## ANSWER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a. It is common knowledge that Community had several people on the staff who they knew to be child molesters and/or abusers; yet they were kept on staff. Others covered up these facts. For example:

Rick and Jan Cole - Rick molested Seth Dalby while Rick was a Youth Group leader. Rick was also a Christian School teacher. He was convicted and sent to Monroe for 2 years. Jan Cole killed her daughter, Brittany, when she discovered Rick was homosexual and a child molester. Jan was found guilty and sent to a psychiatric hospital in Maryland. Jan worked in publications and other areas of the church.

Tom and Jeannie Konopka - Tom was Dorm Head leader and usher. He was also an outside security officer and may have been a Sunday School teacher. Tom was found guilty of molesting his step daughter Jennifer and was sent to prison. Jeannie was a Christian school teacher.

E. Scott Hartley (Elder) had to go to court on the Konopka case because he refused to disclose Tom's sexual deviancy. Hartley was the principal of Christian school and held many positions through the years. He was second in command to Donald Barnett, Pastor.

Ralph Elskog (Elder) - Sandy Erlick brought an action against him for taking advantage of her and his abuse of his leadership role to molest her. Elskog was also a minister, finance director and was high up in leadership.

David Motherwell (Elder) - It is believed that Motherwell was involved with the Konopka case and was forced to be held accountable by the court. Motherwell was also an minister and held many leadership roles.

Jack McDonald - (pastor of Tacoma Community Chapel - satellite church) - found guilty of some charges.

Mike Teel - Sunday School teacher - admitted to Kathryne Haviland that he was homosexual. He took three of the Haviland children and took of his pants and laid down in broad daylight with just his underpants. When Kathy's children told her, she called the Chapel and spoke with John Bergan (a counselor).

DEFENDANT'S FIRST INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION TO PLAINTIFF AND RESPONSES THERETO-20 STRONG & KYDD

5

10 11

13 14

12

15 16

17 18

19

21

20

2223

24

25

Bergan said he'd deal with it "in house". Bergan removed Teel from the Sunday school, but Teel remained with the church.

Sandy and Lyle Brown v. Don Barnett - Don Barnett was the pastor of CCBTC. Barbara Barnett told the congregation that Don Barnett (her husband) had exposed himself in the hallway of a

Barbara Barnett was observed going to bed with Jerry Zwack at Barnett's father in law's house the night Zwack's wife died. Jerry was appointed head of the Counseling Dept. by Barbara Barnett. He was in the lead position at that time.

Jack Benson was an usher at CCBTC. He was charged with sexually abusing his daughter and was put out by the church, only to be reinstated.

Jim Hutchinson was pastor of a satellite church. He was brought up on a morals charge. Was put out of church and then reinstated.

Mike Menory went to prison for putting several Seattle Police Dept. officers in the hospital when they tried to arrest him for domestic violence. Menory has been in and out of prison and is still allowed to be in CCBTC.

Jacquan Bailey is a practicing transvestite who has never been disfellowshipped.

Gary and Lola Rurre said they left the church because Don Barnett molested their daughter.

Robert Martinez was an usher at CCBTC. He went to jail for domestic violence. Don Barnett did not disfellowship him. He came back to CCBTC after completing his sentence.

b. Members of the CCBTC congregation, too numerous to mention, have knowledge regarding these facts. Additionally, the following members of the CCBTC security force have knowledge:

Wayne Snoey - head of security. Now lives in Kent and works as a realtor for Windemere. He knew of all of the misconduct in the church and maintained files.

Loren Krenelka - (206) 785-4925 (Centralia WA) Was Wayne's right hand man and knows as much as Wayne.

Don Pack - Spokane WA

Steve Guer - Tukwila Police Dept.

DEFENDANT'S FIRST INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION TO PLAINTIFF AND RESPONSES THERETO-21STRONG & KYDD

1 Chuck Kerr- Goldendale Police Dept. 2 Don Pfaff - 3500 Greenland Ave., Anchorage AK. 3 Tony Tamascio - Burien WA - In charge of all firearms training for the security staff. 4 Darrell Russell - Washington State Patrol. Provided police 5 training for chapel 6 Don Weikart - Normandy Park Police Dept. 7 Joe Bloom - Alaska 8 Joel Vasy 9 James Davies 10 Jim Buxton 11 Don Davis 12 Bill Adams Norman Fishler - 35128 SE 257th, Ravensdale WA 98051. 13 Helped with the police training and mock drills. 14 Chris Matthews - Mr. Matthews was an usher, Bible College teacher. Mr. Matthews supposedly broke into the church and 15 shredded CCBTC files. He too was on the security force. attempted armed robbery of a cocktail lounge. 16 Ron Gerrard (Illinois). 17 Due to the fact that the above were at the least on the 18 security staff of CCBTC, information pertaining to their present addresses is within the custody and control of defendant. 19 Some of the documents are a matter of public record if 20 a case or charges have been filed; any and all other documents are in the custody and control of CCBTC. 21 INTERROGATORY NO. 22: 22 As to the allegations of paragraph 20 of your Complaint for Personal Injuries, please state: 23 Each fact supporting your allegations; 24

DEFENDANT'S FIRST INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS
FOR PRODUCTION TO PLAINTIFF AND RESPONSES THERETO-22 STRONG & KYDD

25



## OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

Suite 1212 June 13, 1986

Community Chapel and Bible Training Center 18635 8th Avenue South Seattle, WA 98148

Dear Reverend Barnett:

We are writing this letter to express our concerns about the possible involvement of children in sexually inappropriate behavior with adult members of your church. It is not our inintention to interfere with legitimate religious practices. However, there are circumstances defined by our state's laws when certain acts become criminal in nature and/or constitute child abuse or neglect. Whenever such circumstances occur, our agencies are required to be informed and are authorized to take appropriate action for the protection of the child.

RCW 26.44.020(12) defines child abuse or neglect as:

. . . the injury, sexual abuse, sexual exploitation or negligent treatment or maltreatment of a child by any person under circumstances which indicate that the child's health, welfare and safety is harmed thereby.

Our State law, RCW 26.44, also requires certain persons to report within 48 hours any incidences of child abuse or neglect. These mandated reporters include professional school personnel, practitioners, social workers and psychologists. Reports must be made to the proper law enforcement agency or to the Department of Social and Health Services. Failure to report by a person required to do so can subject the person to criminal charges.

In addition, there are several laws which define acts constituting crimes. For example, RCW 9A.44.100 provides:

EXHIBIT

Ken Eikenberry Attorney General
Dexter Horton Building, Seattle, Washington 98104—1749

100515

Reverend Barnett June 13, 1986

Page 2

(1) A person is guilty of indecent liberties when he knowingly causes another person not his spouse to have sexual contact with him or another:

(a) By forcible compulsion; or

(b) When the other person is less than fourteen years of age; or

(c) When the person is less than sixteen years of age and the perpetrator is more than forty-eight months older than the person and is in a position of authority over the person. . .

Indecent liberties is a class B felony. There are a wide range of other crimes regarding inappropriate sexual contact, including statutory rape and communication with a minor for immoral purposes.

As you know, our agencies have been investigating allegations of child sexual abuse involving members of the church. We do not believe that you would condone such behavior. Thus, we request your cooperation and assistance in our investigation. To this end, we wish to extend an invitation to you and other interested members of your congregation to meet with us to discuss our mutual concerns and answer any questions you may have. Please contact Noella Hashimoto or Alzada Dodge of the Attorney General's Office at 464-7045 to arrange for a convenient time and place to meet.

Sincerely,

NOELLA HASHIMOTO

Assistant Attorney General

WINIFRED WIATRAK
Center 4 Administration
Department of Social and
Health Services
Division of Children & Family
Services

REBECCA J. ROE Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorney King County Prosecutor's Office

SGT. HOWARD REYNOLDS King County Police Department

1	SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
2	COUNTY OF KING
3	
4	BRIANA HAVILAND, a Minor, by )
5	CATHERINE CROWLEY, Ph.D., her)
6	Guardian Ad Litem, )
7	Plaintiff, )
8	vs. ) No. 93-2-25996-4
9	COMMUNITY CHAPEL AND BIBLE )
10	TRAINING CENTER; ROBERT MANN )
11	and ALETA MANN, husband and )
12	wife and the marital )
13	community comprised thereof, )
14	Defendants, etc. )
15	
16	DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF
17	JOHN JENOTT
18	VOLUME I
19	
20	9:20 a.m.
21	September 2, 1994
22	900 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1616
23	Seattle, Washington
24	Cheryl O'Haleck
25	Court Reporter

MILLS & UCHIDA COURT REPORTERS (206)292-9063 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON

1	and leadership to becoming a department head.
2	Q. What years did you serve as a department head?
3	A. I can't remember. I can remember that I quit
4	being department head somewhere in late 1985 or early 1986.
5	Q. Was there a particular reason you quit that role?
6	A. To move to Twin Falls.
7	MS. KONAT: If we could go off the record for just
8	a minute.
9	(Marked Deposition Exhibit No. 1.)
10	Q. (By Ms. Konat) Mr. Jenott, I'm handing you what's
11	been marked Exhibit 1 to this deposition. Do you recognize
12	that document?
13	A. No.
14	Q. You see down here where it has your name as being
15	"Sunday School Instructional Department," kindergarten?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Is there any way of telling on this document what
18	the date is, do you know? I mean, it's not a trick
19	question. I don't see a date. I just wondered whether the
20	church had a way of indicating date that was somehow coded
21	into the document code. We do not.
22	A. Not to my knowledge, no.
23	Q. So the "R84" wouldn't mean 1984?
24	A. It possibly could, but I can't unequivocally say
25	that's what it means. It's totally an assumption, but it

- Q. What sort of training did you do of the -- well, before I get to that, you said you oversee personnel. Who are the personnel?
- A. When I say "personnel," I mean the adults who were actually working with the children in the classroom.
- Q. And did those adults have particular roles? I know there were teachers, and you've mentioned helpers. Are there any other roles?
- A. They did have particular roles. There was what we would call the head teacher. And it seems to me that we would try to have under the head teacher what we would call an assistant teacher. And under the assistant teacher we would have several helpers. Usually, if I remember correctly, we tried to have at least four adults for every class. And this was rotated on a monthly basis so that the teachers and their if you would want to call them the staff that worked under the head teacher, would have a month to teach and be involved in the classes and then a month where they would not be doing that but would have a break from that. And it would be a month on teaching and then a month off on a rotating basis.
- Q. You said you tried to have four adults for every class. Were you able to do that most of the time?
- A. Yes. When I said we tried, the class sizes would vary. My involvement in the Sunday School spanned a long

enough time period that I saw classes grow. In the larger classes, we would try to have at least one man and one woman assistant and then the teacher and the assistant teacher.

Q. Why would you try to have one man and one woman in larger classes?

MR. ROHAN: Objection. I believe you mischaracterized his testimony. It was one man and one woman assistant.

A. I would be glad to answer the question about why the different sexes.

During the course of the class time, it spanned a period of hours. If I remember correctly, the teachers would be in their classrooms around 9:00 in the morning. And I think the children — this is fairly unclear, so I may be wrong. But I think that the children were allowed to be able to be brought in and dropped off at the class somewhere around 9:30. And then the actual services for the adults or what we called the Sunday School for the adults would start at 10:00. What we would have to do is have the children under our supervision anywhere from 9:30 in the morning until perhaps 1:00 to 1:30 in the afternoon at times, which for children is a long time. So it necessitated trips to the bathroom, lunch breaks, activity times.

time for, say, like a lunch period where everyone would get out their lunches that they would bring and the parents would send along with them. There would be exercise times where the children could stretch and jump and do different kinds of exercises. There would be more singing times. There would be prayer times.

I think that's pretty much it. These different things weren't always exactly the same for every class and every age group. And as the church got older, the methods and the timing changed. But I think that was pretty much what the morning would be like.

- Q. What age group were you the department head for?
- A. At first I was a department head for what we called the preschool department, which later split into the preschool and kindergarten -- the preschool department initially covered -- what we had was four age groups. There was the P1 classes, the P2 classes, the K4 classes and the K5 classes. P1 and P2 were preschool classes; in other words, children that were too young to go into kindergarten. And then the K4 and K5 classes were the kindergarten-aged children.

So it was that age group that I worked with initially. As the church got bigger and grew, we had to split it. Because of the size and the amount of responsibility, we split it. And I assumed the

1 responsibility for the kindergarten classes. The K4 and K5? 2 Q. 3 A. Right. For the kids in your department, and if we -- do 4 0. 5 you have any idea when that split occurred? I can't remember. 6 7 Were the classes for P1, P2, K4 and K5 Q. 8 substantially similar in that they participated in the activities that you listed? 9 10 A. Yes. How about if we make sort of a general statement 11 0. 12 that says if I ask a question about the time when you were 13 a department head, if for some reason you treated them 14 differently you'll let me know. Otherwise we'll assume 15 that your answers go to all of those classes while you were head of that department. And whenever the change occurred 16 it will go just toward the kindergarten. 17 18 Α. Fine, yes. 19 Q. Was there also a nap period in the classes? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. When the kids took breaks, like play time or 22 whatever breaks, stretching, things like that, where did

be inside the classroom. There was a covered area in the

In the winter when it was cold outside, it would

23

24

25

that take place?

A.

```
MR. ROHAN: I think there is three of them.
 1
                MS: KONAT: -- the record, we should split it up
 2
       and mark it properly.
 3
 4
           Q.
                (By Ms. Konat) Mr. Jenott, if I could have you
       return that copy to the court reporter, and if I could get
 5
       copies we'll mark them and divide them up this one way. At
 6
 7
       the bottom, page 100882 is Exhibit 3, just that page. Now.
       if I could have the court reporter mark as the next page
 8
 9
       Exhibit 4, through page 100885.
10
                      (Marked Deposition Exhibit No. 4.)
                MS. KONAT: And the remaining pages, 100886
11
12
       through 100891, will be Exhibit 5.
13
                     (Marked Deposition Exhibit No. 5.)
14
                (By Ms. Konat) So why don't we take for now
15
       Exhibit 5. And if you could review that for me.
16
           Α.
                Okay.
17
           Q.
                Are you familiar with that document? Have you
18
       seen it before?
                I'm sure I have seen it before. As far as being
19
20
       familiar with it, I mean, I don't -- I can't recollect
       everything that's contained in it. But yes, I'm sure I've
21
22
       read it before.
23
                In fact, this document says, and it's difficult to
           0.
       read, I understand that, it was written by you as a
24
25
       preschool department head on April 10th of 1979 to Scott
```

Hartley from John Jenott, Preschool Department Head, and the date up in the top right April 10, 1979.

