## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING

In Re COMMUNITY CHAPEL AND BIBLE TRAINING CENTER, a non-profit corporation organized under the laws of the State of Washington.

Cause No. 88-2-05272-7

Deposition Upon Oral Examination

of

MARK YOKERS



Taken at 260 - 216 First Avenue South
Seattle, Washington

## APPEARANCES:

For Petitioner, SCOTT HARTLEY, and JACK DuBOIS:

DAVID W. ANDERSEN Leach, Brown & Anderson 4040 First Interstate Center 999 Third Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104

For Respondent DONALD BARNETT:

RODNEY G. PIERCE Attorney at Law Duncan Building, Third Floor 315 Second Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104

DATE: April 19, 1988

Mary ....

REPORTED BY: Patrice E. Starkovich, RPR

In Separation files

AND FEBRUSIED TOO

\_ M & June . 18

Rough SASSOCIATES

COURT REPORTERS

405 SEATTLE TOWER
SEATTLE WASHINGTON 98101
(200) 682-1427

13cH

## I N D E X

EXAMINATION BY:						Page									
Mr.	Pierce .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2 72
Mr.	Anderson	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	71

EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION:

None \* \*

1 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON; TUESDAY, APRIL 19, 1988 2 3:00 P.M. 3 --000--4 5 deponent herein, being MARK YOKERS, 6 first duly sworn on oath, 7 was examined and testified 8 as follows: 9 10 EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. PIERCE: 12 Q. Would you state your --13 Α. I was told before I do that, I should ask for a 14 stipend. 15 (Discussion off the record.) 16 Q. (By Mr. Pierce) Would you state your full name. 17 Α. Mark Alan Yokers, A-1-a-n. 18 Q. Your residential address? 19 426 South 190th, Seattle, 98148. Α. 20 Your business address? Q. 21 Α. 18635 - 8th Avenue South. I'm not sure of the 22 I think it is 98168. zip. 23 Q. Who are you employed by? 24 A. Community Chapel and Bible College.

What position?

25

Q.

	1	
1	Α.	Teacher, college teacher.
2	Q.	How long have you been employed by Community
3	Chapel and	d Bible College?
4	A.	Probably for fourteen or fifteen years.
5	Q.	How long has there been Bible college at the
6	Community	Chapel?
7	A.	Let's see, we opened the fall of '69.
8	Q.	Do you have a specific area that you teach in?
9	A.	Not really, theological.
10	Q.	What is your educational background?
11	Α.	The highest secular education was a third year
12	in college	e, Pacific Lutheran, Tacoma.
13	Q.	Did you complete your third year or junior
14	year?	
15	А.	Yes. The reason I didn't go the fourth was the
16	next year	was Bible college, from there to Bible college.
17	Q.	You went to Bible college?
18	Α.	The same, Community Chapel.
19	Q.	By "same," you mean what?
20	Α.	The same college, Bible college, Community
21	Chapel, wh	nere I am teaching. I went to the same Bible
22	college I	am teaching at.
23	Q.	After you completed your third year at Pacific
24	Lutheran,	you went to Bible college at Community Chapel?
25	Α.	Uh-huh.

1	Q.	How long did you go to Bible college at
2	Community	Chapel as a student?
3	Α.	Four years through the undergraduate and, at
4	that time,	I think I started teaching right after I
5	graduated.	So, my postgraduate studies, I think, lasted
6	three year	s.
7	Q.	Did you receive a degree from Bible college?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	For your undergraduate work?
10	A.	Bachelor of Theology.
11	Q. 1	What year was that?
12	Α.	That would have been '73, I believe, '74.
13	Maybe it w	ould have been '74. If I entered the fall of
14	'69 and we	nt four years, then that would have graduated
15	me in '74.	
16	Q.	Your age at the present time?
17	A. '	Thirty-nine.
18	Q. 1	Marital status?
19	A. 1	Married.
20	Q. 1	First name?
21	Α.	Jan.
22	Q. :	Same last name?
23	A. :	Yeah.
24	Q	She resides with you at 426 - South 190th?

Α.

Yes.

1	Q.	Is she employed?				
2	A.	No.				
3	Ω.	Is yours the only income that comes into the				
4	family?					
5	Α.	Yeah, basically.				
6	Q.	Have you made plans for teaching after June of				
7	1988?					
8	Α.	No, I have no plans.				
9	Q.	Have you applied anywhere for teaching?				
10	A.	No.				
11	Q.	Do you intend to teach at Community Chapel and				
12	Bible Co	llege after June of 1988?				
13	A.	I'm not sure. I really don't know. If it is				
14	in existe	ence, I have a choice. Then I'm not sure at that				
15	point.					
16	Q.	You received a subpoena duces tecum directing				
17	you to bring documents to this?					
18	Α.	Yes.				
19	Q.	Did you bring documents?				
20	Α.	I did.				
21	Q.	Could I see the documents that you did bring.				
22	Α.	Related to the elders' meetings.				
23	Q.	These documents that you provided to me are all				
24 25		ocuments that you have in your possession or				
23	under you	er control relating in any manner to the items				

listed in the subpoena duces tecum?

A. Yes, according to my understanding, and some tapes.

- Q. Three tapes?
- A. I have to admit, I didn't hardly take any notes. What I have largely here is studies and personal studies and personal presentations, and that sort of thing. Oh, then I did have the documents that some of us wrote up, you know, together as an ownership or endorsed, and we brought publicly to the church.
  - Q. I will just take a look at them, Mr. Yokers.

I have now gone through the documents that you have brought here. It appears that, other than the correspondence that was directed to the pastor in February of 1988, most of the items included here are your notes with regard to spiritual matters; would you say that is correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Did you keep any notes of any type of meetings of the elders of the Community Chapel in 1988?
- A. Any notes -- basically, no. I kept a few. Some of them are discarded.
- Q. Were any of those discarded after receipt of the subpoena?
  - A. No. I have had chicken scratches, basically.

A. There are chicken scratches, something I took down that day and threw it away. Somebody would say something, and I wrote down a note that I wanted to respond to, and somebody would remind me. I have not taken down notes of minutes, per se.

- Q. Besides the papers, there is what appears to be three tape recordings of an elders' meeting of March 24, 1988?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Were there tapes of other elders' meetings that you do not have?
- A. That I'm not sure of. I am not aware of others having tapes.

MR. ANDERSON: I think the question was directed to you.

THE WITNESS: Right.

MR. ANDERSON: Not what others have.

- Q. (By Mr. Pierce) Do you have other tapes with regard to the elders' meetings?
  - A. No.
- Q. Were tapes made of the elders' meetings in 1988, other than March 24, 1988, which you have here?
- A. No. The only ones have been dealing with our discussion on eros love.

