Ross site was passed 16
- around. 17
- Q. Have you ever seen any of these information 18
- 19
- A. Yes, I have. I've seen at least two of them. 20
- Q. Have they been produced in this lawsuit? 21
 - A. I don't know.

MR. SKOLNIK: Well, let me request on 23

the record that these are encompassed by our prior 24

document demands and I would ask for their

Page 528

- Q. No. I'm asking you whether or not the specific examples that you list here --2
- A. Okay. I didn't read them. I'm sorry. Do 3
- you want me to? 4
- Q. Yes. 5
- A. I have to switch. 6
 - Okay. So your question, please.
 - MR. SKOLNIK: Would you read the question back, please.

9

(A discussion was held off the record.

The following was read back by the

reporter:

"So the statements may be misleading, but are they revelations of NXIVM's trade secrets or copyrights.")

A. I think when you say revelations of 16

copyrights, I'm not exactly sure what you mean. 17

- They certainly utilize our copyrighted information. 18
- I think there are a number of mechanisms under which 19
- this causes revelation of trade secrets; and I think 20
- some of these statements certainly, even false 21 statements, can provide a window into trade secrets 22
- 23 so...
- Q. Okay. Under "Mail and email" in Paragraph 5 24
- you say, "An information pack has been sent to key

production. 1

MR. McGUIRE: Send us a letter to that 2 effect indicating where they were mentioned in 3

document demands. 4

MR. SKOLNIK: Well, among other things, 5

Harold's letter of March 27th asked for a copy of 6

any information pack that Mr. Raniere has received 7

that relates to NXIVM. This is the third time, as 8

far as I'm concerned, that we are asking for this. 9

MR. LEONARD: If you ask Mr. Raniere if 10 he had any, which is the next logical question, 11

he'll tell you. 12

13

14

17

22

MR. SKOLNIK: That request is addressed to NXIVM as well as Mr. Raniere. Mr. Raniere said that he has seen them, and I am asking for them to 15 be produced. 16

(Request.)

BY MR. SKOLNIK: 18

- Q. What about the messages and e-mail that you 19
- referred to? Were those produced? 20
- A. I don't know. I cannot produce them. 21 Q. Do you know who sent the information pack
- that you're referring to in Paragraph 5? 23
- A. There are several of them. No, I do not know 24
- where most of the information packs that I have 25

- heard of originated, although Michael Sutton said
- 2 Rick Ross gave him that information pack directly.
- 3 Q. Other than Michael Sutton's claim that
- 4 Rick Ross gave him an information pack, do you know
- 5 who sent any of the other information packs that you
- 6 are referring to in Paragraph 5?
- 7 A. No, I do not.
- 8 Q. Under, "Loss of key people," you say, "We
- 9 have lost a 4 year veteran Principal Coach damage
- that is beyond direct calculation because of these
- 11 things. Goldie Hawn cancelled her engagement with
- 12 us next week because of the false press. A
- 13 billionaire network founder who had claimed
- 14 extensive involvement with us has left because of
- 15 the false reports. Key people have said they feel
- 16 vulnerable and, knowing the falsehood of the
- 17 information and knowing Rick Ross's criminal record
- 18 (published on the internet and defended in his
- 19 site), fear being attacked similarly. They are
- 20 scared of being attacked because they support us."
- 21 Did I read that correctly?
- 22 A. I believe so.
- 23 Q. Now, again, in this paragraph the damage here
- 24 flows from the false statements and disparagement?
- 25 A. I think the damage flows from the taking of

1 A. He didn't say. I don't think he -- he does,

Page 533

- 2 but I don't know.
- 3 Q. What is the name -- I'm sorry. What is the
- 4 basis for the statement, "Goldie Hawn cancelled her
- 5 engagement with us next week because of the false
- 6 press"?
- 7 A. It was my understanding that Goldie Hawn was
- 8 concerned having her representation or having her
- 9 reputation associated with us and because although
- 10 she thought -- and this is hearsay -- that it was
- 11 outrageous or seemingly exaggerated, she did not
- 12 want to engage in that engagement.
- 13 Q. Did you speak to her?
- 14 A. No, I did not.

17

1

13

14

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. So everything that you know about what
- 16 she had to say is hearsay, is that right?
 - A. Yes, absolutely.
- 18 Q. Let me ask you to turn to Paragraph 44 of
- your Affidavit and specifically to subparagraph (d) like David.

And in subparagraph (d) you say, "Here is the quote, 'Unsubstantiated extravagant claims: As

- 'proof' of the value of their 'technology,' theqroup claims unprecedented results training over
 - 400,000 individuals. Yet their website only comes

Page 532

- the material and all of the damages relating to that
- 2 which are multifaceted.
- 3 Q. What's the name of the four-year veteran
- 4 principal coach who left?
- 5 A. Peter Fallon.
- 6 Q. And what is the basis for your belief that
- 7 Mr. Fallon left because of the Ross website?
- 8 A. Conversations that I had with him. He said
- 9 he left because his wife had a phone call with
- 10 Rick Ross. He believed it originated from reading
- 11 the website, that he's a local business person --
- which he is -- and felt he couldn't afford to have
- 13 his reputation relating to us or being related to us
- 14 because of the things that are on the website.
- Q. Did he specifically mention the Martin orHochman articles?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. What did he say about them?
- 19 A. He felt that it looked pretty bad that a
- 20 person of the authority of John Hochman, who in the
- 21 article it's titled I guess he's an assistant or an
- 22 associate professor and a psychiatrist, would say
- 23 such things about us, true or untrue.
- Q. Did Mr. Fallon tell you that he believed any
- of the information in the articles?

up with a few dozen testimonials. That's about one

- 2 testimonial for every 10,000 participants. Here is
- 3 the fact: in my biography it states as part of my
- 4 past credentials, 'Built an organization that
- 5 started with 5 people in his living room and grew to
- 6 almost 400,000.' It is true that I trained almost
- 7 400,000 in my past company (Consumers' Buyline.)
- 8 This is because I created the training program, I
- 9 made the training videos, etc. This has nothing to
- 10 do with NXIVM. NXIVM Corporation has trained about
- 2700 The This section of the section
- 11 3700 people. This untrue statement just serves to
- 12 discredit us and make us look bad."
 - discredit as and make as look be

Did I read that correctly?

- A. I believe so.
- 15 Q. Okay. Would you agree that the quote that
- 16 you repeat in Paragraph 44 doesn't disclose any
- 17 NXIVM trade secrets?
- A. I'm sorry. Let me switch glasses so I could read it specifically.

I would say that that statement again is possibly a window that could be used in for trade secrets, but I don't see that as disclosing any trade secret because in itself I don't think it comes directly from the manual. I think he is claiming to have read the manual and studied us and

14

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

Page 535

giving insight based on seeing the stolen materials or the trade secret materials. It appears he's trying to give his readers insight to potentially 3

the trade secret information. Q. Well, the specific quote addresses the claim 5 of 400,000 individuals and attempts to debunk that 6 7

by saying that there were only a few. None of that is a trade secret, is it?

A. Not that I see in itself. 9

2

8

22

Q. Okay. So if it's damaging, it's because it's 10 false? 11

A. It also can be damaging because we need to 12 publish material to counter it if it is in some ways 13 deflecting business. It also could be damaging 14 because -- and I don't -- I cannot second guess how 15 people would reverse engineer or solve problems, but 16 for all I know, something in there could be used to 17 reverse engineer.

18 Q. Well, look at the quote, Mr. Raniere, and 19 tell me what in the quote could be used to 20 re-engineer, to reverse engineer anything. 21

A. I can't tell you that. If I were to use this quote to reverse engineer -- and I thought about it 23 for a long time -- I don't know if I would find 24

anything. I do not see anything apparently that 25

this quote doesn't disclose any genuine NXIVM trade

secrets?

A. I can't say that it can't be used as a 3

window. I do not -- I see where it uses the term

"shifter strategies." That's something that is

unique to us and important and self-descriptive. 6

Someone who understands game theory even from that 7

may be able to derive some of what we're talking 8 about. This I think is more revealing than the last

9 paragraph we spoke of. 10

Q. In what way is the phrase "shifter 11

strategies" self-descriptive? 12

A. I'll give you a basic example of a -- a shifter strategy is someone who is shifting value in order to steal it. When they are winning, they are 15 not cheating; and when they are cheating, they are 16 not winning.

There are many examples of a shifter strategy. For example, I can say -- I can go on the news and say, you know, it's -- it's not that John was accused of killing 10 people. I don't believe that report at all. You can look it up and see that it's public data, but I don't believe it whatsoever, and I'm certainly not going to say that. In a sense, I am.

Page 536

Page 538

Page 537

- could be used to reverse engineer.
- Q. Okay. In Paragraph 44(f) you say, 2
- "Pre-emptive neutralization of criticism of the 3
- group by participants and their family/friends early 4
- on: What the group calls 'shifter strategies' is 5
- given much attention in the Intensive. It is 6
- clearly taught that these represent undesirable 7
- behaviors that should be met with disapproval by 8
- other group members. The end result is that if a
- 9 participant criticizes things that the group does,
- 10
- he is a 'suppressive.' Again, Hochman is attempting 11
- to prove we are an authoritarian container cult. 12
- Firstly, we teach most if not all people have 13
- suppressive tendencies both people who have taken 14
- our course and not. Its is natural. Secondly, 15
- suppression has nothing to do with rational 16
- criticism. It is an act of destruction based on 17
- hate. Thirdly, we believe it is dishonorable to 18
- call someone a 'suppressive.' This paragraph goes 19
- against the fabric of conduct in our organization." 20
 - Did I read that correctly?
 - A. I believe so.

21

- Q. So since you are disputing Hochman's 23
- observations and believe that it goes against the 24
- fabric of conduct in NXIVM, would you agree that

- Q. But none of that is contained within the 1
- quote, is it? 2
- A. I think that someone who certainly 3
- understands game theories and strategies could start
- to think, oh, some of these strategies you could 5
- call shifter or shifting. What an interesting name 6
- and ---7
- Q. But when you say "some of these strategies," 8
- they would have to come up with the strategies. The 9
- strategies are not stated in the quote, are they? 10
- A. I was talking about the strategies of game 11
- 12 theory.
- Q. NXIVM's shifter strategies --13
- A. Uh-huh. 14
- Q. -- are not identified or described at all in 15
- that quote, are they? 16
- A. Not in completion or not directly, but I 17
- think that this affords a window, especially for 18
- certain elements of education within the population, 19
- to derive things about us and derive things that are 20
- confidential and important. 21
- Q. Is the foundation of the Rational Inquiry 22
- method embodied in the patent application? 23
- A. The foundation of the Rational Inquiry method 24
- -- I don't know. I wouldn't say the foundation of 25

- the Rational Inquiry method is embodied in the 1
- patent application because we're patenting features 2
- from the Rational Inquiry method. Some of the 3
- things within the Rational Inquiry method may not 4
- even be patentable. I don't know. Some of them are 5
- -- I don't know if all confidential or trade secret 6
- information is necessarily patentable. 7
- Q. Is the entirety of the Rational Inquiry 8
- method memorialized in any documents?
- A. I don't think so. 10
- Q. Did you prepare any drafts of different 11
- versions of the Rational Inquiry method over the 30 12
- years that you were developing it? 13
- A. I don't believe so. 14

(Document entitled Application for

- Letters Patent Bates stamped P000000685 through 768 16
- was received and marked Defendant's Exhibit 17
- Raniere-19 for Identification.) 18
- BY MR. SKOLNIK: 19

15

- Q. Mr. Raniere, do you recognize what has been 20
- marked as Raniere-19? 21
- A. No. I mean, I can ascertain what it is. 22
- Q. Have you ascertained what it is? 23
- A. I think it's a patent application, but I do 24
- notice there's an assignment in here that I signed. 25

the importance of accuracy and precision and

Page 541

Page 542

- completeness in patent applications?
- A. With respect to patents, I believe so. 3
- Q. Okay. Now, you're aware that this 4
- application is available on the internet?
- A. I have heard that there is either the
- international version or Canadian version or 7
- something available, but if it is, it is. 8
- Q. Okay. Let me ask you to turn to Page 712 9
- which is called "Summary of the Invention." 10
- A. Yes. 11
- Q. And, again, you reviewed -- you reviewed this 12
- 13 application before it was filed?
- A. I am not positive, especially this version. 14
- This may be a version that was submitted for 15
- international submission or different countries, and 16
- I probably did not review it then. 17
- Q. Is it your understanding that the version 18
- submitted for other countries was different than the 19
- one submitted in the United States? 20
- A. I think there are differences, although I'm 21
- not positive. 22
- Q. Okay. 23
- A. This is certainly less information in my 24
 - understanding than what was submitted to the patent

Page 540

- office. 1
- Q. So, if anything, the patent office was given 2
- more information? 3
- A. Uh -- yes, I'm sorry. 4
- Q. Let me ask you to just -- well, can you tell 5
- me whether or not the section entitled "Summary of
- the Invention" does, in fact, present a fair and 7
- accurate summary of the Rational Inquiry method? 8
- A. I would have to read it. I doubt it presents 9
- a complete summary of, if you will, the complete 10
- method. I suspect it presents a summary of the 11
- invention in part derived from the invention and 12
- parts of the invention and effects of the invention 13
- that we want to patent. 14
- Q. Okay, and then at Page 715 there's a section 15
- headed "Detailed Description of the Invention." 16
- Right? 17
- A. Yes. 18
- Q. Okay, and is it your understanding that the 19
- application was put together to present a fair and 20
- precise and detailed description of the Rational 21
- Inquiry method? 22
- A. I believe the application was put together to 23
- have a fair and detailed description of the 24
 - invention that we want to patent, which was not all

Other than that, I don't know if I've seen any of 1 this before. 2

- Q. Okay. Well, let me represent for the record 3
- that it is a copy of the patent application and 4
- related materials for the Rational Inquiry method 5
- that was produced in this litigation as Document 6
- Number P000000685 through P000000768, and let me ask 7
- you to turn to the page with the number in the right 8
- P000000711. 9

Do you know who drafted this patent 10 application?

- 11 A. I believe Arlen Olsen, but I'm not positive. 12
- Q. Were you involved at all in the drafting and 13
- assembling of the application? 14
- A. I believe to some degree. 15
- Q. Once it was completed, did you review it? 16
- A. I know I reviewed parts of it. I'm not sure 17 if I reviewed this whole application, and I believe
- 18 the original application was far more than this 19
- 20 so...
- Q. Far more than this? 21
- A. Yeah. This is -- I think there were a bunch 22
- of information that was provided to the patent 23
- office as some sort of basis for certain things. 24

of the Rational Inquiry method nor all of the trade 1 2

- Q. Okay. And would you turn to Page 716, and 3
- let me ask you to read into the record the text 4
- beginning at Line 5 and running through the end of 5
- that paragraph. 6
- A. I don't have a -- P760, 60? 7
- Q. 716. 8

10

- A. Oh, I'm sorry. 9
 - Beginning at which? I'm sorry.
- Q. At Line 5. 11
- A. Line 5: "A portion of the disclosure of this 12
- patent document contains material which is subject 13
- to copyright protection. The copyright owner has no 14
- objection to facsimile (or) reproduction by anyone 15
- of the patent disclosure as it appears in the Patent 16
- and Trademark Office public patent files or records, 17
- but otherwise reserves all copyrights." (sic) 18
- Q. Okay, so --19
- A. -- "all copyright rights." 20
- Q. So you were aware that the Patent and 21
- Trademark Office maintains public files and records, 22
- is that right? 23
- A. I've never seen that paragraph before, as far 24
- as I know, but I guess they do. 25

Scripting. The purpose of the Rules and Rituals and 1

- Scripting module is for students to develop a deep 2
- appreciation and understanding of ESP 52. The 3
- coaches ask students to think of as many 4
- organizations as they can that require their members
- to wear some sort of garment to meetings or uniform 6
- to work. The coaches ask students to name 3 7
- professions in which a title is used in addressing 8
- the professional. The coaches ask students to think 9
- of 3 situations in which certain rituals are used at 10
- the start of the activity or meeting. The coaches 11
- ask students to name 3 situations where groups begin 12
- a meeting or session by reciting a statement." 13
- Q. Okay. And now would you please turn to Page 14
- 729 and read for the record the detailed description 15
- of ESP 52 module 10. 16
- A. Oh, is that starting at the top? 17
- 18 Q. Yes.
- A. "ESP 52 module 10 includes the parasite/ 19
- producer concepts module. The purpose of this 20
- module is for students to understand and identify 21
- parasite and effort strategies, so they can rid 22
- themselves of parasite patterns, employ all effort 23
- strategies raising capability and self-esteem and to 24
- protect against being manipulated or taken advantage 25

- Q. Okay, and are you aware that anyone might be
- 1 able to arrange for a facsimile or reproduction of 2
- the patent disclosure? 3
- A. It is my understanding that because this 4
- patent originally was filed before -- it's either 5
- some date in 1999 or 2000 -- it remained what was 6
- considered submerged and not public information up 7
- until a certain point. So when this was filed, if 8
- this is the original application, it was my 9
- understanding that it was not going to be published. 10
- Q. Well, I'm not talking now about publication. 11
- I'm talking about --12
- A. Or available. 13
- Q. -- facsimile or reproduction. 14
- 15 A. Right.
- Q. So, in other words, the statement in Lines 5 16
- through 11 that you just read comes as news to you? 17
- A. Yes. 18
- Q. Okay. And now if you'd turn to Page 719 and 19
- read for the record the paragraph beginning at 20
- 21
- A. 3. "ESP 52 module 1 includes a Rules and 22
- Rituals and Scripting module. The Rules and Rituals 23
- and Scripting module includes handshaking, Rules and 24
- Rituals, an introduction to the basic modules 64 and

Page 546

- of by others. The coaches lead the students to 1
- learn about the parasites. Parasite strategies keep 2 people dependent on others and lower self-esteem.
- 3
- Effort strategies create independence and raise 4
- self-esteem. The parasite is dependent on the 5
- world. Parasites are nice when the chips are down 6
- or when life is hard" on them -- "hard for them. 7
- When things are good, they don't need anything they 8
- have a tendency to change, they can become mean, 9
- abusive or self-destructive. A producer's internal 10
- state is separate and distinct from conditions in 11
- the outside world, therefore they remain the same
- 12 whether life is easy or difficult with regard to how 13
- they treat others. The term parasite is not a value 14
- judgment. A positive meaning of manipulation is to
- 15
- move by hand in a skillful manner, to manage or 16
- utilize skillfully. A negative meaning of 17
- manipulation is to control or play upon by artful, 18
- unfair or insidious means so as to serve" -- hold on 19
- -- "one's own advantage. In this definition there 20
- is covert intention to move someone in an 21
- underhanded fashion." 22
- Q. Okay. Would you agree that the descriptions 23
- that you just read are intended to be clear and to 24
- provide the reader with the information necessary to 25

4

5

7

Page 547

- understand the invention?
- A. I think they are written to be clear enough 2
- so that the invention could be patented, yes. 3
- Q. And to provide the reader with the 4
- information necessary to understand the invention? 5
- A. I don't -- if the reader is assumed to be a 6
- patent examiner, yes. I don't know if this was 7
- written in such a way that the public should R
- necessarily be able to read it. I don't know what 9
- the law is on that. I didn't write this. 10
- Q. Okay. And the invention we're talking about 11
- is the Rational Inquiry method, isn't it? 12
- A. The invention that we're talking about is 13
- aspects and effects from the Rational Inquiry method 14
- but not the whole Rational Inquiry method. 15
- Q. Right. But, again, if you -- if you look at 16
- Page 711, the invention is called the Rational 17
- Inquiry method; isn't it? 18
- A. Hold on. It says Rational Inquiry method on 19
- top, yes. 20
- Q. Okay and ---21
- A. I don't know if that means the invention is 22
- called that, by the way. It's the title on the top 23
- 24 of the page.
- Q. All right. You don't know whether or not 25

- was public.
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me. We have 2

Page 549

Page 550

- 3 to change tapes.
 - (At this point, there was a short
 - recess.)
- 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning
 - of Tape Number 4. We're on.
- 8 BY MR. SKOLNIK:
- Q. Mr. Raniere, which do you believe gives a 9
- more complete and accurate insight into the Rational 10
- Inquiry method and NXIVM's modules, the Martin 11
- Hochman articles or NXIVM's patent application? 12
- A. I think they're both different, and I think 13 the patent application is something that we chose to
- 14
- pursue with our property. The Hochman report is 15
- not. I think the Hochman report seeks to disclose 16 possibly as much as it can or the heart. I think 17
- the patent application seeks to disclose what we're 18
- looking to patent as an invention and protect. My 19
- understanding is that the patent application
- discloses to protect, so I think it's apples to
- oranges. I don't know which discloses more about 22
- the stuff that we want to protect as private. I 23
- think this is stuff that we don't want to protect as 24
- 25 private so much.

Page 548

Q. Let me ask my question again. 1

- Which gives a more complete insight into
- Rational Inquiry, the Martin Hochman articles or the 3
- **NXIVM** patent application? 4
- A. I can't evaluate that completely. I will say 5
- 6 the NXIVM patent application certainly contains a
- 7 lot more information.
- Q. Okay. Which reveals more of NXIVM's trade 8
- secrets, the Martin Hochman articles or NXIVM's 9
- 10 patent application?
- A. Well, I would think the Martin article or the 11
- Hochman article would. 12
- Q. Why is that? 13
- A. 'Cause if we're patenting something, it's not 14
- a trade secret. 15
- Q. You talked both last time and frequently 16
- 17 today about the articles and statements in the
- articles providing windows into NXIVM's trade 18
- secrets. 19
- A. Uh-huh. 20
- Q. Which provides more windows into NXIVM's 21
- trade secrets, the Martin Hochman articles or 22
- NXIVM's patent application? 23
- 24 A. It's hard to discern.
- Q. Is it?

- it's called that? 1
- 2 A. Right.
- Q. All right. Let me ask you finally to turn to 3
- Page 762, and in addition to the detailed 4
- description of some of the modules that we just 5
- read, there's a list of all 21 modules for which the 6
- application has provided the reader with the 7
- information necessary to understand the invention; 8
- 9
- A. I don't know if that's true. There is a list 10
- here of 21 modules. I don't know what of each of 11
- these modules was provided, I don't, but enough is 12
- provided of these modules to hopefully provide a 13
- basis for granting a patent. 14
- Q. And enough information for the reader to 15
- understand the invention, at least a sophisticated 16
- 17 reader: right?
- A. Yeah, whatever is necessary. 18
- Q. Okay. Is it possible for a person to compete 19
- with NXIVM by using only what is disclosed in the 20
- patent application? 21
- A. I imagine that could be true. I don't know 22
- what the law is related to patent pending 23
- information; and at the time when this was released,
- which I don't know when that was, I don't know if it 25

A. For me because the patent applications are crafted to protect certain things and not disclose others, and it's geared to protect. It's geared to uphold. It's geared for a purpose of positive protection.

The Hochman articles and the Martin articles were geared to disclose, were geared to disparage, let's say were not -- I doubt it is Hochman's or Martin's mindset to say, I want to positively protect all of NXIVM's material, since they had materials inappropriately.

11 Q. I'm not asking you about the intent or what 12

the different pieces were geared to. I'm asking you 13 whether or not more windows into NXIVM's trade

14

secrets are revealed in the patent application than 15

in the Martin and Hochman articles. 16 17

A. Lunderstand. And what I'm saying is with a patent application you are specifically trying not to provide windows. You're trying to protect

something. The way this is worded, the way what you're calling the windows are presented to me seem

less so. In the Hochman and Martin articles, they 22 have a manual. They're quoting orders of concepts 23

and words and page references. 24

In here, I don't see the orders being quoted

Page 553

facilitator notes which were very confidential and he -- if what I read was correct -- duplicated those 2

things exactly. None of that is at all in the 3

purview of this patent application. These are only

the 21 modules of the students; not the coaching 5

notes, not the facilitator notes, not any of those 6

things. And it's being used for a specific purpose 7

of quantifying certain inventions.

Q. Do you have an office at NXIVM headquarters? 9

A. No. 10

Q. From 2003 to the present, have you held any 11

position at all with NXIVM? 12

A. Other than the titular position of Vanguard, 13

14 no.

17

20

21

22

25

Q. Right. What about First Principles or ESP? 15

16

Q. And is that true also of the period prior to

2003? 18

A. Yes. 19

> THE WITNESS: Are we done with the patent application or the deposition -- or the Affidavit?

MR. SKOLNIK: Well, keep them at hand. 23 THE WITNESS: I'll just put them here. 24

(Confidentiality Agreement Effective

Page 552

- the same way at all, and in the description of the
- invention it looks like care has been taken not to 2
- do that. 3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

21

25

- Q. Didn't you just read descriptions of modules 4
- that went point by point about the way the module is 5
- organized? 6
- A. No. It said content of what was in the 7
- modules to some degree. I don't even know if that 8
- was the specific order. I -- well, I don't know.
- It might be the specific order. I don't think so. 10
- Q. Okay. 11
- A. The order was not important for this 12 application. 13
- Q. Isn't it a fact, Mr. Raniere, that someone 14
- who is sufficiently intelligent and motivated and 15
- who wants to compete with NXIVM or duplicate its 16
- training would be able to do that far more easily 17
- and quickly by studying the entire RIM patent 18
- application that NXIVM has placed on the internet 19
- and the entire modules that NXIVM has made available 20
- at the copyright office and through its online court 21
- records than through the excerpts contained in the 22
- Martin and Hochman articles? 23
- A. I don't agree with that because especially in 24
- the Martin articles there were excerpts from the

Page 554

- 5/13/06 Bates stamped Confidential SP1013 through
- 2030 was received and marked Defendant's Exhibit
- Raniere-20 for Identification.) 3
- 4 BY MR. SKOLNIK:
- Q. Mr. Raniere, can you identify what has been 5
- marked as Raniere ---6

THE REPORTER: 20. 7

- Q. -- 20? 8
- A. It appears to be a Confidentiality Agreement 9
- for Michael Sutton. 10
- Q. And it's dated effective May 13, 2006; is 11
- that right? 12
- A. Yes. That's what it says. 13
- Q. And it lists the parties entering into the 14
- Confidentiality Agreement. 15

Do you notice that NXIVM is not listed?

- A. Where? In the beginning? 17
- 18 Q. In the beginning.
- A. (Witness reads to himself.) 19

I don't know what the legality is with 20

- respect to Executive Success Programs, Inc., if that 21
- represents NXIVM or not and I don't know -- well, it 22
- lists First Principles, Inc., Executive Success 23
- Programs, Inc., Nancy Salzman with residence, Keith 24
- Raniere with residence or principal place of 25

Page 557 Page 555 Memorandum to Kathy Russell Bates business. 1 1 Q. Okay. Now, this agreement was executed in 2 stamped SP0429 was received and marked Defendant's 2 Exhibit Raniere-26 for Identification. 2006. Why are you listed on the agreement? 3 3 April 8, 2004, Memorandum Bates stamped A. Because I think I've been on the agreement 4 4 all along and because I created the intellectual 5 SP0432 was received and marked Defendant's Exhibit 5 Raniere-27 for Identification. property I think the attorneys felt it was important 6 6 7 Confidential and Legal Product 7 that I be on there. Q. Are you on current agreements? 8 Memorandum to Kathy Russell from Joseph J. O'Hara 8 Bates stamped SP0518 was received and marked A. I don't know. 9 9 Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-28 for Identification.) 10 Q. Did you know that Joseph O'Hara was not 10 licensed in New York when he was hired by NXIVM? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the 11 11 12 record at 2:34. A. No. 12 Q. Were you involved in the decision to hire 13 BY MR. SKOLNIK: 13 Joe O'Hara? Q. Mr. Raniere, do you have Exhibits Raniere-21 14 14 through 28 in front of you? A. To some degree, I voiced opinion. 15 15 Q. What was your involvement in that decision? A. No, I do not. 16 16 A. My understand -- well, I had met Joe O'Hara. (A discussion was held off the record. 17 17 At this point, there was a short He seemed to be a person that was a lawyer and also 18 18 a business person and could be a lead in-house 19 recess.) 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the corporate counsel of sorts and could provide very 20 20 21 valuable information on law and business to Nancy 21 record at 2:43. and Executive Success Programs, NXIVM. BY MR. SKOLNIK: 22 22 Q. Mr. Raniere, as I mentioned before we took MR. SKOLNIK: Okay. I think this is a 23 23

Page 556

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

Я

9

10

11

12

13

14

document.

O'Hara Group.

question.

generally receive them?

Page 558

MR. SKOLNIK: But let me say that when 1 we come back from the break I will have asked the 2 reporter to mark several documents, and for each of 3 those documents, I'm going to ask you to identify 4 the document if you can and to tell us if you 5 received it. 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off. 8 (At this point, there was a short 9 10 recess.) (May 10, 2004, Memorandum Bates stamped 11 SP1163 through 1165 was received and marked 12 13 Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-21 for Identification. Note to Keith with attachments Bates 14 stamped SP0903 through 0907 was received and marked 15 16

good time to take a short break.

MR. CAMPION: Sure.

24

25

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-22 for Identification. 1/26/05 e-mail Bates stamped SP0480 was received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-23 for Identification.

8/7/04 e-mail Bates stamped SP1284 was received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-24 for Identification.

11/28/04 e-mail Bates stamped SP1814 was received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-25 for Identification.)

MR. CAMPION: You can answer. 15 16 A. I have no idea. If I didn't receive them, I wouldn't know I didn't receive them. I did not 17 receive many documents from Joe O'Hara. 18 Q. Okay. Do you have any reason to believe that 19 you did not receive this document? 20 A. This document, I have to understand what it 21 is. 22 23

I did see a document relating to finances at one point. I do not trust any documents from Joe O'Hara, so I don't know if this is the document I

our break, I'm showing you now several documents,

and what I'm going to do is I'm just going to

identify the document; and my only question for

you is to tell us whether or not you received the

Raniere-21 is a memo dated May 10, 2004, from

Joe O'Hara to you and Nancy Salzman about Proposed

New Financial Arrangements Between NXIVM and The

Q. When Joe O'Hara sent things to you, did you

MR. CAMPION: Object to the form of that

MR. McGUIRE: Object to the form of the

A. Okay. I don't know if I received this.

- saw. The subject matter seems somewhat familiar.
- 2 Q. Okay. How about Raniere-22, which is an
- 3 undated draft agreement addressed to "Keith"
- 4 attaching a strategic plan, a proposed strategic
- 5 plan for NXIVM?
- 6 A. Undated? On the top it says, "As of
- 7 September 22, 2003"?
- 8 Q. Right.
- 9 A. I don't know if I received this either. Some
- 10 of the subject matter looks familiar, in particular,
- 11 I don't know who boxed in "Riker/Danzig." It was
- 12 something that Joe had told me at one point, that
- 13 Riker Danzig was an important firm in New Jersey and
- 14 that we should continue to consider hiring them, so
- 15 that was not available.
- 16 Q. You don't recognize the document as something
- 17 that you received, is that right?
- 18 A. No, I don't. I may have received it.
- 19 Q. You may have received it?
- 20 A. Yeah.
- 21 Q. Okay. What about Raniere-23, which is a
- January 26, 2005, e-mail? The subject is Re:
- 23 Sitrick, and it's addressed to an e-mail address
- 24 Sage11 with copies to kunterre@nycap.rr.com, Nancy
- 25 Salzman and Kristin Keeffe, and it concerns a

- 1 28, 2004, from Joe O'Hara to the kunterre e-mail
- 2 address and addressed "Keith."
- 3 A. Uh-huh. I don't know if I received this
- 4 e-mail. I am somewhat familiar with the subject
- 5 matter, though.
- 6 Q. Do you have any reason to believe you didn't
- 7 receive the e-mail?
- 8 A. 1) That I don't trust Joe O'Hara; 2) That I
- 9 don't specifically remember this. So I may have
- 10 received it but not read it 'cause I spoke to him
- 11 relating to this building, and I don't know who
- 12 John Naftzger is so...
- 13 Q. Well, when you say you don't trust
- 14 Joe O'Hara, that mistrust had not developed by
- 15 November 28, 2004, had it?
- 16 A. Unfortunately, but he has done I think a lot
- of very improper things before I lost trust in him,
- and I do believe that he creates things so...
- 19 Q. Raniere-26, this is a memo dated November 18,
- 20 2004, from Joe O'Hara to Kathy Russell, CC to you
- 21 and Nancy Salzman with attachments, and it concerns
- 22 an Employment Agreement for Nancy Salzman.
- 23 A. Oh, I doubt I received this.
- 24 Q. You doubt you received it?
- 25 A. Yeah.

Page 560

Page 562

Page 561

- fully-executed letter agreement with Toga and NXIVM.
 - MR. McGUIRE: Peter, would you have an
- з extra copy of that? I don't have a copy of 23.
- 4 MR. SKOLNIK: I don't, Bill.
- 5 A. I don't recall receiving this, and if I had
- 6 received it, I probably wouldn't have read it.
- 7 Q. Why do you say you probably wouldn't have
- 8 read it?