- A. I can't contest that.
- Q. Does this help you in sort of figuring out how long you were a department head? We had thought a couple years, but -- and I just noticed this, as well, that it's 1979. So it seems like it might have been more than six or at least six years.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Could it have been longer than that? Do you know if you had been a department head for some time when you wrote this memo?
- A. I don't know. I can say I'm sure it wasn't -- I didn't write it right after I became the department head. So yeah, it would be fair to assume that I had been department head for a while, whatever that means.
- Q. I'm not very good with dates, either, so I don't hold that against you at all.

Well, I would like to, since we're talking about discipline, is jump ahead to the portion of your -- well, I'm sorry. I'm jumping around here. Am I correct that this is the general procedures and policies relating to the preschool Sunday School department? And I'm reading the "re" notation on this document.

A. Yes.

FIREY	
94 OCT -5 PA 25	: 59
IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	TATE OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY
SUPERIOR WILLIAM	
BRIANA HAVILAND, a minor, by CATHERINE CROWLEY, Ph.D., her Guardian Ad Litem,	No. 93-2-25996-4
	AFFIDAVIT OF
Plaintiffs,	KATHRYN HAVILAND
vs.	
COMMUNITY CHAPEL AND BIBLE TRAINING CENTER; ROBERT MANN and ALETA MANN, husband and	
wife and the marital community) composed thereof,	
Defendants. )	
and )	
KATHRYN HAVILAND and WILLIAM ) HAVILAND, husband and wife, ) and their marital community; ) DANIELLE HAVILAND, a minor; ) and ELISSA HAVILAND, a minor; )	
and TESSA HAVILAND, a minor; )  Plaintiffs, )	
Vs.	
COMMUNITY CHAPEL AND BIBLE ) TRAINING CENTER; ROBERT MANN ) and SHARON ALETA MANN, )	
Defendants. )	
UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY,	under the laws of the State of
Washington, I, Kathryn Haviland	, swear that the following is true
and correct:	
AFFIDAVIT OF KATHRYN HAVILAND - (October 3, 1994)	EXHIBIT A
	EXHIBIT //

STRONG & KYDD

1616 BANK OF CALIFORNIA BUILDING
900 - 4TH AVENUE

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98164
(206) 623-5221

FAX (206) 623-5214

1-1

- 1. In 1977, my husband and I and our four daughters began attending Community Chapel and Bible Training Center. We regularly brought Briana and our other children with us to the Chapel to participate in the Chapel's services.
- 2. Briana Haviland, now 15 years old, was raped by her Community Chapel Sunday School teacher, Robert Mann, repeatedly between the ages of four through eight. Mann stalked Briana while at Community Chapel, as she moved around the grounds, and as she changed Sunday School classes. The rapes occurred on Community Chapel's property.
- 3. Briana repressed these attacks and was unable or unwilling to tell me or her father of the attacks.
  - 4. Our family left the Chapel in 1989.
- 5. In 1992, Briana began recalling what Robert Mann had done to her. As a result, she required and continues to require substantial medical and psychological care and intervention and is believed to be permanently disabled.
- 6. Briana attended school and Sunday School at Community Chapel because that is what Community Chapel demanded. The church preached that it was the parents' "Christian duty," imposed by God, to place their children in Sunday School. This was not a rule imposed on our family alone, it was a church policy, commonly known and widely practiced by Community Chapel parishioners who had children. If parents chose not to send their kids to Sunday School, they would be ostracized and made to feel that they were failures as parents.

AFFIDAVIT OF KATHRYN HAVILAND - 2 (October 3, 1994)

- 7. The Sunday School program was alleged by the church to be a highly organized and safe place for children. Community Chapel promised that there would be two or more adults teaching Sunday School classes. Community Chapel led parishioners to believe that the Sunday School teachers and helpers were trained, and were supervised by the heads of the departments.
- 8. There was never any indication from the Chapel that our children were in any way threatened while attending Sunday School or Christian School.
- 9. Despite the church's promises, parishioners have since found out that Sunday School classes were often taught by one adult person, without helpers. Although Defendant professed to have conducted background searches for personnel working with children, no background searches were done. Defendant did not require special qualifications or training for Sunday School personnel, nor did they screen or supervise Sunday School personnel.
- 10. We trusted the Chapel to provide a safe atmosphere and qualified Sunday School teachers to teach our children.
- 11. Community Chapel gained the confidence and trust of the parishioners through a combination of intense interaction between the church and its parishioners, isolation from the outside world, and control over the personal lives of its parishioners. The church purported to act in the parishioners' best interests.
- 12. Defendant hired security officers and trained them to handle and carry weapons in order to protect the parishioners

  AFFIDAVIT OF KATHRYN HAVILAND 3
  (October 3, 1994)

from outsiders, including parishioners who had been disfellowshipped. Defendant convinced its parishioners that the pastor and his wife spoke for God and were to be trusted and followed without question. Defendant exhorted its parishioners to severe ties with relatives, friends and others who were outside of the church, including former members who had been disfellowshipped. Parishioners were urged get other jobs if their work limited their involvement in the Chapel.

- 13. We were not allowed to listen to non-Community Chapel music, read newspapers, or watch television. From the pulpit, Pastor Don Barnett would tell the parishioners that everyone, including the media, was against us and spreading lies.
- 14. Community Chapel provided every source of information to the parishioners. The church warned against looking to outside sources for information or help. Parishioners were threatened with disfellowship if they failed to heed the church's warnings or questioned the church leadership. If you questioned the pastor, his wife or Oversight, you were considered a dissident and would be disfellowshipped.
- 15. The church withheld information from parishioners, even when the members should have been informed. For instance, if children complained of molestation, the church's response was to exorcise or deliver the child. Often, the child's parents were not even informed. There sometimes would be no repercussions against the wrongdoer and he or she would often continue working in the church with children.

AFFIDAVIT OF KATHRYN HAVILAND - 4 (October 3, 1994)

- 16. The safe atmosphere Defendant promised to provide the parishioners' children was a farce. Children were often unsupervised, until midnight or later, while their parents were involved in church matters. Young children (4, 5, 6 years old), ran around until very late at night, totally unsupervised.
- 17. We were expected to entrust care of our children to other Chapel members without qualm. The Chapel instructed us that all the members were our Christian brothers and sisters and that we were all one big family.
- 18. If a member, or a Chapel Usher, saw a child misbehaving, it was expected that they would correct and/or discipline that child regardless of whose child it was.
- 19. The doctrine and practice of spiritual connections reinforced the instruction of the Chapel that we were all one big family.
- 20. We were taught by the Chapel that our spiritual connection partner was above our marriage partnership. Many, many members moved into the homes of their spiritual connections, sometimes bringing their own children with them. The children, of both families, were expected to accept these arrangements and to obey their parents' spiritual connection partners as if they were their own parents.
- 21. Just as we were indoctrinated to place our children in Sunday School, we were instructed to come to Chapel services no less than one-hour beforehand, in order to pray. We were also instructed to stay after services, sometimes for several hours,

  AFFIDAVIT OF KATHRYN HAVILAND 5
  (October 3, 1994)

to pray. There were many hours when parents would be engaged in dancing in the spirit with their spiritual connections. These activities of the parents made for a lot of free time for the children without supervision of any type.

22. I believe that sexual predators had ample opportunity to prey on children in the Chapel.

DATED this 3 day of October, 1994.

Mathrune Dauland
Kathryn Haviland

STATE OF WASHINGTON ) COUNTY OF KING ) : ss.

On this day personally appeared before me Kathryn Haviland, to me known to be the individual described in and who executed the within and foregoing instrument, and acknowledged that she signed the same as her free and voluntary act and deed, for the uses and purposes therein mentioned.

GIVEN unto my hand and official seal this  $\frac{3}{4}$  day of  $\frac{3}{4}$  day of  $\frac{3}{4}$ 



NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the State of Washington, residing at Su Hu.

My commission expires (-13-17)

AFFIDAVIT OF KATHRYN HAVILAND - 6 (October 3, 1994)

	·
IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF WASHINGTON, KING COUNTY
BRIANA HAVILAND, a minor, by CATHERINE CROWLEY, Ph.D., her Guardian Ad Litem.	) No. 93-2-25996-4 )
Cumpulation in the same	AFFIDAVIT OF
Plaintiffs,	) ROSEMARY TYKSINSKI
vs.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	)
COMMUNITY Chapel AND BIBLE TRAINING CENTER; ROBERT MANN and ALETA MANN, husband and	<b>)</b>
wife and the marital community composed thereof,	y) )
-	j
Defendants.	)
and	) )
KATHRYN HAVILAND and WILLIAM HAVILAND, husband and wife, and their marital community; DANIELLE HAVILAND, a minor; and ELISSA HAVILAND, a minor; and TESSA HAVILAND, a minor;	) ) ) ) ) )
Plaintiffs,	) }
vs.	
COMMUNITY Chapel AND BIBLE TRAINING CENTER; ROBERT MANN and SHARON ALETA MANN,	) ) )
Defendants.	) }
UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY,	-/ , under the laws of the State of
Washington, I, Rosemary Tyksin	nsky, swear that the following is
•	
DECLARATION OF ROSEMARY TYKSINSKI - 1	EXHIBIT B

900 - 4TH AVENUE SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98164 (206) 623-5221 FAX (206) 623-5214

1. I am a former member of the Community Chapel and Bible Training Center. I attended Community Chapel from 1972, at age eighteen, until I left the Chapel in 1985. My husband and children are also former members of Community Chapel.

- 2. I am now in the last stages of attaining my doctorate degree in psychology.
- 3. I held the position of lay counselor for the Chapel from approximately 1976 until 1985.
- 4. Chapel counselors received no Church-based training in counseling and Church management strongly discouraged counselors from seeking training outside the Church. Church management also strongly discouraged counselors from reading professional psychology and counseling literature and materials. The fact that outside training and education was discouraged by the Church was made very clear to the counselors by sermons, announcements from the pulpit, and memoranda.
- 5. The criteria used to select counselors was whether the Church management liked the individual, felt the individual had common sense, was spiritual, and could "toe the party line."

  There was no screening of individuals selected as counselors, no assessments, and credentials were not necessary.
- 6. I do not recall any formal system of reporting that counselors were expected to follow when a serious problem, such as sexual assault or molestation, came to their attention.

  However, I do recall that I, as well as other counselors, took

  DECLARATION OF
  ROSEMARY TYKSINSKI 2

the position very seriously and would take situations we did not know how to handle to the Minister of Counseling, George Alberts, or to the Pastor, Don Barnett, or his wife, Barbara Barnett.

- 7. As a counselor, I was never informed about outside agencies, such as Child Protective Services, to whom incidences of abuse should be reported. To the best of my recollection, there may have been a memorandum addressed to the Church counselors directing them <u>not</u> to report to outside agencies.
- 8. Community Chapel presented itself as a self-contained community and either outright forbid or strongly discouraged, under threat of disfellowship, its members from going to outsiders. The members were told that everything that was needed was provided by the Church, there was no need to go to outsiders, that outsiders were not Godly and could not possibly help us.
- 9. If I, in my capacity as a Church counselor, was told of any abuse, I would have reported it directly to the Minister of Counseling, George Alberts, or to the Pastor, Don Barnett or his wife, Barbara Barnett.
- 10. In my capacity as a counselor, one Church member told me that she was battering a child in her care. I was distressed by this and concerned for the safety of the child. I told George Alberts about the abuse. Mr. Alberts instructed me not to tell the child's parents. He further stated that the Church would make it so the abuser could not watch children. To my knowledge, the abuser was never confronted by the Church regarding her abuse of the child. Some time later, I learned that the abuser was

still babysitting the child. At that point, I informed the parents about the abuse. The Church never intervened in the situation.

- 11. On another occasion, Mrs. Cardwell came to me, in my role as counselor, and told me that she feared for her life because of the abuse and threats of her husband, Michael Cardwell.
- that she suspected that Joshua was being sexually abused. She related that Joshua was behaving oddly and that he feared going home when his mother wasn't at home. I reported this information to the Church, to either George Alberts, Don Barnett, or Barbara Barnett. The Church management told me to advise Mrs. Cardwell to lose weight and to make some new clothes. Nothing was done, by the Church, other than this ridiculous advice which, needless to say, I did not pass on to Mrs. Cardwell. Michael Cardwell was later arrested and charged with child molestation.
- 13. In the 1970's I also served as a Sunday School teacher at Community Chapel. Like the selection process for Church counselors, there was no screening of Sunday School personnel. There was no supervision of Sunday School classes or teachers. Sunday School teachers could basically do whatever they wanted with their class of children.
- 14. As a Sunday School teacher, I was often without helpers or a second adult in the room, as were other teachers.

- 15. Classes would often split-up with each teacher taking the kids to do a different activity. Sunday School teachers were often alone with their classes.
  - 16. The Sunday School rooms had solid doors and no windows.
- 17. The practices and beliefs of the Church were such that it was common to allow people you did not know, but who were members of the Church, to care for your children.
- 18. Children were often unsupervised, until midnight or later, while their parents were involved in spiritual connections. Very young children (4, 5, 6 years of age), would be running around until very late at night, totally unsupervised.
- 19. Adults encouraged their children to have spiritual connections with adults. This involved touching of the body and mouth-to-mouth kissing. I have witnessed adults having spiritual connections with children and I believe that many children were hurt at Church by these spiritual connections.
- 20. It is my opinion that Community Chapel was full of pedophiles and that the atmosphere of the Chapel was one of free and open access to children.
- 21. The atmosphere of the Chapel was also one where victimizers would not be punished. In approximately 1982, the Chapel introduced a new doctrine that said all those who did wrong were possessed by a demon. In response to any problem or abuse, the doctrine held that the person who was possessed by demons, was not at fault and could not be held responsible for their actions.

- 22. I recall one member of the Chapel, Ms. Joe McCullough, who suffered from Turner's Syndrome. Turner's Syndrome is a malformation of reproductive organ's, which caused Ms. McCullough to have masculine traits and appearance. The Chapel accused Ms. McCullough of having demons of lesbianism. Ms. McCullough went through terrible exorcisms at the direction of the Chapel.
- 23. Exorcisms consisted of hours of praying and rebuking, often at the top of the lungs. At the completion of an exorcism, it was expected that a manifestation of the demon would leave you. In Ms. McCullough's case, obviously, no demons left her body and she was eventually disfellowshipped.
- 24. On another occasion, Mr. Benson testified before the Chapel congregation about his sexual deviance, which included hanging around pornography shops. The Chapel's response to this personal testimonial was to pray for Mr. Benson.
- 25. Robert Martinez was brought before the congregation for being a batterer. The demons were rebuked, and supposedly the problem was then resolved.
- 26. Rick Cole, another member of the Chapel, was suspected of sexually abusing children. These allegations were commonly known among the congregation and there was growing concern about his being a youth minister. However, he was not removed from that position by the Chapel management and it would have been futile for the congregation to ask that Rick Cole be removed from the position of youth minister.