Q. That is what is contained in that March 24 meeting of the elders?

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. This meeting of the elders was taped; is that correct?
  - A. Uh-huh.
  - Q. Where was that meeting held, March 24, 1988?
- A. It was held in the music recording room on the East Campus.
  - Q. Who was present at this elders' meeting?
  - A. Who was present?
  - Q. Yes.
- A. I'm not sure if I could remember everybody that was present. I could name a few: David Motherwell,
  Lanny Peterson, Gerry Slaminski. Wait. You know what?

  It was not in the music room. It was not. It was held in the -- it is called the service ministries, one of the back rooms of the service ministries, what we used to call "operations." I can let my mind go around the room.

  Let's see. Those three I mentioned, plus Gerry Slaminski was there. He had to leave early. Jack DuBois, Ralph Alskog, I believe, was there, John Harold, Ron Myrick, Scott Hartley, Jack DuBois, Larry Walkemeyer, Russ Mackenzie, I believe, was there, and Greg Thiel.
  - Q. This covers just about all of the elders of

1 Community Chapel; is that right? 2 Α. Yeah, I wanted them all there. 3 Did you call the meeting? 0. Α. I don't remember. 5 You said you wanted them all there. I am just Q. 6 wondering, is this a request that you made for all of 7 them to appear? 8 A. I believe I did, because this was my 9 presentation. 10 MR. PIERCE: Off the record. 11 (Discussion off the record.) 12 (By Mr. Pierce) This meeting was not requested 13 by you, but you wanted to have all of the individual 14 elders present? 15 Α. Loren Krenelka was also there. 16 Was this meeting --Q. 17 I think I did request it. Α. 18 Did you request that it be tape recorded? Q. 19 Α. Yeah, I think I did. 20 Who did you ask to have it tape recorded? Q. 21 Α. I think I asked Loren. 22 Q. Is Loren one of the elders of the Community 23 Chapel? 24 No, he is not. Α. 25 Q. Why was Loren Krenelka present?



involved with Bible college oversight would be the same as yours?

- A. Basically, yes.
- Q. Are you also an ordained minister?
- A. Yes.
- Q. How long have you been an ordained minister?
- A. I sometimes carry a card. I might have it. I can't remember. It is probably about '76 -- I would say '76-77.
- Q. Approximately the same time you became an elder, you were also ordained as a minister, or did it occur at the same time?
- A. I don't think so, no. It didn't, but I think it was the same year. It was in close succession.
  - Q. Which occurred first?
- A. In fact -- in fact, they may have occurred at the same time. If they didn't, the eldership would have occurred first, I believe. I would have documents. I might have one with me that would show me that.

Here it is. Boy, it was earlier than I thought. The original ordination was October 1973. So, that would have been, I am assuming, before I entered eldership, but that I'm not sure. I am not really sure.

Q. Since March 1, 1988, have you been leading services at Community Chapel and Bible Center as a

•

minister?

A. I believe I did March 1. I would have one or two. I think I have led two services since then.

- Q. First, tell me what it means to lead a service at Community Chapel.
- A. Well, basically, it is to take the oversight of the order of service. You may preach. You may not preach. You oversee the order of the service as to the music, testimonies, duration, et cetera.
  - Q. You said order, music, what?
- A. The duration, you know, basically what happens, you know. You don't do everything. You just sort of sit and basically ride shotgun on it.
- Q. The order that you are talking about, what does that mean?
- A. That means what we do next, whether it is somebody sings or whether the congregation sings, or whether the preacher preaches, whether there is an altar call, that sort of thing.
- Q. Do you make all of these determinations as to what is going to happen to the service by yourself?
  - A. Normally.
- Q. Would you be going over these items with anybody else prior to the service?
  - A. Usually not.

- Q. Do they know what they are going to be talking about if you haven't gone over it with individuals?
- A. No, unless it is a sermon. Normally, testimonies are spontaneous, you know, at the moment.
  - Q. What is a testimony?
- A. A testimony is where somebody personally wants to share either a personal experience or something out of the Bible that has instructed them, and they feel it would be of benefit to others. Testimony is more of a personal nature.
  - Q. And preaching is what?
- A. Preaching is, you know, the sermon, bringing forth instruction out of the Bible or whatever.
- Q. Would that be by other elders or ministers, or both?
  - A. Both.
- Q. Can a person be an elder without being a minister?
- A. Yes. Just a second. The other way around.

  Yes, be an elder without being a minister. No. When you are an elder, you are a minister.
  - Q. An elder is an ordained minister?
- A. No. We have different classes of elders. Some are ordained ministers. Now, it could be that all of our elders are ordained ministers. I would have to think

about it. But we have had in the past elders that were not ordained ministers.

- Q. At the present time, are there any elders who are not ordained ministers?
  - A. Yeah, I think so.

MR. ANDERSON: To the best of your ability.

- A. To the best of my ability, I think Don Lockrem, he is not on the list. I think Don Lockrem is one.

  Let's see, is Ralph -- I don't believe Ralph is an elder right now. He is not an ordained minister. Larry, I believe.
  - Q. (By Mr. Pierce) Larry who?
- A. Walkemeyer. I am trying to think. I don't believe he is ordained at this point.
- Q. That is fine. When you determine the order of what is going to occur, do you go to individual ministers or elders and ask if they will give a talk or if they want to give talks?
  - A. Rarely, almost never.
  - Q. How do you know who is going to be preaching?
- A. Oh, that will be determined ahead of time. That is determined ahead of time, usually during the week.
  - Q. Who makes that determination?

A. If somebody wants to preach, they make a request.

- Q. They voluntarily come to you and say, "I want to do something," and then you make the determination?
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. There are no meetings that are held to determine who is going to preach?
  - A. No.
- Q. Have you ever been in a meeting where a determination of what would happen at one of the worship services would be discussed?
  - A. Oh, yes.
- Q. Can you tell me of any meetings that you recall occurring after March 1, 1988, where discussions occurred?
- A. At times, in the meetings, somebody says, "I want to preach." So, that has been discussed. Like somebody would make -- say, "I would like to preach this Sunday," you know.
  - Q. Is this a weekly elders' meeting that is held?
- A. No, it depends on need. Sometimes we haven't had them. Sometimes we do.
- Q. After March 1, 1988, how many elders' meetings have you had?
  - A. I don't know. I couldn't say. Possibly, a

week. What is it, March, April -- it is April the 18th. We have averaged one a week. I would say one and a half a week we have averaged.

- Q. Where are these elders' meetings held?
- A. So far, either the room in the service ministries that I told you about or in the music recording studio.
- Q. Is there a date reserved for those elders' meetings, such as Tuesday evening of each week?
- A. No. We have to schedule it. In other words, somebody would have to say, "I want a meeting," and then we would ask where we could have it. We could always get one of the two places.
- Q. With the things moving as they have been with regard to the future of Community Chapel, have those meetings been regularly scheduled in advance since March 1, 1988?
- A. There is always a decision made as to when we are going to have the next meeting. It could be a week away. It could be two weeks away, or it could be the next day. So, it is not a matter of a memo being put out or something.
- Q. The decision is made at the end of the elders' meeting as to when to have the next elders' meeting; is that correct?