1

- 9 A. If it's sent to the kunterre@nycap.rr.com,
- 10 that is an e-mail that I have shared with others.
- 11 This letter is addressed to Kathy. So if I had
- 12 received something and I saw it was addressed to
- 13 Kathy, I wouldn't read it.
- 14 Q. And who do you understand Kathy to be?
- 15 A. I suspect it's Kathy Russell because her
- 16 e-mail address is Sage11.
- 17 Q. Okay. What about Raniere-24, which is an
- 18 e-mail dated August 7, 2004? The subject is
- 19 "Confidential Material," and this is from the e-mail
- 20 address kunterre to Joe O'Hara, and it is signed
- 21 "Keith."
- 22 A. I probably wrote it then. I'm not sure, but
- 23 if it came truly from kunterre and signed it
- 24 "Keith," I'm the only Keith at that address so...
- 25 Q. Okay. Raniere-25, an e-mail dated November

- 1 Q. You would not have received an Employment
- 2 Agreement for Nancy?
- 3 A. I don't know, but also where would he carbon
- 4 copy me on this? Did he hand it to me supposedly or
- 5 e-mail it to me or...
- 6 Q. Do you remember receiving it, Mr. Raniere?
- 7 A. No. I don't.
- 8 Q. Okay. Do you have any reason to believe you
- 9 didn't receive it?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And what is that reason?
- 12 A. I can't figure out how he would have gotten
- it to me. It doesn't say he e-mailed it to me so l
- 14 don't -- I wouldn't have received it otherwise.
- 15 Q. Did Mr. O'Hara ever mail anything to you?
- 16 A. No. not that I know of.
- 17 Q. Did he ever deliver things to you personally?
- 18 A. I think there was one document that he
- delivered in a meeting, but it wasn't labeled. It
- 20 was a thing relating to the land and the change of
- 21 the financial agreement.
- 22 Q. Did he ever leave documents for you at NXIVM
- 23 with the assumption that you would pick them up?
- 24 A. I doubt that 'cause I wouldn't get them.
- 25 Q. Did he ever deliver things to you through

- 1 Nancy Salzman and Kristin Keeffe or someone else?
- 2 A. I don't think so, no.
- 3 Q. Okay. Raniere-27, it's a memo dated April 8,
- 4 2004, from Joe O'Hara to you and Nancy Salzman about
- 5 Reputation Renewal.
- 6 A. Okay. I don't think I received this. 1
- 7 don't remember it.
- 8 Q. It doesn't look familiar to you?
- 9 A. No, it does not.
- 10 Q. So you're basically saying that you don't
- 11 remember receiving any of these documents from
- 12 Mr. O'Hara?
- 13 A. Well, yeah, I don't think I remembered any
- 14 single one of these documents.
- 15 Q. Okay. And finally, Number 28, Raniere-28, a
- memo dated October 29, 2004, from Joe O'Hara to
- 17 Kathy Russell with a BCC at the top of the next page
- 18 to you and Nancy Salzman, and it concerns NXIVM's
- 19 corporate registration in Puerto Rico.
- 20 A. I don't think I received this.
- 21 Q. It doesn't look familiar?
- 22 A. No, it does not.
- 23 Q. Okay. Prior to your being named as a
- 24 counterclaim defendant, what was the nature of your
- 25 involvement in this litigation?

1 learned since -- that litigations can go on a very

Page 565

Page 566

- 2 long time and be very expensive.
- 3 Q. Were you specifically consulted about filing
- 4 a defamation claim?
- 5 A. Yes. I did -- I signed an Affidavit relating
- 6 to that, I believe, and I -- you know, I believe
- 7 that there were things that were said that were
- 8 damaging, untrue, so yes.
- 9 Q. Did you approve the filing of a defamation
- 10 claim?

17

24

25

1

2

- 11 A. Approve? I don't -- in no legal sense. I
- 12 was convinced that it was a good idea ultimately by
- 13 the attorneys.
- 14 Q. Were you asked whether or not a defamation
- 15 claim should be asserted?
- 16 A. I don't believe so.
 - Q. If you had said that a defamation claim
- 18 should not have been asserted, would it have been?
- 19 A. It might have been.
- 20 Q. What about the copyright claim? Were you
- 21 consulted about filing a copyright claim?
- 22 A. Yes, I believe I was.
- 23 Q. And did you approve filing a copyright claim?
 - MR. McGUIRE: We're not waiving any
 - privilege along these lines either, are we?

Page 564

- 1 A. Well, I think I was only superficially 2 involved. There were times when, for example, I met
- 3 Juval Aviv. I don't know if you consider that being
- 4 involved. I had met at times some of NXIVM's
- 5 attorneys when they came up. There were some
- 6 attorneys I think that sat in a forum I did at one
- 7 point; and various questions have been brought to me
- 8 at times, problems, things like that. I think
- 9 superficially I was involved.
- 10 Q. Were you involved in any decisions about what
- 11 claims to assert in this litigation?
- 12 A. No, I don't believe so. I think I gave input
- on it but, no, I wasn't directly involved in that
- 14 decision.
- 15 Q. So when you say you gave input, who asked you
- 16 for input and what was the nature of your input?
- 17 A. Since it is my property, I tend not to be
- 18 litigious. A lot of times -- the question is if
- 19 someone does something that either violates a
- 20 confidentiality agreement or things like that, if
- 21 you do not pursue it, do you lose rights. So those
- 22 are the sort of things if the property is to be
- 23 protected what has to be pursued. Those are the
- 24 sort of inputs. You know, I want the property
- 25 protected; but I also see -- and I've obviously

MR. SKOLNIK: No.

- A. When you say "approve," I believe I voiced
- 3 an opinion with questions, but I don't think what I
- 4 said is followed or not followed. In fact, I
- 5 believe at times it isn't followed, what I say.
- 6 Q. What opinion did you voice?
- 7 A. Well, the first question I asked was what
- 8 was the nature of how you evaluate a copyright
- 9 evaluation -- a copyright claim, and how could it
- 10 help address the wrongs of what I considered a
- 11 theft and a misuse of property.
- 12 Q. Did you discuss what claims would be included
- in the litigation with anyone other than your
- 14 attorneys?
- 15 A. Not that I recall.
- 16 Q. You didn't have any discussions with
- 17 Nancy Salzman about claims in the litigation?
- 18 A. I don't know specifically --

MR. McGUIRE: Is this with or without the participation of counsel?

- 21 A. I don't know enough about the claims per se.
- 22 I did discuss with Nancy that I thought our property
- had been stolen and that we were being damaged. Asfar as the specifics of what are in the claims or
 - how that is voiced or framed legally, I don't know

- 1 that, and often it seems to me that attorneys don't
- frame the issues as I would see them. 2
- Q. In addition to Nancy, did you discuss the 3
- filing of these claims with Kristin Keeffe? 4
- A. Well, I don't agree that I discussed the 5
- claims per se. And, yes, I mentioned and certainly 6
- discussed what I felt were certain damaging aspects 7
- of what had happened; but I didn't discuss the 8
- specifics of the claims, as far as I know. 9
- Q. What about with Joe O'Hara? 10
- A. Likewise, Joe would give me more advice at 11
- times on how things are framed legally compared to 12
- how things are as seen by a common person like 13
- 14
- Q. Did you specifically -- were you specifically 15
- consulted about the filing of the trade secret 16
- 17
- A. I discovered I believe looking through a 18
- book at one point that there was an advertisement or 19
- something to do with Tabi Kahler. I'm not sure if I 20
- was the person who discovered that Stephanie Franco 21
- was involved with Tabi Kahler, but I think I was 22
- involved in that. 23
- Q. Involved in what? 24
- A. The discovery that Stephanie Franco was 25

- 1 concerned.
- Q. So much for legal advice. 2
- Did you make any decisions for NXIVM 3
- regarding this litigation? 4
- A. Did I make any final decisions, no. I don't 5

Page 569

Page 570

- believe I have the authority to. 6
- Q. So other than counsel, who made those 7
- decisions? 8
- A. I have no idea. I think counsel made the 9
- decisions. 10

17

1

- Q. Well, counsel was working for a client. Who 11
- spoke for the client? 12
- A. Then I guess Nancy would. 13
- Q. Do you view your individual interests as 14
- aligned with NXIVM's interests in the litigation? 15
- A. As far as I know, yes. 16
 - Q. Because throughout your August 23 Affidavit
- that we've looked at you frequently refer to 18
- yourself and NXIVM as "we" and "us," isn't that so? 19
- A. Uh-huh, yes. 20
- Q. Why do you use the term "we" or "us" if you 21
- are not affiliated with NXIVM and are not involved 22
- in supervising the litigation? 23
- A. Because they used my property, and it's my 24
- property or it's my invention. 25

Page 568

- involved with Tabi Kahler. At one point, I also saw
- -- I was shown a website, I believe it was by
- Kristin Keeffe, where Stephanie Franco was listed 3
- as a master trainer on a Tabi Kahler website which I 4
- was surprised and felt that that was inappropriate, 5
- and I voiced that opinion to her. 6
- Q. And what did your discoveries with respect to 7
- Tabi Kahler and Stephanie Franco have to do with the 8
- decision to file a trade secret claim?
- A. I don't know exactly. I don't know when the 10
- trade secret claim was filed, and I don't know much 11
- about the trade secret claim per se. 12
- Q. You were not consulted about filing a trade 13
- secret claim, is that right? 14
- A. I don't -- I don't believe so.
- Q. Were you consulted about transferring the 16
- case from New York to New Jersey? 17
- A. Yes. 18

25

- Q. Who consulted you? 19
- A. I think one of the lawyers from Nolan & 20
- Heller and Joe O'Hara. I was assured I would never 21
- have to come to New Jersey; and I was assured since 22
- I had no business, no dealings with Jersey for many, 23
- many years, that what is happening would not happen. 24 So I said it didn't matter, as far as I was

- Q. So why are you not named as a plaintiff?
- MR. McGUIRE: It calls for a legal 2
- conclusion, doesn't it? 3
- Q. Well, let me ask you your opinion. 4
- A. I guess they felt it wasn't -- I wasn't as 5
- directly involved. Since I derive no income from 6
- NXIVM, there is no direct damages measurable to me 7
- personally, from what I understand, and I prefer to 8
- stay out of litigations to a degree. I did not 9
- completely agree with going into a large litigation. 10
- Q. Was it your decision not to be a plaintiff? 11
- A. Well, in some aspects, it was. If I was 12
- asked to be a plaintiff, I probably would have said 13
- no, but I don't even believe I was asked. 14
- Q. The e-mail address kunterre@nycap.rr.com --15
- A. Yes. 16
- Q. -- who else uses that address? 17
- 18 A. Karen Unterreiner.
- Q. And who is Karen Unterreiner? 19
- A. She's a long-time friend of mine and business 20 21 associate.
- Q. What, if any, is her position at NXIVM? 22 A. I don't know. I believe she's a trainer; and 23
- I think she does work within the finance department 24
- and computer department, although I'm not sure. 25

- Q. When an e-mail is received at the kunterre
- 2 e-mail address, who reads it?
- 3 A. Well, Karen might read it. I might read it.
- 4 I'm not sure if anyone else in general would have
- 5 read it. That's mainly Karen's account which is
- 6 derived from her name, and that would be pretty much
- 7 it; either myself or Karen.
- 8 Q. If an e-mail comes to that address that on
- 9 its face is clearly for your attention rather than
- 10 hers, will she let you know that the e-mail has
- 11 arrived?
- 12 A. I often --
- 13 MR. McGUIRE: Object to the form of that question, but go ahead.
- 15 A. I often don't read e-mails at that account.
- 16 That account gets lots of spam and other things like
- 17 that so...
- 18 Q. To your knowledge, does Karen delete e-mails
- 19 that come to that account that are addressed to you?
- 20 A. I don't think so.
- 21 Q. What role did you play in decisions about
- 22 who would represent NXIVM on your appeal to the
- 23 United States Supreme Court in this case?
- 24 A. Well, I told Kristin and Joe. And Joe also
- 25 had advised me that we should get an attorney or a

- 1 to think if I saw anything in writing relating to
- 2 the Supreme Court. I don't recall.
- 3 Q. Do you remember receiving any e-mails
- 4 concerning the Second Circuit's copyright decision
- 5 in this case?
- 6 A. No, not off the top of my head.
- 7 Q. Do you remember any discussions or meetings
- 8 with Joe O'Hara about that decision?
- 9 A. It was discussed on a few different
- 10 occasions.
- 11 Q. Do you recall having a strategy session with
- 12 Joe O'Hara to discuss how to proceed with the trade
- 13 secret claims?
- 14 A. No. Although I well may have strategized
- 15 with Joe O'Hara relating to various legal issues, I
- 16 don't specifically recall trade secret.
- 17 Q. Did you review the various briefs that NXIVM
- 18 filed with the Second Circuit and the Supreme Court?
- 19 A. I've seen copies of some of them, but I think
- 20 it might have even been after the fact. I've seen
- 21 copies of certain legal documents. There was one
- 22 point where I think they wanted to get my thinking
- 23 on a certain document, but I don't believe the
- 24 document ever made it to me.
- Q. Did you review any of the briefs filed with

Page 572

Page 574

- 1 firm that had a lot of experience representing,
- 2 petitioning or whatever it is to the Supreme Court;
- 3 and I believe Joe found that firm, and I think he
- 4 had a -- I saw on a website once the attorney who is
- 5 doing it had a very strong record. I don't remember
- exactly what it was, but X number of times in frontof the Supreme Court and that sort of a thing.
- 8 Q. Did you meet with Joe O'Hara about the appeal
- 9 to the Supreme Court?
- 10 A. Did I meet with him? I had spoken with him
- 11 about it and about aspects of it. I don't know if I
- 12 met with him specifically about it.
- 13 Q. Do you recall any meetings with Joe O'Hara
- 14 and Nancy Salzman to discuss finalized plans for the
- 15 appeal to the Supreme Court?
- 16 A. Finalized plans, I mean, I imagine that Joe,
- 17 Nancy and myself conversed about the appeal to the
- 18 Supreme Court. I do remember that they had to
- 19 decide to either petition the Second Circuit for an
- 20 en banc I think it's called appeal or go up to the
- 21 Supreme Court so there were conversations about
- 22 that, yes.
- 23 Q. Do you recall receiving any e-mails
- 24 concerning the appeal to the Supreme Court?
- 25 A. I don't recall receiving e-mails. I'm trying

- 1 the Second Circuit or the Supreme Court before they
- 2 were filed?
- 3 A. I don't know.
- 4 Q. You don't know?
- 5 A. I don't know.
- 6 Q. When did you meet Kristin Keeffe?
- 7 A. 1990.
- 8 Q. How did you meet her?
- A. In a corporation that I created and I was the
- 10 primary shareholder and CEO, she was recruited as a
- 11 sales rep.
- 12 Q. What is her role at NXIVM?
- 13 A. My understanding is she's -- she handles
- 14 legal information. She's sort of a liaison to get
- 15 like paperwork information together. I think she
- 16 may have all the filing, also, of all the legal
- 17 documents and motions, like that.
- 18 Q. Who would you say at NXIVM is in charge of
- 19 the litigation?
- 20 A. Probably Nancy. Kristin is like -- she
- 21 handles all the information from what I understand
- 22 of the legal things.
- 23 Q. Who decided that Kristin would be the person
- to handle all of the information about the
- 25 litigation?

Page 575

- 1 A. I don't know. Maybe it was Joe. I'm not 2 sure.
- 3 Q. Did you ever tutor Kristin Keeffe about how
- 4 to research legal issues for the litigation?
- 5 A. I wouldn't say I tutored her on how to
- 6 research legal issues. I tutored her in critical
- 7 thinking.

15

16

17

19

20

- 8 Q. You didn't give her any specific instructions
- 9 about how to research legal issues?
- 10 A. I think she knows better than I do.
- 11 Q. Now, you told us last time that you never
- 12 discussed with anyone the propriety of NXIVM
- 13 arranging to interview Rick Ross through Interfor
- while a lawsuit against him was pending.

Do you recall that testimony?

- A. Not specifically. Do you have it so I could read it, the testimony?
- 18 Q. Let me just show you Page 360.

MR. LEONARD: 360?

MR. SKOLNIK: 360.

- 21 A. Okay. Starting at the top?
- 22 Q. Well, it's really in the middle of the page,
- but read as much of the page as you need.
- 24 A. Okay. I see where the testimony is. I'm not
- 25 sure I understand it but --

Page 576

- Q. Well, I'm just asking you to confirm that
- 2 your testimony was that you never discussed with
- 3 anyone the propriety of --
- 4 A. I don't believe I did.
- 5 Q. Okay. Let me just finish my question so the
- 6 record is clear.
- You never discussed with anyone the proprietyof NXIVM arranging to interview Rick Ross through
- 9 Interfor while a lawsuit was pending?
- A. Correct, because I -- I'm not sure I was aware that that was going on like that. Yes, I
- 12 believe that is true.
- 13 Q. Okay.

14

- **MR. McGUIRE:** Let the record show I object to the form of that question belatedly.
- Q. Whether or not you discussed it with anyone,
- Q. Whether or not you discussed it with anyon did you in your own mind question the ethics of
- 18 conducting those interviews?
- 19 A. No. It was always my assumption because
- 20 there were so many attorneys involved on not only
- 21 with Joe O'Hara and Nolan & Heller, but Juval had
- 22 attorneys in his office and things like that, that
- 23 they knew what they were doing. I mean, that's a
- 24 general belief I have of them.
- Q. Let me ask you to turn to the Exhibit that

1 was marked last time as Raniere-16.

(A discussion was held off the record.)

3 BY MR. SKOLNIK:

- 4 Q. And Exhibit 16, for the record, is Keith
- 5 Raniere's Responses to Defendant Rick Ross' First
- 6 Amended Set of Interrogatories to NXIVM Corporation.
- 7 And would you just turn to Page 13 and confirm for
- 8 us again that that's your signature.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Now, the actual responses begin on Page 5.
- 11 The first response is on Page 6. And Interrogatory
- 12 Number 1 concerns, among other things,
- 13 communications with Interfor regarding Rick Ross;
- 14 and in your response, the second paragraph, you say,
- 15 "Mr. Raniere states that he never spoke to
- 16 Interfor."
- 17 A. Hold on. Where is that?
- 18 Q. The second sentence or the last clause of the
- second paragraph in your response on Page 6.
- 20 A. "Mr. Raniere states that he never spoke to
- 21 interfor."

22

- Yes, I did speak to Juval Aviv.
- 23 Q. Okay. So when you said, "Mr. Raniere states
- 24 that he never spoke to Interfor," were you not
- 25 including Juval Aviv?

Page 578

- A. I met him socially, so I did not think of
- that as corporate or anything to do with this.
- 3 Q. When you met him socially, did you discuss
- 4 the work that Interfor was doing for NXIVM?
- 5 A. Not really. He told me a lot about himself,
- 6 and we didn't discuss specifically what Interfor was
- 7 doing.
- 8 Q. Did you discuss generally what Interfor was
- 9 doing?
- 10 A. No, not that I remember. It was a social
- 11 occasion, and he was telling me about his past and
- 12 the sort of things he did.
- 13 Q. Did you ask or advise anyone else to speak to
- 14 Interfor?
- MR. McGUIRE: Could I have that read
- 16 back, please? I'm sorry.
- 17 (The following was read back by the
- 18 reporter:
- "Did you ask or advise anyone else to
- 20 speak to Interfor?")
- 21 A. I don't believe so.
- 22 Q. Did you provide any advice or instructions
- 23 about what Interfor should investigate concerning
- 24 Rick Ross or how they should go about it?
- 25 A. No, I don't believe so.

- Q. When you say you don't believe so, is there 1
- some uncertainty in your mind about whether you had 2
- such discussions? 3
- A. I don't remember offhand all of my 4
- discussions. I don't remember any such discussion. 5
- I don't have reason to believe I would have had such 6
- 7 a discussion. Numerous problems and concerns are
- brought to me over time which I speak about, but I 8
- don't recall any of them dealing with Interfor. 9
- 10 Q. Did you ask or advise anyone to send any
- communications to Interfor? 11
- A. I doubt it. I don't think so. 12
- Q. Were you copied, including BCC'ed, on any 13
- communications with Interfor? 14
- A. Not that I know of. 15
- Q. Did you discuss the Interfor investigation of 16
- Ross with Joe O'Hara? 17
- A. I'm not sure. I discussed a number of things 18
- with respect to Ross over time with Joe O'Hara. 19
- Joe O'Hara had a lot of things that he felt should 20
- be done. I may have. 21
- Q. What was the nature of your first discussion 22
- with Joe O'Hara about Rick Ross? 23
- A. I'm not really sure. I'm making a 24
- hypothesis. I don't know. 25

- representations?
- A. I don't remember specifically. I know at 2
- one point he had wanted to either tape record a
- 4 phone call or phone calls with Rick Ross. I don't
- 5 know if he was the person that came up with the idea
- of having some sort of interview with Ross, although 6
- 7 he at times voiced far more aggressive strategies
- 8 that I don't agree with.
- Q. Who was meant to have a phone call -- phone 9
- conversation with Rick Ross? 10
- A. I don't think that was determined. 11
- Q. The notion of having phone conversations or a 12
- meeting with Rick Ross, were these ideas that Joe 13
- O'Hara discussed with you before they took place? 14
- A. I don't know. 15
- Q. But they might have? 16
- A. Yes. Certainly Rick -- Joe had said things 17
- relating to Rick Ross before there was any sort of 18
- engagement with Rick Ross. 19
- Q. Did Joe O'Hara recommend that a private 20
- investigation firm be retained to conduct some 21
- 22 investigation of Rick Ross?
- A. I know he spoke of that. I -- he may have 23
- been the first one to say that. I don't know if he 24
 - was the first one to think that, but certainly.

Page 580

Page 582

Page 581

- Q. Do you remember any of the substance of that 1
- discussion? 2
- A. No. 3
- Q. What were the ideas that you say Joe O'Hara 4
- had about Rick Ross? 5
- A. Well, he believed that Rick Ross was not a 6
- good person. He believed that Rick Ross -- it 7
- sounded like he believed Rick Ross should be stopped 8
- no matter what. He believed that Rick Ross was 9
- doing a lot of things that we didn't know about, 10
- Nancy or myself. He believed that I was innocent or 11
- ignorant as to how things worked in the world and 12
- 13 that he felt I think that we were not nearly active
- enough in changing things. I think he wanted to 14
- catch Rick Ross doing bad things and things that we 15
- had heard he was doing and other things that Joe 16
- hypothesized he was doing, and those are the sort of 17
- 18 comments.
- Q. And how did he propose you go about catching 19
- Rick Ross? 20
- A. I believe at one point he wanted to have 21
- either some sort of a tape or record of Rick Ross 22
- making false representations to our clients. 23
- Q. And how did he propose that you would go 24
- about obtaining such a tape of false 25

- Q. And that would have been again before the
- private investigation was put in place? 2
- A. Yeah, I would assume so. 3
- Q. Did you give Joe O'Hara any instructions or 4
- advice about any aspect of the investigation of 5
- Rick Ross? 6
- 7 A. No. I don't know much about that field.
- 8 Q. Well, separately from how to go about it,
 - did you give Mr. O'Hara any suggestions about what
- you wanted to learn or accomplish? 10
- 11 A. No. I -- I didn't want to learn in
 - particular anything, but I believe that if there is
- going to be a lawsuit or actions done that people 13
- should have as much data as is available, so if I 14
- said anything about how an investigator should be 15
- used, it would be from that perspective. 16
- Q. And what do you recall saying about how an 17
- investigator should be used? 18 A. I don't recall saying anything.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me. We have to change tapes.

(At this point, there was a short

23 recess.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the start of 24 Tape Number 5. The time is 3:44. 25

12

19

20

21

22

10

11

12

Page 583

BY MR. SKOLNIK: 1

- Q. Mr. Raniere, did you speak to Kristin Keeffe 2
- about the Interfor investigation of Rick Ross? 3
- A. I think at some times I have, yeah. 4
- Q. Did you first discuss that investigation 5
- before it commenced? 6
- A. I don't believe so. 7
- Q. What do you recall as being your first 8
- discussion with Kristin Keeffe about what Interfor 9
- was doing? 10
- A. I'm not sure if it's the first, but I 11
- remember hearing that and which affirmed also what 12
- was mentioned before, that Juval had a relationship 13
- with Ross and that Juval felt that he could get good 14
- information and things that would be helpful with 15
- Ross saving slanderous things. 16
- Q. And you would have heard this from Kristin 17
- Keeffe before Juval was retained, isn't that right? 18
- MR. McGUIRE: I object to the form of 19 that question.
- 20
- A. I -- I don't think I would have heard that 21 before Juval was retained, no. 22
- Q. Okay. There are several documents in the
- 23
- record indicating that Kristin Keeffe had various 24
- telephone conversations and meetings with Interfor. 25

- Kristin Keeffe said that Juval Aviv felt that
- Kristin Snyder was likely alive and probably in 2
- witness protection. Kristin told me also that 3
- Juval Aviv was involved in the astro projection
- intelligence unit of -- I don't know if it was the 5
- United States or Israel or and that some of his data 6 supposedly came from that, which I found to be very 7
- disturbing. 8

Those are the things I remember off the top of my head.

- Q. Did Kristin Keeffe tell you about any of the meetings that she participated in at Interfor's
- offices with Rick Ross? 13
- A. No, not that I know of at all. 14
- Q. Did you give Kristin Keeffe any instructions 15
- or advice about any aspect of Interfor's 16
- investigation of Rick Ross? 17
- A. Specifically his investigation of Rick Ross, 18
- I don't believe so, but when she was telling me 19
- about the comments on the astro projection and also 20
- when it appeared she was more of a personal friend 21
- of his. I cautioned her that she shouldn't let -- if 22
- she has a personal relationship with this man, she 23
- 24 shouldn't let her friendship interfere with good
 - judgment; and an investigation firm that's headed by

Page 584

Would she report back to you? Did she report

back to you after those meetings with Interfor? 2

A. Not generally. 3

- Q. Do you remember any reports that you received 4
- from her about her discussions or meetings with 5
- Interfor? 6

1

14

- A. I remember that she had taken a long walk 7
- with Juval Aviv at a monastery; and she was talking 8
- about Buddhism, I think. The specifics of meetings
- and the specifics of when they were and things like 10
- that I did not know. 11
- Q. Did she tell you anything about her 12
- conversations or meetings with Anna Moody? 13
 - MR. MEE: Objection.
- MR. CAMPION: Answer the question. 15
- A. I don't believe so. 16
- Q. What about with Abby Barrish? 17
- A. Who is Abby Barrish? 18
- Q. That name doesn't ring a bell to you at all? 19
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall any other conversations 21
- you had with Kristin Keeffe about what Interfor was 22
- 23 doina?
- A. Yes. I recall Kristin saying that Juval Aviv 24
- had a neighbor who knew Al Damato. I recall that 25

Page 586

- someone who claims to be doing astro projection to 1 get their information is probably not something 2
- worth paying for. 3
- 4 Q. In your response to Interrogatory Number 1 in
- Raniere-16, about halfway through the third 5
- paragraph you say, "At some point during the course 6
- of this litigation Mr. Raniere became aware of a 7
- copy of a written communication from Interfor, 8
- entitled 'Status Report' that was the report on the 9
- status of an investigation into Rick Ross. " 10
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. "Mr. Raniere does not believe there were any 12
- other communications." 13
- A. Communications. Oh, okay. 14
- Q. Okay. How did you become aware of the status 15
- report document? 16
- A. Kristin I believe told me that there was a 17
- 18 document that Juval Aviv gave her that was a bunch
- of information that she thought he downloaded from 19
- the internet and that she felt it wasn't worth 20
- 21 anything.
- There is something else. There was an 22
- article written in Metroland that mentioned 23 something about financial information out of the 24
- 25

- status report, but it is mentioned within that
- 2 article.
- 3 Q. You hadn't heard anything about that from
- 4 Kristin Keeffe?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. What about from Joe O'Hara?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Did you have any conversations at all with
- 9 Joe O'Hara about the status report?
- 10 A. I think he might have mentioned that Juval
- 11 has prepared a report. I didn't have any extensive
- 12 conversations, no.
- 13 Q. What do you remember him telling you about
- 14 the report?
- 15 A. I don't think he told me much of the content,
- 16 just that it was a comprehensive informational
- 17 report.
- 18 Q. Did you ask him about the contents?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Did you ask him what conclusions anybody
- 21 could draw from the report?
- 22 A. Well, I think he thought the report wasn't
- 23 very good. I mean, I think he expressed the same
- 24 thing that Kristin did, that it was a very thick
- 25 report with a bunch of stuff that was downloaded off

- A. I'm not exactly sure. I do remember hearing
- 2 that there was a tape or a conversation or something
- 3 that said that Rick Ross had pictures, a lot of
- 4 pictures of me even I think they said something like
- 5 pictures in the shower and that I was having sex
- 6 with -- pictures of me having sex with students and
- 7 things like that.
- 8 Q. Who told you that?
- 9 A. I believe that was Kristin.
- 10 Q. And when did she tell you that?
- 11 A. I don't know. I have since -- because I was
- 12 deposed in part on the issues and seen some of it,
- 13 I'm not exactly sure but -- no, I don't know when it
- 14 was exactly.
- 15 Q. Did she tell you that shortly after she
- attended the meeting where those statements were made?
- 18 MR. McGUIRE: Object to the form of that 19 question.
 - A. I don't know when that meeting happened.
- Q. When Kristin Keeffe told you about those
- 22 comments, was she telling you that she had heard
- 23 this recently or quite some time ago?
- 24 A. I don't know. I think -- I couldn't tell.
 - My assumption is it would be pretty recent, though,

Page 588

20

10

11

Page 590

- 1 the internet.
- 2 Q. Was there any discussion of the fact that
- 3 the report contained Mr. Ross' financial
- 4 information?
- 5 A. No, no.
- 6 Q. Was there any discussion of the fact that it
- 7 contained his telephone records?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. The statement we just read from your response
- 10 to Interrogatory 1 says, "Mr. Raniere does not
- 11 believe there were any other communications."
- Do you recall receiving any copies of
- 13 agreements between NXIVM and Interfor?
- A. I have seen them since or some, but at this
- 15 period of time I don't believe I had.
- 16 Q. Was it your idea to have Interfor conduct an
- 17 in-person meeting with Rick Ross?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Do you know whose idea it was?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Did you propose having Interfor conduct a
- 22 sting operation?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. When did you become aware of the in-person
- 25 meetings with Rick Ross?

- 1 I mean I would say certainly within a month.
- 2 Q. Within a month of the time when she heard it?
- 3 A. I would assume so, yes.
- 4 Q. When did you become aware of the proposed
- 5 sting operation?
- 6 A. I don't know exactly because Joe O'Hara had
- 7 been proposing things like that for a long time. I
- 8 don't know exactly even to date when the sting 9 operation happened.
 - Sorry, I don't know.
 - Q. When Joe O'Hara proposed something like a
- 12 sting operation, what did you say to him?
- A. Well, Joe O'Hara had proposed many sort of initiatives from close to the first time I met him.
- 15 A lot of the times I objected to what Joe O'Hara
- said with respect to such actions.
- 17 Q. Did you object to the suggestion of a sting
- 18 operation?
- 19 A. I wouldn't call it a sting operation. Joe
- 20 O'Hara attempting to legitimately get Rick Ross on
- 21 tape saying false and disparaging things to our
- 22 students or to people who were potential students, I
- 23 think that might be something that was fine; and I
- 24 would have agreed to do that, as long as it was
- 25 proper.

- Q. Did Joe O'Hara or Kristin Keeffe or anyone
- else ever propose to you that Rick Ross be 2
- approached about deprogramming a fictitious NXIVM 3
- student? 4
- A. I don't think so. 5
- Q. Have you ever heard that that was done? 6
- A. Was that done? No, I haven't heard that that 7
- was done. 8
- Wait. I'm thinking about what I read here. 9
- I think I read it in the materials to this case, 10
- that there is -- I don't think that was done, 11
- though. I think I read that it was never carried 12
- out. 13
- Q. But anything that you know about that attempt 14
- you only learned from reading about it in the 15
- materials to this case? 16
- A. I believe so. 17
- Q. You were never told about that by anyone at 18
- the time or within a few months of the time that it 19
- took place? 20
- A. No, I don't believe so. 21
- Q. Were you ever given a copy of the status 22
- report that we were discussing a few minutes ago? 23
- A. No. I don't believe so. 24
- Q. So you've never read it? 25

A. I don't believe so, no. 1

Q. Did you ask or advise anyone else to send any 2

Page 593

Page 594

- communications to Sitrick?
- A. I don't believe so, no. 4
- Q. Were you copied, including BCC'ed, on any 5
- communications with Sitrick? 6
- A. I don't know, not that I received. 7
- Q. But you were aware that someone at NXIVM was
- dealing with Sitrick, is that right? 9
- A. Yes, I would say so. 10
- Q. When did you become aware of that? 11
- A. I'm not really sure. I don't know if it was 12
- before they were hired or after they were hired. 13
- I'm not sure when. 14
- Q. But you became aware at some point while they 15
- were retained by NXIVM? 16
- A. Uh-huh. 17
- Q. And who was it who told you about NXIVM's 18
- dealings with Sitrick? 19
- A. Um, probably Nancy and/or Kristin. 20
- Q. What about Joe O'Hara? Did he discuss that 21
- with you? 22
- A. Oh, Joe probably discussed it. I don't -- I 23
- don't know if -- I guess they were contemporaneous. 24
- Joe would have discussed it probably, also. 25

Page 592

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Let's turn to your response to Interrogatory 2
- Number 3, and Interrogatory Number 3 generally 3
- addresses communications with Sitrick or about 4
- Sitrick. And your response says, "Mr. Raniere 5
- states that he never spoke to Sitrick." 6
- A. Uh-huh. 7
- Q. Did you ask or advise anyone else to speak to 8
- Sitrick? 9

1

- A. I had heard that Sitrick was a PR agency and 10
- that Sitrick I think is the person who runs it had a 11
- close relationship with Forbes and that they could 12
- produce a positive article. At some point, I gave 13
- responses I think it was to Kristin to a series of 14
- questions relating to, you know, what NXIVM tries to 15
- do and things like that to presumably be used for 16
- PR. 17
- Q. Were you consulted about the retention of 18
- Sitrick? 19
- A. No. 20
- Q. Did you have any input into what Sitrick
- would undertake with respect to Rick Ross? 22
- 23
- Q. Did you send any communications, letters or 24
- e-mails to Sitrick? 25

- Q. Did you participate in any discussions about 1
- how Sitrick should proceed or what Sitrick should 2
- 3 do?
- A. No. 4
- Q. Were you aware that someone at Interfor was
- dealing with Sitrick? 6
- 7 A. No.
- Q. Were you ever told anything about Interfor's 8
- dealings with Sitrick? 9
- A. No. 10

11

12

(A discussion was held off the record.)