27. Some members of the Chapel congregation referred to the Chapel administration as "meat cleaver ministry". This is referring to the fact that complaints, by Church members to the Church management, would invariably backfire. People complaining of being victimized, would be further victimized by the Chapel upon complaining and the perpetrator would be exonerated. Victims, at the Chapel, were not taken seriously or helped.

- 28. A Chapel member's extra-marital affairs were generally known to the congregation, however, Barbara Barnett forbid anyone to inform Jim Maxwell's wife of his affairs.
- 29. In 1982, the Chapel built dormitories at the new Church site. There were many young people living in these dorms and many of them came to me, in my counselor capacity, regarding their problems. Every one of the youths I spoke to had a history of sexual abuse, eating disorders and other problems. They also related to me instances of sexual aggression, including rape, in the dorms. I thought this astonishing and was concerned about it. I took my concerns to Barbara Barnett and related this information to her. Barbara Barnett did not respond, in any way, to what I had related to her and nothing was ever done about the problems in the dorms.
- 30. It was believed by the congregation that Barbara Barnett spoke for God, she was the Voice of God. No one went against Don and Barbara Barnett or anything they said. If a member were to oppose them or any Chapel official, they could expect to be publicly censured, privately rebuked, or disfellowshipped.

DECLARATION OF ROSEMARY TYKSINSKI - 7

STRONG & KYDD

1616 BANK OF CALIFORNIA BUILDING
900 - 47H AVENUE

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98164
(206) 623-5221

FAX (206) 623-5214

	31. I left the Chapel in 1985 because of the practice of
1	spiritual connections. There was extensive crossing of sexual
2	boundaries, such as involving children in spiritual connections,
3	that I did not agree with. In fact, I felt that it was a
4	frightening and amazing sight.
5	DATED this day of October, 1994.
6	
7	The state of the s
8	Rosemary Tyksinski
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
l	

DECLARATION OF ROSEMARY TYKSINSKI - 8

21

22

23

24

25

## Case Archived Zx - 1st orchive on computer system / ECR

KING SUPERIOR COURT 11-12-02 11:21 PAGE 1

TITLE: CROWLEY ET AL VS COMMUNITY CHAPEL & BIBLE TRAINING CENTER ET AL

FILED: 10/19/1993

CAUSE: PIN PERSONAL INJURY DV:

RESOLUTION: DSM DATE: 03/03/1995 DISMISSAL WITHOUT TRIAL COMPLETION: JODF DATE: 03/03/1995 JUDGMENT/ORDER/DECREE FILED CASE STATUS: CMPL DATE: 03/03/1995 COMPLETED/RE-COMPLETED

ARCHIVED:

RESTORE DATE :

----- PARTIES -----

11/08/2002

MICROFICHE: 06/16/2000 CONSOLIDT: 94-2-05356-6

NOTE1:\*PROTECTIVE ORD SUBS 20,73\*\*210 SEALED PER SUB 271\*

NOTE2:\* DOCKET UNDER THIS CAUSE. ATTY LIEN, SUB #89

	PAR.	TIED .		
CONN.	LAST NAME, FIRST MI TITLE		LITIGANTS	DATE
PLA01	CROWLEY, CATHERINE			
MNR01	HAVILAND, BRIANA			
PLA02	HAVILAND, KATHRYN			
PLA03	HAVILAND, WILLIAM			
MNR02	HAVILAND, DANIELLE		DSM	10/14/1994
MNR03	HAVILAND, ELISSA		DSM	10/14/1994
MNR04	HAVILAND, TESSA		DSM	10/14/1994
DEF01	COMMUNITY CHAPEL & BIBLE			エロ/エモ/エフノモ
	TRAINING CENTER			• .
DEF02	MANN, ROBERT			
	MANN, ALETA			
GAL01	CROWLEY, CATHERINE A		•	
ATP01	HOPKINS, FREDERICK F.		•	
BAR#	07077			
ATD01	ROHAN, ROBERT JOSEPH		1	
BAR#	07744		•	-
	MANN, ROBERT			
STREET1	PO BOX 1354			
CITY/ST		WA		
ZIP				
ATP02	STRONG, SIDNEY JAMES			
BAR#				
ATP03	MARSH, KIMBERLY ANN			
BAR#	21071			
ATD03	SHAPIRO, ANTHONY DARROW		1	
BAR#			•	
	REED, RICHARD D			
BAR#				
	HAVILAND, KATHRYNE		4	
	253 S 163RD PL			<i>i</i> .
CITY/ST		WA		
ZIP	98148			

Volumes 1-8 Vol. 1 1-352

	J3-2-23556-4	2	KING SOPERIOR COORT 11-12-02	11:21 PAGE 2
<b></b> -			Appearance docket	
		CODE/		
SUB#	DATE	CONN	DESCRIPTION/NAME	SECONDARY
	10/10/1000			
.=	10/19/1993	3 SFFR	FILING FEE RECEIVED STRONG & KYDD	110.00
1	10/19/1993	3 SMCMP	SUMMONS & COMPLAINT	•
2	10/19/1993			04-21-1995ST
3	10/19/1993	CICS	CASE INFORMATION COVER SHEET	01 41 100001
3A	10/19/1993		PET FOR APPT OF GUARDIAN AD LITEM	•
3B	10/19/1993	ORAPGL	ORDER APPOINTING GUARDIAN AD LITEM	
		GAL01	CROWLEY, CATHERINE A	
		EXP01	EX-PARTE, DEPT	
4	10/21/1993	NTAPR		
		ATD01	ROHAN, ROBERT JOSEPH	
5	10/22/1993	AFSR	AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE	*
6	11/16/1993		CONFIRM. SERV.: PARTIES TO BE SERVED	
7	12/13/1993	NTMTDK	NOTE FOR MOTION DOCKET	12-21-1993MS
		ACTION		
8	12/13/1993		MOTION FOR DEFAULT	•
-	12/13/1993		P ATTY-CC	12-21-1993MS
-	12/13/1993		D ATTY-CC NONE	12-21-1993MS
9	12/20/1993		ANSWER OF COMMUNITY CHAPEL & BIBLE	
10	12/21/1993		AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE	
11	01/05/1994		ANSWER OF ROBERT MANN	
12	01/05/1994		CONFIRMATION OF SERVICE /AMENDED	
13	03/02/1994	NTAPR	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FOR DEF COMMU-	
			NITY CHAPEL & BIBLE TRAINING CENTER	
	•		/AMENDED	
14	00/10/1004	ATD01	ROHAN, ROBERT JOSEPH	
15	03/18/1994 03/18/1994		MOTION TO INTERVENE AS PLAINTIFFS/I	03-28 <b>-1</b> 994MS
16	03/18/1994		NOTE FOR MOTION DOCKET	
17	03/18/1994		MOTION TO INTERVENE AS PLA/I	03-28-1994MS
18	03/18/1994		NOTE FOR MOTION DOCKET	
_	03/10/1994		DECLARATION OF RICHARD D REED P ATTY-CC NONE	
_	03/21/1994		D ATTY-CC NONE	03-28-1994MS
19	03/28/1994		CONFIRMATION OF JOINDER	03-28-1994MS
<del></del>	03/28/1994		CONFIRM. JOIN.: NO STATUS CONFER.	
20	05/18/1994		PROTECTIVE ORDER	
-	, ,	EXP01	EX-PARTE, DEPT	
<del></del> .	07/19/1994	NOTE	P ATTY-CC	07-25-94
_	07/19/1994		D ATTY-CC NONE	07-25-94
21	07/19/1994		NOTE FOR MOTION DOCKET-LATE FILING	
		ACTION	MTN TO CONSOLIDATE/PLA	01-23-7 <del>4</del>
22	07/25/1994		ORDER CONSOLIDATING FOR TRIAL	
23	07/26/1994	ORSTD	ORDER SETTING TRIAL DATE /ASSIGN IC	04-24-1995ST
•		JDG41	JUDGE MARSHA J. PECHMAN, DEPT 41	
		JDG23	JUDGE ANTHONY WARTNIK, DEPT 23	
	_		EFF 02-01-95	
24	08/08/1994		ORDER ON ASSIGNMENT TO IC	
25	08/08/1994	MTHRG	MOTION HEARING	
			CR NOT REPORTED	
0.0	An /4 = /	JDG41	JUDGE MARSHA J. PECHMAN, DEPT 41	
26	08/15/1994		AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	
27	08/15/1994	DCLR	DECLARATION OF ROBERT J ROHAN	
28	08/15/1994	MIMIDK	NOTE FOR MOTION DOCKET	09-16-94

			APPEARANCE DOCKET	
SUB	DATE	CODE CONN	/	
	_	COMM	DESCRIPTION/NAME	SECONDARY
2.0		ACTI	ON 11:00/PLA MTN FR PARTL SUMM JGMT	
29	08/15/19	94 MT	MOTION ED BARTL SUMM JGMT	•
30 31	08/31/19	94 AFML	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	N
21	08/31/19		OK NOTE FOR MOTION DOCKET	<b>.</b>
32	09/06/20	ACTIO	JN MT FR PRTL SUMM JGMT /11.00	10-14-94
33	09/06/19 09/06/19	94 JSR	JOINT STATUS REPORT	
34	09/06/19	94 MISM	G MOTION FOR S/J /PARTI/DECEMANI/117	10-14-94
35	09/13/19	94 AFCD	- a.o. a.o. vor MOLION DOUNDE	10-14-94
36	09/13/19	94 DCLD	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE	6
37	09/13/19	94 DCLR	PROTECTION OF DAVID MODITIONS	
38	09/13/19	94 MT	DECLARATION OF ROBERT T DOUBLE	
39	09/13/19	94 MTDSM	POLICE PROTECTIVE OF AND	
40	09/13/19	94 NTMTD	TOTION TO DISMISS	
		ACTTO	N DECHMAN AND TO TOURET	09-21-94
. 41	09/13/199	4 NTMTD	=	
		ACTIO	K NOTE FOR MOTION DOCKET A:00/MTN FOR PROTV ORD	09-26-94
42	09/14/199	4 AT	ATTRACTOR FROIT ORD	· <del>-</del>
43	09/22/199	4 NTMTD	ATTACHMENT TO MTN FOR PROT ORD/DEF	
44	,	ACTION	V 4DM/DECEMBAY (OR (DECEMBE)	10-11-94
** 4:	09/29/199			
		ACTION	4 PM/PECHMAN/MTN TO DIGMICS	10-11-94
45	00/20/55-	ACTION	FIDUCIARY DUTY CLAIM/DLA	•
46	09/30/199	4 CRTC	CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	
47	10/03/199. 10/03/199.	4 RSP	RESPONSE /PLTFS	
48	10/03/199	± DCLR	DECLARATION OF NORMAN ETGULED	
49	10/03/1994	1 DCLR	DECEARATION KATHRYNE HAVITAND	
50	10/03/1994	2 DCDR	DECLARATION RICHARD D DEED	
51	10/04/1994	AF	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE	
51A	10/04/1994	MT	THE TOPSVIL OF KIMBERT V A POSTAGE	
52	10/04/1994	NTMTDK	MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAINT	
		ACTION	NOTE FOR MOTION DOCKET	10-17-94
52A	10/04/1994	CRRSP	WOA/PECHMAN/ANEND COMPLAINT/PLA CORRESPONDENCE FROM R D REED	
52B	10/04/1994	AMCPT	AMENDED COMPLAINT	
53 54	10/05/1994	AF	AFFIDAVIT OF KIMBERLY A KONAT	
5 <del>5</del>	10/05/1994	RSP	RESPONSE OF PLTP RE MTN TO DECEMBE	
بد	10/05/1994	RSP	RESPONSE OF PLTF RE MTN FOR PROTECT	
56	10/06/1994	777	TIME!	
57	10/07/1994	BR	BRIEF /COMM CHAPELS REPLY	
58	10/07/1994	DCTK	DECLARATION OF ROBERT J DOUBLE	
58A	10/07/1994	API AD		•
58B	10/07/1994	ΔF	AFFIDAVIT OF ROSEMARY TVEKETNOWN	
59	10/10/1994	BD B	THE TENT OF KAREN HEREDON	
59A	10/11/1994	MTHRG	BRIEF /COMM CHAPELS REPLY	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		MOTION HEARING ANITA OBRIEN	
		JDG41	JUDGE MADOUA T PRO-	
60	10/13/1994	DCLR	JUDGE MARSHA J. PECHMAN, DEPT 41	
61	10/13/1994	BR	DECLARATION OF ROBERT J ROHAN BRIEF IN OPPO TO MINI J ROHAN	
62 63	10/13/1994	AFSR	BRIEF IN OPPO TO MTN TO AMEND CMPT AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE RESPONSE / DIFFE	
63 64	10/13/1994		TOTAL CROSS / BLITTS	
~ 4	10/14/1994	OR .	ORDER DISMISSING CERTAIN CLAIMS	
	0		CHATMS	

		CODE/	APPEARANCE DOCKET	·
SUB#	DATE	CONN	DESCRIPTION/NAME	SECONDARY
65	10/14/1994	4 ORGPS	ORDER GRANT PARTIAL SUMMARY JDG	
65A	10/14/1994	4 SMJHRO	SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING	
			CR EDWARD HOWARD	
		JDG41		
66	10/17/1994	1 RPY	REPLY OF PLTF	
67 62	10/19/1994	ORGLA		
68	10/19/1994	1 MTHRG	MOTION HEARING CR NOT REPORTED	
		JDG41	O. Incinent, DEFI 41	
69	10/21/1994	MTHRG	MOTION HEARING	
			CR EDWARD HOWARD	
70	10/07/200	JDG41	D. L.	
/0	10/21/1994	ORDYMT	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR PROTECTION	
71	10/25/1004	*****	& RE DISCOVERY	
72	10/26/1994 11/02/1994	AMCPT	AMENDED COMPLAINT	
14	11/02/1354	CRDYMI		
73	11/04/1994	ממסמ	& RE DISCOVERY	
74	11/04/1994	MTHDG	PROTECTIVE ORDER MOTION HEARING	
	, 0 -, 1331	11111163	CR NOT REPORTED	
		JDG41	JUDGE MARSHA J. PECHMAN, DEPT 41	
75	11/10/1994		CORRESPONDENCE RE PROTECTIVE ORDER	•
76	11/21/1994		PECHMAN/MTN FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER	10 01 04
77	11/21/1994	NTMTDK	NOTE FOR MOTION DOCKET	12-01-94
78	11/21/1994		DECLARATION OF JEFF MCGREGOR	•
79	11/21/1994	DCLR	DECLARATION OF ROBERT J ROHAN	•
80	11/23/1994	NTIWD	NOTICE OF INTENT TO WITHDRAW	
0.1		WTP04	REED, RICHARD D	
81	11/28/1994	RSP	RESPONSE /PLTFS	
82	17/00/2004		SEALED PER SUB 131	
83	11/28/1994 11/29/1994		AFFIDAVIT KIMBERLY A KONAT	•
83A	11/30/1994		AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE	
83B	11/30/1994	DCTK	DECLARATION ROBERT J ROHAN/SUPPL	
84	12/01/1994		BRIEF / COMM CHAPEL REPLY RESPONSE /PLTFS SUPPL	
85	12/02/1994	MTHRG	MOTION HEARING	
	, , ,		CR J MAJURY	
		JDG41	JUDGE MARSHA J. PECHMAN, DEPT 41	
86	12/02/1994	APPS	APPEARANCE PRO SE /K HAVILAND	
87	12/05/1994	AFSR	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE	
88	12/05/1994	AFML	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	
89	12/05/1994	\$ATL	ATTY LIEN BY RICHARD D REED & THE	•
			OFFICE OF JUDITH A LONNOUIST, P.S.	
			FOR \$63,873.63 FOR SERVICES RENDER	•
			TO KATHRYNE WILLIAM, DANIELLE.	•
			ELISSA & TESSA HAVILAND IN THE	•
90	12/08/1994	Z. EPMT	ABOVE ENTITLED CAUSE OF ACTION	
91	12/09/1994	AFSD	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	
92	12/12/1994	OR	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE ORDER RE CLERGY/COMMUNICANT PRVLGE	•
93	12/12/1994		MOTION HEARING	
	· · · - ·		CR EDWARD HOWARD	
	ı	JDG41	JUDGE MARSHA J. PECHMAN, DEPT 41	