22

23

24

25

Α. Generally. Do you recall when the next elders' meeting was 0. after March 24, 1988? The 24th was a Thursday. I believe we had a Α. meeting the next Tuesday. This would be March 29 would be the following 0. Does that sound correct to you? Tuesday. That sounds good. I don't have the calendar to Α. confirm that it was a Thursday, but I'm quite sure this was a Thursday, and I believe we had a meeting the next Tuesday. Counsel will assist with you. Q. MR. ANDERSON: With my calendar. MR. PIERCE: For the dates. Okay. It was a Thursday. Α. (By Mr. Pierce) And you are referring to 0. March 24. Uh-huh, and I believe we met the next Tuesday. Α. Which would be March 29? Q. I am not going to say absolutely. Α. Uh-huh. As best you can remember, that is fine. Q.

Q. As best you can remember, that is fine.

The discussions on March 24 were basically with regard, am I correct, to theological issues?

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Were other matters discussed at that time?



- A. No, I don't believe so.
- Q. Basically, March 24, 1988, was a discussion among the elders with regard to what area, generally?
  - A. This one, this is the one, March 24.
  - O. Yes.
- A. Generally, regarding what we call spiritual connections.
- Q. Was there a number of individuals that would be saying spiritual connections are okay, and a number of individuals saying that spiritual connections are not okay?
- A. No, I think we all agree that spiritual connections are okay. It is just defining what is okay in it and what is not okay in a spiritual connection.
- Q. It was more of a ministers' discussion with regard to the religious concept of spiritual connections?
  - A. Uh-huh. You are doing really good.
- Q. Other than ministers' discussions of religious areas, have other matters come up at the meetings of the elders of Community Chapel after March 1, 1988?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell us what areas have been discussed at those elders' meetings other than the religious matters?
  - A. Well, you could probably tell me better than I

1

4

5

6

8

7

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

can tell you. I am trying to think of it. Matters concerning -- after March 1, it would have been matters concerning Don Barnett's disfellowship and concerning related matters, church functions.

- 0. Anything else?
- Basically, it would all come under that Α. category. In regard to -- you know, I mean, there has been discussion concerning the dissolution. There has been discussion concerning individual experiences, whether personal -- in other words, a gamut of topics were discussed, personal or even like the dissolution, which is a legal -- so what is necessary to oversee the functions of the church.
- Let's talk about the dissolution area. 0. has been discussed at the eldership meetings with regard to dissolution of the corporation?
- Well, it has been discussed. It was discussed whether to -- there was just discussion whether it would be wise to file for dissolution or not to file for dissolution. Obviously, it was just a fact-gathering discussion, basically, opinion-gathering discussion, related matters as to legal implications, etcetera.
  - Q. Do you recall anything else?
  - Α. I would think that would cover it.
  - Q. I will tell you for the record that the

petition to dissolve Community Chapel was filed on Monday, March 21. Using that date, does that help you to tell me when the meeting or meetings occurred of the elders with regard to discussion of dissolution of the corporation?

- A. No. It would have had to have been before that time.
- Q. Was it the Sunday before that it occurred, or was it the previous week or two weeks before, or was there a number of meetings that were held with regard to dissolution?
- A. I honestly do not recall how much discussion went into it before it was filed. It was basically a decision of the senior elders.
- Q. One of the things you indicated earlier when I asked you about dissolution, you said whether to or not to file for dissolution was one of the matters discussed?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. You specifically recall those discussions taking place in the elders' meetings?
  - A. Yes, we discussed that.
- Q. Was there a particular person who was in favor of filing for dissolution of the corporation?
- A. It would depend on the time element. We were all in favor of it.

1	Q. Was there any discussion of not filing for
2	dissolution?
3	A. Certainly. I mean, it was a question.
4	Q. Who raised that question?
5	A. Of whether or not to do it?
6	Q. Yes.
7	A. I don't know. I don't know who raised it.
8	Q. Who brought up the idea of filing for
9	dissolution at all?
10	A. That would be a good question.
11	Q. It is a good question, and I would like to have
12	a good answer.
13	A. For me I don't know if I can honestly tell
14	you. I really don't know.
15	Q. When was the first time that you heard of a
16	dissolution of Community Chapel?
17	A. It was definitely after Don Barnett as
18	disfellowshipped, because I never I never even really
19	was familiar with the concept.
20	Q. Do you recall when Don Barnett was
21	disfellowshipped?
22	A. It would have been the end of February or the
23	beginning of March.
24	Q. Let's use that as a format.
25	A. In fact, dates right here would help me.

22

21

24

23

25

Take a look at the documents that you provided. 0.

Α. Okay.

Can you tell us a particular date on which Don Q. Barnett was disfellowshipped by the elders?

Well, I have here -- I have here -- this is the Α. restrictions which Don resisted. No. Wait. No.

(Discussion off the record.)

- I am sure that March 4 was the Friday night that we disfellowshipped Don Barnett.
- (By Mr. Pierce) So, between March 4, the date Q. of disfellowship, and March 21, the date of the petition to dissolve the corporation was filed, how many meetings were there with the elders with regard to discussing and determining whether or not to dissolve the corporation?
- I would guess three, four. That is a guess, A. because we discussed other things.
- I am not talking about it being the primary Q. topic of conversation, but it would have been one of the topics of conversation for three to four meetings of the elders?
  - I would say definitely. Α.
  - 0. That many?
  - I would say that many. Α.
- During that time period from March 4 to Q. March 21, how many meetings were there of the elders?

- A. Oh, I would guess probably six.
- Q. Do you keep --
- A. I am guessing.
- Q. Do you keep a calendar of events that you attend or meetings that you are going to be going to?
  - A. Generally, major scheduled meetings.
  - Q. You keep a calendar?
- A. Are you talking about elders' meetings, any meetings?
  - Q. First, do you keep a calendar of future events?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. In that calendar, do you put in future meetings of the eldership of Community Chapel?
  - A. No.
- Q. How do you put onto your schedule and keep future meetings of the elders in your mind, or at least on paper, as to what is going to be happening?
- A. Sometimes I don't know what is going to be happening. So, I haven't written anything down. There may have been verbal discussion of what would be happening.
- Q. I am not talking about what is to take place.

  I am talking about there is going to be a meeting. How
  do you keep a record there is going to be a meeting?
  - A. Mentally.