(12/9/04 e-mail Bates stamped NXR00079

through 80 was received and marked Defendant's 13

- Exhibit Raniere-29 for Identification.) 14
- BY MR. SKOLNIK: 15
- Q. Mr. Raniere, the Exhibit marked Raniere-29 is 16
- a -- it contains an e-mail from Kristin Keeffe to 17
- Steven Goldberg and Jeff Lloyd. Jeff Lloyd at the 18
- top is Lloyd@sitrick.com. 19
- So this is an e-mail from Kristin Keeffe to 20
- Sitrick? 21
- A. Yes. 22
- Q. And if you notice in the middle paragraph she 23
- says, "Nancy came in from Ireland this morning, and 24
- I have spoken to Keith to keep him abreast of the 25

- list of points that need deciding on for you
- (Sitrick) to move forward." 2
- 3 A. Okay.
- Q. What points did Kristin Keeffe keep you 4
- 5 abreast of?
- A. What I remember is that there were things 6
- that I gave Kristin relating to Rational Inquiry, 7
- sort of the what we do in ESP and NXIVM. I think 8
- there might have even been a list of questions she 9
- went through, but I gave that series of responses to 10
- 11 her.
- 12 Q. So what decisions had to be made for Sitrick
- 13 to move forward?
- A. I think -- from my perspective, I don't know 14
- of any decisions per se that I made. I do know of 15
- information that I gave. 16
- Q. Do you remember any other conversations with 17
- Kristin Keeffe about Sitrick and its work? 18
- A. Not off the top of my head, no. There was --19
- I'm sorry. When NXIVM was going to arbitrate with 20
- Sitrick, there was the fact -- I had asked about how 21
- much was paid and Kristin -- and what was produced 22
- 23 for that money, and Kristin told me that there was
- no work ever produced from Sitrick which I found to 24
- be -- I thought we should have won the arbitration 25

- A. No, I don't know. 1
- Q. Do you know if anyone at NXIVM asked Interfor 2

Page 597

Page 598

- to obtain that information? 3
- A. No, I don't know. 4
- 5 Q. Interrogatory Number 5 asks about
- 6 suggestions, requests and instructions by you,
- 7 Nancy Salzman or Kristin Keeffe about the
- 8 acquisition of Ross and Soedarpo's garbage or trash,
- 9 and your response to Interrogatory Number 5 says
- only, "Mr. Raniere believes that he made no such 10
- suggestions, requests and gave no instructions 11

12 responsive to this request."

Why does your response to Interrogatory 13 Number 4 state categorically that you made no such 14

15 suggestions, requests and gave no instructions

responsive to this request; but your response to 16

Interrogatory Number 5 says that you believe that 17

you made no suggestions, requests and gave no 18

19 instructions responsive to the request?

20 A. I don't know. I -- I don't think it -- I

don't believe in either case I did. 21

- 22 Q. You were not prepared then to say
- 23 categorically that you gave no such instructions?
- A. I think I would say for each of these 24
 - responses, 5 and 6, that I respond to them equally

Page 596

25

1 and with equal force.

Q. So you didn't draw any distinction in your 2

own response, one saying that there were no such 3

4 and the other saying I believe there were no such?

5 A. Correct.

- 6 Q. Do you know whether or not Mr. Ross and
- 7 Mr. Soedarpo's garbage was, in fact, obtained?
- 8 A. No, I do not know.
- 9 Q. Do you know whether or not anyone at NXIVM
- asked Interfor to obtain Mr. Ross and Mr. Soedarpo's 10
- 11 garbage?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Did you ever discuss the obtaining of
- 14 Mr. Ross' garbage with Joe O'Hara?
- 15 A. No, no.
- Q. Interrogatory Number 6 asks about the 16
- information that you, Nancy Salzman or Kristin 17
- Keeffe wanted to learn by having Interfor conduct 18
- in-person meetings and telephone conferences with 19
- 20 Ross; and part of your response to Interrogatory
- 21 Number 6 says, "He personally was not aware of these
- events at the time they were taking place and 22
- 23 therefore cannot, nor does not, believe that any
- 24 actions or communications concerning Interfor and
- Ross were made on his behalf." 25

- Q. Your response -- well, Interrogatory Number 4 2
- in Raniere-16 asks about suggestions, requests and 3
- instructions by you, Nancy Salzman and Kristin 4
- Keeffe about the acquisition of Ross and Soedarpo's 5
- banking, financial and telephone records. 6

then based on that, but we did not.

- And your response to Interrogatory Number 4 says only, "Mr. Raniere made no such suggestions,
- requests and gave no instructions responsive to this 9
- request." 10

1

7

8

11

12

Do you know if Ross and Soedarpo's banking information and telephone records were obtained?

- A. No, I don't. But gathering from the 13
- 14 Metroland article and what you said a little
- earlier, you represented that there were telephone 15
- records. And this is for Interfor? Yeah. No, I 16
- gave no such instructions. 17
- Q. The question asks you about suggestions, 18
- requests or instructions by you or by any of the 19
- other NXIVM counterclaim defendants, which would be 20
- Nancy Salzman and Kristin Keeffe. 21
- A. Uh-huh. 22
- 23 Q. Do you know whether or not Nancy Salzman or
- Kristin Keeffe made any such suggestion, request or 24
- instructions? 25

You say that you were not aware of these 1 events at the time they were taking place. When did 2

you become aware of these events? 3

A. I'm not really sure. I think when this was 4

- created, I probably had a clearer understanding of
- the sequence of events, but sometime after. 6
- Q. And who did you learn about the events from? 7
- A. I think Kristin. I did hear at some point 8
- that Joe had some garbage or something at his
- office that he wanted picked up, so I don't know if 10
- 11 that's --

5

- Q. So you did learn that Interfor had collected 12
- Mr. Ross' garbage? 13
- A. I don't know if it was Mr. Ross' garbage. 14
- Q. Oh. So you think you learned about these 15
- events from Kristin Keeffe and with some 16
- conversations with Joe O'Hara? 17
- A. Potentially. I'm not sure which or how, but 18
- 19
- Q. What about with Nancy Salzman? Any 20
- discussions with her about these events? 21
- A. I don't think so. I don't know, but I don't 22 think so. 23
- Q. Interrogatory Number 8 asks about the plan 24
- and purpose of the sting operation. And in your 25

- A. What I'm saying is I don't believe that
- the information in this lawsuit about the sting 2
- operation existed in this lawsuit till after the
- 4 sting operation.
- Q. Well, put aside whether it existed in the
- 6 lawsuit.
- 7 A. Right, I don't be --
- Q. What information did you have about it? 8
- A. I don't believe I had anything outside of 9
- what I've learned from this lawsuit. 10
- Q. So you don't recall any conversations at 11
- all with Joe O'Hara about the sting operation? 12
- A. No. 13
- Q. Or any conversations with Kristin Keeffe 14
 - about the sting operation?
- A. I've mentioned what I remember with Kristin 16
- Keeffe, but no. At a later point, I had asked her 17
- about what was meant when I read the stuff in this 18
- lawsuit about a cruise ship. I think Kristin thinks 19
- that's absurd, but I don't know. It sounds like a 20
- silly thing. 21
- Q. Your response to Interrogatory Number 8 also 22
- says, "The details of the alleged 'plan' were 23
- attended to by others, and Mr. Raniere only learned 24
 - of them subsequent to Interfor's attempted

1

6

7

- response to Interrogatory Number 8 you omit your
- communications with O'Hara but, as you know, by 2
- agreement with your counsel, you're now required to 3
- tell me about those communications with O'Hara, so 4
- please do so. 5
- A. Relating to? 6
 - Q. The sting operation.
 - A. Okay. I don't know --
 - THE WITNESS: Is it true that?
- MR. CAMPION: Yes, there has been a 10

waiver. 11

7

8

9

15

16

- Read Interrogatory 8 to yourself and 12
- then answer the question with respect to O'Hara, 13
- 14

THE WITNESS: Okay.

- A. I can't speak for NXIVM, but I didn't know
- anything about these things. 17
- Q. You had no conversations at all with 18
- Mr. O'Hara about any aspect of what's inquired 19
- about in Number 8? 20
- A. Yeah. I -- a lot of this stuff I found out 21
- from this lawsuit. Yeah. No, I didn't --22
- Q. Well, when you say you found out about it 23
- from this lawsuit, this lawsuit was already pending 24
- at the time when these events were taking place.

Page 602

- implementation. Mr. Raniere has no documents
- responsive to this request and learned all of the 2
- above information well after the events transpired 3
- 4 and only in the context of this lawsuit."
- A. Where does it say that? I'm sorry. 5
 - MR. CAMPION: It's on the next page.
 - THE WITNESS: Okay.
- A. I still believe that to be true. 8
- Q. And when you learned about them in the 9
- context of this lawsuit, who did you learn about 10
- them from? 11
- A. Well, I read some of the documents. I had 12
- spoken to Kristin Keeffe. As a matter of fact, I 13
- remember pretty recently Kristin said that she 14
- thinks it was Ross' idea about the cruise ship or
- 15 something like that, but I think Kristin, reading
- 16
- the documentation. That's pretty much it. 17
- Q. What about Joe O'Hara? Any conversations 18 with him about the sting? 19
- A. I think there was possibly mentioned at a 20
- later point, but already at whatever point these 21
- conversations were happening things with -- I didn't 22
- 23 communicate much with Joe. Things were not good, so
- 24 I don't think that was so.
- Q. In fact, one of the reasons that Mr. O'Hara 25

	MORRIS SUTTON			May 13, 2009
	Page 603			Page 605
	1 decided to resign from NXIVM was because he	1 Keithr	raniere@yahoo.com?	
ŀ	2 disapproved of the sting operation; isn't that	2 A. Y	es.	

- right? 3 MR. CAMPION: Object to the form. 4
 - MR. McGUIRE: So do I.
- 6 A. I think that's not true.
- Q. Okay. Interrogatory Number 13 asks you to 7
- identify all of your e-mail accounts, and in 8
- response you say, "Mr. Raniere states that he has 9
- partial use of the e-mail address 10
- kunterre@nycap.rr.com and honorandethics@yahoo.com. 11
- He has had no other accounts from January 2003 to 12 present." 13
- Is that a true statement? 14
- A. At that time, yes. 15
- 16 Q. Is it true today?
- A. No. 17

- Q. What other e-mail accounts do you have today? 18
- A. Keithraniere@yahoo.com. I have a Face Book 19
- account which can receive e-mails. I can't think of 20
- any others. Those are the ones that I've had more 21
- contact with. 22
- Q. Have you received any e-mail communications 23
- relating to this litigation at the 24
- Keithraniere@yahoo.com address? 25

- A. Yes. 2
- Q. So from January 2003 to the present if 3
- someone wanted to contact you by e-mail, which 4
- account would you give them?
- A. Probably the Keithraniere@yahoo.com. 6
- Q. And when did you establish that account? 7
- A. It wasn't that long ago, maybe a year ago.
- Q. When did you establish the or when did 9
- Ms. Unterreiner establish the kunterre account? 10
- A. I don't -- I don't know. That came with the 11
- cable service. That's why it has her name encoded
- in the front. I don't know when that was 13
- established. 14
- Q. What about the honorandethics@yahoo.com 15
- address? When was that established?
- A. That was years ago. I don't know exactly 17
- when. 18
- Q. Do you use your various e-mail addresses for 19
- different functions? 20
- 21 A. No.
- Q. Do you back up your e-mails? 22
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Do you save them in folders?
- A. Sometimes, yes. 25

Page 604

- Q. Do you print them out?
- 2 A. No.

1

- Q. Do you delete e-mails? 3
- A. I have on occasion. I don't delete a lot.
- Q. Did anyone ever advise you to retain 5
- documents and e-mails regarding the subject matter 6
- of this litigation? 7
- A. I don't think so, but I didn't have many 8
- e-mails relating to this litigation; and even ones 9
- that may have come to the kunterre account I'm 10
- either like a copied person or something like that, 11
- so I wouldn't look at them. 12
- Q. Well, you've been involved in other 13
- litigations beside this one; haven't you? 14
- A. Yes. 15
- 16 Q. And are you aware from those other
- litigations about the general requirement to retain 17
- e-mails and documents related to a litigation? 18
- MR. CAMPION: Object to the form of the 19
- question. 20
- A. No, but I wouldn't say I delete those things. 21
- Q. Have you deleted any e-mails related in any 22
- way to this litigation since it began? 23
- A. I don't believe so. 24
- Q. Since our prior session, have you found any 25

- A. I don't believe so. No, that is not true. I 1
- have received communications with --2
- MR. CAMPION: Mr. Raniere, you're 3 not to answer the question with respect to 4
- communications with counsel for these new e-mail 5
- addresses, okay. 6
 - THE WITNESS: Okay.
- MR. CAMPION: Otherwise, you can answer. 8
- 9 Continuing...
- A. Other than that, not that I know of, no. 10
- Q. So only communications with counsel? 11
- 12 A. Yes.

7

- Q. Okay. 13
- A. I believe so, yes. 14
- 15 Q. In a November 2004 e-mail from Kristin Keeffe
- to Interfor she said that she was setting up 16
- Hushmail accounts for you and Nancy Salzman. 17
- Did she set up a Hushmail account for you? 18
- A. Not that I know of. 19
- Q. Have you ever had a Hushmail account? 20
- 21
- Q. Who else, if anyone, uses the 22
- honorandethics@yahoo.com e-mail address? 23
- A. I believe I'm the only one that used that. 24
- Q. And are you the only one who uses the 25

7

10

11

Page 607

- of the notes that you told us that you took about or on the three Martin and Hochman articles? 2
- A. I don't have any of those things in my 3 possession. 4
- Q. Do you know in whose possession they are? 5
- A. I believe NXIVM has them. 6
- Q. Who at NXIVM has them? 7
- A. I think Nancy Salzman. 8

MR. SKOLNIK: Weren't those called for, 9

Harold, by your letter to Mr. McGuire?

10 MR. KOFMAN: They were Item Number 2 in 11 my letter to Mr. Campion. 12

MR. SKOLNIK: To Mr. Campion.

MR. KOFMAN: But they would have been called for by previous document requests to NXIVM. MR. SKOLNIK: Absolutely. Well,

we'll ---

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. LEONARD: Mr. Raniere just told you he doesn't have them. He doesn't have them. Mr. Raniere told you he does not have them.

MR. SKOLNIK: But NXIVM does.

MR. LEONARD: I can't speak for NXIVM.

MR. SKOLNIK: Well, bill, given that

these were absolutely called for by prior requests, we would request them of NXIVM.

is Harold Kofman, I represent the Suttons and

Ms. Franco in this matter. 2

The document we've marked as Raniere-30 is a 3 copy of my March 27, 2009, letter to Mr. Campion. 4

Page 609

Do you have that in front of you?

6 A. Yes, I do.

Q. In this letter I request 12 categories of

documents. This was a follow-up to requests I made 8

on the record at your deposition in March. 9

Did you search for the materials that I requested?

A. Yes. 12

Q. What did that search entail? 13

A. Well, what I gave over and -- well, that was 14

the product. I looked through my things. I looked 15

through what computer stuff I had access to. A lot 16

of these documents I don't have in my possession, 17 and there was no -- I called Arlen Olsen and asked

18

him if there was a written retainer agreement, and 19 20

there is none.

Q. Okay. Did you ask NXIVM if they had any of 21

these documents in their possession? 22

A. Well, I did ask relating because I was 23

curious about the Hochman things; and NXIVM has 24

25 those, yes.

Page 608

MR. McGUIRE: Would you send me a letter to that effect, please.

MR. SKOLNIK: Put that on the record.

(Request.) 4

MR. SKOLNIK: Give me just two minutes to consult with my colleagues here. 6

(At this point, there was a short

8 recess.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record.

MR. SKOLNIK: Mr. Raniere, I have no further questions for you.

Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Oh. Thank you.

MR. KOFMAN: Mr. Raniere, I have a few follow-up questions.

Can we mark this, please, as Raniere-30.

(March 27, 2009, two-page letter to Thomas F. Campion, Jr., Esq. from Harold L. Kofman

was received and marked Defendant's Exhibit

Raniere-30 for Identification.)

REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOFMAN:

Q. Okay. Mr. Raniere, I should just put on the 24 record, if you don't recall from last time, my name 25

Q. Okay. Did anybody help you do the search for 1

documents? 2

A. Actually, yes. I was trying to locate an old 3

computer I had that might have been refurbished, but

it wasn't to be found. Other than that, no. 5

Q. Who helped you look for the old computer? 6

A. I actually asked Karen Unterreiner to find 7

out what happened to it. 8

Q. Am I correct that the only document you have 9

that's responsive to any of these 12 categories is 10

the copy of the book Odin and the Sphinx? 11

A. I believe so. Hold on a second. 12

(A discussion was held off the record.) 13

Continuing... 14

A. Except for Article 10, I believe that is 15

16 correct.

Q. With respect to Article 10, you have -- that 17

requested copies of articles that you had written 18

or cowritten for Conocimiento magazine? 19

20 A. Yes.

Q. Did you have documents or copies of articles? 21

A. I have copies of articles that I have written 22

within the last year that were translated and 23

published in this magazine. They have not been 24

published beyond that. I don't know. 25

MR. LEONARD: We could produce them if
we need to. I'd like some kind of showing of how
they could possibly be relevant. They're in
Spanish, as I understand it. I mean, I'll work with
you on it, but I don't quite understand.

MR. KOFMAN: The question is going to be whether or not they disclose anything that's claimed as a trade secret, whether or not it's something publicly available that contains trade secrets.

MR. LEONARD: I had hoped to have a chance to look at these. I haven't. We'll take a look at them, but they're published years after the alleged, you know, sort of after the disclosure tracing in this case so I don't think they have any bearing on this case.

We could work with you on that.

MR. KOFMAN: Okay. If we could mark this as NXIVM — I'm sorry — as Raniere-31.

(Odin and the Sphinx written by KeithRaniere and Ivy Nevares was received and marked

Defendant's Exhibit Raniere-31 for Identification.)BY MR. KOFMAN:

23 Q. Mr. Raniere, is that a copy of the book

Odin and the Sphinx that you referred to in your

25 deposition in March?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

7

8

9

if 1 I think we've also said that we have ranks
2 and are fashioned after a martial arts type of

3 school.

4 Q. Is that something that prospective students

5 are told before they attend?

6 A. There have been times when that was the case.

Page 613

Page 614

7 I don't think that is the case recently.

8 Q. Do you know what is told to prospective

9 customers or prospective students of NXIVM now?

10 A. No. I don't.

11 Q. Have you had any -- ever had any role in --

12 strike that.

Has NXIVM ever made public any of the Rules

14 and Rituals in order to perform this selective

15 functioning, other than the sashes and the scarves?

16 A. I don't think so.

17 Q. Okay. You mentioned in response to one of

18 Mr. Skolnik's questions that only approximately 25

19 people have had access to facilitator notes.

Do you recall that testimony?

21 A. The specific facilitator training, the

22 projective facilitator training that Stephanie

23 Franco took, yes.

24 Q. And is it the case that there have only been

25 25 facilitators that NXIVM has had?

Page 612

A. I believe so, yes.

2 Q. Earlier today, Mr. Raniere, you mentioned

3 to or you told Mr. Skolnik in response to one of his

4 guestions that the Rules and Rituals had been --

5 that certain information or that the Rules and

6 Rituals had been released to the public -- or strike

that. Let me say that.

I believe you said that the Rules and Rituals performed a selective function for NXIVM.

10 A. That was part of it, yes.

11 Q. And I believe you said there that people --

12 the way it performed a selective function was people

either heard about certain elements of Rules and

14 Rituals or certain elements were made public, and

that would either persuade or dissuade people to

16 join the group, NXIVM.

17 A. That was a potential of the Rules and

18 Rituals. We have, for example, made known that

19 people wear sashes and have rank; but more when

20 someone comes and actually takes the Rules and

21 Rituals, that serves as a selecting function.

22 Q. How did you make known that people wear

23 sashes?

24 A. Well, we have design patents on them; and I

5 think that's the main way.

1 A. No.

20

2 Q. Who is given -- strike that.

3 How is it determined who is given access to

4 that projective facilitator training?

5 A. At the time, it was an evaluation of people's

6 demonstrated motivation, people's willingness to, if

7 you will, uphold the mission of Executive Success

8 Programs and keep confidence over the materials.

9 Q. Who did that evaluation?

10 A. I believe Nancy Salzman, Lauren Salzman,

11 Karen Unterreiner was probably involved.

12 Q. Did you have any role in doing an evaluation

13 of Stephanie Franco as to whether she should get the

14 facilitator projective materials?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Did Peter Fallon receive facilitator

17 projective materials?

18 A. I don't know.

Q. You referred numerous times today to the

20 theft of materials. Is it your contention that

21 Stephanie Franco stole the materials?

22 A. I believe that the materials were stolen,

23 as evidenced by the fact that they ended up in hands

that are not authorized to have them. I don't know

25 the exact mechanism.

19

- 1 Q. Did you understand that Stephanie Franco was
- 2 given the materials as part of taking NXIVM courses?
- 3 A. I believe they were leased to her, I think.
- 4 Q. Was she ever asked to return the materials?
- 5 A. I don't know.
- 6 Q. Was Peter Fallon ever asked to return the
- 7 materials after he stopped attending -- after he
- 8 severed his relationship with NXIVM?
- 9 A. I don't know.
- 10 Q. Do you know if NXIVM makes it a practice of
- 11 asking students to return materials after they stop
- 12 taking classes?
- 13 A. I don't know.
- 14 Q. How about coaches after they've left, coaches
- 15 or facilitators? Are they asked to return
- 16 materials?
- 17 A. I'm not sure.
- 18 Q. You mentioned also today that there was a --
- 19 that Nancy Salzman was videotaped affirming the
- 20 confidentiality of materials.
- 21 A. No, Nancy -- my meetings with Nancy initially
- 22 were videotaped.
- 23 Q. And who videotaped those meetings?
- 24 A. Actually, I did.
- 25 Q. Okay.

1

1 A. I'm a conceptual founder, yes.

2 Q. You're the conceptual founder.

3 Did the event with the Dalai Lama take place?

Page 617

Page 618

- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Are you aware that at some point the Dalai
- 6 Lama indicated that he would not attend an event
- 7 sponsored by the World Ethical Historical
- 8 Consortium?
- 9 A. No, I wasn't aware of that.
- 10 Q. You weren't aware that at some point he
- 11 indicated that he would not attend -- would not
- 12 attend or would not speak in Albany?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Were you involved with the planning of this
- 15 consorti -- of this event?
- 16 A. To some degree, yes.
- 17 Q. And were you aware that the Dalai Lama had to
- 18 be persuaded had to be persuaded to change his
- 19 mind -- strike that.

Are you aware that the Dalai Lama cancelled the event at one point?

- 22 A. No.
- Q. Who was responsible for the planning of this
- 24 event?
- 25 A. Well, Claire Bronfman, Sara Bronfman, in part

Page 616

- A. Well, I mean, I had a video camera there.
- 2 Q. I'm sorry. What was that last?
- 3 A. The meetings between Nancy and myself were
- 4 only between Nancy and myself, and I set up a video
- 5 camera that taped the table.
- 6 Q. What was the purpose of that?
- 7 A. To record it.
- 8 Q. Why did you want to record it?
- 9 A. One, for historical sake; two, for
- 10 confidentiality sake.
- 11 Q. Did you retain copies of those videotapes?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. What did you do with them?
- 14 A. Well, they were taken by Toni Natalie; and I
- 15 believe they were destroyed in a flood in her
- 16 basement, but I don't have access to those
- 17 materials.
- 18 Q. Okay. Last time you mentioned that the Dalai
- 19 Lama was going to be attending an event or was
- 20 scheduled to attend an event that was being put on
- by -- what was the name of the organization that was
- 22 sponsoring it?
- 23 A. I believe it's the World Ethical Foundation
- 24 Consortium.
- 25 Q. And are you the founder of that consortium?

1 myself.

- 2 Q. Were you aware that the Dalai Lama had
- 3 concerns about bad publicity involving you and
- 4 NXIVM?
- 5 MR. CAMPION: I'm going to object to
- 6 the form of the question simply because of lack of
- 7 foundation, but go ahead and answer it.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. How did you become aware of that?
- 10 A. There was a representative sent from Darmsala
- 11 who came and interviewed Nancy and myself and the
- 12 newspaper and a number of people in the Albany area,
- 13 I think some of the people at the universities; and
- 14 that's when I was aware of it. I didn't -- that
- 15 wasn't the first time I had actually heard from one
- of the lamas. Lama Tenzin who is the personal
- 17 emissary I believe is his title for the Dalai Lama
- 18 who had said there were concerns and this other
- 19 person was coming to interview us.
- 20 Q. Who was the person who came to interview you?
- 21 A. Tenzin Takla I believe is his name.
- 22 Q. Did you ever travel to Darmsala to discuss
- 23 this matter with the Dalai Lama or any of his
- 24 representatives?
- 25 A. Yes.

MORRIS SUTTON Page 619 Page 621 Q. When did you do that? inaccurate because I believe our materials were 1 A. I don't know. It was probably -- it was like 2 ultimately taken improperly. 2 the second week in April, I believe. I'd have to 3

7

8

- take a look at what the date was. 4 Q. Okay, and did you meet with the Dalai Lama? 5
- A. Yes. 6
- Q. And in any of your discussions with the 7
- 8 Dalai Lama or his representatives, did you discuss
- this case? 9
- A. Yes. 10
- 11 Q. Did you discuss Stephanie Franco, Morris
- Sutton or Rochelle Sutton? 12
- A. Yes. 13
- Q. What did you say? 14
- A. They had Michael Sutton's deposition. They 15
- wanted to know if I believed that that was true. 16
- They asked me what I felt the source of this 17
- disagreement was. I said that Morris Sutton is from 18
- a devout religious community and that his son was 19
- considered a very eligible bachelor and that that 20
- community has an edict or a type of rule that does 21
- not allow people to have children outside of the 22
- community with people outside of the community, if 23
- they did that they would be asked to disown the 24
- 25 child and the why wife or mate or whatever, and that

3 Q. Did you indicate --

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me. We have 4

5 to change tapes.

6 MR. KOFMAN: Okay.

(A discussion was held off the record.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning

of Tape Number 6. We're on. 9

- BY MR. KOFMAN: 10
- 11 Q. Just to back up a little bit, you mentioned
- that you gave a copy of Michael Sutton's deposition 12
- transcript to --13
- A. I did not. 14
- 15 Q. - someone. Strike that, okay. You did not.
- Did they have -- did someone from the Dalai 16
- Lama's -- one of Dalai Lama's representatives have a 17
- 18 copy of that?
- A. Yes. 19
- Q. How did they obtain it? 20
- A. I don't know. 21
- Q. Do you know if someone from NXIVM gave that 22
- to them? 23
- A. I don't know; might have, but they also had 24
 - -- it appeared they had everything that's been on

Page 620

- Michael Sutton had come to us with a dilemma because
- he had had a child outside of the community and was
- being asked to reject that child and disown that 3
- child. 4
- And after coming to our course, he had 5
- resolved in himself that he would not disown the 6
- child and would accept the child and that that was 7
- likely seen as a threat by Moe Sutton, threatening 8
- his way of life, his way of thinking. 9
- Q. Anything else? 10
- A. I believe that the -- the negative PR that we 11
- have is earned but not accurate. 12
- Q. What do you mean by "earned but not 13
- accurate"? 14
- A. Well, I would be foolish to say that I didn't 15
- participate in any way. I would be foolish to say 16
- that I didn't help start the school, that I'm not 17
- involved in any way now in this lawsuit, that a 18
- whole series of choices where, for example, I 19
- haven't spoken out much in public or choose to speak 20
- out in public. Those things are my responsibility. 21
- I have tried to minimize the damages that have gone 22
- on in this lawsuit, so I take responsibility for my 23
- actions but that I think the damages are awful and 24
- that I think that what is said is wrongful and 25

- the web, everything that is publicly available. It 1 looked like they had a lot of files; and from what I 2
- 3 understand, they have been studying us and studying
- this for quite some time. 4
- Q. And so you don't know one way or the other 5
- whether -- strike that. 6
- Is it your understanding that the deposition 7
- of Michael Sutton is publicly available? 8
- A. I think it's his Affidavit, and I don't know. 9
- Q. It was his Affidavit, not his deposition? 10
- A. Not his -- I'm sorry. If I said deposition, 11
- I meant Affidavit. 12
- Q. Okay. Who was present when you had that 13
- conversation about Moe Sutton with -- strike that. 14
- First of all, was that a conversation you 15
- had with the Dalai Lama or with one of his 16
- 17 representatives?
- A. The Dalai Lama was there, and I was speaking 18
- to a representative. 19
- Q. Who else was there? 20
- A. There were a number of monks. I don't know 21
- who they were. There was a translator. Tenzin 22
- Takla was there. His boss was there. There was a 23
- gentleman who was head of the New York Center, 24
- Robert Thurman. His Holiness, the Dalai Lama was