			The second secon	
		CODE/	APPEARANCE DOCKET	
SUB#	DATE	CONN		
		COIVI	DESCRIPTION/NAME	SECONDARY
94	12/16/1994	AF	APPIDATITE OF WINDS	
95	12/16/1994	MT	AFFIDAVIT OF KIMBERLY A KONAT	
96	12/16/1994	AF	MTN TO RECONSDR ORDR /PLTF HAVILAND	
97	12/20/1994	SBDT	AFFIDAVIT OF KIMBERLY A KONAT SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM	
98	12/20/1994	NTOEX	NOTICE OF ORAL EXAM	•
99	12/20/1994	AFML	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	
100	12/20/1994	NT	NOTICE OF VACATION	
101	12/21/1994	NT	NOTICE OF VACATION	
102	12/22/1994	MTRC	NOA / DECLIMAN / TRACES	
103	12/22/1994	NTMTDK		01-05-95
103A	12/22/1994	NTMTDK	NOTE FOR MOTION DOCKET	
10.		ACTION	MOTION TO AMENED COMPLIANT	01-05-95
104	12/22/1994	AF	AFFIDAVIT OF LESLIE SHELBY	
105	12/22/1994	AF	AFFIDAVIT OF KIMBERLY KONAT	
106	12/22/1994	MT	MOTION TO AMEND PLA COMPLAINT	
106A	12/22/1994	AF	AFFIDAVIT OF KIMBERLY A. KONAT	
107	12/27/1994	AFSR	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE	
108	12/27/1994	AFSR	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE	
108A	12/29/1994	MT	MOTION FOR DISCOVERY	
109	12/30/1994	BR	BRIEF /COMMUNITY CHAPEL	
110	12/30/1994	DCLR	DECLARATION OF R J ROHAN	
111	01/03/1995 (	ORDYMT	ORDER DENYING MOTION/PETITION	
112	01/03/1995 1	MTHRG	MOTION HEARING	
			CR EDWARD HOWARD	•
113	107 (04 (222	JDG41	JUDGE MARSHA J. PECHMAN DEPT 41	
114	01/04/1995 7	AFML	AFFIDAVIT OF MATITNG	1
115	01/04/1995 7	1FML	AFFIDAVIT OF MATILIMO	
116	01/05/1995 A	AFSR	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF CRRITTON	
117	01/06/1995 D	DIS	DISCHOSURE PRIM WITNESS /COMM CITY DES	,
118	01/06/1995 D	OIS	DIDCHOSORE PRIM WITHESS /MANINE	
119	01/09/1995 A 01/09/1995 A	FML.	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILTIG	
120	01/10/1995 A	FML	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	
-50	01/10/1995 N		NOTE FOR MOTION DOCKET - DENOME	1-23-95
121	01/10/1995 B	CTION	TUCHHAN/MIN TO UNSEAT. PITTE /DIA	1-43-95
122	01/10/1995 B	r.	DRIEF / RUBERT MANNS	
123	01/10/1995 A		BRIEF /COMM CHAPEL	
124	01/10/1995 AI		AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	
125	01/10/1995 A		AFFIDAVIT OF LESLIE R SHELBY	
126	01/10/1995 AI		THE TORVIL OF MAILING	
127	01/09/1995 \$3		AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	
	γ - γ - σ - σ - σ - σ - σ - σ - σ - σ -		JURY DEMAND RECEIVED - TWELVE	100.00
128	01/11/1995 AF	rsp	STRONG & KYDD	
129	01/11/1995 AF	MT.	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE	
129A	01/11/1995 CR		MAILING WAILING	
130	01/12/1995 AF	'SR z	CORRESPONDENCE FROM KAREN HEBERT	
131	01/13/1995 OR	SRF (	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE	
132	01/13/1995 MT		ORD SEALING RECORD/FILE/SUB 81 ONLY MOTION HEARING	•
			IR NOT REPORTED	
	JD	ت، G41	TUDGE MARCHA IT DROWNING	
133	01/17/1995 AFI	ML A	TUDGE MARSHA J. PECHMAN, DEPT 41 LFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	
134	01/17/1995 AFN	MI. A	FFIDAVIT OF MAILING	
135	01/19/1995 NTC	DEX N	OTICE OF ORAL EXAM	
			current	

			APPEARANCE DOCKET	
CITTO 4	Th. 70 Person	CODE/		
SUB#	DATE	CONN	DESCRIPTION/NAME	SECONDARY
136	01/10/100=			
137	01/19/1995	ALML		
138	01/19/1995	SBDT	SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM	
139	01/19/1995	MTSMJG		
133	01/19/1995		NOTE FOR MOTION DOCKET	01-27-95
140	0. /0. /	ACTION		33
141	01/20/1995		MOTION FOR ORD UNSEALING DISSL.	
	01/20/1995	AFML	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	
142	01/20/1995	AF	AFFIDAVIT OF KIMBERLY KONAT	
143	01/20/1995	AFML	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	
144	01/24/1995	AF	AFFIDAVIT OF ROBERT E MANNS	
145	01/24/1995	AFSR	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE	
146	01/24/1995	AFSR	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE	
147	01/24/1995	AFML	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	
147A	01/24/1995	AFSR	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE	
147B	01/24/1995	AFSR	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE	
147C	01/24/1995	AFSR	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE	
148	01/25/1995	RSP	RESPONSE /PLTFS	
149	01/25/1995	AFSR	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE	•
150	01/25/1995	AFSR	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE	
151	01/25/1995	AFML.	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	
152	01/27/1995	AFSR	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE	
153	01/27/1995	MTHRG	MOTION HEARING	
			CR NOT REPORTED	
		JDG41	JUDGE MARSHA I DECEMBED DECEMBED	
154	01/27/1995 (	ORDYMT	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO UNSEAL FILE	•
155	01/27/1995 (	ORCCR	ORD CONFIRMING COMMISSIONER'S RULING	•
156	01/27/1995 (	ORGLA	ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO AMEND CMDIT	
157	02/02/1995 7	afsr	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE	•
158	02/02/1995 7	AFSR	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE	
159	02/02/1995 7	AFML.	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	
160	02/02/1995 7	MCPT	AMENDED COMPLAINT	•
161	02/02/1995 R	SEC	RECUSAL OF JUDGE WARTNIK	
162	02/03/1995 A	7.V.	ANSWER TO AMEND CMPLINT/COMM CHAPEL	
163	02/07/1995 M	ITRC	MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION /PLA	-
164	02/07/1995 D	IS	DISCLOSURE RBTL WITNESS/COMM CHAPEL	
165	02/15/1995 A	N	ANSWER /ROBERT MANNS	
166	02/16/1995 0	R	ORDER TO TURN OVER RECORDS OR S/C	00 04 05
			8:30/HAYDEN	02-24-95
167	02/16/1995 0	RACS	ORDER AMENDING CASE SCHEDULE	•
167A	02/16/1995 M	THRG	MOTION HEARING	
			CR NOT REPORTED	•
	J.	DG16	JUDGE MICHAEL HAYDEN, DEPT 16	
168	02/17/1995 R	SP	RESPONSE	
169	02/21/1995 D	IS	DISCLOSURE RBTL WTNS/DEFS SUPPL	
170	02/21/1995 OI	ĸ	ORDER ON MTN FOR RECONSIDERATION	
171	02/24/1995 N	IMTDK		02.06.05
	Ac	CTION	HAYDEN/MIN TO BAR EVIDENCE/LIMIT	03-06-95
		- LTON	WITNESSES	
172	02/24/1995 MT	r ·	DEF MTN TO BAR EVIDENCE	
173	02/24/1995 MT	ľ	DEF MTN TO STRIKE	
174	02/24/1995 DO	CLR :	DECLARATION JEFFREY MCGREGOR	
175	02/24/1995 DC	ЩR ]	DECLARATION ROBERT J ROHAN	,
176	02/24/1995 DC	LR ]	DECLARATION ROBERT J ROHAN	

		CODE /	APPEARANCE DOCKET	
SUB#		CODE/		
DOD#	DATE	CONN	DESCRIPTION/NAME	SECONDARY
177	00/07/100#		,	
177A	,,	OR	ORDER ON PRE TRIAL CONFERENCE	•
J. 1 1 F.	02/27/1995	TCNTU	TRIAL CONTINUED: UNSPECIFIED	
			CR JODI VANN	
170	00/04/05	JDG16		
178	03/01/1995 1	MTDSM	MOTION TO DISMISS /PLA/PECHMAN	
179	03/01/1995 N	MTC	MOTION TO CONTINUE /PLTFS	
180	03/01/1995 (	ORCNT	ORD CONT MTN TO BAR EVIDENCE	03-20-95
180A	03/01/1995 N	MTHRG	MOTION HEARING	03-20-95
			CR NOT REPORTED	
	, i	TDG16		
181	03/03/1995 I	DIS	DISCLOSURE POSS PRIM WINS/PLA 3RD	
			REVISED	
182	03/03/1995 R	RSP	RESPONSE /PITTE	
183	03/03/1995 C	DRDSM	ORDER OF DISMISSAL	
183A	03/03/1995 M	THRG	MOTION HEARING	
			CR NOT REPORTED	
	J	DG16	JUDGE MICHAEL HAYDEN, DEPT 16	
183B	03/03/1995 0	R	ORDER COMPELLING RECORDS	•
184	03/07/1995 D	TS	DISCLOSIDE OF DEE SOLUTION	
			DISCLOSURE OF DEF COMMUNITY CHAPEL POSSIBLE WITNESSES	
185	03/07/1995 A	. TMT	APPIDATEM OF ACTIONS	
186	03/08/1995 A	PMT.	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	
187	03/08/1995 D	CT.D	Or PETUTIO	
188	03/08/1995 CI	ייירי סיזירי	DECLARATION OF ROBERT J ROHAN	
189	03/08/1995 M	Trong	CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	
190	03/08/1995 N	DMDDD2 TOM	HAYDEN/ COMPEL DISCOVERY/DEF	03-16-95
191	03/09/1995 AI	ENGA Trittow		
192	03/09/1995 DO	מיזה ביזוח	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	
193	03/09/1995 M	DOMESTICE TOTAL	DECLARATION ROBERT J ROHAN	•
194	03/09/1995 DO	ייים ה המנונים	MTN SUM JDGMT/COMMUNITY CHAPELS	
195	03/09/1995 MT		DECLARATION OF ROBERT ROHAN	
196	03/09/1995 NT	L Filatora estados	HAYDEN/ STRIKE PLA'S WITNESS/DEF	04-06-95
197	03/09/1995 NT	MALTOK	NOTE FOR MOTION DOCKET	
			NOTE FOR MOTION DOCKET	03-17-95
		TION	HAYDEN/STRIKE CERTN OF PLA WITNESS	
198	03/15/1995 DC	TION	/ DEF	
199	03/15/1995 DC	TTK	DECLARATION OF ROBERT MANNS	-
200	03/15/1995 RS 03/15/1995 AF		RESPONSE /PLTFS	
201			AFFIDAVIT KIMBERLY A KONAT	
202	03/15/1995 AF 03/15/1995 RS		AFFIDAVIT KIMBERLY A KONAT	•
203	03/15/1995 RS 03/15/1995 AF	P	RESPONSE /PLTFS	
204	03/13/1395 AF	ML	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	
205	03/16/1995 AF	ML	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	
206	03/16/1995 BR		BRIEF /COMM CHAPELS REPLY	
207	03/16/1995 DC	LR	DECLARATION ROBERT J ROHAN/2ND DCLR	
208	03/16/1995 RP		KEPLY / COMM CHAPELS	
208	03/21/1995 AFS		AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE	
	03/24/1995 CRI	KSP	CORRESPONDENCE FROM MARY JO TATE	
210	03/27/1995 AF		AFFIDAVIT OF KIMBERYL A KONAT	
211	02 /25 /25		SEALED PER SUB 271	
	03/27/1995 RSI	Þ	RESPONSE /PLTFS	
212	03/27/1995 RSI	?	RESPONSE /PLTFS	•
213	03/27/1995 ST		STATEMENT OF FACTS/PLAINTIFF	
214	03/27/1995 AFS	SR .	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE	