1	Q. They are not all on the same day of each week?
2	A. No.
3	Q. You know about them generally at the end of
4	each meeting?
5	A. Uh-huh.
6	Q. When the next one is going to be?
7	A. Right.
8	Q. You don't write it down on a calendar?
9	A. No.
10	Q. Do you keep a pocket calendar?
11	A. No.
12	Q. Do you keep a day calendar, either at work or
13	at home, with regard to events you will be attending?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Is that one that is kept in conjunction with
16	your family life and your wife?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Does she keep notes with regard to where you
19	are supposed to be sometimes?
20	A. She could. Almost never. If there is
21	someplace I need to be, it goes on the calendar, except
22	generally these meetings. I have just kept that in my
23	mind.
24	Q. Is that because these meetings are so important
25	that it is a vital function of Community Chapel that you

be there and know what is going on?

A. Partly.

- Q. Realizing the importance of these meetings and the issue of dissolution occurring at least on a number of occasions, what was the first contact that you had with the issue of dissolving the corporation, and how did it come about?
- A. Because there was so much happening, it would be hard for me to say specifically. I am sure that it came up in one of the meetings after Don had been disfellowshipped. If I recall correctly, it wasn't right away. It wasn't something that -- of course, I am not familiar with corporate function, but it wasn't something that was brought up immediately. It would have been brought up maybe the first week, maybe not, maybe the second week after he was disfellowshipped.
- Q. Was discussion very common in connection with some other events that had taken place at the Community Chapel?
  - A. I don't understand.
- Q. For example, if I told you the date that Donald Barnett came back to Community Chapel after the court order was issued by a judge, would that help you in figuring out when the dissolution discussions commenced in the elders' meetings?

A. No.

- Q. Did the discussions --
- A. No. I wasn't sure she got me.

  Go ahead.
- Q. Did the discussions at the elders' meetings with regard to dissolution of the corporation occur before the restraining order was issued by Judge Bates?
  - A. That I couldn't answer. I really don't know.
- Q. Did the discussions of dissolution of the corporation occur before the elders began holding their services on the East Campus of Community Chapel in March 1988?
  - A. What would be that date?
  - Q. That date would be March 11, 1988.
  - A. March 11 --
  - Q. Friday, March 11, 1988.
- A. I really don't know. What I do recall is that there was a period of time after Don was disfellowshipped because the concept was new to me.
- Q. As all good teachers and students, you try to obtain as much information as you possibly can as to what would be the effect of a dissolution?
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. What information did you obtain at the elders' meetings with regard to the dissolution and its effect?

A. As far as specific information obtained, there was no written documents. So, my information would not be of written legal support, but my impression --

- Q. I am not asking you for the written documents.

  I am asking for communications, and that could very well
  be verbal communication. Tell me what verbal
  communications and information came to you.
- A. That is kind of what I am getting at because I am not sure that I could tell you exactly what was stated.
  - Q. I am not asking for the exact words.

MR. ANDERSON: For the record, I object to any hearsay statements being asked for.

Go ahead and answer the question.

- A. I couldn't state exactly what was said. I could state the dissolution is questionable as to its results. There is not a pat answer for dissolution.
- Q. (By Mr. Pierce) Did Scott Hartley bring up the issue of dissolution of the corporation?
  - A. That I don't know.
- Q. Jack Hicks resigned on March 14, 1988. Were there discussions with regard to dissolution with Jack Hicks in the elders' meetings?
- A. I would have to answer that possibly we did, but I am not positive.

Q. Do you recall Jack Hicks making any statements with regard to dissolution of the corporation?

- A. You know, I'm not sure. I am really not. I do recall statements Jack making about the future leadership, the authority structure, but I don't remember him saying anything about dissolution.
- Q. What do you recall Jack saying about future leadership authority?
- A. Just to the effect that, with Jack gone, there is, according to our bylaws, still a majority leadership with Scott and Jack DuBois.
  - Q. This is something that Jack Hicks said?
  - A. Uh-huh.
  - O. Was this before he left?
- A. That I couldn't say. I think he had already left, basically, but he was in a meeting.
- Q. He came back to an elders' meeting after he resigned from his position as vice-president and general manager of Community Chapel?
- A. That I couldn't say. I am not sure of the date when he actually said this is my last day.
- Q. I am not concerned about the date of his actual saying that. I am concerned about his coming to an elders' meeting after he had resigned as the vice-president.

A. That is what I mean. I don't know.

- Q. This may have occurred before he resigned or after he resigned?
- A. Uh-huh. There was a period in which he knew he would resign, and there was a date at which he did resign. Whether this meeting was before or after, I would assume that the meeting would have been before, but I'm not positive of that.
- Q. Did anyone ever provide you any information after March 1, 1988, about what was going to happen if the corporation was dissolved?
- A. There was suggestions, possibilities, potentials.
- Q. Who would the individuals be that provided information with regard to that?
- A. Well, there are several that have done some legal research in the matter, I guess.
- Q. First of all, I am looking for who the individuals are who provided any information to you about the effect or what was going to happen if the corporation was dissolved.
- A. Scott Hartley, Chris Matthews, possibly Loren Krenelka, possibly Jack DuBois.
- Q. Were you present for any discussions with any attorneys with regard to the dissolution of Community

Chapel?

A. Not personally. Oh, yes. Yes, I was. I had forgotten about meetings that we had over at Greg Thiel's home. We had maybe two of those, two or three meetings at Greg Thiel's home. At those, Mr. Jim Leach was there, I believe, once or twice. I believe twice.

MR. ANDERSON: I will object to any testimony listed as to what Jim Leach may have told them.

MR. PIERCE: He is not an officer or director, and I can at least find out the information.

You are not an officer or director of the corporation.

Q. (By Mr. Pierce) What did Jim Leach say at Greg Thiel's home on these two to three times where you were present?

MR. PIERCE: He is not your client, either. You cannot direct him not to answer.

- A. I will just say this --
- Q. (By Mr. Pierce) You don't remember. That would make it easy, but if you do recall, you are under oath, and I want you to answer truthfully.
- A. Truthfully, my wife will tell you that I am being honest, but specifically what he said, I really couldn't tell you. I can tell you that my -- the result in my mind of what Jim Leach said was basically the same as what was said before concerning dissolution. It is an

(206) 682-1427

unknown where it is going to end up because there were questions, of course, directed to that end.

- Q. Who was present at these meetings at Greg Thiel's home?
  - A. Basically, the same group.
- Q. You will have to help me out a little more. If you want to look through this list, if that will make it easier for you.
- A. Better let me have it. Lanny Peterson, David Motherwell, Gerry Slaminski, Jack DuBois. I also remember George Bowker was at Greg Thiel's home. He wasn't here at this meeting.
  - Q. Besides the four individuals --
- A. Oh, yes, he was. Yes, he was. George was there. George was there, George Bowker.
  - Q. Any other individuals present at that meeting?
  - A. Yeah, Ralph, John --
  - Q. Excuse me, Ralph who?
- A. Ralph Alskog, John Harold, Ron Myrick, Scott Hartley, Russ Mackenzie, Greg Thiel, Chris Matthews. He was one present at that previous meeting, too.
- Q. You are talking about the March 24, '88, meeting?
  - A. Uh-huh.
  - Q. You left his name off before?