May	13, 2009		MORRIS SULTON
	Page 623		Page 625
1	there. Nancy Salzman was there. Sara Bronfman was	1	Q. Did anyone from NXIVM, including the
2	there, and Tenzin Lama Tenzin was there.	2	Bronfmans, pay any money to the Dalai Lama or to
3	Q. Did you tell did you state at this time	3	an organization with which the Dalai Lama was
4	that Morris Sutton was responsible for negative	4	associated?
5	things that had been published about NXIVM?	5	A. Not that I know of.
6	A. I don't believe I stated that.	6	MR. KOFMAN: I have no further questions
7	Q. What did what was the relevance of talking	7	at this time.
8	about Morris Sutton to the Dalai Lama?	8	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
9	A. Because I was asked. I was asked about the	9	MR. SKOLNIK: Just one follow-up.
10	Affidavit, if it was true, and what I believed were	10	
11	the motivations.	11	RECROSS-EXAMINATION MR. SKOLNIK:
12	Q. I'm sorry. What you believed were the	12	Q. Is it your testimony that in that meeting
13	motivations of who?	13	that you had with the Dalai Lama and his associates
14	A. The motivations behind some of the	14	there was no discussion of Rick Ross?
15	negativity.	15	A. I'm not absolutely sure. The focus of the
16	Q. And did you tell the Dalai Lama that some of	16	discussion, from my interpretation of it, was me.
17	the negativity was caused by Morris Sutton's upset	17	And I tend not to focus on others, what my
18	about his son's decision to acknowledge his child?	18	participation is and what I'm going to do, so I
19	A. I think I said that it was my opinion that a	19	don't remember that. It wasn't my focus in
20	lot of the disagreements between Michael Sutton and	20	thinking.
21	Moe Sutton were caused by that and that that was a	21	Q. But you said that they had a lot of materials
22	motivation. I don't think I said that directly he	22	related to the litigation, is that right?
23	caused it.	23	A. Uh-huh.
24	Q. Did you indicate that Morris Sutton was	24	Q. But they didn't ask you questions about that?A. Correct.
25	responsible for negative articles being published?	25	A. Conect.
	Page 624		Page 626
1	A. I don't think I indicated that.	1	MR. KOFMAN: Just one further question.
2	Q. Did you indicate that it was your	2	
3	understanding that Morris Sutton was wanted to	1	
		3	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KOFMAN:
4	destroy NXIVM?	3	Q. Why did you travel to Darmsala?
5	destroy NXIVM? A. I don't know if I said that.	1 -	Q. Why did you travel to Darmsala? A. Originally I had heard that the Dalai Lama
	destroy NXIVM?	4	Q. Why did you travel to Darmsala? A. Originally I had heard that the Dalai Lama wanted to see me. I think that was a misconstruance
5	destroy NXIVM? A. I don't know if I said that. Q. Did you say anything about Rick Ross? A. I don't think so.	4	Q. Why did you travel to Darmsala? A. Originally I had heard that the Dalai Lama wanted to see me. I think that was a misconstruance potentially from that he would see me. My traveling
5 6	destroy NXIVM? A. I don't know if I said that. Q. Did you say anything about Rick Ross?	4 5 6	Q. Why did you travel to Darmsala? A. Originally I had heard that the Dalai Lama wanted to see me. I think that was a misconstruance potentially from that he would see me. My traveling to Darmsala was based on the belief that he would
5 6 7	destroy NXIVM? A. I don't know if I said that. Q. Did you say anything about Rick Ross? A. I don't think so. Q. Anything about Rochelle Sutton? A. No, I don't believe so.	4 5 6 7	Q. Why did you travel to Darmsala? A. Originally I had heard that the Dalai Lama wanted to see me. I think that was a misconstruance potentially from that he would see me. My traveling to Darmsala was based on the belief that he would that he wanted to see me. I found him very generous
5 6 7 8	destroy NXIVM? A. I don't know if I said that. Q. Did you say anything about Rick Ross? A. I don't think so. Q. Anything about Rochelle Sutton? A. No, I don't believe so. Q. Anything about Stephanie Franco?	4 5 6 7 8	Q. Why did you travel to Darmsala? A. Originally I had heard that the Dalai Lama wanted to see me. I think that was a misconstruance potentially from that he would see me. My traveling to Darmsala was based on the belief that he would that he wanted to see me. I found him very generous with his time with me, and he did see me twice so
5 6 7 8 9	destroy NXIVM? A. I don't know if I said that. Q. Did you say anything about Rick Ross? A. I don't think so. Q. Anything about Rochelle Sutton? A. No, I don't believe so. Q. Anything about Stephanie Franco? A. I don't believe so.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Why did you travel to Darmsala? A. Originally I had heard that the Dalai Lama wanted to see me. I think that was a misconstruance potentially from that he would see me. My traveling to Darmsala was based on the belief that he would that he wanted to see me. I found him very generous with his time with me, and he did see me twice so MR. KOFMAN: Okay. Thank you very much.
5 6 7 8 9	destroy NXIVM? A. I don't know if I said that. Q. Did you say anything about Rick Ross? A. I don't think so. Q. Anything about Rochelle Sutton? A. No, I don't believe so. Q. Anything about Stephanie Franco? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did what did the Dalai Lama's	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Why did you travel to Darmsala? A. Originally I had heard that the Dalai Lama wanted to see me. I think that was a misconstruance potentially from that he would see me. My traveling to Darmsala was based on the belief that he would that he wanted to see me. I found him very generous with his time with me, and he did see me twice so
5 6 7 8 9 10	destroy NXIVM? A. I don't know if I said that. Q. Did you say anything about Rick Ross? A. I don't think so. Q. Anything about Rochelle Sutton? A. No, I don't believe so. Q. Anything about Stephanie Franco? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did what did the Dalai Lama's representatives say in response to what you told	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Why did you travel to Darmsala? A. Originally I had heard that the Dalai Lama wanted to see me. I think that was a misconstruance potentially from that he would see me. My traveling to Darmsala was based on the belief that he would that he wanted to see me. I found him very generous with his time with me, and he did see me twice so MR. KOFMAN: Okay. Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	destroy NXIVM? A. I don't know if I said that. Q. Did you say anything about Rick Ross? A. I don't think so. Q. Anything about Rochelle Sutton? A. No, I don't believe so. Q. Anything about Stephanie Franco? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did what did the Dalai Lama's representatives say in response to what you told them?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Why did you travel to Darmsala? A. Originally I had heard that the Dalai Lama wanted to see me. I think that was a misconstruance potentially from that he would see me. My traveling to Darmsala was based on the belief that he would that he wanted to see me. I found him very generous with his time with me, and he did see me twice so MR. KOFMAN: Okay. Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. (Witness excused.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	destroy NXIVM? A. I don't know if I said that. Q. Did you say anything about Rick Ross? A. I don't think so. Q. Anything about Rochelle Sutton? A. No, I don't believe so. Q. Anything about Stephanie Franco? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did what did the Dalai Lama's representatives say in response to what you told them? A. He said that I have been patient for a very	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Why did you travel to Darmsala? A. Originally I had heard that the Dalai Lama wanted to see me. I think that was a misconstruance potentially from that he would see me. My traveling to Darmsala was based on the belief that he would that he wanted to see me. I found him very generous with his time with me, and he did see me twice so MR. KOFMAN: Okay. Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. (Witness excused.) (The deposition was concluded at 5:00
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	destroy NXIVM? A. I don't know if I said that. Q. Did you say anything about Rick Ross? A. I don't think so. Q. Anything about Rochelle Sutton? A. No, I don't believe so. Q. Anything about Stephanie Franco? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did what did the Dalai Lama's representatives say in response to what you told them? A. He said that I have been patient for a very long time.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Why did you travel to Darmsala? A. Originally I had heard that the Dalai Lama wanted to see me. I think that was a misconstruance potentially from that he would see me. My traveling to Darmsala was based on the belief that he would that he wanted to see me. I found him very generous with his time with me, and he did see me twice so MR. KOFMAN: Okay. Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. (Witness excused.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	destroy NXIVM? A. I don't know if I said that. Q. Did you say anything about Rick Ross? A. I don't think so. Q. Anything about Rochelle Sutton? A. No, I don't believe so. Q. Anything about Stephanie Franco? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did what did the Dalai Lama's representatives say in response to what you told them? A. He said that I have been patient for a very long time. Q. Did they indicate that they were satisfied	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Why did you travel to Darmsala? A. Originally I had heard that the Dalai Lama wanted to see me. I think that was a misconstruance potentially from that he would see me. My traveling to Darmsala was based on the belief that he would that he wanted to see me. I found him very generous with his time with me, and he did see me twice so MR. KOFMAN: Okay. Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. (Witness excused.) (The deposition was concluded at 5:00
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	destroy NXIVM? A. I don't know if I said that. Q. Did you say anything about Rick Ross? A. I don't think so. Q. Anything about Rochelle Sutton? A. No, I don't believe so. Q. Anything about Stephanie Franco? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did what did the Dalai Lama's representatives say in response to what you told them? A. He said that I have been patient for a very long time. Q. Did they indicate that they were satisfied with your statements?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Why did you travel to Darmsala? A. Originally I had heard that the Dalai Lama wanted to see me. I think that was a misconstruance potentially from that he would see me. My traveling to Darmsala was based on the belief that he would that he wanted to see me. I found him very generous with his time with me, and he did see me twice so MR. KOFMAN: Okay. Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. (Witness excused.) (The deposition was concluded at 5:00
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	destroy NXIVM? A. I don't know if I said that. Q. Did you say anything about Rick Ross? A. I don't think so. Q. Anything about Rochelle Sutton? A. No, I don't believe so. Q. Anything about Stephanie Franco? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did what did the Dalai Lama's representatives say in response to what you told them? A. He said that I have been patient for a very long time. Q. Did they indicate that they were satisfied with your statements? A. I don't think they indicated either way, but	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Why did you travel to Darmsala? A. Originally I had heard that the Dalai Lama wanted to see me. I think that was a misconstruance potentially from that he would see me. My traveling to Darmsala was based on the belief that he would that he wanted to see me. I found him very generous with his time with me, and he did see me twice so MR. KOFMAN: Okay. Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. (Witness excused.) (The deposition was concluded at 5:00
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	destroy NXIVM? A. I don't know if I said that. Q. Did you say anything about Rick Ross? A. I don't think so. Q. Anything about Rochelle Sutton? A. No, I don't believe so. Q. Anything about Stephanie Franco? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did what did the Dalai Lama's representatives say in response to what you told them? A. He said that I have been patient for a very long time. Q. Did they indicate that they were satisfied with your statements? A. I don't think they indicated either way, but they said that it is important that I do everything	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Why did you travel to Darmsala? A. Originally I had heard that the Dalai Lama wanted to see me. I think that was a misconstruance potentially from that he would see me. My traveling to Darmsala was based on the belief that he would that he wanted to see me. I found him very generous with his time with me, and he did see me twice so MR. KOFMAN: Okay. Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. (Witness excused.) (The deposition was concluded at 5:00
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	destroy NXIVM? A. I don't know if I said that. Q. Did you say anything about Rick Ross? A. I don't think so. Q. Anything about Rochelle Sutton? A. No, I don't believe so. Q. Anything about Stephanie Franco? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did what did the Dalai Lama's representatives say in response to what you told them? A. He said that I have been patient for a very long time. Q. Did they indicate that they were satisfied with your statements? A. I don't think they indicated either way, but they said that it is important that I do everything I can to get the truth out.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Why did you travel to Darmsala? A. Originally I had heard that the Dalai Lama wanted to see me. I think that was a misconstruance potentially from that he would see me. My traveling to Darmsala was based on the belief that he would that he wanted to see me. I found him very generous with his time with me, and he did see me twice so MR. KOFMAN: Okay. Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. (Witness excused.) (The deposition was concluded at 5:00
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	destroy NXIVM? A. I don't know if I said that. Q. Did you say anything about Rick Ross? A. I don't think so. Q. Anything about Rochelle Sutton? A. No, I don't believe so. Q. Anything about Stephanie Franco? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did what did the Dalai Lama's representatives say in response to what you told them? A. He said that I have been patient for a very long time. Q. Did they indicate that they were satisfied with your statements? A. I don't think they indicated either way, but they said that it is important that I do everything I can to get the truth out. Q. So the Dalai and ultimately the Dalai Lama	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Why did you travel to Darmsala? A. Originally I had heard that the Dalai Lama wanted to see me. I think that was a misconstruance potentially from that he would see me. My traveling to Darmsala was based on the belief that he would that he wanted to see me. I found him very generous with his time with me, and he did see me twice so MR. KOFMAN: Okay. Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. (Witness excused.) (The deposition was concluded at 5:00
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	destroy NXIVM? A. I don't know if I said that. Q. Did you say anything about Rick Ross? A. I don't think so. Q. Anything about Rochelle Sutton? A. No, I don't believe so. Q. Anything about Stephanie Franco? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did what did the Dalai Lama's representatives say in response to what you told them? A. He said that I have been patient for a very long time. Q. Did they indicate that they were satisfied with your statements? A. I don't think they indicated either way, but they said that it is important that I do everything I can to get the truth out. Q. So the Dalai and ultimately the Dalai Lama chose to attend an event with which you were	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Why did you travel to Darmsala? A. Originally I had heard that the Dalai Lama wanted to see me. I think that was a misconstruance potentially from that he would see me. My traveling to Darmsala was based on the belief that he would that he wanted to see me. I found him very generous with his time with me, and he did see me twice so MR. KOFMAN: Okay. Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. (Witness excused.) (The deposition was concluded at 5:00
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	destroy NXIVM? A. I don't know if I said that. Q. Did you say anything about Rick Ross? A. I don't think so. Q. Anything about Rochelle Sutton? A. No, I don't believe so. Q. Anything about Stephanie Franco? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did what did the Dalai Lama's representatives say in response to what you told them? A. He said that I have been patient for a very long time. Q. Did they indicate that they were satisfied with your statements? A. I don't think they indicated either way, but they said that it is important that I do everything I can to get the truth out. Q. So the Dalai and ultimately the Dalai Lama	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Why did you travel to Darmsala? A. Originally I had heard that the Dalai Lama wanted to see me. I think that was a misconstruance potentially from that he would see me. My traveling to Darmsala was based on the belief that he would that he wanted to see me. I found him very generous with his time with me, and he did see me twice so MR. KOFMAN: Okay. Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. (Witness excused.) (The deposition was concluded at 5:00

```
Page 627
1
                 JURAT
2
                    I, KEITH A. RANIERE, do hereby
   certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of
3
   my testimony taken on May 13, 2009, and have signed
   it subject to the following changes:
                             CORRECTION
 6
                LINE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
16
17
18
19
20
21 DATE:
22
    Sworn and subscribed to before me on this
                                                   day
23
24
    NOTARY PUBLIC
25
                                                Page 628
                   CERTIFICATE
 3
 4
 5
               I, CHERYL McGANN, a Certified Court
 6 Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter of the
    State of New Jersey, authorized to administer
 8 oaths pursuant to R.S.41:2-2, do hereby certify
    that prior to the commencement of the examination,
10 the witness was sworn by me to testify to the truth,
11 the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.
12
               I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing
13 is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony
14 that was taken stenographically by and before me at
15 the time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set
16 forth.
               I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
17
18 a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel
19
    of any the parties to this action and that I am
20 not interested in the action.
21
22
23
    CHERYL McGANN
C.C.R. License No. XI000918
24
25
```

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

		544:21,22;545:7,10,	598:16,21;621:9	abusive (1)
		12;592:3,3	60 (1)	546:10
0	2	3:44 (1)	543:7	ac (1)
		582:25	64 (1)	512:1
0907 (1)	2 (3)		544:25	accept (1)
556:15	474:16;561:8;	30 (1)	J44.23	620:7
	607:11	539:12	7	
1 -	2:34 (1)	34 (2)	/	acceptable (8)
	557:12	445:7;447:21		457:17,21,23;
l (7)	2:43 (1)	360 (3)	7 (1)	458:10,21;459:14,
442:18;444:25;	557:21	575:18,19,20	560:18	24;460:2
544:22;561:8;	20 (5)	37 (2)	711 (1)	accepted (1)
577:12;586:4;588:10	453:20;454:8;	468:8,11	547:17	521:23
	487:12;554:7,8	3700 (1)	712 (1)	access (12)
1/26/05 (1) 556:17	2000 (1)	534:11	541:9	465:15;490:2,5,22;
	544:6	38 (1)	715 (1)	491:12;493:14;
1:20 (1)		478:19	542:15	494:21;501:2;
524:4	2003 (8)	.,	716 (2)	609:16;613:19;
10 (15)	441:25;442:2,10;	4 .	543:3,8	614:3;616:16
439:12,15;451:7,9,	553:11,18;559:7;	•	719 (1)	accessed (1)
12,20,23,24;537:21;	603:12;605:3	4 (7)	544:19	492:25
545:16,19;556:11;	2004 (10)	4 (7)		1
558:4;610:15,17	556:11;557:4;	515:17,17;531:9;	729 (1)	accomplish (1)
10,000 (1)	558:4;560:18;561:1,	549:7;596:2,7;	545:15	582:10
534:2	15,20;563:4,16;	597:14	762 (1)	account (11)
11 (1)	604:15	40 (2)	548:4	571:5,15,16,19;
544:17	2005 (1)	485:23;488:17	768 (1)	603:20;604:18,20;
11/28/04 (1)	559:22	400,000 (3)	539:16	605:5,7,10;606:10
556:23	2006 (2)	533:25;534:7;		accounts (4)
	554:11;555:3	535:6	8	603:8,12,18;
11:13 (1)		400,000' (1)		604:17
474:16	2009 (3)	534:6	8 (7)	accuracy (1)
1165 (1)	432:2;608:18;	41 (2)	557:4;563:3;	541:1
556:12	609:4	487:11;488:17	599:24;600:1,12,20;	accurate (7)
12 (14)	2030 (1)		601:22	434:5;436:12;
468:17;478:19,23;	554:2	42 (1)		452:23;542:8;
479:5,21,25;480:1,9,	21 (3)	521:2	8/7/04 (1)	
14,15;515:20;519:7;	548:6,11;553:5	43 (3)	556:20	549:10;620:12,14
609:7;610:10	22 (4)	489:20,21;493:17	8/8/03 (1)	accurately (2)
12/9/04 (1)	442:3,5;521:13;	44 (2)	524:15	468:19;490:11
594:12	559:7	533:18;534:16	80 (1)	accused (1)
12:13 (1)	23 (2)	44f (1)	594:13	537:21
512:22	560:3;569:17	536:2		achieve (1)
12065 (1)	25 (3)		A	503:16
432:24	491:19;613:18,25	5		acknowledge (2)
	, ,		Abby (2)	518:4;623:18
12-point (1)	25,000 (1)	5 (20)	584:17,18	acknowledged (1)
522:5	445:10	524:12,14,22;	abilities (1)	519:1
13 (4)	250 (1)	525:13;526:25;	482:13	acquisition (2)
432:2;554:11;	487:13		ability (5)	596:5;597:8
577:7;603:7	26 (1)	527:17;528:24;		act (4)
15 (2)	559:22	530:23;531:6;534:5;	477:15;509:10;	
442:4,11	27 (2)	543:5,11,12;544:16;	510:6;511:20;516:6	475:6;481:6,9;
16 (1)	608:18;609:4	577:10;582:25;	able (9)	536:17
577:4	27th (1)	597:5,9,17,25	472:18;497:6;	actions (9)
18 (4)	530:6	5/13/06 (1)	498:6;502:24;515:2;	509:24;510:10;
441:25;442:2;	28 (4)	554:1	537:8;544:2;547:9;	511:11;512:2;518:
521:8;561:19	557:15;561:1,15;	5:00 (1)	552:17	582:13;590:16;
1989 (1)	563:15	626:15	above (2)	598:24;620:24
	29 (1)	52 (4)	433:18;602:3	active (2)
486:5		544:22;545:3,16,	abreast (2)	504:14;580:13
1990 (1)	563:16	19	594:25;595:5	actively (2)
574:7	•		absolutely (4)	443:22,24
1998 (1)	3	- 6		activities (1)
445:8		0	533:17;607:16,24;	487:8
1999 (1)	3 (12)		625:15	
	432:24;490:4;	6 (6)	absurd (1)	activity (1)
544:6	432.24,490.4,	577:11,19;597:25;	601:20	545:11

ay 13, 2009			1	
ts (1)	468:9;485:24;514:5;	aided (3)	apparently (1)	558:6
481:6	524:12;533:19;	464:21,22;467:13	535:25	arranging (2)
tual (6)	553:22;565:5;	Al (1)	appeal (6)	575:13;576:8
449:21;455:6;	569:17;622:9,10,12;	584:25	571:22;572:8,15,	arrived (1)
470:9;480:18;	623:10	Albany (2)	17,20,24	571:11
482:22;577:10	affiliated (1)	617:12;618:12	appeared (2)	artful (1)
tually (16)	569:22	alerts (1)	585:21;621:25	546:18 article (12)
436:3;452:25;	affirmed (2)	517:14	appears (3)	508:6;523:4,8;
453:2,2;455:20;	446:19;583:12	aligned (1)	535:2;543:16;	532:21;550:11,12;
	affirming (1)	569:15	554:9 apples (1)	586:23;587:2;
485:17;491:6,16;	615:19	alive (1)	549:21	592:13;596:14;
	afford (1)	585:2	applicability (1)	610:15,17
612:20;615:24;	532:12	alleged (2) 601:23;611:13	433:16	articles (41)
	affords (1)	allow (2)	application (30)	435:6,11;489:15;
d (1)	538:18	525:7;619:22	538:23;539:2,15,	494:25;501:21,25;
	again (19)	allowed (1)	24;540:4,11,14,18,	503:10;504:5,23;
dition (4)	452:7;456:15;	494:4	19;541:5,13;542:20,	505:5,7,15,21;506:1,
501:2;527:15;	461:11;472:21; 473:7;483:6;484:19;	allows (1)	23;544:9;548:7,21;	10,17,24;507:3,4,5;
548:4;567:3		486:19	549:12,14,18,20;	522:21,25;532:16,
ditional (2)	488:6,8;495:14;	almost (2)	550:4,6,10,23;	25;549:12;550:3,9,
525:2;527:18	507:14;531:23; 534:20:536:11:	534:6,6	551:15,18;552:13,	17,18,22;551:6,6,16,
Iditionally (4)	534:20;536:11; 541:12;547:16;	along (4)	19;553:4,21	22;552:23,25;607:2;
516:10;524:16;	550:1;577:8;582:1	434:24;495:2;	applications (2)	610:18,21,22;623:25
525:17;527:19		555:5;565:25	541:2;551:1	arts (2)
dress (18)	against (4) 536:20,24;545:25;	alter (3)	applied (1)	478:6;613:2
457:5,18;458:15,	575:14	486:18,19,20	477:7	ascertain (1)
19;559:23;560:16,	agency (1)	alters (3)	apply (3)	539:22
20,24;561:2;566:10;	592:10	516:16;517:4,22	445:14;446:5,15	ascertained (1)
570:15,17;571:2,8;	aggressive (1)	although (17)	appreciation (1)	539:23
603:10,25;604:23; 605:16	581:7	437:15;438:7;	545:3	aside (3)
ldressed (9)	ago (9)	441:8;462:5;465:10;	approached (1)	466:10;496:5;
458:14;529:4;	454:1,6;519:1;	472:21;489:5;504:8;	591:3	601:5
530:13;559:3,23;	526:5;589:23;	506:24;508:7;	appropriate (3)	aspect (11)
560:11,12;561:2;	591:23;605:8,8,17	526:14;531:1;533:9;	458:22,23;489:14	457:13;481:8;
571:19	agree (12)	541:21;570:25;	approval (1)	508:14,25;509:1,11;
ddresses (5)	507:1,8;508:13;	573:14;581:6	494:3	525:1,2;582:5;
457:15;535:5;	511:17;519:6;	always (2)	approve (4)	585:16;600:19
592:4;604:6;605:19	534:15;536:25;	448:11;576:19	565:9,11,23;566:2	aspects (9)
ddressing (2)	546:23;552:24;	amalgamation (1)	approximate (1)	469:19;470:13;
527:16;545:8	567:5;570:10;581:8	491:2	437:3	471:11;476:2;
dministrator (1)	agreed (2)	Amended (1)	approximately (1)	486:15;547:14;
439:25	433:11;590:24	577:6	613:18	567:7;570:12;572:1
dmissibility (1)	agreement (30)	among (3)	April (3)	assembling (1)
433:13	433:5,7,19;446:14,	516:6;530:5;	557:4;563:3;619:3	540:14
dvanced (1)	16,21,23;447:9;	577:12	arbitrate (1)	assert (1)
466:23	454:13,18,20,462:1,	analysis (1)	595:20	564:11
dvantage (5)	6,16,18;468:13;	465:4	arbitration (1)	asserted (2)
475:3,14,18;	553:25;554:9,15;	analyzed (1)	595:25	565:15,18
545:25;546:20	555:2,3,4;559:3;	488:10	area (1)	assertions (2)
dvertisement (1)	560:1;561:22;562:2,	analyzing (1)	618:12	446:18;493:25
567:19	21;564:20;600:3;	457:12	areas (3)	assessed (1)
dvice (6)	609:19	and/or (2)	476:21;510:3;	436:16
503:24;567:11;	agreements (12)	524:24;593:20	511:5	assessment (1)
569:2;578:22;582:5;	447:6;454:15,19,	Anna (1)	Arlen (2)	436:5
585:16	21;462:3,4,7,8,13;	584:13	540:12;609:18	asset (1)
dvise (6)	493:15;555:8;588:13	answered (2)	Arlen's (1)	481:8
- · AUT 1 T /	ahead (6)	444:6;516:14	462:9	assign (5)
578:13,19:579:10:		Anthony (7)	around (2)	441:2;456:6;
578:13,19;579:10;	510:13;512:4;			100 00 101 00 05
578:13,19;579:10; 592:8;593:2;606:5	510:13;512:4; 516:10;517:12;	500:5,10,16;	500:7;529:17	483:22;484:20,25
578:13,19;579:10; 592:8;593:2;606:5 idvised (1)	516:10;517:12;		500:7;529:17 arrange (1)	assigned (11)
578:13,19;579:10;		500:5,10,16;		

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
455:12;456:3,8;	435:22;436:2;	593:8,11,15;594:5;	434:17;465:20,25;	believes (2)
485:20	536:6;571:9	598:21;599:1,3;	466:3,12;473:25;	507:23;597:10
issignee (1)	attentions (2)	606:16;617:5,9,10,	516:21;532:6;533:4;	bell (1)
437:19	502:15;503:1	17,20;618:2,9,14	540:24;548:14	584:19
assignment (5)	attire (1)	awareness (6)	Bates (11)	belts (2)
437:23;462:11;	473:12	499:16,18,24;	539:16;554:1;	478:4,5
485:10,14;539:25	attorney (2)	500:1,3,8	556:11,14,17,20,23;	beside (2)
assignments (2)	571:25;572:4	away (13)	557:1,4,9;594:12	500:9;606:14
485:21,22	attorney-client (1)	481:25;486:10,23;	BCC (1)	best (7)
assist (2)	433:17	487:4,6,7,17;488:7,	563:17	450:16;456:2;
453:22;466:17	attorneys (10)	18,19,24;497:2;	BCC'ed (2)	460:8,9;461:17;
assistant (2)	432:6;492:20;	519:23	579:13;593:5	488:2,3
490:8;532:21	555:6;564:5,6;	awesome (2)	bearing (1)	better (4)
associate (2)	565:13;566:14;	510:6;511:20	611:15	497:6;498:6;
532:22;570:21	567:1;576:20,22	awful (1)	became (5)	499:5;575:10
associated (4)	attributable (2)	620:24	443:23;444:3;	betterment (2)
434:25;533:9;	507:15;515:13	awhile (3)	447:3;586:7;593:15	477:12,13
624:24;625:4	August (7)	509:25;511:11;	become (14)	beyond (2)
associates (1)	441:25;442:2,3,5,	526:5	455:10;482:4;	531:10;610:25
625:13	10;560:18;569:17		486:21;489:21;	big (1)
assume (7)	auth (1)	В	492:2,8;505:17;	465:10
445:1;465:8;	455:15		546:9;586:15;	Bill (4)
468:21;503:18;	author (6)	bachelor (1)	588:24;590:4;	468:2;479:11;
516:18;582:3;590:3	483:19;484:2,10,	619:20	593:11;599:3;618:9	560:4;607:23
assumed (1)	18;486:21;511:1	back (17)	becomes (2)	billionaire (1)
547:6	authored (2)	437:6;447:19;	471:16;481:17	531:13
assuming (1)	455:16;484:14	482:16;484:1;524:3;	becoming (1)	bio (2)
484:13	authoring (1)	528:9,11;556:2;	493:18	434:4;436:10
assumption (5)	467:14	557:11,20;578:16,	beg (1)	biography (1)
494:13,15;562:23;	authoritarian (1)	17;584:1,2;605:22;	479:16	534:3
576:19;589:25	536:12	608:9;621:11	began (1)	birthday (1)
assumptions (1)	authority (6)	background (1)	606:23	456:21
514:21	445:9;502:23,23;	490:22	begin (4)	bit (2)
	527:7;532:20;569:6	backup (2)	433:3;497:13;	435:17;621:11
assured (2)	authorized (1)	493:9,11	545:12;577:10	black (1)
568:21,22	614:24	bad (6)	beginning (13)	478:4
astro (3)		459:3,12;532:19;	446:14;453:17,18;	book (4)
585:4,20;586:1	authors (10)		474:15;493:17;	567:19;603:19;
attached (2)	453:5,10;454:14;	534:12;580:15;618:3	512:21;543:5,10;	610:11;611:23
522:4,11	455:6,11,12;456:3;	badly (1)	544:20;549:6;	books (3)
attaching (1)	467:2,9;511:2	526:15	554:17,18;621:8	435:5,10;448:2
559:4	available (23)	bag (2)	behalf (3)	boosted (1)
attachments (2)	482:21;488:13;	507:25;518:17	432:18;439:17;	507:12
556:14;561:21	495:23;518:6,9;	banc (1)		boss (1)
attacked (2)	519:9;520:15,25;	572:20	598:25	622:23
531:19,20	521:13,17,20,22;	banking (2)	behavior (7)	
attempt (1)	522:1,8;541:5,8;	596:6,11	445:19;457:12;	both (8)
591:14	544:13;552:20;	Barrish (2)	464:22;466:2;	444:3;455:13;
attempted (1)	559:15;582:14;	584:17,18	486:16,20,22	488:21;504:8;
601:25	611:9;622:1,8	bas (1)	behaviors (1)	513:21;536:14;
attempting (2)	Aviv (8)	480:2	536:8	549:13;550:16
536:11;590:20	564:3;577:22,25;	based (8)	behind (5)	bottom (2)
attempts (1)	584:8,24;585:1,4;	474:8;488:2,5;	480:21;483:18,18;	452:22;492:13
535:6	586:18	517:2;535:1;536:17;	487:23;623:14	bowing (1)
attend (8)	avoid (1)	596:1;626:8	beings (2)	470:13
516:8,23;613:5;	433:3	basement (1)	510:5;511:19	boxed (1)
616:20;617:6,11,12;	aware (39)	616:16	belatedly (1)	559:11
624:23	433:21;501:23;	basic (5)	576:15	brain (1)
	503:7,8;504:15,20;	453:20;480:3;	belief (4)	517:7
attended (5)		487:12;537:13;	436:18;532:6;	break (5)
	514:4;520:12,17;	701.12,237.13,		
435:21;513:12,16;	514:4;520:12,17; 521:12,16,18,21,24;	544:25	576:24;626:8	474:12;523:14;
435:21;513:12,16; 589:16;601:24		1		474:12;523:14; 555:24;556:2;557:2
435:21;513:12,16;	521:12,16,18,21,24;	544:25	576:24;626:8	

1.203 224 222				
bringing (2)	570:2;581:4	597:21;611:14,15;	433:14	claims (12)
494:6,11	came (12)	613:6,7,24;619:9	chance (2)	533:22,24;564:11;
broad (2)	444:8;447:7,16;	cases (4)	436:21;611:11	566:12,17,21,24;
499:6;512:16	502:25;560:23;	474:3,4,5;503:1	change (8)	567:4,6,9;573:13;
broader (2)	564:5;581:5;585:7;	cash (1)	474:10;512:20;	586:1
440:15;511:14	594:24;605:11;	448:16	546:9;549:3;562:20;	Claire (3)
brochure (2)	618:11,20	cat (2)	582:21;617:18;621:5	456:25;457:2;
437:7;512:7	camera (2)	507:24;518:17	changed (1)	617:25
brochures (1)	616:1,5	Catalog (2)	453:8	clarify (1)
513:3	Campion (22)	521:4,9	changes (3)	518:20
Bronfman (9)	432:18,18;442:1,3,	catch (1)	488:15;517:10,13	class (1)
456:13,19,22,24,	7;501:15;523:15;	580:15	changing (1)	470:6
25;457:2;617:25,25;	555:25;558:11,15;	catching (1)	580:14	classes (1)
623:1	584:15;600:10;	580:19	chapter (1)	615:12
Bronfmans (1)	602:6;603:4;604:3,8;	categorically (2)	485:6	classified (1)
625:2	606:19;607:12,13;	597:14,23	characterization (1)	473:14
brought (3)	608:19;609:4;618:5	categories (2)	501:16	classify (2)
449:15;564:7;	Can (53)	609:7;610:10	charge (1)	471:1;504:8
579:8	437:3;443:24;	cause (4)	574:18	clause (1)
Buddhism (1)	453:13;471:13,14;	441:4;550:14;	charts (1)	577:18
584:9	481:6;482:4,23;	561:10;562:24	466:22	clear (3)
Building (3)	485:8;486:18,18,20;	caused (4)	cheating (2)	546:24;547:2;
497:4;529:14;	488:4,14;489:25;	519:10;623:17,21,	537:16,16	576:6
561:11	490:19;491:10;	23	child (8)	clearer (1)
Built (1)	495:2,2;497:12,25;	causes (3)	434:20;619:25;	599:5
534:4	500:9;503:25;510:8;	518:1;519:3;	620:2,3,4,7,7;623:18	clearly (2)
	511:15,22;514:12,	528:20	children (1)	536:7;571:9
bunch (3) 540:22;586:18;	19;517:3;518:4,20;	cautioned (1)	619:22	clever (3)
587:25	519:5;525:11;	585:22	chips (1)	472:11;501:11,16
	527:15;528:22;	CC (1)	546:6	cleverness (2)
business (16)	535:12;537:19,19,	561:20	choice (2)	500:24;501:9
438:3;444:23;	22;539:22;542:5;	celebration (1)	499:9;503:21	client (6)
466:5,10;473:12;	545:5,22;546:9;	456:20	choices (3)	484:13;486:24;
507:2,14,18,20;	549:17;554:5;556:5;	center (2)	455:17;508:19;	488:9;491:4;569:11,
532:11;535:14;	558:15;565:1;	490:24;622:24	620:19	12
555:1,19,21;568:23;	603:20;604:8;	CEO (1)	choose (4)	clients (9)
570:20	608:17;624:21	574:10	503:5;504:1;	432:7;475:16,17,
business' (1)	Canadian (1)	certain (36)	519:12;620:20	20,22,23,25;476:1;
451:13	541:7	437:23;438:3;	chose (2)	580:23
buying (1)	cancelled (3)	445:18,18;451:10;	549:14;624:23	Clifton (1)
447:3	531:11;533:4;	457:13;458:24;	circle (1)	432:24
Buyline (1)	617:20	469:3,12,19;470:19;	462:19	close (2)
534:7		471:6;476:2;480:7;	Circuit (3)	590:14;592:12
C	capability (1)	481:19,19;493:24;	572:19;573:18;	Club (6)
C	545:24 carbon (4)	502:15;503:17;	574:1	476:18;477:2,4,8,
-	497:24,25;518:23;	514:20;515:11;	Circuit's (1)	10,16
cable (1)		517:22;520:19;	573:4	coach (6)
605:12	562:3	527:7;538:19;	circumstance (6)	483:13;489:22;
calculation (1)	cards (1) 434:12	540:24;544:8;	457:22;459:2,5,6,	494:6;514:3;531:9;
531:10		545:10;551:2;553:8;	18;460:10	532:4
call (10)	care (3)	567:7;573:21,23;	circumstances (4)	coaches (8)
436:8;476:14;	495:7;496:18;	, , ,	457:17;458:24;	463:16;545:4,7,9,
506:6;508:22;532:9;	552:2	612:5,13,14	459:19;520:19	11;546:1;615:14,14
536:19;538:6;581:4,	career (1)	certainly (25)		coaching (3)
9;590:19	464:4	448:18;469:25;	claim (16)	503:15;522:16;
called (11)	carefully (1)	471:6;476:24;	518:4;531:3;	553:5
476:22;480:23;	520:7	477:22;478:8;480:5;	535:5;565:4,10,15,	coach's (1)
541:10;547:17,23;	carried (1)	484:11,16;495:12;	17,20,21,23;566:9;	491:3
548:1;572:20;607:9,	591:12	502:6,14;505:21;	567:17;568:9,11,12,	
15,24;609:18	case (16)	506:4,25;528:18,21;	14	Coca-Cola (1)
calling (1)	477:6;482:9;	537:24;538:3;	claimed (2)	481:22
551:21	491:17;519:20;	541:24;550:6;567:6;	531:13;611:7	code (2)
calls (5)	568:17;571:23;	581:17,25;590:1	claiming (1)	460:3,5
503:14,22;536:5;	573:5;591:10,16;	challenge (1)	534:25	co-experiment (1)
	<u> </u>			_