		CODE/	APPEARANCE DOCKET	
SUB#	DATE	CONN	DESCRIPTION/NAME	SECONDARY
214A	**/**/	AF	AFFIDAVIT OF SANDY ANDERSON	•
215	03/30/1995	DCLR	DECLARATION OF ROBERT J ROHAN	
216	03/30/19 <b>9</b> 5	MT	HAYDEN/EXTEND DEDOCTOR (DOC	04 05 05
217	03/30/1995	NTMTDK	NOTE FOR MOTION DOCKET	04-07-95
218	04/03/1995	DCLR	DECLARATION OF DAVID FOSTER KOTH	
219	04/03/1995	MM	MEMORANDUM /COMM CHAPEL REPLY	
220	04/03/1995	BR	BRIEF /COMM CHAPELS REPLY	
221	04/03/1995	DCLR	DECLARATION OF ROBERT MANNS	
222	04/03/1995	DCLR	DECLARATION OF DIANNA SCHULLER	
223	04/03/1995	DCLR	DECLARATION OF DEAN GREFTHEN	
224	04/03/1995	DCLR	DECLARATION OF JEANNE BLALOCK	•
225	-,,,	RSP	RESPONSE /PLTFS	
226	04/06/1995	AF	AFFIDAVIT KIMBERLY A KONAT	
227	04/06/1995	RSP	RESPONSE /DIFFE CITATI	
227A	04/07/1995	SMJHRG	SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING	
			CR NOT REPORTED{	
		JDG16	JUDGE MICHAEL HAYDEN, DEPT 16	
228	04/11/1995 (	CRRSP	CORRESPONDENCE FROM MARY JO TATE	•
229	04/12/1995 N	MTHRG	MOTION HEARING	
			CR NOT REPORTED	•
		JDG16	JUDGE MICHAEL HAYDEN, DEPT 16	
230	04/12/1995 C	OR	ORDER RE MOTION TO STRIKE CERTAIN	
			WITNESSES	
231	04/13/1995 0	RRSP	CORRESPONDENCE RE SIGNATURE SHEET	
232	04/13/1995 M	ITCM	DAYDEN/WIN TO COMPET DOORSE A	04.05.55
233	04/13/1995 N	TMTDK	NOTE FOR MOTION DOCKET	04-21-95
234	04/13/1995 D	CLR	DECLARATION OF ROBERT IT POHAM	
235	04/14/1995 M	ITRC	MOTION FOR PECONSTRUCT	04-24-95
236	04/14/1995 N	TMTDK	NOTE FOR MOTION DOCKET	04-24-95
236A 237	04/17/1995 D	CLR	DECLARATION OF ROBERT I ROHAM	
238	04/18/1995 A	FSR	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE	
239	04/17/1995 M	T	MOTION TO BAR EXPERT/DEF	•
	04/17/1995 M	T	HAYDEN/MTN TO BIFURCATE/DEF	04-25-95
240 241	04/17/1995 N	TMTDK	NOTE FOR MOTION DOCKET	04-25-95
441	04/17/1995 N		NOTE FOR MOTION DOCKET	04-25-95
241A	A(	CTION	HAYDEN/MIN TO BAR EXPERT/DER	04-25-95
241A 242	04/18/1995 OF		ORDER DENYING MOTION RE DISCOVERY	•
242	04/19/1995 M	1	MOTION FOR ORD SHRTN TIME	
443	04/19/1995 OF	KOGT.	ORDER SHORTENING TIME	04-21-95
244	AC	CTION	HAYDEN/ORD SHRTN TIME RE DEPOSTING	04-21-95
424	04/18/1995 MT	THEG	MOTION HEARING	
	•		CR NOT REPORTED	
245	UL 04/10/1005 3-	XG14	JUDGE BRIAN D. GAIN, DEPT 14	
245	04/19/1995 AF		AFFIDAVIT OF KIMBERLY A KONAT	
247	04/19/1995 AF		AFFIDAVIT OF LESLIE R SHELBY	
248	04/19/1995 AF		AFFIDAVIT OF LESLIE R SHRERV	
220	04/19/1995 DC	ز کلاف	DECLARATION OF KIMBERLY A KONAT THE	
249	04/10/1005		SPET MIN TO TAKE PERPRETUATION DEPO	
	04/19/1995 DC	TT/C 1	DECLARATION OF KIMBERLY A KONDULTM	
250	04/19/2005		SELL OF WIN TO EXCLUDE WED EXIDENCE	
251	04/19/1995 RS: 04/19/1995 MT	- 1	KESPONSE OF PLTF	
252	04/19/1995 MT		MOTION PERPETUATE DEPOS BY PHONE	04-21-95
~ ~ 4	04/19/1995 NT	MDPE J	NOTE FOR MOTION DOCKET-LATE FILING	

		APPEARANCE DOCKET	
SUB#	CODE/		
#404	DATE CONN	DESCRIPTION/NAME	SECONDARY
253	04/19/1995 MTL	HAYDEN/MTN IN LIMINE	05 00 05
254	04/19/1995 NTMTD	K NOTE FOR MOTION DOCKET	05-08-95
254A	04/19/1995 MTHRG	MOTION HEARING	
		CR NOT REPORTED{	
	JDG16		
255	04/20/1995 DCLR	JUDGE MICHAEL HAYDEN, DEPT 16 DECLARATION ROBERT J ROHAN	
256	04/20/1995 BR	BRIEF /COMMUNITY CHAPELS	
257	04/21/1995 ORAU	ORDER AUTH TELEPHONE DEPOSITION	
258	04/21/1995 DCLR	DECLARATION OF KIMBERLY KONAT	•
259	04/21/1995 RSP	RESPONSE/HAVILAND	
259A	04/21/1995 CRRSP	CORRESPONDENCE /MARY JO TATE	
259B	04/21/1995 STAHRO	STATUS CONFERENCE / HEARING	
		CR NOT REPORTED	
	JDG16		
259C	04/21/1995 NT	JUDGE MICHAEL HAYDEN, DEPT 16 NOTICE OF PROPOSED VOIR DIRE/PLA	
259D	04/21/1995 JYN	JURY NOTE/PLA	
260	04/24/1995 DCLR	DECLARATION OF ROBERT ROHAN	
<b>2</b> 61	04/24/1995 RPY	REPLY BRIEF OF COMMUNITY CHAPEL	
262	04/24/1995 AFSR	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE	
263	04/25/1995 RSP	RESPONSE /PLTFS	
264	04/25/1995 AF	AFFIDAVIT OF KIMBERLY A KONAT	
265	04/26/1995 MTL	MOTION IN LIMINE/DEF COMM CHAPEL	
266	04/28/1995 MTHRG	MOTION HEARING	
		CR JANE LAMERLE	
	EXP01	EX-PARTE, DEPT	
267	04/28/1995 NT	NOTICE OF AUTHORIZATION	
268	05/01/1995 AFSR	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE	
269	05/01/1995 NTFD	NOTICE OF FILING/SERVICE DEPOSITION	
270	05/12/1995 AF	AFFIDAVIT KIMBERLY A KONAT	•
271	05/12/1995 ORSRF	ORD SEALING RECORD/FILE RE LISTED	
		DOCUMENTS ONLY	
272	05/19/1995 NT	NOTICE ABSENCE FROM OFFICE	•
273	06/02/1995 PT	PETITION FOR APPRVAL OF SETTLMNT OF	
	•	MINOR'S CLAIM/PLA	•
		SEALED DER SIER 271 DROWDOWTER CO.	•
274	06/02/1995 ORDSMS	ORDER OF DISMISSAL - STIPULATED	
275	06/02/1995 MTHRG	MOTION HEARING	
		CR NOT REPORTED	
	JDG16	JUDGE MICHAEL HAVDEN DEDM 16	
276	06/02/1995 ORAPST	ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT	
		SEALED PER PROTECTIVE ORDER	
277	06/02/1995 OR	ORD/ CLERK TO ACCEPT/DEPOSIT DRAFTS	
278	07/28/1995 CRRSP	CORRESPONDENCE	
279	09/06/1995 PT	PET FR APPROVAL OF TRUST AGREEMENT	
		ORDER TO RELEASE FUNDS TO TRUSTEE	
000		*SEALED SUB PER SUB 271**	
280	09/06/1995 AG	AGREEMENT /TRUST	
0.04		**SEALED SUB PER SUB 271**	
281	09/06/1995 ORST	ORDER SETTING BOND/BLOCK ASSETS/	
		PROVIDE FR ANNUAL RPT/STIP	
202	00/10/100	**SEALED SUB PER SUB 271***	
282	09/15/1995 PT	PET RE BOND	
	•	SEALED PER SUB 271	

APPEARANCE DOCKET				
		CODE/		
SUB#	DATE	CONN	DESCRIPTION/NAME	SECONDARY
283	09/15/1995	AGOR	AGREED ORDER REDUCING BOND	
			SEALED PER SUB 271	
284				
285	03/14/1997	ANR		
			SEALED SUB PER SUB 271	
286	, ,			03-31-1997MX
			NOTE FOR MOTION DOCKET	
288	03/14/1997	RPT	REPORT	•
			SEALED SUB PER SUB 271	
289	03/14/1997	AFML	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	
290			DECLARATION ROGER S KOHN	
291	03/31/1997		ORDER APPROVING REPORT OF TRUSTEE	
•			EX-PARTE, DEPT	
292	03/31/1997		MOTION HEARING	
			EX-PARTE, DEPT	
293	11/18/1997		ORDER CHANGING CASE ASSIGNMENT AREA	
•			TRANSFER-FACILITATE ACCESS AT KENT	
			EX-PARTE, DEPT	
294	11/21/1997		PETITION FR APROVAL OF TRUSTEES	
			ANNUAL REPORT	
295	11/21/1997		NOTE FOR MOTION DOCKET	12-05-1997KP
		ACTION	11AM/MTN FR APPRV OF TRUST ANN RPT	
296	11/21/1997		ANNUAL REPORT OF TRUSTEE	
005			/SEALED PER SUBS 20/73	
297	11/21/1997	ORCCA	ORD CHANGING CASE ASSIGMT AREA/COPY	
298	11/21/1997		AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	
299	12/05/1997		LETTERS OF RESIGNATION FROM MEMBERS	
200	20/05/2005		OF TRUST ADCISORY COMMITEE	
300	12/05/1997	OR	ORDER APPROVE ANNUAL REPORT TRUSTEE	
301	20/05/2005	EXPU4	EX-PARTE, DEPT - KENT	
301	12/05/1997	DCLR	DECLARATION OF ROGER S KOHN	
304	12/05/199/	MIRKG	MOTION HEARING	
		EAPU4	EX-PARTE, DEPT - KENT	

#### Michael J. Tyksinski 1210 Southwest 160th Street Seattle, WA 98166 Phone: (206) 246-1918

August 15, 1988

COPY.

Barbara Mertens, Director of Private Education Superintendent of Public Instruction Old Capitol Building FG-11 Olympia, WA 98504

RE: Community Chapel Christian School, Seattle

Dear Ms. Mertens,

W. 1585

I have recently been informed that my children have been enrolled in Community Chapel Christian school, by my ex-wife and against my wishes.

I am very concerned about this, as I have been very aware of this school, and believe there may be great potential for harm to my children as a result of their attendance there.

I would like to list in detail some of these concerns.

- The president of the school, Donald Lee Barnett, is a convicted exhibitionist (see attachments), who is currently defendant in several lawsuits which accuse him of frequent similar behavior with numbers of his followers; that is, he shows no sign of reform.
- Mr. Barnett, according to the current bylaws of his corporation, has unhindered absolute control of the school, the school organization, school policy, school staff, and all areas of instruction and education.
- 3. Washington State has had considerable problem with failure on the part of Community Chapel staff to report, and not shield, incidences of child physical and sexual abuse, by members of the attached church, and in one case a school teacher. This has resulted in meetings between school staff and the state Attorney General yet after these meetings several incidences of shielding of abuse let to the filing of charges of non-reporting by the King County Prosecutor. All charges ended in convictions, yet after the last conviction, David Motherwell, head counselor and convicted defendant, stated before their church body during a regular church service that he had no intention to change the behavior which led to his conviction; this statement was taped by the church and we have a copy of that tape.

DRAFT page /

- 4. Mr. Barnett is the teacher of the "connection" doctrine, which espouses intimate physical and emotional relationships between individuals in the church regardless of marital status, sex, or age. This has led to literally hundreds of divorces and separations among his followers, many of whom have identified this doctrine in affidavits filed in Family Court actions as the primary cause of their marital crises. Concerning children, he has encouraged and allowed these relations between adults and children, children and children, Sunday School staff and children, and Christian school staff This has resulted in approximately convictions of church members of child sexual abuse, indecent liberties, and sexual communication with a minor in the last two years. King County police have been free to state "off the record" that the "connection" doctrine was central in these some of these cases. One of these cases was the aformentioned Christian school teacher who was convicted and imprisoned after seducing one of his pupils; the offender has served his sentence and is again a member of the church. Also, because of this doctrine my own children have been pursued by adult members of the church, in the case of my son by his Sunday school teacher; only after the most vigorous protests and threats did the church accede to my desire to end these relationships. That this doctrine has pervaded the Christian school has been reported in the press (attached) by the ex-elementary school principal, Betty Leonard, who stated that Mr. Barnett insisted upon implementing his doctrine in the school, during the school chapels and in the classrooms, over her strong objections concerning the potential harm; this objection led to her resignation.
- 5. My wife has spoken to several local public school teachers and counselors, who have been unanimous in stating that the problems with integrating Community Chapel ex-pupils into the public schools include: 1. extreme mental distress requiring extensive counseling; 2. strong suspicion of the public school; 3. aberrant behavior, especially playground displays of sexual precocity in elementary school children, IE., seven year olds attempting to French kiss their classmates, sexual fondling, etc., and; 4. a lack of fundamental educational accomplishments sufficient to require extra tutoring or placement in classes with younger children.
- 6. In the period since Community Chapel received their current Washington State Private School Accreditation these significant events have occurred which I believe will make it very difficult for the school to comply with the state standards for private schools:

the church has split into two competing groups as a result of the church government attempt to remove Mr. Barnett for sexual misconduct, the majority of the church and school staff have indicated they will not work for Mr. Barnett, greatly reducing the available

school staff.

Approximately 75% of the church membership has left the church, reducing potential church and school income by the same amount.

"OPEN AND GROSS

(EUDNESS"

A prior conviction of Mr. Barnett for "lewd and immoral behavior", specifically masturbating in front of a mirror in a public hallway before four hotel maids in Las Vegas, Nevada.

Numerous lawsuits filed against Mr. Barnett, as well as other church government and staff members, and the church corporation, have resulted, we have been told and are now attempting to verify, in a complete inability on the part of Community Chapel to secure any insurance coverage for liability or even fire.

My additional concerns with this school include:

Their policy on corporal punishment includes spankings administered with a stick.

They appear to have no available certified teachers.

They have no uniform standards or competency tests for non-accredited instructors.

They appear to have no available on grounds school nurse.

The church teachings in the past have discouraged immunizations,

They offer no drug or sex education program.

Their academic standards are extremely lax; their students consistently are unable to perform at the minimum level required for their class level, when entering the public school system. Several ex-teachers have reported that the school prepares the students for the various achievement tests by extensive drilling from the earlier issues of those tests just prior to testing dates.

The students are trained in the "connection" teaching in school, and are encouraged in such behavior with each other and with school staff.