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

A. Yeah.

Q. Are any of these individuals not elders who were present at the meetings at Greg Thiel's house?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Who was not an elder?
- A. Chris Matthews, David Motherwell, John Bergin

  -- that is another one I left off, and he would have been
  present at both. I believe that is it. Ralph Alskog, I
  believe, is an elder. So, there would just be those
  three.
  - O. Where does Chris Matthews work?
- A. He works as basically the director of the counseling center.
  - Q. Of the Community Chapel?
  - A. Yes.
  - O. David Motherwell is a --
  - A. Lead counselor.
  - Q. Counselor at community Chapel?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. John Bergin, where does he work?
  - A. He is also a counselor.
- Q. How long did these meetings, these two to three meetings at Greg Thiel's house, take?
  - A. Oh, about two to three hours, approximately.
  - Q. For each of them?

403 SEATTLE TOWER SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101 (206) 682-1427

A. Uh-huh.

- Q. Two to three hours, two to three times, and the only thing you remember Jim Leach saying is the dissolution is unknown as to what is going to happen?
- A. I could probably pick out other things that he had said, but as far as picking up things that he has said, you know, statements or whatever, I don't know that I could.
- Q. I am not asking for quotes. I am asking for what you understood him to say.
- A. Yeah. Yeah, he didn't speak that much. Concerning what he said, he spoke of dissolution. He spoke of his trust that the Christian community was praying for us. By what I mean by "us" is all of Community Chapel.
- Q. He indicated to you that he was an elder of a church in the Seattle area?
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. He also indicated to you that they were in support of the eldership and that the elders should have taken the action that they did earlier?
- A. He may have said something along that line, but I am not sure.
- Q. Now, why were you having the meetings at Greg Thiel's house?

A. I believe the first time was because allegedly there was an effort made to bug the music recording studio.

- Q. Who indicated that to you?
- A. I can't remember. It may have been Ralph Alskog. I can't remember.
- Q. Do you remember when these meetings took place in Greg Thiel's home?
- A. Greg's, I think, were on Sunday. It may have been around the time of our break. We had a break in April. I think we had one Sunday, and then maybe another Sunday, and then maybe another meeting after that.
- Q. So, as best you can recall, the meetings at Greg Thiel's home began in April of 1988? Do you need to take a look at a calendar to refresh your memory?
  - A. Yeah, I would guess it would be April.
- No. No. Let's see. Yeah, possibly. That would be a hard one to remember which date that was. I may have -- no, I didn't write any of the elders' meetings on my calendar at home, except maybe one or two, and I don't know if I had that one written down on my home calendar or not. So, I don't know the date.
- Q. Going back just to make sure I remember this right, you said there was, between March 4, which possibly is the date of the disfellowship, and March 21,

the date of the petition to dissolve the corporation, there were two to three meetings of the elders to discuss dissolution of the corporation?

- A. Yeah. I could say safely there were in two or three meetings discussion about dissolution.
- Q. Was there any discussion in any of those two to three meetings about what would happen to the assets of Community Chapel upon dissolution of the corporation?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. What was your understanding of what was going to occur to the assets?
- A. An unknown, that that was depending on action taken in court. In the event of an accepted dissolution, if it went into receivership, that would mean one thing, and then it could go any way at that point, too. It depended.
- Q. Were the assets to go to a non-profit corporation?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. You understood that?
  - A. I understood that.
- Q. In these elders' meetings before March 21, was there a discussion about a new corporation being formed to possibly in the future accept the assets of a dissolved Community Chapel?

20

21

22 23

24

25

Α. Yes, that was the potential.

Do you recall who brought that up? Q.

Α. Huh-uh. I remember several people talking about it.

Who talked about it? Q.

Lanny Peterson, Scott, George Bowker. Α.

Anyone else? Q.

A. We all talked about it. As far as it originating with anybody, I really couldn't say. I do remember statements from them, but --

In these pre-March 21, '88 elders' meetings, do you recall what Lanny Peterson said about a future corporation for the dissolved corporation assets?

No, I couldn't tell you. I couldn't give you a Α. quote.

I'm not asking you to recall -- I doubt I could Q. recall what everyone said, but do you remember what he said, to the best of your memory, and if you could tell me that, please.

MR. ANDERSON: Of course, the hearsay objection is continuing.

Α. I have to be honest with you. I really couldn't tell you. I really don't know. I remember being in the conversation.

(By Mr. Pierce) You gave me three people that Q.

 you did recall talking about a new corporation to accept the assets, and I just thought I would start with one and see if you recalled him saying anything. Let's go to Scott Hartley. Do you recall Scott Hartley saying anything in one of these pre-March 21 elder meetings about a potential corporation to accept the assets of Community Chapel if it was dissolved?

- A. No.
- Q. You recall them talking about it, but you don't recall what he said?
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Do you recall anything that George Bowker would have said?
  - A. No.
- Q. Why do you recall these three people out of all of the elders as having talked about that issue?
- A. I am wondering. It could be that I talked to them personally, and we discussed ourselves and, you know, batting around ideas.
- Q. Prior to March 21 but not in an elders' meeting?
- A. See, that I couldn't tell you. I really honestly at this point am not sure whether I could say absolutely that we had discussed these things personally at a meeting or whether it was outside of a meeting, but

3

5

4

6

8

7

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

it was discussed in a meeting not at real great length because, you know, that is where it is at.

- Did any individual talk to you after March 1, 1988, with regard to your being a pastor of a new church?
  - Α. Yes.
  - Who were those individuals? 0.
- Not from the eldership. There have been Α. different people that have approached me and asked would I or had I thought of being a pastor.
- 0. After March 1, 1988, who would those individuals be?
- Α. Boy, Lorraine Frank. Nobody has asked me "Would you please become pastor."
  - I'm not asking --0.
- I just want to make it clear. Right. Α. been stated "Are you going to be pastor?" Boy, who -- I would guess it has happened at least probably half a dozen times.
- Besides Lorraine Frank, who are the other individuals who would have discussed pastorship with you after March 1, 1988?
  - I could guess Jenni Wilson, but I'm not sure. Α.
  - 0. Jenni?
- Α. Jenni, yes. J-e-n-n-i, Wilson. I could guess Kevin Anderson, a Bible college student. I could guess

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

George Bowker. There it would have been just a question.

I could guess Robin Anderson. It's been passing

conversation.