				461.05
445:20	504:4,15	conclusion (1)	consistent (4)	461:25
Coke (2)	competitors (13)	570:3	467:23;498:16;	contribute (1)
497:24;518:23	475:3,14,17;476:5,	conclusions (6)	509:24;511:10	448:18
olleagues (1)	7,13,14;477:25;	433:15;524:18;	consistently (2)	contribution (1)
608:6	499:1,3,4;503:6;	525:19;527:20,24;	510:1;511:12	448:15
ollected (1)	504:2	587:20	consorti (1)	contributions (1)
599:12	complete (4)	conditions (1)	617:15	448:17
Coming (3)	542:10,10;549:10;	546:11	Consortium (3)	control (8)
482:16;618:19;	550:2	conduct (7)	616:24,25;617:8	509:11,13,17,23;
620:5	completed (1)	439:5;536:20,25;	constitution (2)	510:12;511:10;
ommenced (1)	540:16	581:21;588:16,21;	510:11;512:3	512:4;546:18
583:6	completely (5)	598:18	constructs (1)	controls (1)
ommented (1)	508:6;511:17;	conducted (2)	458:20	437:12
491:5	521:24;550:5;570:10	435:14;463:21	consult (1)	conversation (4)
omments (3)	completeness (1)	conducting (1)	608:6	581:10;589:2;
580:18;585:20;	541:2	576:18	consulted (7)	622:14,15
589:22	completion (1)	conferences (1)	565:3,21;567:16;	Conversations (16)
ommerce (1)	538:17	598:19	568:13,16,19;592:18	435:24;532:8;
477:23	component (2)	confidence (2)	consumer (1)	572:21;581:12;
	491:24;492:1	454:23;614:8	516:5	583:25;584:13,21;
ommercially (1)	comprehensive (1)	confidential (29)	Consumers (4)	587:8,12;595:17;
496:23	587:16	461:19;469:14,18,	516:7,7,22,25	599:17;600:18;
ommon (1)			Consumers' (1)	601:11,14;602:18,2
567:13	compromise (2)	19;470:1,4,12,18;	534:7	conversed (1)
ommunicate (3)	518:25;519:23	471:7;482:10;483:4;		572:17
497:6;498:6;	compromises (2)	488:23;490:18;	contact (5)	conveyed (2)
602:23	516:16;517:4	494:2;495:20,25;	447:8;506:22,22;	466:20,25
communication (2)	Computer (10)	496:4,16;497:14;	603:22;605:4	
445:11;586:8	465:3;490:4;	507:23,24;508:5,10;	contained (7)	convinced (1)
ommunications (18)	492:23,24;493:10,	538:21;539:6;553:1;	469:12;486:2;	565:12
433:10;577:13;	12,570:25,609:16;	554:1;557:7;560:19	518:10;538:1;	co-own (1)
579:11,14;586:13,	610:4,6	confidentiality (25)	552:22,588:3,7	448:7
14;588:11;592:4,24;	computers (2)	446:13,16,18,20,	container (1)	copied (4)
593:3,6;598:24;	464:23;465:6	20,22;447:6,9;	536:12	515:24;579:13;
600:2,4;603:23;	conceived (2)	454:15,19;461:25;	containing (1)	593:5;606:11
604:2,5,11	473:20,23	462:5,15,18;468:13;	529:1	copies (12)
community (5)	concept (6)	473:6;489:25;	contains (5)	493:1,4,6;522:4;
619:19,21,23,23;	469:13;477:6;	493:15;520:9;	498:3;543:13;	559:24;573:19,21;
620:2	496:24;499:15;	553:25;554:9,15;	550:6;594:17;611:9	588:12;610:18,21,
companies (1)	517:22,23	564:20;615:20;	contemplate (1)	22;616:11
440:8	conception (1)	616:10	526:5	copy (15)
company (11)	474:1	confirm (3)	contemporaneous (1)	481:14;520:14,24;
446:17,23,24;	concepts (11)	442:12;576:1;	593:24	530:6;540:4;560:3
447:5,6;452:2;	452:24,24;469:11,	577:7	content (4)	562:4;586:8;591:2
487:12;490:9,15;	15;480:20;487:23;	confusion (1)	460:20;515:22;	609:4;610:11;
491:20;534:7	514:16,18;517:20;	433:4	552:7;587:15	611:23;621:12,18
compared (2)	545:20;551:23	Conocimiento (1)	contention (1)	copying (1)
502:23;567:12	conceptual (3)	610:19	614:20	516:2
	471:25;617:1,2	consider (22)	contents (2)	copyright (33)
compete (10)		444:19;450:24,25;	435:20;587:18	441:7;452:17;
501:19;502:1;	concern (1)		,	455:18;475:8;
503:3,11;504:6;	456:18	457:14;461:18;	context (6) 511:14;512:16,17;	482:20;483:15,24;
507:4;521:14;522:8;	concerned (3)	465:8,11,13;477:8;		484:22,25;485:2,6
548:19;552:16	530:9;533:8;569:1	495:19,25;496:4,6,	517:17;602:4,10	492:14;495:23;
competed (1)	concerning (5)	10,12;497:15;498:4,	contexts (1)	
502:15	433:8;572:24;	25;499:23;502:8;	470:20	497:19,22;515:9;
competing (2)	573:4;578:23;598:24	559:14;564:3	continue (1)	520:13;521:3,9;
499:13;502:22	concerns (7)	considered (7)	559:14	522:12,22,25;523:
competitive (1)	559:25;561:21;	444:21;456:21;	CONTINUED (4)	543:14,14,20;
475:17	563:18;577:12;	476:18;477:21;	433:2;446:1;	552:21;565:20,21,
competitor (16)	579:7;618:3,18	544:7;566:10;619:20	474:18;524:10	23;566:8,9;573:4
476:11,19;477:8,	conclude (1)	considers (2)	continuing (3)	copyrighted (11)
20,21;499:7;500:13,	458:21	469:14;495:21	432:4;604:9;	441:10;480:16,19;
	concluded (1)	consistency (2)	610:14	486:9;487:12,16;
17,21,24;501:23;	Concinata (1)	Consistency (2)	010.17	.00.5, .0, .12,10,

May 13, 2009		440.1.2.444.5.14.	579:9;593:9;594:6	617:16
528:18;529:2	18,21,24;573:2,18;	440:1,3;444:5,14;	5/9:9;595:9;594.0 dealings (3)	delete (4)
copyrighting (2)	574:1	, ,	568:23;593:19;	571:18;606:3,4,21
481:15,16	cover (1)	457:19,19;475:10,	594:9	deleted (1)
opyrights (25)	457:2	11;525:3,4	debunk (1)	606:22
440:23,24;452:20;	covered (1)		535:6	deliver (2)
455:5,10,10;456:4,5,	433:18	440:16,17;487:15		562:17,25
8;485:19,19;492:10;	covert (1)		decide (2)	delivered (1)
514.7,10,515:10,24;	546:21	470:20	461:23;572:19	562:19
517:17;520:9;	cowritten (1)		decided (4)	delivers (1)
521:10;524:25;	610:19	527:10;613:9	481:14;497:18;	
526:23;527:14;	crafted (1)		574:23;603:1	440:4
528:15,17;543:18	551:2	D	decides (1)	demands (2)
copywritten (4)	create (8)		503:5	529:25;530:4
482:2;492:12;	449:4;472:2,25;	Dalai (24)	deciding (1)	demarcations (1)
514:17;527:4	510:5,7;511:18,21;	616:18;617:3,5,17,	595:1	472:22
core (1)	546:4	20;618:2,17,23;	decis (1)	demonstrated (1)
454:8	created (11)	619:5,8;621:16,17;	488:3	614:6
corporate (5)	438:19;444:5;	622:16,18,25;623:8,	decision (17)	department (2)
478:7;486:6;	446:7;452:23;456:1;	16;624:12,22,22;	441:2;457:12,13;	570:24,25
555:20;563:19;578:2	485:11;486:1;534:8;	625:2,3,13;626:5	458:16;460:7;488:3;	depend (2)
corporation (17)	555:5;574:9;599:5	Damage (21)	511:15;521:17;	495:8;496:19
437:18;442:19;	creates (1)	515:18;518:1;	526:1;555:13,16;	dependent (3)
443:3,4;444:13;	561:18	519:3,10;520:1,2;	564:14;568:9;	495:9;546:3,5
445:2;449:4,18,22;	creating (3)	524:15,18,22,24;	570:11;573:4,8;	Depending (11)
450:25;451:18;	455:25;510:3;	525:6,19,20,21,22,	623:18	435:22;436:2;
466:4;475:15;490:6;	511:4	25;526:21;527:21;	decisions (16)	441:5;444:22;452:9
534:10;574:9;577:6	creation (2)	531:9,23,25	477:16,19;486:17,	470:9;472:1;474:3;
	449:12;467:3	damaged (4)	20;488:2,4;520:6,10;	476:17;500:23,25
correctly (6)	creator (1)	516:11,13;526:16;	564:10;569:3,5,8,10;	depends (1)
486:12;517:19;	490:5	566:23	571:21;595:12,15	507:21
524:19;531:21;	credentials (1)	damages (9)	deductive (1)	deployment (1)
534:13;536:21	534:4	516:5;526:6,6,8,	514:17	437:13
costs (1)	Crime (1)	18;532:1;570:7;	deep (1)	deposed (2)
449:5	498:15	620:22,24	545:2	460:19;589:12
counsel (12)	criminal (1)	damaging (6)	defamation (4)	deposit (1)
433:5,7,11;485:8;	531:17	525:11;535:10,12,	565:4,9,14,17	520:24
555:20;566:20;	critical (9)	14;565:8;567:7	defendant (2)	deposition (17)
569:7,9,11;600:3;	503:3,4;514:10,23;	Damato (1)	563:24;577:5	432:4;436:23;
604:5,11		584:25	defendants (2)	460:12,17,22;461:
counselors (1)	515:5,9,14,22;575:6	Danzig (2)	515:25;596:20	479:1,18;553:21;
434:22	criticism (2)	432:12;559:13	Defendant's (14)	609:9;611:25;
counter (2)	536:3,17		521:5;539:17;	619:15;621:12;
525:9;535:13	criticizes (1)	Darmsala (4)	554:2;556:13,16,18,	622:7,10,11;626:1
counterclaim (2)	536:10	618:10,22;626:4,8	21,24;557:2,5,10;	deprived (1)
563:24;596:20	CROSS-EXAMINATION (3)	data (4)	594:13;608:20;	520:2
countries (2)	433:2;474:18;	465:3;537:23;		deprogramming (1)
541:16,19	524:10	582:14;585:6	611:21	591:3
course (15)	cruise (2)	date (8)	defended (1)	derive (5)
464:8;483:17;	601:19;602:15	432:1;437:2,3;	531:18	459:25;537:8;
490:3;492:2;494:4;	cult (2)	442:1,6;544:6;590:8;	define (4)	538:20,20;570:6
501:3,7,14;505:25;	503:22;536:12	619:4	452:9;496:25;	
506:23;516:8,23;	culture (1)	dated (8)	497:1;499:7	derived (8)
536:15;586:6;620:5	472:23	441:25;554:11;	definition (6)	452:4,8;478:22;
courses (11)	curious (1)	558:4;560:18,25;	450:10;461:2,13,	479:7,23;498:18;
439:10,11,16;	609:24	561:19;563:3,16	14;500:2;546:20	542:12;571:6
466:24;502:14;	current (6)	David (1)	deflecting (1)	describe (1)
504:22;505:2,14;	451:21;455:9;	533:20	535:14	496:21
506:9;507:11;615:2	484:12;516:17;	days (1)	degree (15)	described (3)
	517:5;555:8	436:23	434:8;457:7;	475:12;494:10;
coursework (2)	currently (4)	DBA (1)	465:24;475:7,25;	538:15
515:23;516:7	437:16;438:22;	443:2	478:9;496:14;	description (7)
court (17)	441:19;515:19	dead (1)	499:17;507:12;	450:13;542:16,21
521:21,23,25;			515:11;540:15;	24;545:15;548:5;
	ourriculum / 1 % l	1 11/1/2		
522:7,11;552:21; 571:23;572:2,7,9,15,	curriculum (15) 438:2;439:9,25;	517:12 dealing (3)	552:8;555:15;570:9	; 552:1

				Τ-
descriptions (2)	503:15;514:16;	568:7	distributed (1)	500:22;501:4,6,12;
546:23;552:4	517:6,6;523:5,7;	discovery (4)	508:2	521:14;522:9;552:16
design (1)	539:11;541:16,19;	467:24;480:7,8;	disturbing (1)	duplicated (2)
612:24	549:13;551:13;	567:25	585:8	504:17;553:2
designed (1)	573:9;605:20	discredit (1)	document (24)	during (5)
516:14	difficult (1)	534:12	480:10;485:7;	436:22;460:22;
	546:13	discuss (17)	521:4;529:25;530:4;	463:22;464:8;586:6
desirable (1)				703.22,404.8,360.0
508:23	digital (1)	447:15;566:12,22;	539:15;540:6;	100
desire (2)	434:14	567:3,8;572:14;	543:13;556:5;558:1,	E
5 16:8,23	dilemma (1)	573:12;578:3,6,8;	3,20,21,23,25;	
desired (1)	620:1	579:16;583:5;	559:16;562:18;	earlier (3)
516:12 °	direct (12)	593:21;598:13;	573:23,24;586:16,	506:13;596:15;
destiny (1)	450:22;464:1;	618:22;619:8,11	18;607:15;609:3;	612:2
509:18	477:24;498:25;	discussed (11)	610:9	early (2)
destroy (4)	499:2,7;506:22,22;	567:5,7;573:9;	documentation (1)	437:1;536:4
	509:23;511:9;	575:12;576:2,7,16;	602:17	earned (5)
459:3;510:5;			1	
511:19;624:4	531:10;570:7	579:18;581:14;	documents (22)	450:11;502:23;
destroyed (1)	directed (1)	593:23,25	466:15;539:9;	527:7;620:12,13
616:15	455:23	discussing (3)	556:3,4;557:24;	earning (2)
destruction (1)	directing (1)	478:18;519:11;	558:18,24;562:22;	489:22;494:5
536:17	508:11	591:23	563:11,14;573:21;	ease (2)
lestructive (1)	directly (14)	discussion (15)	574:17;583:23;	497:7;498:7
503:23	452:20;466:8;	528:10;557:17;	602:1,12;606:6,18;	easily (1)
	474:25;488:21;	577:2;579:5,7,22;	609:8,17,22;610:2,	552:17
Detailed (5)				
542:16,21,24;	492:19;499:13;	580:2;583:9;588:2,6;	21	easy (1)
545:15;548:4	508:11;525:5;531:2;	594:11;610:13;	dollars (1)	546:13
details (3)	534:24;538:17;	621:7;625:14,16	456:18	edict (1)
455:17;520:21;	564:13;570:6;623:22	discussions (8)	done (11)	619:21
601:23	director (1)	566:16;573:7;	464:4;492:20;	edit (1)
determined (4)	448:21	579:3,5;584:5;594:1;	509:20;553:20;	454:2
445:13;446:4;	disadvantage (1)	599:21;619:7	561:16;579:21;	Education (2)
581:11;614:3	461:8	disempowers (2)	582:13;591:6,7,8,11	500:13;538:19
	l .	510:7;511:21	door (1)	effect (5)
develop (7)	disagreement (1)			
445:21;446:1;	619:18	dishonorable (1)	458:1	509:7,15;516:13;
466:18;474:24;	disagreements (1)	536:18	doubt (7)	530:3;608:2
477:11;486:5;545:2	623:20	disown (3)	459:17;542:9;	Effective (2)
developed (6)	disapproval (1)	619:24;620:3,6	551:8;561:23,24;	553:25;554:11
445:18;474:25;	536:8	disparage (8)	562:24;579:12	effects (7)
478:13,17;480:5;	disapproved (1)	507:6,8;524:18,24;	down (4)	508:20,24;509:2,4;
561:14	603:2	525:20;526:9;	447:22,24;502:25;	518:13;542:13;
	disburse (1)	527:21;551:7	546:6	547:14
developing (4)	487:3		downloaded (2)	effort (3)
466:17;474:21;		disparaged (2)		
492:16;539:13	discern (1)	486:10;487:17	586:19;587:25	545:22,23;546:4
development (2)	550:24	disparagement (5)	downside (2)	efforts (1)
463:23;464:8	disclose (9)	525:6,21;526:6,20;	519:14,17	503:1
device (1)	469:23;482:13;	531:24	dozen (1)	either (28)
477:1	534:16;537:1;	disparaging (5)	534:1	444:3:450:9;
devices (1)	549:16,18;551:2,7;	507:16,22;525:14;	draft (1)	457:2;462:10;463:
476:15	611:7	527:9;590:21	559:3	466:5;475:11;
			ł .	500:25;502:12;
devout (1)	disclosed (5)	displayed (2)	drafted (2)	
619:19	469:25;481:15;	490:10,15	514:7;540:10	504:1;508:11;
difference (2)	501:20;504:19;	disputing (1)	drafting (1)	522:11;525:6,8;
442:4;514:15	548:20	536:23	540:13	541:6;544:5;559:9
differences (1)	discloses (2)	dissolved (1)	drafts (1)	564:19;565:25;
541:21	549:21,22	443:5	539:11	571:7;572:19;
different (31)	disclosing (1)	dissuade (3)	draw (2)	580:22;581:3;
	534:22	502:21,24;612:15	587:21;598:2	597:21;606:11;
434:12;437:14;	1			
444:1,17;447:16;	disclosure (4)	dissuaded (1)	drugs (1)	612:13,15;624:19
455:18;458:9;	543:12,16;544:3;	507:10	433:25	Electric (1)
7,55.10,750.2,	611.12	distinct (1)	duly (1)	478:3
	611:13			i i
471:18;472:22,23;	1		432:25	elements (3)
471:18;472:22,23; 474:2,3;480:17;	discovered (3)	546:11	432:25 duplicate (10)	elements (3) 538:19:612:13.14
471:18;472:22,23;	1		432:25 duplicate (10) 445:13;446:5,14;	elements (3) 538:19;612:13,14 eligible (1)

May 13, 2009	<u></u>
619:20	
Elks (7) 476:18;477:2,3,4,	•
8,10,16	•
else (29) 438:10,17;444:19;	
445:4;449:6,11,20;	
478:21;479:7,23; 502:5;507:19,19;	ľ
519:15,21;520:2;	
526:3;563:1;570:17; 571:4;578:13,19;	
586:22;591:2;592:8;	
593:2;604:22; 620:10;622:20	
else's (1)	
482:12 elsewhere (1)	ŀ
498:8	
email (1) 528:24	
e-mail (33)	
529:3;530:19; 556:17,20,23;	İ
559:22,23;560:10,	
16,18,19,25;561:1,4, 7;562:5;570:15;	
571:1,2,8,10;594:12,	Ì
17,20;603:8,10,18, 23;604:5,15,23;	
605:4,19	
e-mailed (1) 562:13	
e-mails (13) 571:15,18;572:23,	
25;573:3;592:25;	
603:20;605:22; 606:3,6,9,18,22	
embodied (4)	
474:6;480:8; 538:23;539:1	
embodies (1)	ļ
480:2 embody (1)	
518:16	
emissary (1) 618:17	
emotional (4)	,
510:11,15;512:2, 25	
employ (1)	
545:23 employed (2)	
450:5;470:21	
employee (3) 450:9,11,14	
Employment (2)	
561:22;562:1 en (1)	
572:20	
encoded (1) 605:12	
encompassed (2)	
70 / 1 ((26)	

a5111KSH-CLW	D
447:10;529:24	
end (2)	
536:9;543:5 endanger (1)	1
451:13	
ended (1)	
614:23 engage (1)	
533:12	
engagement (4)	İ
531:11;533:5,12; 581:19	Ì
engineer (7)	
472:8,17;535:16,	
18,21,23;536:1 engineered (1)	
504:16	
enough (6) 507:7;547:2;	
507:7;547:2; 548:12,15;566:21;	
580:14	
entail (1)	
609:13	
enter (1) 458:3	
entered (2)	
447:5;485:9	
entering (1) 554:14	
enterprise (1)	
458:11 enters (2)	
470:15,19	
Enthusiastic (2)	
497:5;498:5 entire (7)	
471:17.21:472:17;	
515:23;516:11; 552:18,20	
552:18,20 entirety (3)	
473:9;487:22;	
539:8	
entities (2) 444:4;450:9	
entitled (5)	
467:25;521:4;	^
539:15;542:6;586: entity (2)	9
441:18;455:24	
equal (1)	
598:1 equally (1)	
597:25	
ESP (59)	
439:21;440:4,4,8, 9,15,18;444:10;	
446:6,10;447:2;	
448:7,9,10,11,15,1	7,
448:7,9,10,11,15,1 20,22,25;449:7,12 17;450:2,14,15,21	•
451:10,22;452:14,	,
19:456:2.4.7:458:	

19;456:2,4,7;458:12;

470:25;475:9;

478:22;479:24;
480:4,6;481:8;
483:22;484:21,22;
485:1,10,14,15;
505:17,20;513:13;
514:3;544:22;545:3,
16,19;553:15;595:8
ESP/NXIVM (1)
446:8
especially (6)
459:4;483:9;
489:11;538:18;
541:14;552:24
Esq (1)
608:19
essence (2)
509:22;511:9
establish (3)
605:7,9,10
established (4)
436:25;437:10; 605:14,16
estimation (2)
443:10;525:10
etc (1)
534:9
ethical (6)
444:6;458:20;
477:16,19;616:23;
617:7
ethics (12)
458:15,18;459:19,
21;460:3,5;498:16,
17;509:7,14,16;
576:17
Ethos (3)
437:7;487:15;
512:6
evaluate (4)
481:13;487:2;
550:5;566:8
evaluated (1)
491:5 evaluation (4)
566:9;614:5,9,12
even (31)
437:7;444:16;
472:3;477:22;480:4;
483:4;487:2;488:14;
490:23;496:25;
497:6;498:6;502:23;
504:13;505:9;
507:12;514:18;
519:25;520:1;
523:10;525:5;
528:21;537:7;539:5;
552:8;570:14;
573:20;589:4;590:8
595:9;606:9
event (8)
616:19,20;617:3,6,
15,21,24;624:23
events (9)

50 03/20/13	rage
598:22;599:2,	3.6
7,16,21;600:2:	
602:3	
evidenced (1)	
614:23 exact (2)	
437:2;614:25	
exactly (15)	
438:7,13;440:	14;
473:18;478:10 528:17;553:3;);
568:10;572:6;	589:1.
13,14;590:6,8	
605:17	
exaggerated (1)	
533:11 Exalted (1)	
476:22	
EXAMINATIO	N (2)
608:23;626:3	
examine (1) 439:7	
examiner (1)	
547:7	
example (19)	0
456:18;457:2 463:15;476:1	U; 7.
502:20;503:2	
514:12;517:3	,10,21;
519:2,20;526 537:13,19;56	:10;
537:13,19;56	4:2;
612:18;620:1 examples (5)	9
458:25;459:8	•
476:16;528:2	
except (2)	_
461:22;610:1 excerpt (1))
508:7	
excerpts (3)	
522:20;552:2	2,25
exchange (1) 497:19	
excited (1)	
497:4	
Excuse (5)	
474:9;512:19 549:2;582:20	'; 1·621·4
excused (2)	,,021.1
523:17;626:1	.4
executed (2)	
487:23;555:2 executing (1)	2
519:16	
Executive (21)	
438:2;439:24	1;
440:25;441: 442:19,22,2:	
442:19,22,23	

455:14;458:11,12; 477:25;478:8;

554:21,23;555:22;

483:25;499:9;

	Monds
	614:7 executives (1)
	499:4 executive's (1)
	499:14
	Exhibit (20) 441:22;442:8;
	521:5,8;539:17; 554:2;556:13,16,18,
	21,24;557:3,5,10;
	576:25;577:4; 594:14,16;608:20;
	611:21
	Exhibits (1) 557:14
	exist (3)
	443:1;470:7; 506:25
	existed (2)
	601:3,5 exists (3)
	443:2,3,20
	exit (1) 503:14
	expenses (2)
	438:8;457:3 expensive (1)
	565:2
	experience (10) 472:12;474:24;
	498:19;503:25;
	510:6,11;511:20; 512:3;517:13;572:1
3	experiences (2) 474:6;486:7
,	experiential (2)
	486:4,8 explain (1)
	518:15
	explained (1) 507:10
	exposed (2)
	475:23;488:10 expressed (1)
	587:23
	expression (2) 488:16;514:6
	expressions (1)
	488:9 extensive (2)
	531:14;587:11 extent (3)
	437:24;484:24;
	520:8 extra (1)
	560:3
	extracted (1) 511:17
;	extravagant (1) 533:22
	extreme (1)
	457:24 extremely (3)

487:24;495:18; 497:3	family/friends (1) 536:4	563:15 finance (1)	456:11 following (3)	487:14,25 founder (18)
471.3 		570:24	508:13;528:11;	442:18,22;443:7,9,
F	far (20) 434:5,18;443:19;	570:24 finances (1)	578:17	11;444:12,19,22,23
	448:6;451:3;455:19;	558:23	follows (2)	23,24;445:2,3,4;
abric (2)	456:3;462:14;	financial (6)	432:25;524:8	531:13;616:25;
536:20,25	506:20;520:4;530:9;	450:18;558:6;	follow-up (3)	617:1,2
Face (16)	540:19,21;543:24;	562:21;586:24;	608:16;609:8;	founders (1)
483:2,2,6,9,11,17;	552:17;566:24;	588:3;596:6	625:9	470:6
484:8;490:24;498:9;	567:9;568:25;	find (4)	foolish (2)	four-year (1)
515:21;519:8;522:6,	569:16;581:7	495:3;502:10;	620:15,16	532:3
14;523:3;571:9;	fashion (2)	535:24;610:7	Forbes (14)	frame (1)
603:19	514:8;546:22	findings (1)	502:6,8,9,11,13,	567:2
facilitator (27)	fashioned (1)	433:14	13;507:9,15,19,22;	framed (3)
483:12;488:13;	613:2	fine (1)	508:4,6,10;592:12	439:18;566:25;
489:21;490:1,2,24;	fear (1)	590:23	force (1)	567:12
491:3,9,12,12,18,23;	531:19	finish (1)	598:1	Franco (13)
492:4,8,17;493:18;	features (1)	576:5	forced (3)	432:12;494:12;
494:13,21;522:16;	539:2	firm (5)	519:24;525:10,13	567:21,25;568:3,8;
553:1,6;613:19,21,	feel (1)	559:13;572:1,3;	Forcing (2)	609:2;613:23;
22;614:4,14,16	531:15	581:21;585:25	525:23;526:7	614:13,21;615:1;
facilitators (4)	feeling (1)	First (48)	form (17)	619:11;624:10
463:17;492:3;	477:13	436:25;437:9,11,	441:21;450:12;	frankly (1)
613:25;615:15	felt (14)	17;438:4,5,11;	478:22;479:24;	442:4
facsimile (3)	445:20,21;532:12,	439:21;440:1,22;	481:2,3,4;501:15;	freely (2)
543:15;544:2,14	19;555:6;567:7;	441:7,12,15;443:7,	558:11,13;571:13;	516:9,24
fact (16)	568:5;570:5;579:20;	12,14,15,18,19;	576:15;583:19;	frequently (2)
472:24;489:3;	580:13;583:14;	450:5;451:1,22;	589:18;603:4;	550:16;569:18
494:17;523:10;	585:1;586:20;619:17	452:14;455:13;	606:19;618:6	friend (2)
534:3;542:7;552:14;	few (13)	468:13,25;469:2,6,8;	formation (2)	570:20;585:21
566:4;573:20;588:2,	453:12;454:24;	485:18;495:6;	449:7,21	friendship (1)
6;595:21;598:7;	455:1;466:22,24;	497:17;509:22;	formed (5)	585:24
602:13,25;614:23	494:24;500:3;534:1;	519:22;553:15;	443:14;445:23;	front (5)
facts (4)	535:7;573:9;591:19,	554:23;566:7;577:5,	446:10;449:17;	517:18;557:15;
525:4,5,8;529:2	23;608:15	11;579:22;581:24,	513:13	572:6;605:13;609:
factually (4)	fictitious (1)	25;583:5,8,11;	formerly (2)	full (1)
524:16;525:18;	591:3	590:14;618:15;	442:19;445:2	465:17
527:19,23	field (4)	622:15	forms (2)	fullest (1)
fair (4)	445:12;447:17;	Firstly (1)	510:10;512:2	520:7
444:9;542:7,20,24	499:6;582:7	536:13	formula (3)	fully (2)
fall (1)	figure (1)	flavor (1)	481:22;497:23;	434:1;445:23
500:4	562:12	454:4	518:22	fully-executed (1)
500.4 Fallon (5)	file (1)	Flintlock (1)	Forum (2)	560:1
532:5,7,24;614:16;	568:9	432:24	499:25;564:6	function (3)
615:6	filed (8)	flood (1)	forums (1)	612:9,12,21
False (22)	522:12;541:13;	616:15	444:6	functioning (2)
524:15,16,23;	544:5,8;568:11;	Florham (1)	forward (2)	451:13;613:15
524:15,16,25, 525:4,5,7,14,18;	573:18,25;574:2	432:3	595:2,13	functions (1)
	files (3)	flows (6)	found (9)	605:20
526:7,17;527:19,23;	543:17,22;622:2	478:23;479:24;	445:19;572:3;	further (5)
528:21;529:2; 531:12,15,24;533:5;	filing (8)	525:25;526:21;	585:7;595:24;	433:11;608:12;
	565:3,9,21,23;	531:24,25	600:21,23;606:25;	625:6;626:1,3
535:11;580:23,25;	567:4,16;568:13;	focus (6)	610:5;626:9	future (2)
590:21	574:16	499:14;510:3;	foundation (13)	510:13;512:5
falsehood (1)	1	511:4;625:15,17,19	438:14,20,21;	
531:16	filings (3)		439:3,17;448:25;	G
familiar (7)	521:21;522:7,11	focusing (4)	450:23;465:21;	J
499:15;500:6;	final (3)	466:11;499:10,12,		gaining (1)
559:1,10;561:4;	484:17;510:14;	12 Foldows (1)	538:22,24,25;	gaining (1)
563:8,21	569:5	folders (1)	616:23;618:7	509:10
families (1)	finalized (2)	605:24	foundational (11)	game (3)
458:3	572:14,16	followed (3)	478:20;479:6,22;	537:7;538:4,11
family (2)	finally (3)	566:4,4,5	480:10;482:25;	gamma (1)
457:25;458:4	510:17;548:3;	followers (1)	483:3,5;486:7,14;	465:4

Vlay 13, 2009	
(arbage (7)	439:16;472:13;
597:8;598:7,11,14;	507:22;536:19,2
599:9,13,14	Goldberg (1)
garment (1)	594:18
545:6	Goldie (3)
garnered (1)	531:11;533:4,7
515:11	good (14)
Garza (1)	459:7;498:12;
490:7	503:20;511:14;
gathering (1)	512:16;523:13;
596:13	546:8;555:24;
gave (25)	565:12;580:7;
436:6;444:6,7;	583:14;585:24;
488:19;490:21;	587:23;602:23
491:4;529:9;531:2,4;	Gosh (1)
564:12,15;586:18;	499:6
592:13;595:7,10,16;	Grand (1)
596:9,17;597:11,15,	476:22
18,23;609:14;	granting (1)
621:12,22	548:14
geared (6)	great (1)
551:3,3,4,7,7,13	496:14
general (13)	greater (2)
456:1,17;466:25;	520:2;526:19
467:5;472:23;478:3;	green (1)
487:6,7;508:20;	478:5
520:23;571:4;	grew (1)
576:24;606:17	534:5
generally (5)	group (22)
482:21;558:10;	449:14,16;462:
578:8;584:3;592:3	463:1,8,11,14;
generated (1)	499:15,18,23;5
505:18	2,8;503:23,25;
generates (1)	533:24;536:4,5
439:8	558:7;612:16
generous (1)	groups (1)
626:9	545:12
gentleman (1)	grown (1)
622:24	487:13
genuine (1)	guarded (1)
537:1	520:7
Germany (2)	guess (16)
457:25;459:1	434:10;457:14;
gets (2)	473:18;481:14,
490:22;571:16	499:2,7;507:21
given (27)	508:10;512:12:
482:13;486:10,23;	532:21;535:15;
487:1,4,6,7,16;488:7,	543:25;569:13:
18,19,24;490:2;	570:5;593:24
491.4,12,18;494:21;	guidance (1)
516:9,24;517:20;	434:22
536:6;542:2;591:22;	guide (1)
607:23;614:2,3;	498:18
615:2	guiding (2)
gives (2)	510:10;512:2
549:9;550:2	guy (1)
giving (3)	501:11
471:12;504:14;	gynecologist (1)
535:1	527:10
glasses (1)	TT
534:18	H
goes (9)	halfmas (1)
438:4,11,17,25;	halfway (1)

MOTTINOH-CEM I
439:16;472:13;
507:22;536:19,24 Goldberg (1)
594:18 Goldie (3)
531:11;533:4,7 good (14)
459:7;498:12; 503:20;511:14;
512:16;523:13; 546:8;555:24;
565:12;580:7; 583:14;585:24;
587:23;602:23 Gosh (1)
499:6 Grand (1)
476:22 granting (1)
548:14 great (1)
496:14 greater (2)
520:2;526:19 green (1)
478:5 grew (1)
534:5 group (22)
449:14,16;462:25; 463:1,8,11,14;
499:15,18,23;500:1, 2,8;503:23,25;
533:24;536:4,5,9,10; 558:7;612:16
groups (1) 545:12
grown (1) 487:13
guarded (1) 520:7
guess (16) 434:10;457:14;
473:18;481:14,16; 499:2,7;507:21;
508:10;512:12; 532:21;535:15;
543:25;569:13; 570:5;593:24
guidance (1) 434:22
guide (1) 498:18
guiding (2) 510:10;512:2
guy (1) 501:11
11-4 (1)

	ocument 052-5 i	
	586:5	
	hand (3)	
	546:16;553:23;	
	562:4	
	handle (2)	
	503:25;574:24	
	handled (2) 438:13;451:15	
	handles (3)	
	440:1;574:13,21	
	hands (1)	
	614:23	
	handshake (1)	
	470:25 handshaking (1)	
	544:24	
	happen (1)	
	568:24	
	happened (4)	
	567:8;589:20;	
	590:9;610:8	
	happening (2) 568:24;602:22	
	hard (8)	
	493:1,3,6;501:8;	
	520:10;546:7,7;	
	550:24	
	harm (5)	
	459:16;515:18; 518:1;519:3,10	
	harnessing (1)	
	508:14	
	Harold (4)	
,	432:11;607:10;	
	608:19;609:1 Harold's (1)	
	530:6	
	hate (1)	
	536:18	
	Hawn (3)	
	531:11;533:4,7	
	head (15) 459:8;464:19,24;	
	465:1,2;476:21;	
	500:12;504:7;	
	505:11;511:3;	
	512:10;573:6;	
	585:10;595:19; 622:24	
	622:24 headed (2)	
	542:16;585:25	
	headquarters (1)	
	553:9	
	Health (5)	
	446:25;447:2,3,4,5	
	hear (3) 476:2;529:11;	
	599:8	
	heard (23)	
	477.20.400.0	

```
583:17,21;587:
  589:22;590:2;5
  7;592:10;612:1
  618:15;626:5
hearing (2)
  583:12;589:1
hearsay (2)
  533:10,16
heart (3)
  515:23;516:4;
  549:17
held (9)
  441:12,14;528
  553:11;557:17
  577:2;594:11;
  610:13;621:7
Heller (2)
   568:21;576:21
help (6)
   447:17;477:14
   511:16;566:10
   610:1;620:17
helped (3)
   454:2,3;610:6
helpful (1)
   583:15
helps (1)
   477:18
high (1)
   470:15
 highly (1)
   496:15
 himself (3)
   554:19;578:5;
   620:6
 hire (4)
   455:21;504:1,1;
   555:13
 hired (4)
   502:21;555:11;
   593:13,13
 hiring (1)
   559:14
 historical (2)
   616:9;617:7
 history (1)
   491:19
 Hochman (31)
    494:25;501:25;
    504:9,22;505:4,7,15,
    20,25;506:10;507:2,
    5;508:8,9;522:21;
    532:16,20;536:11;
    549:12,15,16;550:3,
    9,12,22;551:6,16,22;
    552:23;607:2;609:24
  Hochman's (2)
    536:23;551:8
  hold (4)
```