School chapels and prayer meetings are frequently comprised of "demon-deliverance" sessions, wherein students as young as four and five are taught that normal emotions, childish behaviors, concerns or doubts about their instruction, church, or school, and church-proscribed wishes and desires for, for example, moderate modern haircuts and clothing, are the result of "demons living in them and infesting their bodies", from which they need to be "delivered". Both students and teachers then will gather

around the subject student to pray loudly, "rebuking the demon" and "casting it out". This will occur with devastating results over an incident as innocent as a child's normal fears concerning the separation or breakup of his or her parents, something which has occurred in the overwhelming majority of the families of students at this school.

This is essentially the bulk of my concerns regarding Community Chapel Christian School. In all but a few minor areas of concern, I have extensive substantiation of my claims, often from Community Chapel produced documents or audio tapes, in other cases from court records. In several cases I am able to solicit affidavits attesting to my allegations, from ex-pupils of this school and their parents, and most notably in cases which have concerned the local public school teachers and counselors, some of whom have offered such documentation. I have made no charges which are the result of unsubstantiated rumors.

I remain appalled that this school is able to continue to operate in Washington state, in my neighborhood. I recognize that our state has allowed private schools to function with considerable leeway, and that is a policy which I believe has merit. But we seem to be dealing here with an organization which has continually exhibited the utmost contempt for the welfare of the children entrusted to its care, and whose principals and founder have been found to respond to the concerns of the community with secrecy and chronic deceit.

I do not know what can be done about an organization of this caliber by the offices of the Superintendent of Public Instruction. But this school currently operates with certification from Washington state. Therefore it is your office that I address these concerns. I wish this letter of complaint to be filed in your office. I hope to hear from your office. I will no doubt be writing again.

Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

Michael J. Tyksinski

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON, KING COUNTY 5 BRIANA HAVILAND, a minor, by No. 93-2-25996-4 CATHERINE CROWLEY, Ph.D., 6 her Guardian Ad Litem, 7 Plaintiffs, AFFIDAVIT OF KAREN HEBERT 8 Vs. 9 COMMUNITY CHAPEL AND BIBLE TRAINING CENTER; ROBERT MANN 10 and ALETA MANN, husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof, 11 12 Defendants. 13 and KATHRYN HAVILAND and WILLIAM 14 HAVILAND, husband and wife, and their marital community; 15 DANIELLE HAVILAND, a minor; and ELISSA HAVILAND, a minor; 16 and TESSA HAVILAND, a minor; 17 Plaintiffs, 18 vs. 19 COMMUNITY CHAPEL AND BIBLE TRAINING CENTER; ROBERT MANN 20 and SHARON ALETA MANN, 21 Defendants. 22 UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY, under the laws of the State of 23 Washington, I, Karen Hebert, swear that the following is true and 24 correct: 25 Affidavit of Karen Hebert - 1 EXHIBIT B STRONG & KYDD 616 BANK OF CALIFORNIA BUILDING 900 - 4TH AVENUE

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98164 (206) 623-5221

1. I am a former member of Community Chapel and Bible
Training Center. I attended Community Chapel from January 1969,
at age nineteen, for one and one-half years. I left the Chapel
for a period of three years, I then returned to the Chapel until
I left in 1993.

- 2. At the time I attended Community Chapel, my former husband was a Minister of music, he also occasionally preached sermons.
- 3. During the time my former husband, my children and I attended Community Chapel, two of my children were sexually molested by Mark Martin. Mark Martin was a member of Community Chapel and also a Minister of music.
- 4. Mark Martin plead guilty to the rape of my children. He was sentenced to only nine months in jail and was out of jail in four months.
- 5. Before I learned the full extent of Mark Martin's molestation of my children and before he was criminally charged, Mr. Martin became more involved with youth at the church. As I was, at this point, concerned about my own children's contact with Mark Martin, I called the youth leader, Tom Colburn, and warned him about Mark Martin. To my knowledge, nothing was ever said to the parents of the youth in response to my warning.
- 6. I informed Jeff McGregor and David Motherwell (church elders) about Mark Martin's sexual abuse of my children. I asked Jeff McGregor to make a statement to the church congregation

warning them about Mark Martin. The church refused to warn the congregation about Mark Martin.

- 7. On two occasions a church member, Jim Wilson, told the congregation that the rapes of my children were my fault and the fault of my children, and that I was only seeking revenge against Mark Martin. Other members, including myself, asked David Motherwell and Jeff Wilson to tell the congregation the truth about Mark Martin and to renounce the statements of Jeff Wilson. The church leadership again refused to address the congregation regarding the truth about Mark Martin.
- 8. I was told about the molestation of a church member's child. The member, who was the child's mother, was aware that the molestation was continuing, and merely asked the perpetrator not to tell her child's father about the molestation. The perpetrator was a church elder, and for some time, was the pastor.
- 9. I remember that Gary and Lolla Rurrup very quietly left the church, and the congregation never knew why. I knew nothing of the allegations that Don Barnett had molested the Rurrup's daughter until twenty years after the Rurrups left the church.
- 10. The church informed the congregation that Rick Cole, a teacher in Community Chapel's Christian School, was going to take some time off from teaching to pursue other interests. The church did not say why Rick Cole was taking time off from teaching, nor did it indicate that he was being removed from his teaching position, only that he was going to take time off to do

some other things. Some time later, the congregation became aware of Rick Cole's molestation of Seth Dalby, a child who attended Community Chapel. Don Barnett addressed the church from the pulpit and said, "It takes two." Don Barnett said that Seth's molestation was Seth Dalby's and his parent's fault. To my knowledge, the church leadership was aware of Rick Cole's improper sexual conduct and allowed him to continue teaching. The congregation was informed by the Dalby's after they learned that their son had been molested by Cole a second time.

- 11. Children in public schools were instructed about the so-called "bathing suit area" and improper touching, however, children who attended Community Chapel's Christian School were never informed or instructed about improper touching.
- 12. The church never told parishioners that the laws required us to report allegations of abuse. In fact, the church constantly told us horror stories about CPS. We were taught to fear CPS and never to go to outside agencies like CPS.
- 13. I recall an occasion when Tammy Fenn, the child of Mark and Terri Fenn, who was two or three years old at the time, was brought to me by a secretary of Barbara Barnett, the pastor's wife. The child had been obviously physically abused. I was asked to keep the child over the weekend, and instructed not to tell anyone about the physical abuse.
- 14. Community Chapel exerted very stringent control over its members and forbid us to go to outsiders for assistance of any type.

15. We were trained not to listen to dissenters -- to cut them off. We were told that we didn't need to read newspaper articles.

16. Several members who had been disfellowshipped commenced letter writing campaigns to the members of Community Chapel, trying to convince them to leave the Church. Don Barnett, from the pulpit, told the congregation that we would be receiving mail from these disfellowshipped groups and he instructed us not to open any mail from these persons, saying that it contained only false accusations. Don Barnett convinced the congregation that the Markham family and many others had committed mutiny by leaving the church. I later learned that the Markham family, who commenced a letter writing campaign, left the church because of the sexual advances of Don Barnett.

17. To the best of my recollection, the Church did not ever specifically forbid spiritual connections between adults and children. I was aware of spiritual connections between adults and children.

DATED this 4th day of October, 1994.

Karen Hebert

The Law Offices of Barbara J. Beatty 130 Andover Park East, Suite 102 Seattle, Washington 98188 (206) 242-1591 FAX 242-7091

AFFIDAVIT OF MARK WIDAMAN - 2

5. I went to the home of the mother in response to a confidential referral (Exhibit A) regarding allegations of sexual abuse and the mother's lack of protection for the children. I found no one at home.

- 6. On 2/22/92 I received a Family Reconciliation Services referral in which the mother stated to the intake worker that both Clare and Madeline were out of the mother's control, and that they had physically abused her (the mother). See Exhibit B attached.
- 7. On 2/26/92 (date on paper is incorrect), my supervisor, Ward Peterson, called the mother and she stated that she had been hit by one of the daughters, that she could not control Clare and Madeline, and that they are laughing at her because when she called the police, the police did nothing. The mother stated that she wanted the daughters placed.
- 8. On 3/24/92, I visited the home again and found that Clare and Madeline were staying with the father and his new wife in Seattle and had been there since 2/26/92.
- 9. At that time, I discussed with the mother the need for counselling for Rosemary and Justin with regard to the past sexual abuse by the long time associate of hers, Mark Martin.
- 10. The mother told me at that time that Mark Martin had admitted to sexually abusing Rosemary and Madeline. She said that she had confronted Mark Martin with regard to sexual abuse of Clare, but he denied it, and the mother tended to minimize the situation. She made the statement that Clare had gone to Mark Martin's home alone and so "she was asking for it."

8

6

9

12

13

11

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

24

23

25 26

27

28

- In a subsequent interview with Clare, she told me that in fact, Mark 11. Martin called her up and told her that he had a present for her and asked her to and pick it up. When she got there, he attacked her and attempted to come over rape her. See my 5/1/92 report, Exhibit C.
- On 3/24/92 the mother signed an agreement (Exhibit D) agreeing to 12. family counselling, especially for Justin and Rosemary. I also got her to agree to "no contact" between Mark Martin and the children.
- I met with Rosemary who told me that she had seen Mark 13. On 4/29/92, Martin last Saturday. She did not talk with him, but her mother did. This statement was right on the heels of assurances from the mother that she had not seen Mark Martin since January. See my entire report of 4/29/92, Exhibit E.
- In this interview, the mother acknowledged that she had previously 14. walked in on Mark Martin fondling Rosemary about three years before when the No report was ever made to CPS, and the mother seems unconcerned child was 7. about the incident. At the time, Mark Martin was living in the house and he was allowed to continue to live there,
- Although the mother signed the 3/24/92 agreement regarding no contact 15. with Mark Martin, on 4/29/92 she acknowledged contact on the previous Saturday, but only after Rosemary admitted to the contact.
- On 3/24/92, she signed the agreement to get the children into 16. counselling. As of the 4/29/92 conference, no counselling had been started.
- 17. On 5/1/92, I went to Seattle to visit the two older girls, Clare and Madeline, in the Father's home. i also met with his new wife, Donita. I am attaching my reports on these visits as Exhibit F.

- 18. They stated that the mother locks up the food and toilet paper, locks up the washer and dryer so they can't wash their clothes. Madeline stated that it is more peaceful at the father's house. She said that the mother was gone a lot and she had to watch the younger children and clean the house, and that she and Clare were deprived of food a lot.
  - 19. Both girls stated that they wanted to stay with their father.
- 20. Clare told me that she was going to school regularly, had a job, and felt like she was "going somewhere," and "getting on with my life." She stated that she was very depressed when living with her mother, even suicidal.
- 21. Clare said that the father and step mom are willing to talk with her and listen to her.
- 22. Clare stated that shortly before she left the mother's home to live with the dad, she confronted her mother and said that she could not believe that the mother had allowed the abuse to happen, that she did nothing to stop it, and asked her mother if she loved Mark Martin more than she loved three of her daughters.
- 23. The files on this case show a family that has had a tortured history. No one gets out of this looking really good.
- 24. My recommendation is that the older girls be allowed to continue living with the father in the Seattle home. It is obvious that the situation in the mother's home is not workable.
- 25. As for the younger children, I have serious concerns about the mother's inability to deal with reality, especially with regard to Mark Martin. Whenever his name came up, she remained passive and seemingly unconcerned about

the past sexual abuse and what I perceive as the ongoing problem with probable contact with Mark Martin and the mother's obvious state of denial. She seems to be seeking opportunities to have contact with him.

26. I am not yet prepared to make a recommendation that the three younger children be removed from the mother's care. However, I intend to closely monitor the goings on in the home and am particularly concerned that the mother seems to have no interest in putting the children in counselling and/or seeking appropriate services/assistance for the children. I am even more concerned that Mark Martin is a continuing presence and an ongoing threat to the well being of these children.

DATED this 14th day of May, 1992.

MARK WIDMAN

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me on this 14th day of May, 1992.

Notary Public for the State of Washington, residing at Seattle.

My commission expires 3-19-94

FILED

95 APR 19 AM 10: 53

KING COUNTY SUTYMEN DEDEK CLEAK SEATTLE, WA Honorable Michael Hayden Room W-382

2

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

11 12

. 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

BRIANA HAVILAND, a minor, by CATHERINE CROWLEY, Ph.D., her Guardian Ad Litem.

Plaintiffs,

vs.

COMMUNITY CHAPEL AND BIBLE )
TRAINING CENTER; ROBERT EUGENE)
MANNS a/k/a ROBERT GENE )
MANN, an individual,

Defendants.

No. 93-2-25996-4

AFFIDAVIT OF KIMBERLY A. KONAT

UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY, under the laws of the State of Washington, I, Kimberly A. Konat, swear that the following is true and correct:

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON, KING COUNTY

- 1. On March 1, 1995, I interviewed Diana Schuler, a former member of Community Chapel and Bible Training Center, by telephone. I believe that Diana Schuler will testify to the following:
- 2. Ms. Schuler stopped attending Community Chapel in late 1987.
- 3. While at Community Chapel, Ms. Schuler knew Robert
  Manns, his current wife Sharon Manns, and his former wife Aleta
  Mann. Robert's name at the time was "Mann."

AFFIDAVIT OF KONAT RE: DIANA SCHULER - 1 E:\KAK\HAVILAND\AFFIDAV\KONAT.SCH

ORIGINAL

STRONG & KYDD, P.S. 1616 BANK OF CALIFORNIA BUILDING 900 4TH AVENUE SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98164-1001 (206) 623-5211

4. Ms. Schuler and her children were physically abused by
her husband during the time the family attended Community Chapel.
Her husband was a bible college teacher at the church. Ms.
Schuler told pastor Don Barnett and two church leaders, Scott
Hartley and George Alberts, that her husband physically abused
her and their kids. The church spoke to Ms. Schuler's husband
about it, but refused to allow her to divorce him.

- 5. Even though the church knew of the constant and often severe abuse, they received counseling from the church for eight (8) years before the church allowed Ms. Schuler to divorce him. The church forbade the Schulers from involving any outside authorities in these matters.
- 6. The extent of Community Chapel's counsel to Ms. Schuler about the abuse was that she should submit to her husband.
- 7. Robert Manns was verbally abusive to Aleta Mann and their kids. He held tight control over the money, food, medical treatment, and everything else.
- 8. Aleta Mann told Ms. Schuler that Robert Manns hit her and hit her sons. Robert Manns spanked his sons so hard that he left bruises on them. Ms. Schuler saw pictures of bruises on the boys after Robert had beaten them. A report of the abuse was filed with the Des Moines Police Department in 1985 or 1986.
- 9. Aleta told Ms. Schuler that she was afraid to leave her sons alone with Robert, and later, when she fought to get custody, Aleta told Ms. Schuler that she was terrified Robert would get custody and would harm her sons.

AFFIDAVIT OF KONAT RE: DIANA SCHULER - 2 E:\KAK\HAVILAND\AFFIDAV\KONAT.SCH

STRONG & KYDD, P.S.