- Q. With these individuals?
- A. Yeah.
- Q. Do you recall other individuals?
- A. Not offhand. I am having a hard time. I could even be wrong with those. It's been passing statements, and I talk to a lot of people.
  - Q. That is your job, isn't it, talking?
  - A. Exactly.
- Q. You said, I believe, when you started this answer or at the end of the previous answer that it was not included with any conversation with any of the elders?
  - A. Cor\_ect.
  - Q. Why?
  - A. Did I say that?
  - Q. Yes, why did you say that?
- A. I have not been asked to become a pastor by any of the elders. But we may have talked, like George and I may have talked about it. He may have asked me.
- Q. You do not recall a conversation with George Bowker with regard to that issue?
  - A. I am sure we did. We are close friends. We

have talked a lot. I know I have the -- the question is, though, how many have approached me with it. Whether I brought it up to George or whether he brought it up to me, who knows.

- Q. The question is not who approached you. Have you had any discussions after March 1, 1988, with any individual with regard to your being pastor of a church?
  - A. That would be -- that's it.
  - Q. The list that you gave me?
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. You don't recall any other individuals? If you don't recall, just say --
- A. At this point, I don't. Honestly, if I sat and thought for quite a while, I may come up with some other names. But right now, off the top of my head, I can't.
- Q. Do you know of any actions that have been taken from the elders or the senior elders with regard to the assets of the corporation being protected for the benefit of the future corporation?
  - A. You would have to explain to me -
    MR. ANDERSON: Did you say "decisions"?
- Q. (By Mr. Pierce) Do you know of any individual taking any action during the year 1988 to move the assets of the corporation either now or into the future into a new corporation to be formed or formed at the present

time?

- A. No.
- Q. Do you know of any of the property of the Community Chapel being moved off the premises at the present time for protection or for any purpose other than in the normal operation of the Community Chapel?
  - A. No.
- Q. Are you familiar with a 1985 memorandum to ministers that was prepared by Jack Hicks regarding the reimbursement or gifts to ministers?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. When did you become familiar with that?
- A. I finally remembered having received it initially when it was brought up in an elders' meeting at some time.
  - Q. Did you bring it up in an elders' meeting?
  - A. No.
- Q. Did somebody else bring it up at an elders' meeting?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Do you know who did?
- A. It could have been Scott, or it could have been Don Lockrem. It could have been -- no, I guess I don't really remember who brought it up.
  - Q. It was not you, though?

A. No.

Q. Let me check through the exhibits to see if I haven't missed something here.

(Discussion off the record.)

- Q. (By Mr. Pierce) I will show you what has been marked as Exhibit 116 to these proceedings, which appears to be a March 13, 1988, letter directed to Donald Barnett, which has signatures of a number of employees in the corporation. Would you review that and see if your signature is included in there.
  - A. Yes, it should be. Yes, it is.
- Q. Who requested that you sign that document?

  MR. ANDERSON: I object to the form of the question. It is leading.
- A. I don't remember being requested to sign it. I remember being shown it with the choice of whether or not I wanted to sign it. I believe it was brought in one of our meetings to us that we were in the meeting, and I couldn't tell you who brought it in. I don't remember who made it up.
- Q. (By Mr. Pierce) What you are saying, am I correct to say, is this Exhibit 116 was shown to you in an elders' meeting, and that is where you saw it on the first occasion?
  - A. I believe so.

Q. You don't recall who --

A. I am pretty sure. As far as who had it, I think Loren Krenelka had it.

- Q. Why do you say that?
- A. It just appears to me that he had it.

No. No, I think it was David Motherwell. I think David Motherwell had it.

- Q. Did David Motherwell say anything about Exhibit 116, that letter, at the elders' meeting?
- A. All I can remember is it was read. The content of it is already, obviously, assented to by all of the eldership. So, we would clearly be those that would have this mentality and want to present it.
- Q. Was there any discussions by any individual at the time that Mr. Motherwell presented it?
  - A. I am sure there was.
  - Q. Do you recall what was said by Scott Hartley?
  - A. No, I couldn't tell you.
- Q. Do you recall what anyone else said about that document?
- A. What I recall is that it was already being signed. There were sheets going around that were being signed, and it appeared that the majority of the staff would be signing it. If there was only one signing it, it was me. I would have signed it, anyway, but I recall

there was a majority of the staff that was signing it.

- Q. So, the first time you saw it was when staff was signing it?
  - A. Yeah, it was already being signed.
- Q. So, this was not at an elders' meeting the first time you saw it?
- A. I believe it was, but it was already out. In other words, this wasn't just drawn up by the eldership committee, as I recall. I didn't draw it up. I had nothing to do with drawing it up.
- Q. But the first time you saw it was not when staff was signing it outside of an elders' meeting, but rather at an elders' meeting, after it had already been presented and was being presented to staff members?
- A. Yes, I believe it was being presented in the eldership at the same time it was being presented outside of the eldership, I believe.
- Q. This has a date of March 13, '88. Do you recall when that was?
  - A. Huh-uh.
- Q. I can tell you that was a Sunday, March 13, 1988. Does that refresh your memory as to where you were when you first saw this letter?
- A. I didn't think it was a Sunday. It could have been. It could have been a Sunday when we were signing

it, but I didn't think that it was. This was presented to Don Barnett on the Sunday; is that what you are saying?

- Q. No. I am just saying it has a date of March 13, 1988, which is a Sunday.
- A. I would assume it was printed up on Sunday and signed at some date after that. I would assume, but I may be wrong.
- Q. Do you recall at one of the Sunday services that staff members -- Sunday service, March 13, 1988 -- staff members at Community Chapel were asked to go back and see their department heads in the foyer to discuss matters?
- A. I remember hearing about it, but I wasn't there.
- Q. Would that have occurred on March 13, 1988, during the Sunday service?
- A. That is a guess, because I wasn't there. I remember hearing about it happening.
- Q. You would not have been present on March 13, 1988, at Sunday services?
- A. I wasn't present when that happened. If that is what happened on the 13th, I wasn't there. I missed several Sundays.
  - Q. The reason for missing was what?

- A. I can't remember them all. There was one sickness. One, you know --
  - Q. Would you be traveling to other churches?
- A. No, I think a couple of them I was with my family. I think we just stayed home.
- Q. Do you recall any discussion in any meeting with the elders or Scott Hartley or Jack DuBois where a discussion of the offerings at East Campus were discussed?
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Do you recall the first time this was discussed?
  - A. No. I wouldn't recall that.
  - Q. Do you recall any discussions that occurred?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Tell us what you recall.
- A. Well, concerning offerings, I was gone. I was gone, and I missed a couple or three Sundays in a row shortly after the -- somewhere during this time. I recall discussions about offerings either not being taken or something similar to that after the fact, and that we, you know -- I believe that there was a -- either some fears or concerns or whatever about what possibly could be done with the money, and that decision was later reversed to take offerings on the East Campus.