546:19;547:19;

577:17;610:12

452:17,19

holds (2)

3;	Holiness (1)
91:6,	622:25
3;	honorandethics@yahoocom (3)
	603:11;604:23;
	605:15
	hope (2)
	509:8,15
	hoped (1)
	611:10
	hopefully (6)
	502:24;508:21;
	509:3,4,5;548:13
:10;	house (2)
•	452:10;459:2
	houses (1)
	458:3
	human (11)
	445:9,19;447:17;
	457:12;459:4,4;
·;	464:22;466:2;510:5
);	24;511:19
	hundreds (1)
	505:11
	Hushmail (3)
	604:17,18,20
	hydrogen (1)
	497:24
	hypothesis (1)
	579:25
	hypothesized (1)
	580:17
	I
	1

579:25
hypothesized (1)
580:17
I
idea (12)
434:10;435:4;
443:13;444:14,14;
558:16;565:12;
569:9;581:5;588:16,
19;602:15
ideal (2)
445:13;446:5
ideals (1)
512:1
ideas (8)
444:17;497:6;
498:7,22;510:9;
512:1;580:4;581:13
Identification (14)
521:6;539:18;
554:3;556:13,16,19,
22,25;557:3,6,10;
594:14;608:21;
611:21
identified (2)
463:22;538:15
identify (7)
432:7;513:7;
545:21;554:5;556:4;
558:1;603:8

ignorant (1)

580:12

imagine (9)

476:20;498:8;

499:25;504:25,25;

505:8;529:8,12,13;

531:1;541:6;580:16;

·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	···	
458:23;470:14;	496:24	449:15;484:15	483:11;487:15;536:6	interviews (2)
4 78:12;493:11;	increases (2)	initially (1)	Intensives (1)	503:14;576:18
503:6;507:25;	477:12;499:8	615:21	440:19	into (30)
518:19;548:22;	independence (1)	initiatives (1)	intent (3)	438:11;447:6;
572:16	546:4	590:14	459:3,12;551:12	470:17;471:19;
mmoral (1)	independently (2)	innocent (1)	intention (2)	472:2;473:8;481:7;
459:6	478:14,17	580:11	459:16;546:21 interacting (2)	482:7;485:9;487:9; 489:13,16;491:1;
mplementation (1)	in-depth (1) 463:22	innovate (1) 515:2	493:24;526:10	489:13,16,491:1;
602:1 mplies (2)	indicate (4)	in-person (3)	interchangeably (1)	500:4;510:10;512:1,
527:6,7	621:3;623:24;	588:17,24;598:19	440:11	1;528:22;543:4;
mport (1)	624:2,17	input (6)	interest (7)	549:10;550:2,18,21;
514:20	indicated (5)	435:18;564:12,15,	450:19,22,25;	551:14;554:14;
mportance (1)	432:2;617:6,11;	16,16;592:21	451:21;452:1;485:2,	570:10;586:10;
541:1	624:1,19	inputs (1)	6	592:21
mportant (21)	indicating (2)	564:24	interesting (2)	introduction (2)
462:23;468:24;	530:3;583:24	inguired (1)	511:24;538:6	454:4;544:25
471:7;475:13;	indicia (1)	600:19	interests (3)	intros (1)
480:12,24;481:5,8;	492:12	Inquiry (52)	485:1;569:14,15	454:3
483:1;486:15,16;	indirectly (2)	439:6,23;441:16,	interfacing (1)	invalid (4)
487:19,24;510:24;	488:21;508:11	19;443:16,445.15,	529:1	524:17;525:19;
516:5;537:6;538:21;	individual (1)	23;448:15,19;	interfere (1)	527:20,24
552:12;555:6;	569:14	452:18;457:5,8,11,	585:24	Invention (20)
559:13;624:20	individuals (3)	16;462:24;463:23;	Interfor (35)	541:10;542:7,12,
mpression (4)	499:5;533:25;	464:2,9,12,16,19,21,	433:9;575:13;	12,13,13,16,25;
440:21;441:9;	535:6	25;465:9,15,20,25;	576:9;577:13,16,21,	547:1,3,5,11,13,17,
522:10;525:7	inductive (1)	466:3,8,12,16;	24;578:4,6,8,14,20,	22;548:8,16;549:19; 552:2;569:25
mproper (1) 561:17	514:16 infiltrate (1)	467:12;504:17; 538:22,24;539:1,3,4,	23;579:9,11,14,16; 583:3,9,25;584:2,6,	inventions (1)
mproperly (2)	501:9	8,12;540:5;542:8,22;	22;586:8;588:13,16,	553:8
482:12;621:2	influence (1)	543:1;547:12,14,15,	21;594:5;596:16;	investigate (1)
naccurate (2)	433:24	18,19;549:11;550:3;	597:2;598:10,18,24;	578:23
526:8;621:1	inform (1)	595:7	599:12;604:16	investigation (11)
nappropriate (1)	475:19	insidious (1)	Interfor's (4)	579:16;581:21,22;
568:5	information (74)	546:19	585:12,16;594:8;	582:2,5;583:3,5;
nappropriately (1)	435:3;437:13;	insight (4)	601:25	585:17,18,25;586:10
551:11	440:3;447:7;466:20;	535:1,3;549:10;	internal (2)	investigator (2)
nasmuch (6)	470:2,4,12,18;	550:2	498:17;546:10	582:15,18
471:14;481:15;	471:11,12;481:25;	instances (1)	international (3)	involved (32)
482:2,19;502:9;	488:23;489:13;	525:12	445:9;541:7,16	437:5;438:24;
508:8	490:3;492:1,21,25;	instead (1)	internet (18)	443:22,25;449:11,
(nc (4)	493:2;494:2;497:2;	527:10	488:23;489:1,3;	21;454:11;470:12,
442:20;554:21,23,	501:24;503:9;504:5;	instruct (1)	490:10,15;515:20;	23;492:16,19;
24	508:10;523:11;	433:8	516:4;519:8,14,19; 521:22;522:23;	502:22;505:17; 540:13;555:13;
ncluded (3)	526:13;528:18,25; 529:5,6,7,9,15,16,18;	instructions (14) 575:8;578:22;	521.22,322.23, 523:1;531:18;541:5;	564:2,4,9,10,13;
501:25;503:10; 566:12	530:7,22,25;531:2,4,	582:4;585:15;596:4,	552:19;586:20;588:1	567:22,23,24;568:1
includes (3)	5,17;532:25;535:4;	9,17,19,25;597:6,11,	interpretation (1)	569:22;570:6;
544:22,24;545:19	539:7;540:23;	15,19,23	625:16	576:20;585:4;
ncluding (4)	541:24;542:3;544:7;	integrity (2)	Interrogatories (1)	606:13;614:11;
577:25;579:13;	546:25;547:5;548:8,	509:6,9	577:6	617:14;620:18
593:5;625:1	15,24;550:7;555:21;	intellectual (1)	Interrogatory (18)	involvement (4)
income (7)	574:14,15,21,24;	555:5	577:11;586:4;	454:7;531:14;
438:6;439:8,16;	583:15;586:2,19,24;	intelligence (1)	588:10;592:2,3;	555:16;563:25
452:4,8,9;570:6	588:4;595:16;	585:5	596:2,7;597:5,9,13,	involving (1)
incorporate (1)	596:12;597:3;	intelligent (1)	17;598:16,20;	618:3
462:4	598:17;601:2,8;	552:15	599:24;600:1,12;	Ireland (1)
ncorporated (1)	602:3;612:5	intended (1)	601:22;603:7	594:24
491:1	informational (2)	546:24	interview (5)	Irreparable (4)
	EO 4 11 COT 16	intending (1)	575:13;576:8;	515:18;518:1;
incorporates (1)	504:11;587:16			
458:6	in-house (1)	506:23	581.6;618:19,20	519:3,10
			581:6;618:19,20 interviewed (1) 618:11	519:3,10 irreparably (1) 516:13

May 13, 2009				
Israel (1)	joyous (1)	458:3	lack (1)	546:2;582:10,11;
585:6	499:11	killer (1)	618:6	598:18;599:7,12;
ssues (7)	Jr (1)	512:18	Lama (22)	602:10
447:16;567:2;		killing (1)	616:19;617:3,6,17,	learned (7)
573:15;575:4,6,9;	Judge (2)	537:21	20;618:2,16,17,23;	565:1;591:15;
589:12		kind (3)	619:5,8;622:16,18,	599:15;601:10,24;
Item (1)	judgment (3)	435:2;444:24;	25;623:2,8,16;	602:2,9
607:11	517:2;546:15;	611:2	624:22;625:2,3,13;	learning (2)
items (1)	585:25	knew (3)	626:5	486:4,8
524:15	Juval (14)	450:13;576:23;	lamas (1)	leased (1)
Ivy (3)	564:3;576:21;	584:25	618:16	615:3
453:11;455:1;	577:22,25;583:13,	knowing (8)	Lama's (3)	least (7)
611:20	14,18,22;584:8,24;	493:22;510:14,17;	621:17,17;624:12	443:2;500:5;
011.20	585:1,4;586:18;	512:13,24;517:9;	land (1)	502:11;513:18;
${f J}$	587:10	531:16,17	562:20	521:18;529:20;
	367.10	knowledge (13)	Landmark (4)	548:16
Y(2)	K	450:1,3,17;451:19;	499:25;500:4,10,	leave (2)
January (3)		455:13;461:17;	13	476:1;562:22
559:22;603:12;	Kahler (7)	463:19;476:11,13;	Lane (1)	lectures (1)
605:3	500:11,19;567:20,	516:15,15;517:3;	432:24	435:20
Jeff (2)	22;568:1,4,8	571:18	large (7)	led (1)
594:18,18	Karen (11)	known (5)	499:15,18,23,25;	507:20
Jersey (5)	463:6,13;467:14,	442:19;463:2;	500:2,8;570:10	left (6)
432:3;559:13;	20;570:18,19;571:3,	516:10;612:18,22	larger (1)	490:24;531:14;
568:17,22,23	7,18;610:7;614:11	knows (5)	472:3	532:4,7,9;615:14
job (1)	Karen's (1)	463:8,11,14;	last (17)	legal (15)
450:12	571:5	517:21;575:10	434:3;439:13;	443:9;450:10;
Joe (56)	Kathy (8)	Kofman (18)	450:4;478:18;	459:20;557:7;
438:23;555:14,17;	557:1,8;560:11,13,	432:11,11;441:21;	479:18:490:13;	565:11;569:2;570:2;
558:5,9,18,24;	14,15;561:20;563:17	607:11,14;608:15,	510:21;518:3;537:9;	573:15,21;574:14,
559:12;560:20;	Keeffe (36)	19,23;609:1;611:6,	550:16;575:11;	16,22;575:4,6,9
561:1,8,14,20;563:4,	432:16;459:14;	17,22;621:6,10;	577:1,18;608:25;	legality (1)
16;567:10,11;	460:13;463:9,13;	625:6;626:1,3,11	610:23;616:2,18	554:20
568:21;571:24,24;	559:25;563:1;567:4;	Kristin (54)	later (3)	legally (2)
572:3,8,13,16;573:8, 12,15;575:1;576:21;	568:3;574:6;575:3;	432:15;459:14;	446:10;601:17;	566:25;567:12
579:17,19,20,23;	583:2,9,18,24;	460:13;463:9,12;	602:21	legitimately (1)
580:4,16;581:13,17,	584:22;585:1,11,15;	559:25;563:1;567:4;	Lauren (7)	590:20
20;582:4;587:6,9;	587:4;589:21;591:1;	568:3;571:24;574:6,	453:11;455:1;	Leonard (9)
	594:17,20;595:4,18;	20,23;575:3;583:2,9,	463:7,13;467:15,21;	432:17,17;433:22;
590:6,11,13,15,19; 591:1;593:21,23,25;	596:5,21,24;597:7;	17,24;584:22,24;	614:10	530:10;575:19;
598:14;599:9,17;	598:18;599:16;	585:1,2,3,11,15;	law (6)	607:18,22;611:1,10
601:12;602:18,23	601:14,17;602:13;	586:17;587:4,24;	459:21;485:5;	less (3)
John (4)	604:15	589:9,21;591:1;	520:8;547:10;	435:23;541:24;
504:8;532:20;	keep (7)	592:14;593:20;	548:23;555:21	551:22
537:20;561:12	461:6;495:9;	594:17,20:595:4,7,	lawsuit (16)	letter (11)
•	546:2;553:23;	18,22,23;596:4,21,	529:21;575:14;	482:1;530:2,6;
join (1) 612:16	594:25;595:4;614:8	24;597:7;598:17;	576:9;582:13;	560:1,11;607:10,12;
joint (1)	keeping (1)	599:8,16;601:14,16,	600:22,24,24;601:2,	608:1,18;609:4,7
484:10	494:1	19;602:13,14,16;	3,6,10,19;602:4,10;	letters (3)
	Keith (13)	604:15	620:18,23	481:23;539:16;
joke (2) 516:12;517:7	432:4,18;441:25;	kunterre (6)	lawyer (1)	592:24
	554:24;556:14;	560:20,23;561:1;	555:18	liaison (1)
jokes (1) 517:6	559:3;560:21,24,24;	571:1;605:10;606:10		574:14
	561:2;577:4;594:25;	kunterre@nycaprrcom (4)	1	licensed (1)
Joseph (3) 433:10;555:10;	611:19	559:24;560:9;	lay (1)	555:11
	Keithraniere@yahoocom (4)		461:13	lie (5)
557:8	603:19,25;605:1,6	2.0.20,000.11	lead (2)	457:14;458:5,5;
journals (2)	kept (2)	\mathbf{L}	546:1;555:19	459:14;460:9
463:25;464:3	454:23;461:7		leading (1)	lied (1)
joy (6)	key (5)	label (2)	508:9	459:18
477:12,15,19;	468:22,23;528:25;	436:4;496:22	learn (12)	lies (4)
499:11;510:11;512:3	531:8,15	labeled (1)	445:13;446:5,14;	458:7,7,8;459:6
			, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
joyful (1) 503:19	kill (1)	562:19	468:16;486:18;	life (8)

MORRIS SULTON				141ay 13, 2007
459:4;477:13;	476:21	457:6,9,15,17,20,	609:3;611:20	455:1;459:12;463:3,
499:11;510:12;	logical (1)	23;458:10,15,15,21,	marketing (1)	9;464:4;466:4,24;
512:4;546:7,13;	530:11	23;459:23;460:1,6,	486:6	469:24;471:5;
620:9	logically (3)	11	martial (2)	472:10,25;476:3,15;
lifetime (1)	524:17;525:18;	11	478:6;613:2	477:11;483:14;
474:23	527:24	M	Martin (31)	484:11;485:15;
		171	489:5,10,15;491:6;	487:7;495:16;498:8;
likely (6)	long (9)		494:25;501:25;	501:17;503:2;
475:9,10;489:19;	454:1,6;535:24;	magazine (2)		506:25;507:25;
498:23;585:2;620:8	565:2;584:7;590:7,	610:19,24	504:8,23;505:4,7,14,	512:17;516:8,22,25;
Likewise (1)	24;605:8;624:16	Mail (2)	21,25;506:10;507:2,	
567:11	longer (4)	528:24;562:15	5;522:21;523:4,10;	519:23,23;520:5,5;
limited (1)	497:21;516:8,22;	main (2)	532:15;549:11;	523:4;526:15;527:8,
512:16	518:11	465:19;612:25	550:3,9,11,22;551:6,	9,12;528:13;537:8;
line (5)	long-range (1)	mainly (1)	16,22;552:23,25;	539:4;541:15;
516:12;543:5,11,	520:1	571:5	607:2	554:11;556:11;
12;544:21	long-time (1)	maintains (1)	Martin's (1)	558:4;559:18,19;
Lines (2)	570:20	543:22	551:9	561:9;573:14;
544:16;565:25	look (18)	makes (6)	master (1)	574:16;579:21;
list (6)	452:21,21;487:2;	442:4;452:11;	568:4	581:23;606:10
469:11;528:2;	496:24;510:12;	514:14;518:8;	match (1)	maybe (7)
548:6,10;595:1,9	512:4;514:5;534:12;	523:11;615:10	457:13	477:22;478:3;
listed (4)	535:19;537:22;	making (3)	mate (1)	487:2;491:19;500:6;
521:13;554:16;	547:16;563:8,21;	457:12;579:24;	619:25	575:1;605:8
555:3;568:3	606:12;610:6;	580:23	material (26)	McGuire (23)
listing (2)	611:11,12;619:4	malintent (1)	441:6;448:4;	432:14,14;467:23;
521:9;524:14	looked (6)	459:10	481:18;487:3;	468:3,6;479:8,12,15,
lists (2)	500:1;532:19;	man (1)	488:11;489:25;	19;530:2;558:13;
554:14,23	569:18;609:15,15;	585:23	490:5,23,23;493:7,	560:2;565:24;
literally (3)	622:2	manage (1)	10;507:23,24;508:5;	566:19;570:2;
510:8;511:22;	looking (4)	546:16	516:9,23;517:1;	571:13;576:14;
515:24	459:3;477:10;	manipulated (1)	519:19,20;520:7;	578:15;583:19;
litigation (19)	549:19;567:18	545:25	529:2;532:1;535:13;	589:18;603:5;
540:6;563:25;	looks (4)	manipulation (2)	543:13;551:10;	607:10;608:1
564:11;566:13,17;	477:14;502:9;	546:15,18	560:19	mean (30)
569:4,15,23;570:10;	552:2;559:10	manner (1)	materials (58)	434:23;435:16;
574:19,25;575:4;	Loreta (1)	546:16	435:2;441:1,7;	436:14;440:9;
586:7;603:24;606:7,	490:7	manual (7)	448:2,6;461:18;	443:24;458:8,12;
	lose (1)	491:2;501:24;	465:2,22;472:16;	468:23;478:11,16;
9,18,23;625:22	564:21	503:10;527:5;	473:6;485:10;	484:17;488:18;
litigations (4)			486:25,25;488:15,	490:19;499:2;507:7;
565:1;570:9;	loss (3)	534:24,25;551:23	1	
606:14,17	507:14,20;531:8	manuals (3)	19;491:5,7,21,21,22;	512:17;515:5;
litigious (1)	lost (4)	482:10;488:12;	492:11;497:2;501:1,	517:16;518:12,19;
564:18	507:1,18;531:9;	497:11	3,14;504:18;505:24;	528:17;539:22;
little (3)	561:17	many (15)	514:17;515:19;	546:9;572:16;
500:25;596:14;	lot (21)	436:21;445:11;	516:3;518:5,8,10,12,	576:23;587:23;
621:11	454:21;500:25;	455:3;467:11;	16;527:4;535:1,2;	590:1;611:4;616:1;
live (2)	517:6,6,9;521:25;	470:20;492:25;	540:5;551:11;	620:13
498:17;499:5	550:7;561:16;	505:19;537:18;	591:10,16;609:10;	meaning (6)
lives (11)	564:18;572:1;578:5;	545:4;558:18;	614:8,14,17,20,21,	474:8;487:22;
499:5;509:18,23,	579:20;580:10;	568:23,24;576:20;	22;615:2,4,7,11,16,	510:7;511:21;
25;510:7,8;511:10,	589:3;590:15;	590:13;606:8	20;616:17;621:1;	546:15,17
12,16,21,22	600:21;606:4;	March (5)	625:21	means (5)
living (2)	609:16;622:2;	530:6;608:18;	matter (12)	491:15;494:14;
457:3;534:5	623:20;625:21	609:4,9;611:25	432:5;442:7;	516:4;546:19;547:22
Lloyd (2)	lots (1)	mark (3)	520:23;559:1,10;	meant (6)
594:18,18	571:16	556:3;608:17;	561:5;568:25;580:9;	438:16,19;439:3;
		611:17	602:13;606:6;609:2;	581:9;601:18;622:12
Lloyd(a)sitrickcom (1)	Trawensiem (T)			
Lloyd@sitrickcom (1)	Lowenstein (1)	marked (20)	1 618:23	ineasurable (1)
594:19	432:9	marked (20)	618:23	measurable (1) 570:7
594:19 local (1)	432:9 lower (2)	441:23;521:5;	maximize (1)	570:7
594:19 local (1) 532:11	432:9 lower (2) 495:10;546:3	441:23;521:5; 539:17,21;554:2,6;	maximize (1) 497:22	570:7 measure (4)
594:19 local (1) 532:11 locate (1)	432:9 lower (2) 495:10;546:3 luncheon (1)	441:23;521:5; 539:17,21;554:2,6; 556:12,15,18,21,24;	maximize (1) 497:22 May (58)	570:7 measure (4) 434:6,9,11,19
594:19 local (1) 532:11	432:9 lower (2) 495:10;546:3	441:23;521:5; 539:17,21;554:2,6;	maximize (1) 497:22	570:7 measure (4)

nechanisms (1)	20,25;466:9,12,17;
528:19	504:17;538:23,24;
nechanizations (1)	539:1,3,4,9,12;
466:6	540:5;542:8,11,22;
MEE (1)	543:1;547:12,14,15,
584:14	18,19,549.11
meet (7)	methodologies (1)
494:3,12;572:8,10;	515:22
574:6,8;619:5	methods (5)
meeting (10)	445:11;447:10;
489:23;493:18;	466:5;497:1;504:18
545:11,13;562:19;	meticulous (1)
581:13;588:17;	514:8
589:16,20;625:12	Metroland (2)
meetings (15)	586:23;596:14
447:15;545:6;	Michael (13)
572:13;573:7;	502:20,21,24;
583:25;584:2,5,9,13;	529:9,12;531:1,3;
585:12;588:25;	554:10;619:15;
598:19,615:21,23;	620:1;621:12;622:8
616:3	623:20
member (1)	middle (2)
502:14	575:22;594:23
members (2)	might (25)
536:9;545:5	440:17;453:7,10;
memo (4)	454:2;472:18;
558:4;561:19;	476:14,21;481:21; 511:2;512:6;521:14
563:3,16	522:8;526:14;544:1
Memorandum (4)	
556:11;557:1,4,8	552:10;565:19;
memorialized (1)	571:3,3;573:20;
539:9	581:16;587:10; 590:23;595:9;610:4
memorializing (1)	621:24
466:16	
memory (1)	mimicked (1) 504:16
436:8	mind (3)
mention (1)	576:17;579:2;
532:15	617:19
mentioned (17) 460:19,23,24;	mindful (1)
530:3;557:23;567:6;	460:7
583:13;586:23;	minds (1)
587:1,10;601:16;	502:12
602:20;612:2;	mindset (1)
613:17;615:18;	551:9
616:18;621:11	mine (2)
mentor (1)	480:16;570:20
447:13	minimize (1)
messages (2)	620:22
529:3;530:19	minute (1)
met (14)	519:1
445:8;446:1,9;	minutes (2)
467:9;494:14;	591:23;608:5
513:18;536:8;	misconstruance (1)
	626:6
111/1104:2.4:	mislead (1)
555:17;564:2,4; 572:12:578:1.3;	527:9
572:12;578:1,3;	
572:12;578:1,3; 590:14	misleading (2)
572:12;578:1,3; 590:14 method (44)	misleading (2) 527:12;528:13
572:12;578:1,3; 590:14 method (44) 439:23;441:16,19;	527:12;528:13
572:12;578:1,3; 590:14 method (44) 439:23;441:16,19; 443:16;445:15;	
572:12;578:1,3; 590:14 method (44) 439:23;441:16,19;	527:12;528:13 misleads (1)

ocument 032-3 Fi
473:1;478:19,23;
479:5,21,25;480:2,9,
11,14,16;483:19;
485:16;515:20;
519:7;522:5;614:7
mistrust (1) 561:14
misuse (2)
524:25;566:11
model (12)
439:7;445:14,15, 21,23;446:6,15;
447:21,22,24;466:2;
486:3
models (1)
445:18
module (58) 453:14;454:2,22;
467:3,4,14;468:13,
16,22,23,24;469:16;
471:17,19,22,23,24,
25;473:10;480:18,
19,23;481:1,2,3,4,11; 482:16,17,20,25;
483:1,10,14;486:2,7,
14,17,23;487:1,14,
24;488:6;500:22;
501:4,7,12;514:14; 522:20;544:22,23,
24;545:2,16,19,20,
21;552:5
modules (52)
452:18,20,22,25;
453:3,6,10,19,20,23; 454:5,7,8,14,25;
455:6,7,15,20,25;
456:1;461:18;
465:22;466:1,17,18;
468:25;469:3,6,8,12;
483:8;484:20,22; 486:9;487:12,13,16;
488:18;521:13;
522:7;544:25;548:5,
6,11,12,13;549:11;
552:4,8,20;553:5 Moe (3)
620:8;622:14;
623:21
moment (1)
474:25
monastery (1) 584:8
money (19)
438:11,25;448:13,
24;449:5,6;450:11;
452:13;456:10,13, 16;474:21,23,24;
502:24;503:20;
507:2;595:23;625:2
ing (2)

n	nonth (2)	i
	590:1,2	,
n	onths (2)	ŀ
	489:23;591:19	
N	Ioody (1)	
	584:13	
D	nore (38)	
	435:23;453:18;	
ļ	461:23;472:2;	l
	480:22;483:4,18;	
	486:21;490:1,19;	l
	491:10;499:11,11;	
	500:12;502:13;	L
	503:18;509:8,17;	
	514:20;523:7;526:5; 537:9;540:19,21;	ŀ
	542:3;549:10,22;	
ļ	550:2,7,8,21;551:14;	
	552:17;567:11;	١
	581:7;585:21;	l
ļ	603:21;612:19	l
1	morning (1)	1
[594:24	l
١,	mornings (1)	1
-	452:10	i
]	Morris (9)	
	432:5,12;619:11,	
	18;623:4,8,17,24;	
1	624:3	
:	Most (9)	
	453:16;463:2,11,	
	15,18;490:8,14;	
1.	530:25;536:13	
	Mostly (1) 454:10	
1	motions (1)	
Ì	574:17	
	motivated (1)	
İ	552:15	
1	motivation (9)	
	486:4,8,16,18,19;	
	489:12;500:24;	
	614:6;623:22	
-	Motivational (4)	
1	486:2,3;489:7,17	
İ	motivations (3)	
	623:11,13,14	
İ	move (4) 546:16,21;595:2,	
	13	
	movie (4)	
	517:10,11,13,13	
	much (21)	
ļ	443:9;460:11;	
Ì	472:1;480:22;	
1	483:17;497:23;	
	536:6;549:17,25;	
	568:11;569:2;571:6	,
	575:23;582:7,14;	

587:15;595:22;

626:11

multifaceted (1)

602:17,23;620:20;

```
532:2
   multitude (4)
     524:16;525:17;
     527:19,23
   must (3)
     509:23;511:10;
     525:8
   myself (13)
     436:6;460:11;
     463:2,3;490:5;
      567:14;571:7;
      572:17;580:11;
      616:3,4;618:1,11
             N
   Naftzger (1)
      561:12
4;
   name (14)
      437:6,6;441:10;
      532:3;533:3;538:6;
      545:7,12,571:6;
      584:19:605:12;
      608:25;616:21;
      618:21
    named (2)
      563:23;570:1
    Nancy (66)
      432:15;444:21;
      445:8;446:2,13;
      447:14;448:1,7,10,
      24;449:12,22,24;
      453:11;454:25;
      455:1,12,21,23;
      459:14;463:3,12;
      465:19;466:15;
      467:1,7,20;490:6,7;
       513:22;554:24;
       555:21;558:5;
       559:24;561:21,22;
       562:2;563:1,4,18;
       566:17,22;567:3;
       569:13;572:14,17;
       574:20;580:11;
       593:20;594:24;
       596:4.21,23;597:7;
       598:17;599:20;
       604:17;607:8;
       614:10;615:19,21,
       21;616:3,4;618:11;
       623:1
     Nancy's (1)
       452:10
     Natalie (1)
       616:14
     National (4)
```

446:25;447:1,3,4

469:20;470:16,22;

488:15;563:24;

471:3;472:20;473:2;

natural (1)

536:15

nature (11)

monies (2)

monks (1)