1616 BANK OF CALIFORNIA BUILDING

900 4TH AVENUE

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98164-1001

(206) 623-5221

FAX (206) 623-5214

10. Robert Manns denied medical attention to his family, saying they should trust in God for healing.

- 11. It was generally known at Community Chapel that Robert Manns was abusive to Aleta and their sons. The church counselors knew about the abuse because Robert and Aleta Manns were in counseling for quite some time.
- 12. Aleta told Ms. Schuler that the church counselors wrote off the abuse because Aleta was not "walking with God" and Robert appeared to be faithful.
- 13. Sharon Manns (then Sharon Gordon) and Robert Manns were spiritual connections and had an affair. Sharon Manns told Ms. Schuler that she and Robert had sex in the upper rooms of Community Chapel from 1984 through 1987. Sharon Mann also told Ms. Schuler about a room at the top of the Education Building at Community Chapel where an elite group would meet and would all have sex with their spiritual connections in a dark room.
- 14. Ms. Schuler saw Sharon Manns physically abuse Robert Manns. She poured hot and cold water on him, plucked his pubic hair, pulled his pants down, and put ice down his pants. All of this was in the name of God to rid him of demons. Sharon Manns humiliated Robert Manns all of the time by making remarks about his genitals, hair, teeth, and complexion.
- 15. Ms. Schuler also saw Robert Manns beat Sharon Manns. Sharon also told Ms. Schuler of times when Robert beat her. Robert sometimes helped Sharon beat Jeanne Blalock, Jeanne's kids, Ms. Schuler's kids, Ms. Schuler, Sharon's kids, and

AFFIDAVIT OF KONAT RE: DIANA SCHULER - 3 E:\KAK\HAVILAND\AFFIDAV\KONAT.SCH

STRONG & KYDD, P.S.
1616 BANK OF CALIFORNIA BUILDING
900 4TH AVENUE
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98164-1001
(206) 623-5221
FAX (206) 623-5214

Robert's kids.

16. Sharon Manns physically abused Robert Manns, Jeanne Blalock, the eight kids, and Ms. Schuler, all the while claiming that we had demons and needed the beatings. Sharon Manns also humiliated all of them in public places.

17. Sharon Manns was afraid that Robert Manns would rape and harm her girls to get back at her for abusing him. Robert Manns confessed to raping Sharon's daughters and said that he wanted to kill them and hide their bodies in a refrigerator.

18. One time, Sharon Mann beat one of Jeanne Blalock's sons so badly that he went into shock. Sharon Manns then made them leave him with Ms. Schuler's daughter, who was also a minor. She made Ms. Blalock and Ms. Schuler go out to dinner with her. Ms. Schuler and Ms. Blalock were afraid to go home because they thought Jeanne's son would be dead. When they said this to Sharon, Sharon said that they would just barbecue him and eat him for dinner.

19. Sharon, sometimes with the help of Robert, also tortured Robert Manns' kids. They were careful to use torture that would not leave bruises so that their mother, Aleta, would not see the bruises. Sharon had Jeanne, sometimes Robert, and Ms. Schuler, hold Robert's sons down while she poured hot and cold water on them. Sharon believed one of Robert's children, who was physically disabled, had a demon of crooked fingers and tortured him to rid him of the demon. Of course, his fingers remained crooked.

AFFIDAVIT OF KONAT RE: DIANA SCHULER - 4 E:\KAK\HAVILAND\AFFIDAV\KONAT.SCH

STRONG & KYDD, P.S.
1616 BANK OF CALIFORNIA BUILDING
900 4TH AVENUE
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98164-1001

(206) 623-5221 FAX (206) 623-5214

20. Eventually, Jeanne, Robert Manns, and Ms. Schuler kidnapped Sharon Manns and locked her in Ms. Schuler's basement for approximately one month. Jeanne Blalock and Ms. Schuler verbally abused her during this time. Robert Mann physically and sexually abused her. Mr. Manns hit her with a broomstick and forced her to perform sexually for him.

21. In 1988, Sharon told Ms. Schuler that God revealed to her that her husband raped her daughters. Sharon made her daughters tell Ms. Schuler that their father raped them. Later, her girls told Ms. Schuler that Sharon made them say that and that it wasn't true. After they revealed this information to Ms. Schuler, Sharon's daughters were afraid to go back to her. Ms. Schuler arranged to give the kids to their father and Sharon's former husband, Greg Gordon. Sharon and Robert Manns came to Ms. Schuler's house and stood outside yelling and screaming about her daughters. Robert Manns tried to kick the door down. The kids were in Ms. Schuler's house. The police came and Robert and Sharon left.

- 22. Ms. Schuler knew that a lot of men at Community Chapel abuse their wives and children. She knows of many women who were abused. The church did not believe the wives and said that they were being abused because they were not being submissive to their husbands.
- 23. Kirsten Miller, for example, was beaten and raped by her husband, Bill. Darlene Wagenius was abused by her husband.
- 24. Zeke Rice was a Sunday School teacher at Community

  AFFIDAVIT OF KONAT RE: DIANA SCHULER 5
  E:\KAK\HAVILAND\AFFIDAV\KONAT.SCH

STRONG & KYDD, P.S.

1616 BANK OF CALIFORNIA BUILDING
900 4TH AVENUE
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98164-1001
(206) 623-5221
FAX (206) 623-5214

Chapel who abused the children in his Sunday School class. Rick Cole was the youth minister and later a school teacher who sexually abused several boys at the church.

DATED this Aladay of March, 1995.

Kimberly A. Konat

AFFIDAVIT OF KONAT RE: DIANA SCHULER - 6 E:\KAK\HAVILAND\AFFIDAV\KONAT.SCH

STRONG & KYDD, P.S.

1616 BANK OF CALIFORNIA BUILDING

900 47H AVENUE

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98164-1001

(206) 623-5221

FAX (206) 623-5214

## FHFD

95 APR 19 MH 10: 52

KING OBUNTY FROR COURT CLERK SEATTLE, WA. Honorable Michael Hayden Room W-382

2

1

•

4

5

6

7

8.

9

vs.

10

11

12

13 14

متنت

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

BRIANA HAVILAND, a minor, by ) No. 93-2-25996-4 CATHERINE CROWLEY, Ph.D., )

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON, KING COUNTY

Plaintiffs,

her Guardian Ad Litem.

COMMUNITY CHAPEL AND BIBLE )
TRAINING CENTER; ROBERT EUGENE)
MANNS a/k/a ROBERT GENE )
MANNS,

Defendants.

AFFIDAVIT OF LESLIE R. SHELBY

UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY, under the laws of the State of Washington, I, Leslie R. Shelby, swear that the following is true and correct:

- 1. I am a legal assistant at the Law Offices of Strong & Kydd. I have been employed by Strong & Kydd for 5 years.
- 2. I am one of the legal assistants assigned to the above-referenced matter. I interviewed Aleta Marie Mann, by telephone on October 28, 1994. I believe that Ms. Mann will testify to the following:
- 3. Ms. Mann was formerly married to Robert Eugene Mann, one of the defendant's in this matter. Robert Manns and Aleta were

AFFIDAVIT OF LESLIE R. SHELBY RE: ALETA MANN - 1

ORIGINAL

STRONG & KYDD, P.S.

1616 BANK OF CALIFORNIA BUILDING

900 4TH AVENUE

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98164-1001

(206) 623-5221

FAX (206) 623-5214

11 12

13 14

15°

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

married during the time that Robert Manns attended Community Chapel and Bible Training Center.

- 4. Robert and Aleta Mann have two sons, ages 13 and 16.
  Ms. Mann has custody of their sons and they reside with her, in Ohio.
- 5. Aleta Mann was a member of Community Chapel and Bible Training Center from 1975 to 1977. She resumed attending Community Chapel in 1979 and left the Chapel in 1986.
- 6. Both of her sons attended Community Chapel's Christian school and Sunday school.
- 7. Aleta Mann separated from Robert Mann in July 1987 and filed for divorce in February 1988.
- 8. During the Mann marriage and separation Robert Mann physically and mentally abused their children and mentally abused Aleta Mann. Ms. Mann was so afraid of Robert Mann's abuse that she obtained a restraining order against him during the pendency of their divorce.
- 9. The Kent office of Child Protective Services and the Kent Police Department investigated allegations that Robert Mann abused their children and there are reports of this with these agencies.
- 10. In late 1988, Robert Mann pled guilty to domestic violence charges and was sentenced to six months probation.
- 11. As part of the Mann dissolution proceedings, Robert and Aleta Mann attempted mediation.
- 12. Robert Mann's physical abuse of their children was at AFFIDAVIT OF LESLIE R. SHELBY RE: ALETA MANN 2

issue in the dissolution and custody proceedings. An investigation was conducted by Family Court Services.

- 13. In March of 1991, Robert Mann beat their sons violently. He threw the boys into walls and banged their heads against the floor. One of the boys was knocked unconscious by Robert.
- 14. Robert raised bloody welts all over the boys' bodies by beating them.
- 15. When Jesse Mann was six years old, Robert held him down and forced water down his throat, claiming that Jesse had "demons."
- 16. On a few occasions, during the Mann marriage, Ms. Mann was told by neighbors that her sons were outside in the cold and rain while Robert Mann was inside the house with Sharon, his then spirtual connection and current wife.
- 17. Ms. Mann once had to take the boys to stay at a friend's home after a particularly severe beating from Robert Mann. The boys remained there for a short time before Ms. Mann brought them back home. Robert Mann did not seem to be aware that the children were not at home.
- 18. During the time of the Mann divorce proceedings, Sharon Mann, and Robert Mann tried to brainwash the children and convince them that Robert Mann never beat them.
- 19. Sharon Mann also forced Robert's son, Brian Mann, to write a letter saying that he lied about his father beating him and that he wished to live with his father.

AFFIDAVIT OF LESLIE R. SHELBY RE: ALETA MANN - 3

STRONG & KYDD, P.S.

1616 BANK OF CALIFORNIA BUILDING

900 4TH AVENUE

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98164-1001

(206) 623-5221

FAX (206) 623-5214

- 20. While a member of Community Chapel, Aleta Mann spoke with more than one Church counselor on more than one occasion, regarding Robert's physical abuse of their children.
- 21. One counselor Ms. Mann called regarding Robert's physical abuse, said that he would talk to Robert about his abusive behavior. However, to Ms. Mann's knowledge no one talked with Robert, or intervened on her behalf, regarding his abuse.
- 22. Ms. Mann was told, by the Church, not to go to CPS or any other agencies outside of the Church.
- 23. She was also told to stay away from counselors or therapists who were outside of the Church. The Church referred to therapists outside of the Church as "New Age Movement" counselors who do not believe in God and whose teaching was of demons and Ms. Mann was told to stay away from them.
- 24. The Mann's current visitation order provides that their sons shall spend six weeks in the summer and every other Christmas and spring break in Washington with their father. However, Robert Manns' sons have refused to go and see Robert the last two summers because they are afraid that he will make them remain in Washington with him.
- 25. Ms. Mann does not want to believe that the man she was married to would sexually abuse anyone, however, it would not surprise her to discover that he had molested a child.
- 26. Ms. Mann has asked both of her sons whether they were sexually abused and they have denied being sexually abused.
- 27. Robert Mann was subjected to a lot of abuse while AFFIDAVIT OF LESLIE R. SHELBY RE: ALETA MANN 4

STRONG & KYDD, P.S.

1616 BANK OF CALIFORNIA BUILDING
, 900 4th AVENUE

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98164-1001
(206) 623-5221

FAX (206) 623-5214

growing up. Robert was sexually abused as a child and Ms. Mann has felt that Robert Mann was strange in a way that she cannot describe.

- 28. Robert and Aleta Mann's sons have told Ms. Mann that while staying with their father they have awakened to find Sharon Mann in their bedrooms very late at night.
- 29. Brian Mangley stated that Robert and Sharon Mann took photographs of him in the nude supposedly to prove that there were no bruises on his body. However, it was later discovered that there wasn't any film in the camera.
- 30. Diana Schuler and Jeanne White, both members of Community Chapel, have told Ms. Mann that Robert has raped Sharon Mann.
- 31. Ms. Mann recalled allegations and suspicion that Sharon Mann had molested Jeanne White's son.
- 32. Ms. Mann believes that the atmosphere of Community Chapel was conducive to predators against children. Church services were very long and the children would become bored. Children were often unsupervised and ran the Church grounds freely for several hours.
- 33. Ms. Mann recalled hearing allegations of sexual abuse by Church members, then seeing those same members in Church. She felt that Don and Barbara Barnett would certainly know if the allegations were true. At that time, Ms. Mann believed wholly in Don and Barbara Barnett, and believed that if anyone was guilty of any type of sexual abuse, Don and Barbara Barnett would have AFFIDAVIT OF LESLIE R. SHELBY RE: ALETA MANN 5

STRONG & KYDD, P.S.

1616 BANK OF CALIFORNIA BUILDING 900 4TH AVENUE SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98164-1001 (206) 623-5221

FAX (206) 623-5214

disfellowshipped him or her. On more than one occasion, Don and Barbara Barnett addressed the congregation saying only, "I'm sure that you've heard of the situation with so and so." They did not describe what happened or specify what the allegations were.

- 34. "Spiritual connection" relationships between children and adults were not forbidden by the Church and Ms. Mann recalls that there were a lot of "spiritual connection" relationships between children and adults. As Ms. Mann recalled, the Church's only directive regarding adult/child spiritual connections was to keep it in the open. There were people cuddled-up in the hallways at the Chapel all the time, and everyone, including children, witnessed intimacy and cuddling between spiritual connection couples.
- 35. Ms. Mann was very uncomfortable with the spiritual connection doctrine and did not participate in spiritual connections. Ms. Mann was uncomfortable with Robert leaving their home to go to Church at 9:00 a.m. or earlier and not returning home until 2:00 a.m., because he had been with his spiritual connections. Robert would leave the sermon in Church to dance with his spiritual connections for hours. Robert stayed home, with his family, perhaps one night a week. Even during the nights that he was at home, if the phone rang and it was Sharon, who was one of Robert's spiritual connections at the time, he would get out of bed and leave to go to her. Sharon would sit with Robert and Aleta Mann, and their children during Church services, usually with her hand in Robert's lap. Ms. Mann AFFIDAVIT OF LESLIE R. SHELBY RE: ALETA MANN 6

STRONG & KYDD, P.S.

1616 BANK OF CALIFORNIA BUILDING
, 900 4TH AVENUE
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98164-1001
(206) 623-5221
FAX (206) 623-5214

expressed her desire that Sharon not sit with the Mann family during Church. Ms. Mann believes someone in the Church spoke with Sharon and asked her not to sit with the Mann family during Church services. Sharon then began sitting directly behind Robert and Aleta Mann during services.