Q. Was there a meeting held to discuss taking offerings?

- A. No.
- Q. Who made the decision to take offerings?
- A. Probably, like all of our decisions have been, basically a joint decision, discussion, and then agreement or disagreement or whatever.
  - Q. Would this have been at an elders' meeting?
- A. When I remember talking about it, it was at an elders' meeting.
- Q. That was a discussion to start taking offerings again?
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Do you recall anything that was said at that meeting by any of the individuals?
- A. As far as individuals, again, I know Scott was talking. Chris Matthews was talking. Lanny Peterson would have been talking. Usually, in a meeting, everybody talks at one point or another. So, probably everybody had something to say about it. At that point, I just recall that the conclusion that I came to, anyway, was that, obviously, the funds were under corporate protection and corporate policy, whatever, and they would not be able to be used by a disfellowshipped pastor and, primarily, by his own choice, and that rather it was

under a corporate. So, offerings should be taken and would be taken.

- Q. Did somebody express the desire that offerings not be taken at the eldership meeting?
  - A. At one of the elders' meetings.
- Q. Offerings were stopped at one point in time on the East Campus; is that correct?
- A. Uh-huh, to my understanding, one weekend, and I wasn't there.
- Q. The elders discussed starting up the offerings again on the East Campus, and you were present at that elders' meeting?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. At that elders' meeting, where it was discussed as to whether or not to have offerings taken up again on the East Campus, did any individuals express a desire that offerings not be taken?
- A. There was no dissent. There was no dissent.

  It was basically a decision based on more information had to be gathered. So, there wasn't somebody that said no.
- Q. I am not asking about a vote, yea or nay. I am asking whether anybody provided any information as to why or that they did not want the offerings to be taken.
  - A. No, not based on the new information.
  - Q. What was the new information that was provided?

- A. Basically, what I just shared, that funds were not under a specific direction of a disfellowshipped pastor, but they were rather corporate funds and, therefore, would be subject to the majority, the senior elders and the corporation.
- Q. I will show you what is marked as Exhibit 116, which is an exhibit to Loren Krenelka's deposition, and on it is three sections. The first is employees who are already signed. Number two is employees who have submitted resignations, and number three is the following employees have resignations pending if Don Barnett remains the pastor and president of Community Chapel. On Page 2, which is a continuation of Item 3, your name appears here?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Do you have a resignation pending?
- A. Consciously and mentally, yes. I do not have a sheet of paper that says I will resign, but that is what I will do. I have a resignation pending, yes.
  - Q. Have you expressed that to anybody?
- A. I am sure I have. It has been basically an understood concept since way back in the time of early March, after Don's disfellowship and, at that point, coming back into the pulpit, and from that point, it has been my personal conviction. I am sure we have expressed

that plenty of times, you know.

- Q. Are you familiar with the operation of the corporation as a business?
- A. Not really a whole lot. I have never involved myself. I have never been involved in that area.
- Q. Do you have any training in the operations of a church?
- A. No, no training. I have been strictly theological.
- Q. Do you have any information that the offerings in this corporation have been intentionally lowered in order for the corporation to have less income coming in from the East Campus?

MR. ANDERSON: I object to the form of the question.

Do you understand the question?

- A. Well, it is definitely somewhat unclear, as far as objecting. As far as I know, I already answered that. The objection that I am aware of was the one that was made when --
  - Q. (By Mr. Pierce) Continue on, if you can.
- A. -- was made on that Sunday morning when, evidently, offerings were requested to not be given. But whatever Sunday that was, you would have that date.

Then after that point, after further

discussion, it was concluded that offerings should be continued.

Q. Do you know of any individuals who have discussed or intend to keep the offerings lower on the East Campus?

MR. ANDERSON: I object to the form of the question.

Q. (By Mr. Pierce) You can answer.

THE WITNESS: You are objecting to the form of the question?

Q. (By Mr. Pierce) You can answer it. It is a legal position that he is taking, but it doesn't mean you do not have to answer the question. The judge will make a determination later as to whether or not this answer should stand.

MR. ANDERSON: Repeat your question.

(The pending question was read by the court reporter.)

- A. Have discussed keeping offerings lower or discussed --
  - Q. (By Mr. Pierce) Discussed or intended to keep.
- A. Or intended to keep offerings lower -- at this point, the intention, I couldn't answer that. Discussing to keep offerings lower, the only discussion was that we need to explain to the people, "Give your offerings."

What they do with them, whether they give or whether they don't give is entirely up to them.

- Q. On a scriptural basis, is there an obligation for the members of the congregation to provide funds to the corporation, to the church?
- A. Yeah. If you would say "obligation," no. It is a request, definitely, scriptural request.
  - Q. Is there a tithing obligation?
- A. It is not an obligation, but it is a request.

  I would make a differentiation between the two. Just like it is not an obligation to pray, but it is a request.
- Q. There is a tithing obligation in the Old Testament, isn't there?
  - A. Yes, under the law.
- Q. Do you know if Community Chapel has adopted that Old Testament standard for the tithing obligation for members of the congregation?
- A. It basically an accepted standard, but so is certain amounts of prayer and other things. So, it is not an obligation. It is an accepted standard.
- Q. First, there is an obligation under the Old Testament to provide a tithing?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. That is an obligation?



Α.

0.

0.

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

teaching obligation means.

A. A standard for t

Testament obligation.

A. A standard for teaching purposes.

That is an obligation.

that Old Testament standard of tithing?

teaching purposes but not an obligation.

Do you know if Community Chapel has adopted

Could you explain to me what the standard for

It is used as a standard for

It has definitely not accepted that Old

Q. What does that mean?

What that means is, if one is to support a work Α. or a ministry, that it would be expected of them to give That would represent the free-will offering to a degree. of his heart and depending on the needs that are present, depending on the needs that are present and depending on the free will of the heart. Generally, a person would give a tenth or more, at least in our day and age. don't, but many do. My understanding -- I have not followed the tithing percentages of the people in our But I have heard at times that it has been congregation. 60 percent that tithe and 40 percent don't, somewhere around there. This has been in past years.

Q. I didn't hear you.

A. I'm just saying it is not an obligation, proven by the fact certain percentages of the obligation do not

• •

tithe, evidently. I have never looked at the figures. I don't know. I have heard something to the effect, in past years, 60 percent do tithe, and maybe 40 percent don't.

- Q. Correct me if I am wrong. You said the tithing obligation is only for teaching purposes?
- A. It is a standard for teaching purposes, definitely.
- Q. By "standard," is that as the general term would be used in ordinary speech context?
- A. If a person were to want to say, "Well, how much do I give?" well, here is a standard. This is how they did it in the Old Testament, and this is the reasons. You have to make your own decision, but this is the standard.
- Q. Tithing is to be done by the congregation based upon the need that is present and the conviction in their heart?
  - A. Uh-huh.
  - Q. Is that a correct interpretation?
  - A. Good.