622:21

456:18,21

WORKIS SOTTON				1.74, 20, 20
564:16;566:8;579:22	456:24;543:1;	514:3,7;516:3;518:1,	Object (11)	553:9;576:22;599:1
Nazi (4)	598:23	4,5,8,8;519:4,6,13,	501:15;558:11,13;	officer (1)
457:25;458:1,2;	Note (1)	15,18;520:6;521:12,	571:13;576:15;	448:21
459:1	556:14	14,16;522:4,9;	583:19;589:18;	offices (1)
nearly (1)	notes (19)	524:24;525:15;	590:17;603:4;	585:13
<i>5</i> 80:13	467:7,16,20;	526:1;529:14;530:8,	606:19;618:5	offsite (3)
necessarily (6)	483:11,12,13;	14;534:10,10,17;	objected (1)	490:4;492:24;
455:16,18;461:11;	488:12,13;490:24,	536:25;537:1;	590:15	493:12
526:9;539:7;547:9	25;491:1,3,3,3;	548:20;550:4,6;	Objection (3)	often (4)
necessary (4)	553:1,6,6;607:1;	552:16,19,20;553:9,	441:21;543:15;	496:25;567:1;
546:25;547:5;	613:19	12;554:16,22;	584:14	571:12,15
548:8,18	notice (3)	555:11,22;558:6;	objective (1)	O'Hara (55)
need (7)	539:25;554:16;	559:5;560:1;562:22;	497:21	433:10;438:23;
495:4;501:3;	594:23	569:3,19,22;570:7,	objectives (1)	555:10,14,17;557:8
535:12;546:8;	notion (2)	22;571:22;573:17;	503:17	558:5,7,9,18,25;
	458:6;581:12	574:12,18;575:12;	observations (1)	560:20;561:1,8,14,
575:23;595:1;611:2		576:8;577:6;578:4;	536:24	20;562:15;563:4,12
negative (5)	November (4)		observed (3)	16;567:10;568:21;
505:18;546:17;	560:25;561:15,19;	588:13;591:3;		572:8,13;573:8,12,
620:11;623:4,25	604:15	592:15;593:8,16;	474:5;489:23;	
negativity (2)	Number (36)	595:8,20;596:20;	493:23	15;576:21;579:17,
623:15,17	474:16;478:12;	597:2;598:9;600:16;	obtain (3)	19,20,23;580:4;
neighbor (2)	485:19,21;512:22;	603:1;607:6,7,15,21,	597:3;598:10;	581:14,20;582:4,9;
529:4;584:25	521:16,19;528:19;	22,25;609:21,24;	621:20	587:6,9;590:6,11,1
neighbors (1)	540:7,8;549:7;	611:18;612:9,16;	obtained (2)	15,20;591:1;593:21
529:13	563:15;572:6;	613:9,13,25;615:2,8,	596:12;598:7	598:14;599:17;
Network (5)	577:12;579:18;	10;618:4;621:22;	obtaining (2)	600:2,4,13,19;
446:25;447:2,3,5;	582:25;586:4;592:3,	623:5;624:4;625:1	580:25;598:13	601:12;602:18,25
531:13	3;596:2,7;597:5,9,		obvious (2)	old (2)
neuro-linguistic (3)	14,17;598:16,21;	•	498:9,10	610:3,6
513:22,25;514:1	599:24;600:1,20;		obviously (1)	older (1)
neutralization (1)	601:22;603:7;	'NXIVM' (1)	564:25	478:16
536:3	607:11;618:12;	442:20	occasion (2)	Olsen (2)
Nevares (2)	621:9;622:21		578:11;606:4	540:12;609:18
453:11;611:20	Numerous (2)	N	occasions (1)	omelettes (1)
New (14)	579:7;614:19		573:10	452:11
432:3,24;494:6,11;	NXIVM (192)	NXIVM/ESP (1)	October (1)	omit (1)
508:2;516:7;555:11;	432:5,15;433:6,12;	447:4	563:16	600:Í
558:6;559:13;	439:16,21;440:6,7,9,	NXIVM-affiliated (1)	Odin (3)	once (9)
568:17,17,22;604:5;	10,14;441:13;	452:2	610:11;611:19,24	475:24;483:6;
622:24	442:19;443:23;	NXIVM's (46)	off (19)	488:6;509:24;
news (2)	444:3,10,12,20;	458:10,20;462:20,	459:8;460:18;	511:11;513:19,22;
537:20;544:17	445:2,5;446:6,11;	21;463:12;465:21;	467:4;500:12;504:7;	540:16;572:4
137.20,344.17 newspaper (1)	447:2,11;449:25;	466:1;476:5,7,9,12,	505:10;511:3;	one (62)
618:12	450:5,19;451:17,22;	15;477:9,20,22;	512:10;528:10;	438:23;447:23;
			556:8;557:17;573:6;	448:3;453:19;454:
newspapers (2)	452:5;456:8,11;	480:25;487:20;	577:2;585:9;587:25;	455:16;457:20;
502:7,16	459:16;461:17,22;	496:7;498:25;		458:18;462:11;
next (12)	462:1;466:11;	500:14,17;505:14;	594:11;595:19;	
497:4;498:15;	467:12;469:3,12,14,	516:6;517:17;	610:13;621:7	463:3,6;468:24;
516:15,16;517:4,9,	17;470:22;475:2,14,	519:12;521:9;523:8;	offer (2)	469:2,6,8;472:1;
22;530:11;531:12;	19,21,24;476:20;	524:25;526:22;	504:9,10	473:20;483:24;
533:5;563:17;602:6			offered (1)	485:16;486:9,15;
(2)	477:5,14;478:15,17,	527:5,13;528:14;		
	23;479:24;480:4,6,6,	538:13;549:11,12;	440:18	487:16;488:9;
510:23;546:6	23;479:24;480:4,6,6, 13;482:18;483:23;	538:13;549:11,12; 550:8,9,18,21,23;	offers (3)	502:25;503:5;510:
510:23;546:6 nitrogen (1)	23;479:24;480:4,6,6, 13;482:18;483:23; 484:21,23;485:1;	538:13;549:11,12; 550:8,9,18,21,23; 551:10,14;563:18;	offers (3) 503:9,12;504:4	502:25;503:5;510: 21;511:22,25;513:
510:23;546:6	23;479:24;480:4,6,6, 13;482:18;483:23;	538:13;549:11,12; 550:8,9,18,21,23;	offers (3) 503:9,12;504:4 offhand (1)	502:25;503:5;510: 21;511:22,25;513: 529:13,14;534:1;
510:23;546:6 nitrogen (1) 497:24	23;479:24;480:4,6,6, 13;482:18;483:23; 484:21,23;485:1;	538:13;549:11,12; 550:8,9,18,21,23; 551:10,14;563:18;	offers (3) 503:9,12;504:4	502:25;503:5;510: 21;511:22,25;513: 529:13,14;534:1; 541:20;558:24;
510:23;546:6 nitrogen (1) 497:24	23;479:24;480:4,6,6, 13;482:18;483:23; 484:21,23;485:1; 490:6;494:6;495:19,	538:13;549:11,12; 550:8,9,18,21,23; 551:10,14;563:18; 564:4;569:15;593:18	offers (3) 503:9,12;504:4 offhand (1)	502:25;503:5;510: 21;511:22,25;513: 529:13,14;534:1;
510:23;546:6 nitrogen (1) 497:24 Nolan (2) 568:20;576:21	23;479:24;480:4,6,6, 13;482:18;483:23; 484:21,23;485:1; 490:6;494:6;495:19, 21;499:10,18; 500:22;501:6,12,20,	538:13;549:11,12; 550:8,9,18,21,23; 551:10,14;563:18; 564:4;569:15;593:18 NXR00079 (1)	offers (3) 503:9,12;504:4 offhand (1) 579:4	502:25;503:5;510: 21;511:22,25;513: 529:13,14;534:1; 541:20;558:24;
510:23;546:6 nitrogen (1) 497:24 Nolan (2) 568:20;576:21 non-disparaging (1)	23;479:24;480:4,6,6, 13;482:18;483:23; 484:21,23;485:1; 490:6;494:6;495:19, 21;499:10,18; 500:22;501:6,12,20, 23;502:1,17,22;	538:13;549:11,12; 550:8,9,18,21,23; 551:10,14;563:18; 564:4;569:15;593:18 NXR00079 (1) 594:12	offers (3) 503:9,12;504:4 offhand (1) 579:4 office (20) 462:9;483:15;	502:25;503:5;510: 21;511:22,25;513: 529:13,14;534:1; 541:20;558:24; 559:12;562:18;
510:23;546:6 nitrogen (1) 497:24 Nolan (2) 568:20;576:21 non-disparaging (1) 526:16	23;479:24;480:4,6,6, 13;482:18;483:23; 484:21,23;485:1; 490:6;494:6;495:19, 21;499:10,18; 500:22;501:6,12,20, 23;502:1,17,22; 503:8,11;504:6,18,	538:13;549:11,12; 550:8,9,18,21,23; 551:10,14;563:18; 564:4;569:15;593:18 NXR00079 (1)	offers (3) 503:9,12;504:4 offhand (1) 579:4 office (20) 462:9;483:15; 492:14;495:23;	502:25;503:5;510: 21;511:22,25;513: 529:13,14;534:1; 541:20;558:24; 559:12;562:18; 563:14;564:6; 567:19;568:1,20;
510:23;546:6 nitrogen (1) 497:24 Nolan (2) 568:20;576:21 non-disparaging (1) 526:16 None (4)	23;479:24;480:4,6,6, 13;482:18;483:23; 484:21,23;485:1; 490:6;494:6;495:19, 21;499:10,18; 500:22;501:6,12,20, 23;502:1,17,22; 503:8,11;504:6,18, 22;505:1;506:9,14;	538:13;549:11,12; 550:8,9,18,21,23; 551:10,14;563:18; 564:4;569:15;593:18 NXR00079 (1) 594:12	offers (3) 503:9,12;504:4 offhand (1) 579:4 office (20) 462:9;483:15; 492:14;495:23; 520:13;521:3,9;	502:25;503:5;510: 21;511:22,25;513: 529:13,14;534:1; 541:20;558:24; 559:12;562:18; 563:14;564:6; 567:19;568:1,20; 573:21;580:21;
510:23;546:6 nitrogen (1) 497:24 Nolan (2) 568:20;576:21 non-disparaging (1) 526:16 None (4) 535:8;538:1;	23;479:24;480:4,6,6, 13;482:18;483:23; 484:21,23;485:1; 490:6;494:6;495:19, 21;499:10,18; 500:22;501:6,12,20, 23;502:1,17,22; 503:8,11;504:6,18, 22;505:1;506:9,14; 507:1,4,6,11,16;	538:13;549:11,12; 550:8,9,18,21,23; 551:10,14;563:18; 564:4;569:15;593:18 NXR00079 (1) 594:12 O oath (6)	offers (3) 503:9,12;504:4 offhand (1) 579:4 office (20) 462:9;483:15; 492:14;495:23; 520:13;521:3,9; 522:13,22,25;523:9;	502:25;503:5;510: 21;511:22,25;513: 529:13,14;534:1; 541:20;558:24; 559:12;562:18; 563:14;564:6; 567:19;568:1,20; 573:21;580:21; 581:3,24,25;598:3
nitrogen (1) 497:24 Nolan (2) 568:20;576:21 non-disparaging (1) 526:16 None (4)	23;479:24;480:4,6,6, 13;482:18;483:23; 484:21,23;485:1; 490:6;494:6;495:19, 21;499:10,18; 500:22;501:6,12,20, 23;502:1,17,22; 503:8,11;504:6,18, 22;505:1;506:9,14;	538:13;549:11,12; 550:8,9,18,21,23; 551:10,14;563:18; 564:4;569:15;593:18 NXR00079 (1) 594:12	offers (3) 503:9,12;504:4 offhand (1) 579:4 office (20) 462:9;483:15; 492:14;495:23; 520:13;521:3,9;	502:25;503:5;510: 21;511:22,25;513: 529:13,14;534:1; 541:20;558:24; 559:12;562:18; 563:14;564:6; 567:19;568:1,20; 573:21;580:21;

One-page - people (644		MONS REPORTING (072) 004 2510	Min-U-Script@
order (16)	614:8	530:23;531:6,23;	440.3,0,441.12,14,	23,307.10,23,
481:24	579:8,19;609:14;	527:17;528:24;	438:8;439:20,23;	25;507:10,25;
orchestrate (1)	533:24;539:12;	525:13;526:25;	patent (49) 438:8;439:20,23;	19,24;506:12,14,20,
549:22	487:13;489:23;	524:12,14,22;	534:4,7;578:11	505:1,8,9,11,13,17,
oranges (1)	475:3,14;478:7;	17,17;519:11;	past (3)	502:9;503:16,18,19, 19;504:9,11,12,13;
466:21,25	467:24;474:23;	493:17;514:5;515:5,	529:16	497:5;498:6;501:9;
orally (2)	452:10;453:8;	490:21;491:11;	passed (1)	494:3;495:9;496:24;
454:20,21	435:2,14;445:10;	488:17;489:20,21;	516:8,22;517:1,2	491:19;493:14,24;
oral (2)	Over (18)	485:23;487:11;	495:11;515:23;	488:11,20;490:4;
512:8	501:13	11;478:19;479:9,11;	passages (6)	482:21;486:8;487:2;
optimistic (1)	outsider (1)	445:7;447:21;468:8,	498:20,21	477:2,11,14,18;
529:14	619:22,23;620:2	442:18;444:25;	passage (2)	475:9,10;476:24,25;
opposing (1)	546:12;601:9;	Paragraph (44)	485:4;540:17;542:13	467:11,13;469:22;
514:16;523:8	454:10;525:21;	574:15	447:23;471:18;	463:4,5,16,16,17,20;
opposed (2)	outside (7)	paperwork (1)	parts (5)	458:16;462:19,25;
433:15;527:8,8	533:11	510:14	432:10;554:14	454:24;455:2;
opinions (3)	outrageous (1)	pair (1)	parties (2)	449:14,16;453:9,12;
623:19	21;624:21	7,10,11,24;595:22	523:2;559:10;582:12	445:10,12;447:5;
566:3,6;568:6;570:4;	606:1;610:8;620:20,	438:14,16;451:4,5,	447:24;482:9;	434:12,24;436:19;
444:7;555:15;	591:13;600:21,23;	paid (9)	particular (5)	people (100)
opinion (7)	570:9;586:24;	10,11,19;602:6	566:20;625:18	576:9;600:24
4,12,15;603:2	526:13;562:12;	575:18,22,23;577:7,	participation (2)	548:23;575:14;
590:5,9,12,18,19; 599:25;600:7;601:3,	508:2;518:17;525:9;	551:24;563:17;	585:12	pending (4)
526:12;588:22; 500:5 0 12 18 19:	471:12;507:24,25;	547:17,24;548:4;	participated (1)	463:25;464:3
operation (14)	438:9,10;444:9; 451:11;460:8;	544:19;545:14;	620:16	peer-reviewed (2)
449:11	out (23)	442:3,11;540:8; 541:9;542:15;543:3;	453:22;594:1;	456:19
opening (1)	562:14;604:8	Page (21)	534:2;536:4 participate (3)	pays (1)
514:20	519:9;543:18;	531:5 Page (21)	participants (2) 534:2;536:4	435.22,430.2, 586:3
open (1)	otherwise (4)	529:6,19;530:25;	536:10	paying (3) 435:22;436:2;
461:13	603:21;625:17	packs (4)	participant (1)	
on-the-moment (1)	560:10;601:24;	530:7,22;531:2,4	603:10	payable (1) 439:17
613:18,24;616:4	546:1,3,14;551:3;	528:25;529:5,9,15;	partial (1)	625:2
604:11,24,25;610:9;	510:25;511:8;513:7;	pack (8)	612:10;615:2;617:25	503:20;504:12;
601:24;602:4;	496:19;500:9;	543:7	589:12;598:20;	pay (3)
596:8;597:10;	466:18;495:8,10;	P760 (1)	534:3;542:12;	491:6;504:8
576:20;591:15;	others (16)	540:7	520:19;526:2,3;	Paul (2)
560:24;564:1;	531:1;532:10	P000000768 (1)	508:16,22;515:12;	545:23
548:20;553:4;558:1;	originated (2)	540:9	489:10;494:5;	patterns (1)
527:4;533:25;535:7;	544:5;626:5	P000000711 (1)	472:24;480:8;	624:15
515:12;526:11,12;	438:23;451:9;	539:16;540:7	456:19,20;462:5;	patient (1)
507:17;514:18;	originally (4)	P000000685 (2)	445:17;447:23;	612:24
501:20;504:18;	540:19;544:9	7000005577 (5)	Part (22)	485:20;520:9;541:3;
491:19;492:5;	original (2)	<u>P</u>	432:3,24	440:2,21;462:10;
488:10;490:4;	552:6	D	Park (2)	437:13,14,20,23;
472:4,16;475:23;	organized (1)	455:5	479:16	patents (11)
466:11;467:13;	478:2,6;545:5	441:5,19;448:10;	pardon (1)	539:2;550:14
454:24;463:3;	organizations (3)	owns (4)	546:2,6	patenting (2)
450:22;451:7;	536:20;616:21;625:3	450:19;451:21	495:7;496:19;	547:3
only (41)	15;529:1;534:4;	ownership (2)	Parasites (4)	patented (1)
552:21	23,25;515:6,10,11,	543:14	495:6	539:5,7
0 nline (1)	24;501:10;514:11,	455:9,10,13;	Parasite/producer (1)	patentable (2)
one's (1) 546:20	477:11,18;499:19,	owner (4)	545:19	21
603:21;606:9	447:4;476:23;	448:9	parasite/ (1)	15,18;552:18;553:4,
453:25;478:16;	organization (19)	owned (1)	14	550:4,6,10,23;551:1,
ones (4)	551:23,25	546:20;576:17;598:3	545:22,23;546:2,5,	549:12,14,18,19,20;
521:3	515:13;517:20;	450:10,448:11,	495:9;496:13,22;	548:14,21,23;
One-page (1)	10,12;613:14 orders (4)	436:10;448:11;	Parasite (8)	544:3,5;547:7;
625:9;626:1	525:8;537:15;552:9,	461:10 own (8)	594:23	543:13,16,16,17,21;
621:17;622:5,16;	14;517:25;519:2;	overview (1)	543:6,24;544:20; 577:14,19;586:6;	540:4,10,23;541:2, 25;542:2,14,25;
			542.624.544.20.	5/0·// 10/22·5/11·2
617:21;618:15;	514:8,9,14,18;515:8,	471:20	536:2,19;537:10;	538:23;539:2,16,24;

	 			
508:11;509:8,17;	Peter (5)	445:17,24;468:17;	505:10;535:3;	478:20;479:6,22
514:15,20;526:10,	432:8;532:5;	474:13;478:19,23;	599:18;626:7	Principles (33)
13;529:1,9;531:8,15;	560:2;614:16;615:6	479:5,21,25;480:1,9,	power (7)	436:25;437:9,12,
534:5,11;535:16;	petition (1)	14,15;483:24;	508:15;510:4,5,12;	17;438:4,5,11;
536:13,14;537:21;	572:19	485:16;489:6;513:5;	511:18,19;512:3	439:21;440:1,22;
546:3;582:13;	petitioning (1)	515:15,20;519:7;	PR (4)	441:8,13,15;443:7,
590:22;612:11,12,	572:2	523:18;544:8;549:4;	505:18;592:10,17;	12,14,15,18,19;
	philosophical (5)	552:5,5;556:9;	620:11	450:5;451:1,22;
15,19,22;613:19;			practice (4)	452:15;455:14;
618:12,13;619:22,23	444:6,23;445:1,3,4	557:18;558:24;		478:21;479:6,22;
people's (6)	phone (7)	559:12;564:7;	447:19;471:23;	
476:19;477:22;	473:4;532:9;	567:19;568:1;	487:22;615:10	480:3,3,5;485:18;
502:12,15;614:5,6	581:4,4,9,9,12	573:22;580:21;	practiced (1)	553:15;554:23
per (10)	phrase (2)	581:3;582:22;586:6;	470:20	print (1)
450:13;470:10;	515:5;537:11	592:13;593:15;	practices (1)	606:1
471:13;498:3;	phrased (1)	599:8;601:17;	480:20	printed (2)
5 10:23;525:6;	514:19	602:21,21;608:7;	precise (1)	491:7;508:4
566:21;567:6;	pi (1)	617:5,10,21	542:21	printout (1)
568:12;595:15	465:4	points (2)	precisely (2)	521:8
percent (8)	pick (1)	595:1,4	478:25;490:16	prints (2)
439:12,15;451:7,9,	562:23	population (1)	precision (1)	507:22;508:10
12,20,23,24	picked (1)	538:19	541:1	prior (8)
percentage (1)	599:10	portion (14)	Pre-emptive (1)	436:23;461:1;
438:3	picture (1)	471:22,23,25;	536:3	506:5;529:24;
perform (1)	444:10	472:4,4,9;481:16;	prefer (1)	553:17;563:23;
613:14	Pictures (5)	482:17,19;483:6;	570:8	606:25;607:24
performance (2)	470:6;589:3,4,5,6	486:3,17;492:5;	prepare (1)	private (8)
447:17;478:8	piece (1)	543:12	539:11	470:2,4;487:9;
performed (2)	448:3	portions (4)	prepared (2)	489:14;549:23,25;
612:9,12	pieces (2)	483:18;488:22,25;	587:11;597:22	581:20;582:2
period (4)	473:7;551:13	489:2	presence (1)	privilege (1)
467:24;489:23;	place (8)	position (5)	467:7	565:25
553:17;588:15	554:25;581:14;	467:24;525:5;	present (7)	privileges (1)
Persistency (3)	582:2;591:20;	553:12,13;570:22	498:1;542:7,20;	433:17
486:1;489:7,16	598:22;599:2;	positive (10)	553:11;603:13;	privy (1)
	600:25;617:3	449:19;452:21;	605:3;622:13	476:24
person (31)	placed (3)	489:5;513:3;540:12;	presented (2)	probably (21)
445:13,22;446:5;		541:14,22;546:15;	498:23:551:21	453:21;466:6;
455:16;465:19;	519:7,13;552:19		1	500:4;507:11;508:2
470:19;482:7;	placing (1)	551:4;592:13	presents (2)	
486:18;488:1,3,14;	519:18	positively (1)	542:9,11	517:7;541:17;560:6
493:23;494:4;	plaintiff (3)	551:9	President (2)	7,22;570:13;574:20
499:12;501:19;	570:1,11,13	possession (5)	449:24;490:6	585:2;586:2;593:20
502:12;503:24;	plan (3)	482:7;607:4,5;	press (2)	23,25;599:5;605:6;
532:11,20;548:19;	559:4,5;599:24	609:17,22	531:12;533:6	614:11;619:2
555:18,19;567:13,	plan' (1)	possible (6)	presumably (1)	problematic (1)
21;574:23;580:7;	601:23	433:4;501:19;	592:16	512:17
581:5;592:11;	planning (2)	510:13;512:5;	pretty (6)	problems (5)
606:11;618:19,20	617:14,23	527:22;548:19	437:1;532:19;	444:8;447:18;
personal (8)	plans (2)	possibly (8)	571:6;589:25;	535:16;564:8;579:7
460:3,5;490:7;	572:14,16	444:21;472:3;	602:14,17	procedures (1)
498:19;508:15;	plastic (3)	490:8,14;534:21;	prevent (1)	522:1
585:21,23;618:16	526:11,14;527:11	549:17;602:20;611:3	433:25	proceed (2)
personality (1)	play (2)	posted (4)	previous (1)	573:12;594:2
503:17	546:18;571:21	515:19;522:22;	607:15	proceedings (1)
personally (7)	please (9)	523:1,3	previously (2)	459:21
452:4;456:10;	432:6;528:7,9;	posting (3)	441:22;524:6	process (7)
498:18;513:18;	545:14;578:16;	504:22;506:9;	primarily (2)	453:23;454:7;
562:17;570:8;598:21	600:5,14;608:2,17	516:3	454:11;464:25	472:17;482:21;
perspective (2)	plot (1)	postulates (1)	primary (1)	489:25;494:18;
582:16;595:14	517:14	498:17	574:10	516:11
persuade (1)	pm (1)	potential (5)	Principal (3)	produce (3)
612:15	626:16	445:10;473:11;	531:9;532:4;	530:21;592:13;
014.17	1		554:25	611:1
	point (50)	1/10150H1/76171		
persuaded (2) 617:18,18	point (50) 440:10;444:2,10;	527:9;590:22;612:17 potentially (4)	principle (3)	produced (6)

May 13, 2009				
529:21;530:16,20;	519:13;520:11;	620:20,21	quickly (1)	556:16;559:2
540:6;595:22,24	549:15;555:6;	publication (3)	552:18	Raniere-23 (2)
producer (1)	564:17,22,24;	519:22;526:22;	quite (4)	556:18;559:21
545:20	566:11,22;569:24,25	544:11	439:18;589:23;	Raniere-24 (2)
producer's (1)	propose (4)	publicity (1)	611:5;622:4	556:21;560:17
546:10	580:19,24;588:21;	618:3	quote (25)	Raniere-25 (2)
product (4)	591:2	publicly (6)	495:6,7;497:4,5;	556:24;560:25
433:17;484:17;	Proposed (5)	519:9;520:15;	498:2,2,15,16;	Raniere-26 (2)
557:7;609:15	558:5;559:4;	521:22;611:9;622:1,	509:22;511:17,24;	557:3;561:19
production (3)	590:4,11,13	8	512:8,24;513:2,4;	Raniere-27 (2) 557:6;563:3
506:5,6;530:1	proposing (1)	publish (12)	533:22;534:15;	Raniere-28 (2)
professional (1)	590:7	519:24,25;525:4,8,	535:5,19,20,23;	557:10;563:15
545:9	proprietary (5)	10,13,23,24;526:1,7,	537:1;538:2,10,16	Raniere-29 (2)
professions (1)	461:19;465:13;	11;535:13	quoted (2) 522:20;551:25	594:14,16
545:8	469:14;495:20;	published (19) 463:24;464:2,4,6;	quotes (7)	Raniere-30 (3)
professor (2)	498:24	482:20;488:22,24;	494:24;495:1;	608:17,21;609:3
527:6;532:22	propriety (3)	489:1,3;520:5;525:3;	509:19,21;510:20,	Raniere-31 (2)
profit (1)	575:12;576:3,7 prospective (3)	526:17;531:18;	22;513:6	611:18,21
451:14	613:4,8,9	544:10;610:24,25;	quoting (3)	Raniere's (2)
profitable (1)	protect (11)	611:12;623:5,25	479:16,17;551:23	479:17;577:5
451:18	458:11;520:1;	publishing (2)		rank (3)
profits (7)	545:25;549:19,21,	519:21;527:3	R	470:15;472:19;
451:6,8,11,17,21,	23,24;551:2,3,10,19	Puerto (1)		612:19
23,24 program (8)	protected (3)	563:19	raise (1)	ranking (1)
444:16;468:12;	522:11;564:23,25	punch (1)	546:4	478:4
494:1,14;499:13,13;	protection (6)	516:12	raising (1)	ranks (3)
509:8;534:8	475:7;497:20,23;	punishment (1)	545:24	472:23;478:9;
programming (3)	543:14;551:5;585:3	498:15	range (1)	613:1
513:23,25;514:1	protocol (1)	purported (1)	519:25	rare (1)
Programs (25)	492:17	433:9	Raniere (45)	460:10
439:24;440:25;	prove (1)	purpose (8)	432:4,19;433:3;	rather (5)
441:1;442:20,23,25;	536:12	474:7;476:23;	441:25;442:11;	439:21;448:16;
443:23;444:2,17;	provide (13)	545:1,20;551:4;	474:19;521:8;	495:14;524:24;571:9
455:14,465:3;469:3,	448:1;487:8;	553:7;599:25;616:6	524:11;530:7,10,14,	Rational (53) 439:6,23;441:16,
5;483:25;500:5;	488:14;489:16;	purposes (1)	14;535:19;539:20;	19;443:16;445:15,
503:4,16;510:2;	497:10;520:14;	481:16	549:9;552:14;554:5,	23;448:15,19;
511:4;521:15;522:9;	528:22;546:25;	Pursuant (1)	6,25;557:14,23;	452:18;457:5,8,11,
554:21,24;555:22;	547:4;548:13;	457:16	562:6;577:15,20,23;	16;462:24;463:23;
614:8	551:19;555:20;	pursue (2)	583:2;586:7,12; 588:10;592:5;	464:2,9,12,16,19,21,
project (1)	578:22	549:15;564:21	594:16;596:8;	25;465:8,15,20,25;
465:5	provided (6)	pursued (1) 564:23	597:10;601:24;	466:3,8,12,16;
projection (3)	448:3,5;540:23;	1 '	602:1;603:9;604:3;	467:12;504:17;
585:4,20;586:1	548:7,12,13	purview (2) 469:4;553:4	607:18,20;608:11,	536:16;538:22,24;
projective (13)	provides (1)	put (20)	15,24;611:20,23;	539:1,3,4,8,12;
490:2,25;491:9,13,	550:21	433:4;439:25;	612:2	540:5;542:8,21;
18,23;492:4,17;	providing (1) 550:18	440:3;448:13,24;	Raniere-1 (1)	543:1;547:12,14,15,
494:22;613:22;	psychiatrist (3)	449:6;459:5;484:16;		17,19;549:10;550:3;
614:4,14,17	489:12;527:5;	491:7;502:11;525:4;	Raniere-11 (2)	595:7
projects (1)	532:22	529:7;542:20,23;	441:23;478:19	Re (1)
529:14	psychologists (1)	553:24;582:2;601:5;	Raniere-16 (3)	559:22
promoted (2) 489:24;493:21	436:16	608:3,24;616:20	577:1;586:5;596:3	reached (2)
promotion (2)	psycho-physical (1)	putting (3)	Raniere-18 (1)	433:5;451:10
470:3;494:12	486:3	466:10;467:6;	521:5	read (55)
proof' (1)	public (24)	496:5	Raniere-19 (2)	435:6,10;460:12,
533:23	461:23;487:6,8;	puzzle (1)	539:18,21	15;468:19;474:6;
proper (1)	495:23;496:3,6,9;	472:25	Raniere-20 (1)	486:12;490:11;
590:25	497:19;518:6,9;		554:3	494:24;495:2;
property (22)	520:25;521:4;525:7;	Q	Raniere-21 (3)	509:19;510:2;513:6;
470:2,4;482:11,13,	537:23;543:17,22;		556:13;557:14;	516:7;517:1,1;
14,15;487:9;489:14;	544:7;547:8;549:1;	quantifying (1)	558:4	524:19;528:3,8,11;
490:18;497:14,23;	612:6,14;613:13;	553:8	Raniere-22 (2)	531:21;534:13,19,
			053) 004 3510	Min-U-Script@
	PITTOCIM	MANG DEPARTING (0731 994_351()	win-u-scribu

	T	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
25;536:21;542:9;	23,24;562:1,14;	referenced (1)	497:18;518:24;	representatives (5)
<i>5</i> 43:4;544:17,20;	563:6,20;571:1;	508:8	548:24;612:6	618:24;619:8;
545:15;546:24;	584:4;593:7;594:13;	references (1)	releasing (1)	621:17;622:17;
<i>5</i> 47:9;548:6;552:4;	603:23;604:2;	551:24	497:21	624:13
<i>5</i> 53:2;560:6,8,13;	608:20;611:20	referred (4)	relevance (1)	represented (1)
5 61:10;571:3,3,5,15;	receives (2)	454:9;530:20;	623:7	596:15
<i>5</i> 75:17,23;578:15,	439:16;483:16	611:24;614:19	relevant (1)	representing (2)
17;588:9;591:9,10,	receiving (8)	referring (6)	611:3	432:15;572:1
12,25;600:12;	456:21;560:5;	445:16;490:17;	religious (1)	represents (3)
601:18;602:12	562:6;563:11;	524:22;529:5;	619:19	486:17;498:21;
reader (6)	572:23,25;573:3;	530:23;531:6	remain (1)	554:22
546:25;547:4,6;	588:12	reflect (2)	546:12	reproduction (3)
548:7,15,17	recent (1)	467:19;510:24	remained (1)	543:15;544:2,14
readers (1)	589:25	reflected (1)	544:6	reputation (3)
535:3	recently (3)	514:22	remember (28)	532:13;533:9;
eading (7)	589:23;602:14;	reflecting (1)	434:7,13;435:17;	563:5
479:8,10,12;	613:7	466:16	517:19;561:9;562:6;	request (15)
525:16;532:10;	recess (7)	refurbished (1)	563:7,11;572:5,18;	467:20,22;506:7;
591:15;602:16	474:14;523:18;	610:4	573:3,7;578:10;	529:23;530:13,17;
reads (2)	549:5;556:10;	regard (1)	579:4,5;580:1;581:2;	596:10,24;597:12,
554:19;571:2	557:19;582:23;608:8	546:13	583:12;584:4,7;	16,19;602:2;607:25;
eaffirming (1)	recipient (4)	regarding (3)	585:9;587:13;589:1;	608;4;609:7
489:24	437:21,22,25;	569:4;577:13;	595:6,17;601:16;	requested (3)
	437.21,22,23,	606:6	602:14;625:19	506:5;609:11;
reality (1)			remembered (1)	610:18
457:14	Recitation (1)	register (1)	563:13	requests (10)
really (8)	473:1	520:19	I	596:3,9,19;597:6,
443:17;444:15;	reciting (1)	registered (8)	Removal (2)	1
517:16;575:22;	545:13	483:15;492:10,14;	470:8,9	11,15,18;607:15,24;
578:5;579:24;	recognize (6)	493:5;495:22;	render (1)	609:8
593:12;599:4	509:20;510:20,23,	520:13,24;521:10	527:8	require (1)
reason (8)	25;539:20;559:16	registration (1)	Renewal (1)	545:5
459:20;461:22;	recommend (1)	563:19	563:5	required (1)
507:18;558:19;	581:20	reject (1)	rep (1)	600:3
561:6;562:8,11;	reconstruct (1)	620:3	574:11	requirement (1)
579:6	472:7	relate (1)	repeat (2)	606:17
reasons (2)	reconstructed (1)	505:4	479:4;534:16	requires (3)
505:2;602:25	504:16	related (9)	rephrase (1)	489:22;493:18;
reassigned (1)	record (31)	464:18,25;516:22;	503:7	494:6
441:13	433:4;441:24;	532:13;540:5;	report (26)	research (15)
recall (27)	467:19;524:4;	548:23;606:18,22;	433:9;434:21;	435:13;438:15;
436:21,22,24;	528:10;529:24;	625:22	489:5,10,12;491:8;	439:5;463:22,24;
460:18;508:6,7;	531:17;540:3;543:4;	relates (1)	508:8,9;537:22;	464:1,3,10,11,18,20
518:18;560:5;	544:20;545:15;	530:8	549:15,16;584:1,1;	473:24;575:4,6,9
566:15;572:13,23,	557:12,17,21;572:5;	relating (31)	586:9,16,25;587:1,9,	reserve (1)
25;573:2,11,16;	576:6,14;577:2,4;	439:5;446:17;	11,14,17,21,22,25;	433:12
575:15;579:9;	580:22;581:3;	462:10,24;464:2,11,	588:3;591:23	reserves (1)
582:17,19;583:8;	583:24;594:11;	16;465:4;466:2;	Report'(1)	543:18
584:21,24,25;	608:3,10,25;609:9;	469:23;486:25;	586:9	residence (2)
588:12;601:11;	610:13;616:7,8;	493:25;496:15,22;	reporter (4)	554:24,25
608:25;613:20	621:7	505:2;518:21;532:1,	528:12;554:7;	residing (1)
receive (14)	records (7)	13;558:23;561:11;	556:3;578:18	432:23
456:10,13,16,17,	543:17,22;552:22;	562:20;565:5;573:1,	reports (4)	resign (1)
24;558:10,16,17,18,	588:7;596:6,12,16	15;581:18;592:15;	434:23;505:1;	603:1
20;561:7;562:9;	RECROSS-EXAMINATION (1)	595:7;600:6;603:24;	531:15;584:4	resolved (1)
	625:11	606:9;609:23	represent (7)	620:6
603:20;614:16		relationship (6)	432:7,9,12;536:7;	resources (2)
received (41)	recruited (1)		540:3;571:22;609:1	499:10,14
484:13,13;506:5;	574:10	447:1;496:12;		•
521:4;529:3;530:7;	REDIRECT (2)	583:13;585:23;	representation (1)	respect (16)
	608:23;626:3	592:12;615:8	533:8	433:13;435:18; 447:7;452:13;
539:17;554:2;556:6,		1 (2)		0.0 11.11.0 3 11 1 41
12,15,18,21,24;	re-engineer (1)	release (3)	representations (2)	
12,15,18,21,24; 557:2,5,9;558:2,8;	re-engineer (1) 535:21	461:23;518:20,22	580:23;581:1	462:11;467:12;
12,15,18,21,24;	re-engineer (1)			

Viay 13, 2009	
579:19;590:16;	
592:22;600:13; 604:4;610:17	1
respond (1)	
597:25 response (20)	
577:11,14,19;	'
586:4;588:9;592:2,5; 596:2,7;597:9,13,16;	
598:3,20;600:1;	,
601:22;603:9;612:3; 613:17;624:13	
Responses (5)	
577:5,10;592:14; 595:10;597:25	
responsibility (4)	
481:20;508:18; 620:21,23	
responsible (3)	
617:23;623:4,25 responsive (6)	
596:9;597:12,16,	
19;602:2;610:10 rest (4)	
447:24;488:11,12;	ļ
498:11 restricted (1)	Ì
454:8	
result (1) 536:9	
results (2)	!
435:13;533:24 resumed (1)	İ
524:7 retain (6)	
435:9;436:1,4;	Ì
606:5,17;616:11 retained (4)	
581:21;583:18,22;	
593:16 retainer (1)	
609:19	
retention (20) 434:5,6,9,11,18,19,	
21;435:3,5,7,14,17,	
23;436:5,5,7,11,14, 17;592:18	
return (4)	
615:4,6,11,15 reveal (3)	
482:24;515:21;	
527:24 revealed (2)	
519:3;551:15 revealing (2)	
517:25;537:9	
reveals (2) 516:4;550:8	
revelation (1)	
528:20 revelations (3)	
527:13;528:14,16	
revelatory (1)	

523:7
reverse (8)
472:7,17;504:16;
535:16,18,21,23; 536:1
review (7)
453:15,18;520:15;
540:16;541:17;
573:17,25 reviewed (6)
453:19,21;540:17,
18;541:12,12
Rick (56) 459:10,12,15;
488:19;491:4;502:4,
5,19,20;503:1,12,22,
23;504:1,3;529:8,15;
531:2,4,17;532:10; 575:13;576:8;577:5,
13:578:24;579:23;
580:5,6,7,8,9,15,20,
22;581:4,10,13,17,
18,19,22;582:6; 583:3;585:13,17,18;
586:10;588:17,25;
589:3;590:20;591:2;
592:22;624:6;625:14 Rico (1)
563:19
rid (1)
545:22
right (45) 442:10,16;450:6;
451:22;460:18;
461:9;462:20;472:9;
478:24;480:13; 481:19;489:22;
494:5,7;506:16,18;
513:8,23;518:7;
519:12,22;520:3; 526:21,23;533:16;
540:8;542:17;
543:23;544:15;
547:16,25;548:2,3,9,
17;553:15;554:12; 559:8,17;568:14;
583:18;593:9;601:7;
603:3;625:22
rights (10)
433:12,14,16; 437:20,23;483:22;
484:20;485:10;
543:20;564:21
rigorous (6) 489:23;493:19,20;
494:9,11,20
Riker (2)
432:11;559:13
_ ·
RIM (3)
439:20,22;552:18