- 36. Ms. Mann went to see a Church counselor regarding her negative feelings about spiritual connections. The counselor told her that she had not experienced spiritual connections so she didn't know what they were like or what they were about. The counselor's advice to Ms. Mann was to "go with the flow."
- 37. Robert's current wife, Sharon, was his "main" or "big" spiritual connection during the time that Robert and Aleta Mann were married. Robert continually claimed that nothing sexual was going on between he and Sharon. However, after Ms. Mann left Robert, and Sharon moved into their former family home, Community Chapel members remarked that at least Robert and Sharon could then be out in the open.
- 38. Community Chapel exerted stringent control over its members' lives. They were not allowed to watch or own a television and were not allowed to go to movies. Women were taught to, and were expected to, submit totally to their husbands. One sermon referred to women as their husband's toolbox, the husband uses what he needs from the toolbox, then puts it back and the toolbox does not complain. Aleta Mann was cut-off by Church members and former friends in the Church when she left her marriage to Robert Mann.

AFFIDAVIT OF LESLIE R. SHELBY RE: ALETA MANN - 7

STRONG & KYDD, P.S.

1616 BANK OF CALIFORNIA BUILDING
900 4TH AVENUE
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98164-1001
(206) 623-5221
FAX (206) 623-5214

- 39. Everything in Community Chapel was secretive. During the time that Ms. Mann was attending the Church, the members learned that the Church was paying for abortions when the Church preached pro-life. The Church taught that Christ deceived, and Ms. Mann believes that the Church taught this to justify its own deceptive behavior. The Church counselors liked to keep things under wraps and not tell Church members about things that were going on. At one point, Robert Mann was in training to become a counselor. Ms. Mann did not know this until she discovered his notes from classes or training sessions for Church counselors.

  Ms. Mann recalls thinking that what he had in his notes was totally different from what was preached from the pulpit.
- 40. The Mann family's entire lives were consumed by the Church, from Bible College to services to expectations that members were not to marry or have friends from outside of the Church. We partied with Church friends and only had social contact with people who were also Church members. Disfellowship from the Church was a very harsh and frightening threat and that threat was enough to maintain control over chapel members.
- 41. Ms. Mann recalled that one elder, who was either disfellowshipped or left the Church, began to send letters to current members of the Chapel saying that spiritual connections were not of God and telling what the pastor and the Church were really up to. The members were told not to read any mail from dissenters of this sort. They were told to have no contact with the sinners who were kicked out. They were told not to read

AFFIDAVIT OF LESLIE R. SHELBY RE: ALETA MANN - 8

STRONG & KYDD, P.S.
1616 BANK OF CALIFORNIA BUILDING
900 4TH AVENUE
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98164-1001
(206) 623-5211
FAX (206) 623-5214

21.

- 42. The attitude and teachings of the Chapel were that problems inside the Church stay inside the Church. The Church justified this teaching through the Bible. Ms. Mann disagreed strongly that major things, such as child abuse and molestation should stay inside the Church. Ms. Mann feels the Church was wrong in teaching that its members should not get help outside of the Church for major incidents such as child abuse. Don and Barbara Barnett felt that they were God and that the parishioners also looked upon them as such.
- 43. Ms. Mann describes Robert Manns as authoritative and overbearing. While they were married, Robert Manns insisted that there was a right way to do things and that was his way. He often threw temper tantrums when he did not get his way.
- 44. Robert was very controlling. He did not want Ms. Mann to have any books or read any books from the public library. Robert would become very angry with Ms. Mann whenever she took the boys to see medical doctors. Robert Mann wanted Ms. Mann to take the boys to see healing ministers from the Chapel, only. The Mann's son, Brian, had open heart surgery in June of 1993. His condition was very serious and they were unsure of whether or not he would survive the surgery. Robert Mann did not go to see his son at all while he was in the hospital.
- 45. Robert's voice would get falsetto when praying, he would sway and cry, among other behaviors, while he was praying in the Chapel. When Robert was "in the spirit," he would laugh AFFIDAVIT OF LESLIE R. SHELBY RE: ALETA MANN 9

STRONG & KYDD, P.S.

1616 BANK OF CALIFORNIA BUILDING 900 4TH AVENUE

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98164-1001 (206) 623-5221

FAX (206) 623-5214

and giggle and skip in the Chapel. A friend, Jerry Brenstrom, asked Ms. Mann if Robert was on drugs. More than one person asked Ms. Mann if Robert Mann was gay, because of mannerisms like flipping his hand and the way he would flirt in a feminine manner. People thought that Robert Mann was weird.

- 46. Robert Mann is a habitual liar, Ms. Mann said he would lie all the time about anything and everything.
- 47. Robert had married Sharon Mann during one of they Mann's custody actions and did not tell Ms. Mann, his own attorney, or the Court of his marriage. Instead, Robert told everyone that Sharon was his girlfriend.
- 48. Robert believed that demons dwelt in people, and at one point during Robert and Aleta's marriage, Robert Mann accused Ms. Mann of "fucking" demons.
- 49. During the action for custody of the Mann children, psychological evaluations were conducted, including a Family Court Services investigation, and a family psychological report done by the University of Washington. Robert and Sharon Mann participated in this psychological report. Also, during that period of time, Robert took the kids to see Bruce Purvine, a counselor or therapist at Catholic Community Services in Federal Way.
- 50. Ms. Mann believes that Robert Mann has the money to hire an attorney to represent him in this matter. Ms. Mann believes that he will delay obtaining an attorney until the very last minute, then hire an attorney in the eleventh hour in order AFFIDAVIT OF LESLIE R. SHELBY RE: ALETA MANN 10

STRONG & KYDD, P.S.

1616 BANK OF CALIFORNIA BUILDING

900 4TH AVENUE

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98164-1001

(206) 623-5211

FAX (206) 623-5214

to delay the action. Ms. Mann said that Robert Mann used the same tactics during their Family Law court actions.

DATED this Tyn day of March, 1995.

eslie R. Shelby

AFFIDAVIT OF LESLIE R. SHELBY RE: ALETA MANN - 11

### STRONG & KYDD, P.S.

1616 BANK OF CALIFORNIA BUILDING 900 4TH AVENUE SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98164-1001 (206) 623-5221 FAX (206) 623-5214

THIWGS ARE

			STATE'S EXHIBIT
-	REPORT C	F FIRST COUNSELING SESSION	1.11thdround
	-	Date of session _	
Cou	nselee's name(s)	HER KONOPKA	•
	nselor's name(s) SUSE		
l.		el previously through our chu	rch on this subject?
		st previous counselors. HOUGH HAS GONE TO	THE DELIVERANT
	ROOL	m	
2.	=	el previously on other subjec	ts? [] yes [4] no
	List previous counselors.		
_			
3.	If he has had different co (Give reason for each char	ounselor(s) for this subject, age.)	why has he changed?
	•		•
÷ -	Nature of the problem(s)	DEMONS IN BED R	200m
	Key elements (please number		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		• :
	1. SHE SAW	FUNNY FACES ON	HOR WALL
	2. HEARD CRA	CKING NOISES F	Rom THE FLOOP
	3. IN GERLERAL	- A VERY DEMOND	MINNA ITTIGE
		WAS THE ONE W	
		NONDS IN HER ROOM	•
	WOKE BEK	TOMBS IN HER KUUT	<b>(•</b>
	•	•.	
			•
	,		
			•
5.	Counsel given: [ ] stan	dard	
	Key elements (with quali	fications, please number):	•
	1. DEMONSTRATE	A WHAT HER IMAG	INATION WAS by :
		LK W/ LOTS OF DET	
	2. EXPLAINED 1	YOW LIGHT CASTS S.	
	MPKES BOARD		S + HEAT + COOLI
5.	Other Information He	R IN A 600 CON CLOWS	
•	WE DON'T	HAUF TO BE AFRAID.	DIRECTION -
	. FAITH SONE	<b>&amp;</b>	THEN SANG SOM
	. S. WE DID PRE	1 <i>4</i>	•
٠	6. KATHY HARR	RINGTON USE TO TE	ZU THE KINS
7	7 VM STA	RIES	•
_	nra Aon make anoener abbor	intment? [] yes [1] no I	
<b>.</b>		mber 7, what are your plans f	
	i none necessary.	WILL CALL HOR ME	IN + SEE HOW
	<i>1</i> .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

7. Did you make another appointment? [ ] yes [ ] no **EXHIBIT** If you checked "no" in number 7, what are your plans [ ] none necessary. I WILL CALL HOR M COPY

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING

BRIANA HAVILAND, a minor by CATHERINE CROWLEY, Ph.D., her guardian ad litem,

Plaintiff.

VS.

NO. 93-2-25996-4

COMMUNITY CHAPEL and BIBLE TRAINING CENTER; ROBERT MANN and ALETA MANN, husband and wife, and the marital community composed thereof,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF RONALD ENROTH, Ph.D. - VOLUME II

#### <u>Appearances</u>

For the Plaintiff:

KIMBERLY KONAT

Strong & Kydd

1616 Bank of California Center

900 Fourth Avenue Seattle, WA 98164

For the Defendants:

ROBERT J. ROHAN

Rohan, Goldfarb & Shapiro

1601 One Union Square 600 University Street Seattle, WA 98101-3112

BE IT REMEMBERED that Volume II of the deposition of RONALD ENROTH, Ph.D. was taken in the above cause on the 25th day of March 1995 at Seattle, Washington, before Carol J. Sorensen, Notary Public.

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had,

to wit:

Sandra
Baker & Court Reporters
and Legal
Associates Video Service

870 10th Lane, Fox Island, Washington 98333

RONALD ENROTH, Ph.D., having previously been duly sworn on 1 oath by the notary, was deposed and testified as follows: 2 3 EXAMINATION (CONTINUING) 5 BY MR. ROHAN: Sir, are you aware of a journal entitled Sociological Q Analysis, a journal in the sociology of religion? 7 8 A Yes. 9 And is that aligned with any particular religious group 10 or denomination? I believe that's the one that's published by the, and 11 Α you can refresh my memory for me, by the association 12 The Sociology of Religion. They changed their name. 13 Originally it was associated with or came out of the 14 Roman Catholic Church or it was essentially a Roman 15 Catholic publication and then the name was changed a 16 number of years ago so now it has no affiliation with 17 18 the Catholic Church or any other church. 19 Q Is it a peer review journal? 20 Α Yes. Is it respected in the field of sociology? 21 Q It's very small but, yes, it's respected. 22 Α 23 And it's correct, is it not, that they reviewed your 0

R. Enroth, Ph.D. (By Mr. Rohan)

It could be, I don't recall.

24

25

A

book The Lure of the Cults in New Religions?

1 Do you know a William H. Norman from Graceland College? 0 Α No. 3 (Exhibit No. 123 marked for identification.) 4 Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 123, starting 0 5 on the second page, which is 453, entitled "Book 6 Reviews", is that a review of your book The Lure of 7 Cults in New Religion? Α Yes. And then on page 455, what's numbered 455, it would be 9 Q 10 the third page of the exhibit, it states, "The Enroth 11 book, on the other hand, contains little to recommend it as a serious piece of scholarship", do you see that? 12 13 Α Not yet, sir. 14 0 It's the second paragraph. 15 Α Oh, on 455, excuse me. Yes, I have it. 16 Are there any other reviews that also have described 17 any of your writings as not being a serious piece of 18 scholarship? 19 I can't recall right now. Α 20 And in the next sentence or the next sentence says the 0 21 book is polemic, but the one after that says, "It aims 22 not to provide a better understanding of doctrine 23 or dynamics but rather to identify the errors and evils of new religions from the author's own religious 24 25 perspective (which he refers to as 'evangelical

R. Enroth, Ph.D. (By Mr. Rohan)

1		apostates. That is very narrowly defined as someone
2		who has been adjudged to be heretical. I do not use
3		the word apostate, I use the term ex-member. So, I
4.		would disagree with his characterization of my
5		respondents.
6	Q	Do you accept uncritically what ex-members tell you, at
7		least in terms of your book The Lure of Cults in New
8		Religions?
9	A	I take them at their word and I look for patterns and
10		independent verification, but when one engages in
11		behavioral science research, one receives testimony of
12		life histories without any kind of modification or
13		adjustment on the part of researcher. In other words,
14		I received their reports as they give them to me and in
15		that sense uncritically.
16	Q	If you receive reports from ex-members that were
17		prepared by the ex-member's lawyers, do you think those
18		have the same degree of reliability as reports of
19		interviews that you do in person?
20	A	I probably would not accept reports that were prepared
21		by their attorneys, I would rather interview them
22		directly without a third party.
23	Q	And if former members or parishioners of a group .
24		reported to you what they think what somebody else
25		reported to them, so it's not their firsthand
	İ	

1		experience but they're saying, well, X told me that
2		so-and-so and such and such happened, would you find
3		that report reliable?
4 .	A	I would accept it at face value as they report it and
5		as their perception of what was told to them and would
6		try to get independent verification from others.
7	Q	That's all the questions I have for that exhibit.
8 .		(Exhibit No. 124 marked for identification.)
9		Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 124, is that
10		a copy of a draft of an affidavit in this case?
11	A	Yes, it is.
12	Q	And I understand that there may be minor changes in
13		spelling, punctuation, and perhaps certain words here
14		and there before that went into the final; is that
15		correct?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	If you recall any of those as we go through the
18		document, could I ask you to:
19	A	document, could I ask you to point those out to me? Yes.
20	Q	•
21		And what I'd like to do is also get out Exhibit 121
22		that we had been going over at length yesterday, to the
23		extent that some of the material in your affidavit is
24		the same, I don't think we need to go over that again. When you talk about in
25		When you talk about in paragraph 1, and I'm going to
		refer to your affidavit as an affidavit and the earlier

R. Enroth, Ph.D. (By Mr. Rohan)

in the areas of sexuality and relating to persons of the opposite sex. They will have learned practices that the larger society may regard as bizarre and non-normative. At the least, this will make them uncomfortable and uncertain.

When you say they undoubtedly will face extraordinary adjustment problems in the areas of sexuality and relating to persons of the opposite sex, does that refer to all children that were at Community Chapel?

- It probably would be better worded that they probably will face or the probability is that they will face extraordinary adjustment problems. I'm not testifying that every single child, including those I'm not familiar with, would face extraordinary adjustment problems, I'm basing this, again, on the material we have already gone over, my interaction with the ex-members, as well as the comments of Dr. Penner and the public school individuals that we referenced yesterday in the Christianity Today article.
- Q Have you talked to any children from Community Chapel?
- A No, I have not, I have not.

A

- Q If you're a sociologist dealing with the effect of something on children, wouldn't sociological methods suggest that you interview children or former children?
  - R. Enroth, Ph.D. (By Mr. Rohan)