584:19	
Rituals (52)	
468:22;469:6,16;	ĺ
471:17,19,21,23,24,	
25;473:9,17,21;	
474:1,2,7,20,22; 475:2,6,19,21,24;	
476:1,3,6,8,9,12;	
477:5;478:1;480:11,	
17,18,22;481:5,11,	İ
11;482:1;484:2;	
522:5;544:23,23,25;	İ
545:1,10;612:4,6,8, 14,18,21;613:14	l
Rituals' (1)	ļ
468:14	ļ
Robbins (9)	l
500:5,10,16;	ĺ
513:10,16,18,21,25;	
514:3 Robbins' (1)	
513:12	
Robert (2)	
432:17;622:25	
robust (2)	
463:19;480:22 Rochelle (3)	
432:13;619:12;	
624:8	
role (5)	
444:3;571:21;	
574:12;613:11;	
614:12 room (2)	
470:19;534:5	
Ross (74)	
432:9;459:10,12;	
488:19;491:4;502:4,	
5,19,21;503:1,12,22, 23;504:1,3,19,23;	
505:3,18;507:4;	
508:9;529:8,8,15,16;	
531:2,4;532:7,10;	
575:13;576:8;	
577:13;578:24; 579:17,19,23;580:5,	
6,7,8,9,15,20,22;	
581:4,6,10,13,18,19,	
22;582:6;583:3,14,	
16;585:13,17,18;	
586:10;588:17,25; 589:3;590:20;591:2;	
592:22;596:5,11;	
597:8;598:6,10,20,	
25;624:6;625:14	
Ross' (7)	
459:15;577:5;	
588:3;598:14; 599:13,14;602:15	
Ross's (1)	

```
royalties (4)
  437:22,25;438:1;
  462:12
royalty (13)
  438:12,16,18;
  439:8,12,17;450:22;
  451:1,3,7,9,12,24
rule (1)
  619:21
Ruler (1)
  476:22
Rules (52)
  468:14,21;469:5,
  15;471:17,19,21,22,
  24,25;473:9,17,21;
  474:1,2,7,20,21;
  475:2,6,19,21,23,
  476:1,2,5,8,9,12;
  477:4:478:1:480:11,
   17,17,21;481:5,10,
   11;482:1;484:2;
   522:5;544:22,23,24;
   545:1;612:4,5,8,13,
  17,20;613:13
rumor (1)
   529:13
run (1)
   503:16
running (1)
   543:5
runs (1)
   592:11
Russell (5)
   557:1,8;560:15;
   561:20;563:17
           S
 sad (1)
   521:17
 Sage11 (2)
   559:24;560:16
 sake (2)
```

616:9,10 salary (1) 450:12 sale (2) 439:9,11 sales (2) 486:7;574:11 Salzman (49) 432:15;444:21; 445:8;446:2,13; 447:14;448:1,7,10, 24;449:13,24; 453:11,11;454:25; 455:1,13;463:7,12, 13;465:19;466:15; 467:15,20,21;490:6; 513:22;554:24; 558:5;559:25; 561:21,22;563:1,4, 18;566:17;572:14;

596:4,21,23;597:7;
598:17;599:20;
604:17;607:8;
614:10,10;615:19;
623:1
Salzman's (1)
490:7 same (16)
435:25;439:12;
440:8,13;450:16;
461:4;471:1,1;
473:13,14;477:17;
489:9;502:16;
546:12;552:1;587:23
Sandler (1) 432:9
Sara (7)
456:13,19,22,24;
457:2;617:25;623:1
Sashes (8)
469:16,18,22,23;
472:21;612:19,23; 613:15
sat (1)
564:6
satisfied (2)
494:20;624:17
save (3)
510:8;511:22;
605:24 saw (5)
559:1;560:12;
568:1;572:4;573:1
saying (17)
434:4;451:17;
481:10;506:19,23;
514:25;535:7; 551:17;563:10;
582:17,19;583:16;
584:24;590:21;
598:3,4;601:1
scared (1)
531:20
scarves (6) 469:16,18,21,22,
24;613:15
scheduled (1)
616:20
school (5)
434:22;444:15,16;
613:3;620:17 schooled (1)
514:1
scientific (4)
438:15,19;439:7;
463:22
scored (1)
436:16
screen (1) 432:3
Scripting (4)
544:23,24;545:1,2
an (10)

se (10)

531:17

481:14

route (1)

ring (1)

WICKERS SETTON				
4 50:13;470:10;	510:23;551:21	592:14;595:10;	shown (1)	546:17
471:13;498:3;	seemed (1)	620:19	568:2	Skolnik (52)
510:23;525:6;	555:18	serve (3)	sic (3)	432:8,8,20;433:2;
566:21;567:6;	seemingly (1)	461:14;476:15;	469:21;525:19;	442:2,9;467:19;
568:12;595:15	533:11	546:19	543:18	468:1,5,7;474:11,18;
ea I (1)	seems (3)	serves (3)	side (1)	479:9,10,14,17;
521:23	498:9;559:1;567:1	476:23;534:11;	522:13	506:4;512:23;521:2,
earch (3)	select (1)	612:21	sign (4)	7;523:13;524:10;
609:10,13;610:1	475:17	service (1)	446:13,20;468:12;	528:8;529:23;530:5,
econd (10)	selected (1)	605:12	485:20	13,18;539:19;549:8;
535:15;572:19;	475:24	services (1)	signature (3)	553:23;554:4;
573:4,18;574:1;	selecting (3)	504:10	442:12,15;577:8	555:23;556:1;
577:14,18,19;	475:16,21;612:21	session (4)	signed (16)	557:13,22;560:4;
	selection (1)	472:14;545:13;	446:16,22;447:6;	566:1;575:20;577:3
610:12;619:3	477:1	573:11;606:25	462:3,7,9,11,14,17;	583:1;594:15;607:9
Secondly (1)	1		485:17,21;493:15;	13,16,21,23;608:3,5
536:15	selective (3)	sessions (2)	539:25;560:20,23;	11;612:3;625:9,11
ecret (75)	612:9,12;613:14	461:1;503:15		Skolnik's (1)
461:2,5,7,7,12;	selects (1)	set (4)	565:5	613:18
465:9,12;469:13,21;	475:20	462:23;577:6;	signify (1)	
470:10,13,16,22;	self-descriptive (2)	604:18;616:4	472:23	slanderous (1)
471:4,10,12,13,14,	537:6,12	setting (2)	signifying (1)	583:16
15,16,18,20;472:5,8,	self-destructive (1)	461:23;604:16	472:19	small (3)
20;473:8;480:15,24;	546:10	several (5)	signs (1)	449:16;462:19,25
481:12,21,24;482:3,	self-esteem (11)	483:10;530:24;	468:12	smaller (1)
6,8,18,18,23;483:7;	495:10,17;496:13,	556:3;557:24;583:23	silly (1)	472:3
487:20;489:16;	15,23,23,25;497:1;	severed (1)	601:21	Snyder (1)
490:8,9,14,14,16,20;	545:24;546:3,5	615:8	similar (10)	585:2
495:16;497:8,21,25;	sell (5)	sex (2)	435:1;472:21;	social (1)
498:1,3,20;518:5,11,	497:6;498:6;	589:5,6	473:3,5;476:14;	578:10
11,16,21,22,24;	503:4;504:11;516:6	shape (4)	503:14,15;504:10;	socially (2)
523:11;534:23;	selling (1)	478:22;479:24;	512:15;516:11	578:1,3
535:2,4,8;539:6;	503:2	511:15,16	similarly (1)	Soedarpo's (5)
550:15;567:16;	sells (1)	shapes (2)	531:19	596:5,11;597:8;
568:9,11,12,14;	503:3	509:25;511:12	simply (2)	598:7,10
573:13,16;611:8	seminar (1)	share (2)	467:3;618:6	soldier (1)
secrets (57)	513:17	451:20,23	single (5)	458:1
462:20,22,23,24;	seminars (3)	shared (1)	490:4;492:22,23;	soldiers (1)
463:1,4,12,15,18,19;	504:12,14;513:12	560:10	511:15;563:14	458:2
465:21;466:5,7;	Send (5)	shareholder (3)	sit (2)	sole (4)
	530:2;579:10;	449:25;450:2;	473:25;504:1	449:24;450:2;
469:21,25;470:11,	592:24;593:2;608:1	574:10	site (6)	483:19;484:2
18,24;471:5,9;472:3;		shifter (7)	505:3,19;508:9;	solve (2)
478:11,14;480:12;	sense (5)	536:5;537:5,11,14,	529:8,16;531:19	447:18;535:16
481:7,23;482:1,4,5,	456:23;477:25;		1	somehow (1)
10,24,24;495:11,13,	517:11;537:25;	18;538:6,13	sites (1)	434:25
14;496:6,9;497:12;	565:11	shifting (2)	503:2	
498:22;518:14;	sensory (1)	537:14;538:6	Sitrick (24)	someone (42)
523:8;524:25;	435:18	ship (2)	559:23;592:4,5,6,	434:5;438:17;
526:22;527:13,25;	sent (6)	601:19;602:15	9,10,11,19,21,25;	454:22;459:1,3;
528:14,20,22;	528:25;530:22;	shoes (2)	593:3,6,9,19;594:2,2,	468:12;472:7,10;
534:17,22;537:2;	531:5;558:9;560:9;	470:8,9	6,9,21;595:2,12,18,	481:17;482:3,6,12
543:2;550:9,19,22;	618:10	short (9)	21,24	23;490:22;497:11;
551:15;611:9	sentence (4)	474:12,13;519:25;	situation (3)	501:6,503:5,21;
section (2)	485:5;490:13,17;	549:4;555:24;556:9;	459:25;509:11,14	507:23;511:15;
542:6,15	577:18	557:18;582:22;608:7	situations (4)	517:21;519:15,21;
security (1)	separate (2)	shortly (1)	455:18;517:9;	520:2,10;526:8;
492:17	443:3;546:11	589:15	545:10,12	536:19;537:7,14;
seeing (1)	separately (1)	show (4)	Sixth (1)	538:3;546:21;
535:1	582:8	434:12;454:3;	517:11	552:14;563:1;
seek (1)	September (1)	575:18;576:14	skilled (1)	564:19;586:1;593
434:10	559:7	shower (1)	493:23	594:5;605:4;612:2
オンマ・1び	1	589:5	skillful (1)	621:15,16,22
coaks (2)	Seminence i i i			
seeks (2)	sequence (1)			sometime (1)
seeks (2) 549:16,18 seem (2)	599:6 series (3)	showing (2) 557:24;611:2	546:16 skillfully (1)	sometime (1) 599:6

May 13, 2009				WORKIS SOTTON
	469:17;507:24;	473:12	stems (1)	students (17)
Sometimes (7)	533:13;577:22;	standards (7)	524:23	492:2;494:6,11;
440:16;444:7,16;	578:13,20;579:8;	489:24;493:19,20;	Stephanie (12)	545:2,4,7,9,12,21;
459:7;460:9;498:10;	583:2;592:8;600:16;	494:10,12,14,20	432:12;494:12;	546:1;553:5;589:6;
605:25		standing (2)	567:21,25;568:3,8;	590:22,22;613:4,9;
somewhat (3)	607:22;617:12;	470:15,19	613:22;614:13,21;	615:11
498:9;559:1;561:4	620:20		615:1;619:11;624:10	studied (5)
son (1)	speaking (1)	start (9)	Steven (1)	445:11;474:4;
619:19	622:18	446:6;480:6;	594:18	498:11;503:24;
son's (1)	special (3)	497:1,2;506:8;538:4;		534:25
623:18	491:16,17,20	545:11;582:24;	still (6)	studying (3)
sophisticated (1)	specific (13)	620:17	436:9;442:25;	552:18;622:3,3
548:16	457:11;490:19;	started (4)	443:20;492:23;	stuff (7)
sorry (18)	491:10,17;492:4;	486:5;487:11;	518:21;602:8	520:10;549:23,24;
440:9;463:9;	498:1;528:2;535:5;	506:14;534:5	sting (15)	587:25;600:21;
479:15;525:16;	552:9,10;553:7;	starting (4)	433:9;588:22;	601:18;609:16
528:3;533:3;534:18;	575:8;613:21	480:3;496:21;	590:5,8,12,17,19;	
542:4;543:9,10;	specifically (29)	545:17;575:21	599:25;600:7;601:2,	subject (7)
578:16;590:10;	440:15;441:2;	starts (3)	4,12,15;602:19;	543:13;559:1,10,
595:20;602:5;	447:13;460:18;	472:2;489:13;	603:2	22;560:18;561:4;
611:18;616:2;	462:11,15,17;505:4,	497:10	stole (1)	606:6
622:11;623:12	6,20;506:11,12;	State (7)	614:21	subjects (1)
sort (20)	518:9;520:4;532:15;	486:2;489:8,17;	stolen (5)	433:11
444:7;452:5;	533:19;534:19;	497:5;546:11;	481:21;519:21;	submerged (1)
454:4;478:3;494:2;	551:18;561:9;565:3;	597:14;623:3	535:1;566:23;614:22	544:7
518:16;540:24;	566:18;567:15,15;	stated (2)	stop (1)	submission (1)
545:6;564:22,24;	572:12;573:16;	538:10;623:6	615:11	541:16
572:7;574:14;	575:16;578:6;581:2;	statement (47)	stopped (6)	submitted (7)
578:12;580:17,22;	585:18	434:3,17;436:10;	504:21;505:1,14;	521:23;522:13,17;
581:6,18;590:13;	specifics (7)	441:4,10;468:17;	506:15;580:8;615:7	541:15,19,20,25
595:8;611:13	493:22;518:16,21;	473:1;478:20,23;	stored (9)	subparagraph (2)
sorts (1)	566:24;567:9;584:9,	479:3,5,21,25;480:2,	464:19,24,25;	533:19,21
555:20	10	9,12,15,16,19;	465:2;490:3;492:21,	subscriptions (1)
sounded (1)	spend (2)	483:20;485:16;	22,23;493:10	507:12
580:8	474:21,24	496:17,18,20;497:8,	strategic (5)	subsequent (1)
sounds (2)	spending (1)	13,16,18,20;498:5,	458:5,7;459:6;	601:25
508:23;601:20	499:9	12,13;507:9;514:24;	559:4,4	subset (1) 505:19
source (1)	spent (1)	515:20;516:21;	strategies (16)	•
619:17	474:23	519:7;522:6;524:15;	495:9;496:13;	substance (2) 433:25;580:1
SP0429 (1)	Sphinx (3)	526:17;533:4;	537:5,12;538:4,5,8,9	? I
557:2	610:11;611:19,24	534:11,20;544:16;	10,11,13;545:22,24;	subtitle (1) 515:18
SP0432 (1)	spoiler (1)	545:13;588:9;603:14	546:2,4;581:7	Success (23)
557:5	517:14	statements (21)	strategies' (1)	439:24;440:25;
SP0480 (1)	spoke (8)	495:19,22;496:1,	536:5	441:1;442:20,22,25;
556:17	537:10;561:10;	11;497:9,22;516:18;	strategized (1)	443:23;444:2;
SP0518 (1)	569:12;577:15,20,	524:17,23;525:14,	573:14	451:11;455:14;
557:9	24;581:23;592:6	18;527:12,20,23;	strategy (3)	483:25;486:6;
SP0903 (1)	spoken (6)	528:13,21,22;	537:14,19;573:11	514:10,23;515:6,9,
556:15	505:9;506:11;	531:24;550:17;	strength (2)	12,12,15;554:21,23;
SP1013 (1)	572:10;594:25;	589:16;624:18	510:15;512:25	555:22;614:7
554:1	602:13;620:20	States (10)	strict (1)	Success/NXIVM (1)
SP1163 (1)	sponsored (1)	521:3;534:3;	454:23	438:2
556:12	617:7	541:20;571:23;	strike (7)	successful (2)
SP1284 (1)	sponsoring (1)	577:15,20,23;585:6;	612:6;613:12;	515:2,3
556:20	616:22	592:6;603:9	614:2;617:19;	sufficiently (1)
SP1814 (1)	squarely (1)	status (8)	621:15;622:6,14	552:15
556:23	452:12	518:5;586:9,10,15,	stripes (2)	suggestion (2)
spam (1)	stamped (11)	25;587:1,9;591:22	472:19,22	590:17;596:24
571:16	539:16;554:1;	stay (1)	strong (1)	suggestions (8)
span (1)	556:11,15,17,20,23;	570:9	572:5	582:9;596:3,8,18;
434:14	557:2,4,9;594:12	steal (1)	student (8) 462:8;483:11,16;	597:6,11,15,18
Spanish (1)	stand (2)	537:15	488:12;491:3;	Summary (5)
611:4	516:19;524:7	stealing (1)	521:19;522:15;591	
speak (13)	standard (1)	519:16	341.17,344.13,371	.,
		MONS DEPOPTING (973) 994-3510	Min-U-Scrip

MORRIS SUTTON				
11	432:5,12,13;	465:6;472:13;	theirs (1)	478:9
uperficially (3)	502:20,21,25;	486:7;513:22,25;	485:17	titular (1)
500:2;564:1,9	529:10,12;531:1;	536:7	theories (1)	553:13
uperior (1)	554:10;619:12,12,	teach (3)	538:4	today (10)
475:16	18;620:1,8;622:8,14;	447:18;457:8;	theory (2)	433:24;439:1;
	623:4,8,20,21,24;	536:13	537:7;538:12	461:4,15;550:17;
upervising (1)	624:3,8	teaches (1)	therefore (3)	603:16,18;612:2;
569:23		457:10	526:16;546:12;	614:19;615:18
upport (1)	Suttons (1)	4 '- '	598:23	Today's (1)
531:20	609:1	teaching (3)		432:1
uppose (1)	Sutton's (4)	458:10;477:25;	thick (1)	Toga (1)
455:22	531:3;619:15;	494:4	587:24	560:1
supposed (6)	621:12;623:17	teasers (1)	thinking (11)	
438:12;439:5;	swear (1)	517:7	437:5;503:4,4;	together (7)
450:23;451:10,11,12	432:20	techniques (3)	517:22,24;526:15;	440:1,4;484:16;
supposedly (2)	sweeping (1)	445:18;447:18;	573:22;575:7;591:9;	529:7;542:20,23;
562:4;585:7	514:24	466:10	620:9;625:20	574:15
suppression (1)	switch (2)	technology (4)	third (2)	told (38)
536:16	528:6;534:18	438:18;439:6;	530:8;586:5	434:3,22;435:8;
uppressive (1)	swording (1)	448:19;497:11	Thirdly (1)	436:10,11,15,18;
536:14	475:7	technology' (1)	536:18	439:12;440:20;
uppressive' (2)	sworn (3)	533:23	Thomas (2)	450:4;455:4;459:10
536:11,19	432:25;442:6;	telephone (6)	432:18;608:19	460:22;461:5;
Supreme (11)	524:7	583:25;588:7;	though (6)	468:11;478:18;
571:23:572:2,7,9,	system (1)	596:6,12,15;598:19	470:7;477:7;	516:12;518:3;
	478:4	telling (4)	511:3;561:5;589:25;	559:12;571:24;
15,18,21,24;573:2,	478.4	578:11;585:19;	591:12	575:11;578:5;585:
18;574:1	\mathbf{T}	587:13;589:22	thought (9)	586:17;587:15;
sure (55)			443:8;479:15;	589:8,21;591:18;
437:2,2,16,24;	771 1 : 477	tend (2)	509:14;533:10;	593:18;594:8;
439:18;441:8;443:8,	Tabi (7)	564:17;625:17		595:23;607:1,18,20
17,17;449:1;452:19;	500:11,19;567:20,	tendencies (1)	535:23;566:22;	
453:4,13;461:3;	22;568:1,4,8	536:14	586:19;587:22;	612:3;613:5,8; 624:13
462:4,21,22;472:18;	table (1)	tendency (1)	595:25	
473:18;476:10,22;	616:5	546:9	thousands (4)	Tom (2)
478:17;485:15;	Takla (2)	Tenzin (5)	505:12,13,16,22	432:17;523:14
492:15;493:4,13;	618:21;622:23	618:16,21;622:22;	threat (1)	Tompkins (1)
497:17;500:11;	talk (7)	623:2,2	620:8	432:14
501:8;511:16;	447:20;460:17;	term (4)	threatening (2)	Toni (1)
520:18;521:24;	463:18;467:3;	455:22;537:4;	529:3;620:8	616:14
523:1,15;528:17;	480:21;493:18;	546:14;569:21	Three (2)	Tony (1)
540:17;555:25;	505:24	terms (1)	515:19;607:2	513:12
560:22;567:20;	talked (2)	440:11	thrive (1)	took (5)
570:25;571:4;575:2,	460:20;550:16	test (2)	476:25	557:23;581:14;
25;576:10;579:18,	talking (21)	434:11,15	throughout (2)	591:20;607:1;613
24;583:11;589:1,13;	444:22,24;461:3;	tested (2)	517:12;569:17	tools (5)
	474:19;481:1;487:5;	434:20;486:5	Thurman (1)	458:16,17,18,21;
593:12,14;599:4,18;		testified (1)	622:25	493:24
615:17;625:15	492:7;512:18;	436:22	thus (1)	top (17)
surgeon (3)	514:13;520:4;		516:5	445:12;459:8;
526:11,14;527:11	525:12;526:19;	testifies (2)		500:12;504:7;
surprised (2)	527:16;537:8;	432:25;524:7	till (1)	
568:5;586:25	538:11;544:11,12,	testimonial (1)	601:3	505:11;511:3;
surrounded (4)	547:11,13;584:8;	534:2	times (14)	512:10;545:17;
524:17;525:18;	623:7	testimonials (1)	436:22;503:18;	547:20,23;559:6;
527:20,23	Tape (10)	534:1	564:2,4,8,18;566:5;	563:17;573:6;
survival (2)	474:16;512:22;	testimony (10)	567:12;572:6;581:7;	
495:9;496:19	549:7;580:22,25;	433:13;434:7;	583:4;590:15;613:6;	594:19;595:19
suspect (9)	581:3;582:25;589:2;	479:18;518:18;	614:19	total (15)
456:6;459:25;	590:21;621:9	575:15,17,24;576:2;	title (6)	434:5,18,21;435:3
465:11;476:7;477:2;	taped (1)	613:20;625:12	443:9;476:20;	5,7,14,16;436:4,5
520:6;526:7;542:11;	616:5	tests (2)	514:3;545:8;547:23;	
· -		434:16,25	618:17	tracing (1)
560:15	tapes (5) 474:10;512:20;	theft (3)	titled (1)	611:14
4 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2	. 4.4 1153177115	MCICIOI	deten (1)	r
			532.21	trade (126)
sustaining (1) 519:25 Sutton (24)	549:3;582:21;621:5 taught (6)	521:18;566:11; 614:20	532:21 titles (1)	trade (126) 461:2,5,12;462:20

viay 13, 2009				
21,22,23;463:1,4,12,	transference (1)	436:9;437:4;	592:22	458:1
15,17,19;465:9,11,	485:18	501:9;515:4;535:3;	undesirable (2)	use (25)
21;466:4,7;469:13,	transferred (2)	551:18,19;572:25;	470:1;536:7	434:11;440:11;
20,25;470:10,11,13,	484:1;485:13	610:3	unfair (1)	457:20;458:17,17,
16,18,22,23;471:3,5,	transferring (1)	turn (17)	546:19	18,21;473:4;476:5,8;
	568:16	441:22;442:11;	Unfortunately (1)	478:1,3,8,10,10;
8,10,12,13,13,15,15,	transforms (2)	445:7;468:8;485:23;	561:16	488:14;491:21;
18,20;472:3,5,8,20;		489:20;524:11;	uniform (1)	498:1;503:22;
473:8;478:11,14;	510:9;512:1	533:18;540:8;541:9;	545:6	526:14,15;535:22;
480:12,15,24;481:7,	translated (2)		unique (14)	569:21;603:10;
12,21,23,24;482:1,3,	478:7;610:23	543:3;544:19;	461:6;466:6;	605:19
4,4,6,8,10,17,18,22,	translator (1)	545:14;548:3;		used (33)
23,24;483:7;487:20;	622:22	576:25;577:7;592:2	495:18;496:10,14,	456:2;466:23;
489:16;490:8,9,13,	transmission (1)	turning (1)	20;497:15;498:5,10,	
14,16,20;495:11,13,	481:25	515:17	13,14,23;508:3;	469:24;470:10;
14,16,497:8,12,25;	transmit (3)	tutor (1)	537:6	471:6,7,14;482:23;
498:1,3,20,22;518:5,	471:13,15;497:13	575:3	unit (1)	492:5;498:2;501:24;
11,11,14,16,21,22,	transpired (1)	tutored (2)	585:5	503:9;504:5;507:3;
24;523:8,11;524:25;	602:3	575:5,6	United (4)	514:9;515:8,10;
526:22;527:13,25;	trash (1)	twice (1)	521:3;541:20;	524:18;525:19;
528:14,20,22;	597:8	626:10	571:23;585:6	527:21;534:21;
534:17,21,23;535:2,	travel (2)	two (7)	Universe (14)	535:17,20;536:1;
	618:22;626:4	433:10;436:23;	483:2,3,7,9,12,17;	537:3;545:8,10;
4,8;537:1;539:6;	traveling (1)	454:3;463:3;529:20;	484:8;490:25;	553:7;569:24;
543:1;550:8,15,18,	626:7	608:5;616:9	515:21;519:8;522:7,	582:16,18;592:16;
22;551:14;567:16;	I .	two-page (1)	15;523:3;526:19	604:24
568:9,11,12,13;	treat (1)	608:18	universities (1)	useless (2)
573:12,16;611:8,9	546:14	1	618:13	510:15;512:25
Trademark (2)	Treece (1)	type (7)	unless (1)	uses (8)
543:17,22	433:15	477:1;480:7;	521:22	441:1,6;477:2;
trademarks (4)	trees (1)	488:3;502:13;		514:3;537:4;570:17;
437:14,24;440:21;	473:4	526:12;613:2;619:21	unprecedented (1)	604:22,25
520:8	Tribute (10)	types (3)	533:24	using (6)
traditional (2)	454:2;480:23;	444:17;472:22,23	unregistered (1)	476:11,14;493:24;
440:18;456:23	481:1;482:16,17,25;	T	493:3	501:20;504:18;
train (2)	484:4,11,15;522:5	\mathbf{U}	Unsubstantiated (1)	548:20
499:4,4	tried (1)		533:22	utilize (4)
trained (3)	620:22	Uh (1)	Unterreiner (9)	443:15;497:13;
445:10;534:6,10	tries (1)	542:4	463:6,13;467:14,	528:18:546:17
trainer (2)	592:15	Ultimately (9)	21;570:18,19;	,
568:4;570:23	trouble (1)	438:14;440:3;	605:10;610:7;614:11	utilized (3)
trainers (1)	525:16	453:20;478:21;	untrue (3)	448:19;459:7;
463:16	true (24)	479:7,23;565:12;	532:23;534:11;	482:11
training (54)	436:13;452:14;	621:2;624:22	565:8	
447:10;458:6;	453:17;479:3;	Um (3)	ир (26)	V
466:11,11,13;478:1,	489:19;513:21;	476:13;478:2;	444:8;447:16;	
7,8;490:2,25;491:9,	514:2;516:18;521:1;	593:20	448:13,24;449:6;	valuable (12)
10,13,16,18,20,22,	525:8;532:23;534:6;	unable (2)	464:9,11,13;468:12;	458:5;480:12,24;
23;492:4,6,7,8,18;	548:10,22;553:17;	495:7;496:18	473:16;517:7;534:1;	487:20;490:9,14;
493:15;494:22;	576:12;600:9;602:8;	uncertainty (1)	537:22;538:9;544:7;	495:18;496:16,24;
	603:6,14,16;604:1;	579:2	562:23;564:5;	497:3;502:11;555:2
496:15;499:16,18,		undated (2)	572:20;581:5;	value (15)
24;500:1,3;503:9,12;	truly (1)	559:3,6	599:10;604:16,18;	484:6;487:13,19,
504:4,18;508:14,17,		under (17)	605:22;614:23;	21;488:22,25;489:2
21,22,25;509:2,3,4,8,	, -	433:24;440:20;	616:4;621:11	17;498:9;515:21;
12,16,16;533:24;	trust (4)		uphold (2)	519:8;522:6;533:23
534:8,9;552:17;	558:24;561:8,13,	441:9;458:9,20;	551:4;614:7	537:14;546:14
613:21,22;614:4	17	459:15,18,23,25;	- I	values (6)
trainings (3)	truth (2)	460:1,1;496:22;	upon (3)	459:4;488:1,4,5;
500:7,8;504:9	460:22;624:21	521:23;522:10;	436:2;491:5;	
transcript (4)	truthfully (1)	528:19,24;531:8	546:18	489:11;510:24
		1	upset (1)	Vanguard (3)
460:12:479:1.13;	434:1	underhanded (1)		466 10 00 660 10
460:12;479:1,13; 621:13		546:22	623:17	456:19,20;553:13
621:13	434:1		623:17 upside (3)	various (9)
621:13 transfer (4)	434:1 try (5) 439:6;460:7;	546:22	623:17	various (9) 471:11;474:20;
621:13	434:1 try (5)	546:22 understands (3)	623:17 upside (3)	various (9)

MORRISSOTION		r -		1,1,1,10,10,1
573:15,17;583:24;	552:16	482:3,6	611:4,16	432:24;555:11;
605:19	way (38)	wife (2)	worked (3)	568:17;622:24
version (15)	434:18,24;444:12;	532:9;619:25	448:18;465:5;	•
442:6;483:16;	467:5,13;470:21;	willingness (1)	580:12	
484:12,12,14,15;	471:2,9;472:13;	614:6	working (4)	
522:15,16,16;523:3;	475:13,15,16;477:7;	window (20)	461:2;466:18;	
541:7,7,14,15,18	478:22;479:24;	470:17;471:5,8,9,	467:1;569:11	
versions (5)	481:24;487:25;	16;472:2,2,25;481:6,	works (3)	
483:10;521:19;	502:19;514:19;	9;482:4;487:9,9;	483:13;484:10;	
522:14,22;539:12	517:23;522:12;	488:14;497:10;	520:24	
	523:7;537:11;547:8,	498:21;528:22;	world (7)	
versus (1)	23;551:20,20;552:1,	534:21;537:4;538:18	445:12;498:11;	
432:5		windows (12)	546:6,12;580:12;	
veteran (2)	5;606:23;612:12,25;		1 1 1	
531:9;532:3	620:9,9,16,18;622:5;	471:19;473:8,11;	616:23;617:7	
video (4)	624:19	489:13,16;495:12,	worth (2)	
432:2;436:8;	ways (6)	14;550:18,21;	586:3,20	
616:1,4	476:19;499:5;	551:14,19,21	write (9)	
videoed (1)	504:10,13;520:18;	winning (2)	452:25;453:13;	
446:19	535:13	537:15,17	454:24;464:9;467:4;	
VIDEOGRAPHER (16)	wear (3)	within (21)	485:3,5;489:12;	
432:1;474:9,15;	545:6;612:19,22	447:4;448:19;	547:10	
512:19,21;524:3;	wearing (1)	457:19;466:4;	writes (1)	
549:2,6;556:8;	473:12	467:12;468:16;	454:22	
557:11,20;582:20,	web (2)	469:3;470:21;	writing (5)	
24;608:9;621:4,8	491:8;622:1	476:23;494:1;	453:23;454:3,7,12;	
videos (4)	website (9)	503:17;515:10;	573:1	
503:2,3;504:11;	504:19,23;532:7,	538:1,19;539:4;	written (54)	
534:9	11,14;533:25;568:2,	570:24;587:1;590:1,	447:22,24;448:2,4,	
videotaped (3)	4;572:4	2;591:19;610:23	6;453:14;454:13;	
615:19,22,23	Week (5)	without (7)	464:11,13,14;465:3,	
videotapes (1)	456:19,20;531:12;	471:12;493:22;	4,7;466:23;471:22;	
616:11	533:5;619:3	501:7;510:15;	472:4,8,16;481:2,3,4,	
view (5)	weigh (1)	512:25;516:14;	11,16;482:17,19;	
459:13,15,23;	460:8	566:19	483:6,18;485:9,14,	
476:18;569:14	welcome (1)	witness (17)	17;487:22;488:9;	
violates (1)	626:12	432:21;442:5,8;	490:3;491:24;492:1,	
564:19	well-formed (1)	523:17;553:20,24;	5,11;493:1;501:2,13;	
	498:16	554:19;556:7;585:3;	502:16;504:25;	
virtually (2)	I .	1	505:24;512:15;	
435:10;509:10	weren't (3)	600:9,15;602:7;	514:22;515:1;547:2,	
virtue (1)	455:15;607:9;	604:7;608:14;625:8;		
525:13	617:10	626:12,14	8;586:8,23;609:19;	
voice (1)	What's (3)	won (1)	610:18,22;611:19	
566:6	519:18;532:3;	595:25	wrongful (1)	i
voiced (5)	600:19	word (1)	620:25	
555:15;566:2,25;	whatsoever (2)	487:22	wrongs (1)	
568:6;581:7	518:13;537:23	worded (1)	566:10	
vulnerable (1)	Whenever (1)	551:20	wrote (14)	
531:16	454:22	words (13)	441:5;453:2;	
	wherein (2)	439:11,15;446:9;	455:20;484:24;	!
${f w}$	516:9,23	482:22;484:16,23;	485:4;488:17;	
	white (2)	487:5;514:8,9;	490:21;512:9;513:4,	
Wait (1)	458:7,8	515:14;525:25;	5,7,8;526:4;560:22	
591:9	whole (8)	544:16;551:24		1
waive (1)	480:18;487:14;	work (31)	\mathbf{Y}	
481:18	491:19;515:23;	433:17;438:8;		1
waiver (1)	517:12;540:18;	446:7,9;447:16;	year (5)	
600:11	547:15;620:19	455:20;480:16;	437:8;490:1;	
waiving (1)	wholesale (1)	484:6;487:13,19,21;	531:9;605:8;610:23	1
	516:2	488:22,25;489:2,17;	years (5)	
565:24		514:6,23;515:1,20;	492:25;539:13;	
walk (1)	whose (3) 441:5;588:19;		1 ' '	
584:7		519:7;520:13,14,19;	568:24;605:17;	
wants (3)	607:5	522:6;545:7;570:24;	611:12 Vank (4)	
503:24;520:15;	wielded (2)	578:4;595:18,24;	York (4)	
	· ·	The state of the s	· ·	Ú.