You can become a theological teacher.

Q. Besides tithing, are there other forms of contributions to the church by members of the congregation?

1 Yes, time, contributing time. You can Α. 2 volunteer. 3 Q. I mean on a monetary basis. 4 If you are talking about the term "tithe" and Α. 5 "offering" -- is that what you are referring to? 6 0. Yes. 7 Basically, all that means is an offering is any Α. 8 percentage above a tithe. A tithe is 10 percent. 9 The concept of offering is also used at 10 Community Chapel? 11 Α. Oh, yes. 12 Q. The purpose of giving is the purpose by the 13 congregation it be used? 14 Certainly. A. 15 So, I assume, if it is the amount above the Q. 16 tithe, then the tithing obligation for giving of the 17 congregation must be used, too? 18 Α. Sure. It is a standard but nobody is forced. 19 Q. Do you talk to the members of the congregation 20 with regard to their tithing obligation? 21 A. At times. 22 When the need is present? Q. 23 Α. Uh-huh. 24 We certainly can't force their heart to give if Q.

25

they don't want to?

A. Exactly.

2

Q. But we do talk to the congregation when the need is present?

4

A. It has happened.

5

6

Q. Is there a present need in the corporation for funds to be tithed or offerings to be made?

7

A. As far as I know, as much as there ever was. There always has been.

8

Q. You have been in charge of two services since

10

March 4, 1988?

A. Why have you not presented to the congregation

11 12

the need of the corporation and a discussion with regard to tithing and offerings?

13

14

A. Any more than I haven't done it in the past. I

15

have never done it personally.

Q. Have any of the other members of the elders

16 17

done it?

18

A. Rarely, if ever. It has always been Don Barnett, the pastor.

19 20

Q. There is no pastor at the East Campus?

21

A. No.

0.

22 23

responsibility to seeing to the operation of the

24

services, worship services, on the East Campus for the

Has there been one person who has taken the

25

- A. There is generally a committee of four that choose preachers.
  - Q. Who is the committee of four?
- A. The committee of four to choose preachers is

  Lanny Peterson -- he is the chairman -- myself, Greg

  Thiel and Russ Mackenzie.
- Q. Does this committee of four get together to discuss what is going to occur at the services?
- A. No. It is basically, as one has something to add or if one wants to preach, we have our basic rallying point has been Lanny. He is the chairman, if he wants to make a point or take the service himself or whatever.
- Q. Do you feel that a minister who is ministering to the congregation has a duty to see to the financial well-being of the church and the congregation?
  - A. Overall, obviously.
- Q. Do you think those matters have to be discussed with the congregation and brought forward in some means by the ministers who are ministering?
- A. Obviously, the pulpit is the means of communication for whatever needs to be communicated.
- Q. We know the means of making that communication to the members of the congregation. My question is: Do you feel that the minister who is ministering has an obligation to communicate the needs to the congregation?

A. In the financial area, this would not be an individual personal responsibility. Very few of the others even deal with the financial areas of the church. If one should or shouldn't, that would, of course, have to be a decision that came from those that were dealing in the financial areas.

- Q. I am not talking about the elders, and I am not talking about the ones dealing in the financial. I am talking about the people ministering, people in charge of the services.
  - A. That is what I am saying.
- Q. You do not believe that ministers should have that obligation to bring these matters before the congregation?
- A. Like I said, that would be a -- generally, an overall, of course, obviously, because the pulpit would be the place for that information to be disseminated. If there was one pastor over the church, he would see to it very carefully, I am sure, that that would take place.
- Q. Do you know of any other churches where the pastor has been set aside by the elders, and the elders have gone forward or somebody similar to the elders has gone forward to control the operation of the church or worship services?
  - A. I don't know of any. I have heard. Alexander

Dowie, who was at the turn of the century, was put out by his elders. The reason I bring him up is because he is quite a renowned figure. So, he is known among certain Christian circles.

As far as in my day and looking about that the elders have put out a pastor, I probably have heard of cases. I can't think of any right now.

- Q. In the last approximately 80 years, do you know of any event in any other church in any other faith similar to the one at Community Chapel where the elders have decided that the pastor should not be any longer allowed to lead the flock, parishioners, or however you want to refer to it?
  - A. Yes, I have heard of case.
  - Q. Can you tell me one.
- A. I think there was one over here at the Angle Lake Neighborhood Church, I believe, where a pastor was basically ousted by the elders, ten years, fifteen years ago, I would guess.
- Q. At this Angle Lake Church, where the pastor was put out, the elders had the responsibility to see to the contributions that were coming into the church to keep it operating, didn't they?
- A. Who knows? I don't know very much about that particular situation.

- Q. Did you look into the obligation of yourself as the minister for Community Chapel to see to the proper operation of the church as the minister?
- A. There may be a misunderstanding you have concerning my responsibility, so I will clarify it.
  - Q. Sure.
- A. My responsibility is over the preaching of the Word, and I have not been involved in financial matters concerning the operation of the corporation. In fact, the idea of a corporation is quite distinct from the scriptural understanding of the church. It is basically a functional system for a large ministry which is, you know, outside of the definitions of Biblical function of the church. So, my responsibility has not been in the financial area.
- Q. If we did not have a corporation here, and we had a number of members of this church here, the only way this church could operate, wouldn't you agree, is if people gave money to the church?
  - A. Well, certainly, financially.
- Q. If you were ministering to these people for their church without considering a corporation at all, you would need funds to do that to provide benefits; is that correct?
  - A. Certainly.

Q. Are you saying that, as a minister of a church, the minister does not have an obligation for the benefit of the church to go out and to seek funds to operate and run the church?

- A. Certainly, if he has that oversight.
- Q. Other than the committee of four, is there anybody else who has the oversight with regard to the ministering to the members of the congregation?
- A. That is a multi-handed question, because we all minister. All of the elders minister to the church, and all of the ministers minister to the church. The four in the committee just deal with the preaching and the actual direction of the service. If anybody, any minister, has anything else they want to share during the service or to deal with any matters, of course, they have the freedom to do so. Those four are not now all of a sudden representing the entire direction of the corporation. All they deal with is the services, as far as the general running of the services.
- Q. The running of the services to this committee of four has been delegated by the entire eldership?
- A. Yes, basically, because these four are and have been the basic preachers. That's why. They have been the ones that have been doing the most preaching from the pulpit.

- Q. Are you familiar with the bylaws of the Community Chapel?
  - A. Some of them.
- Q. Are you familiar with portions of the bylaws that relate to the Community Church?
  - A. I guess I don't understand.
- Q. I show you what has been marked as Exhibit 82.

  There is on the second page of that exhibit, in the

  Index, a section entitled "Division 2, Church of

  Community Chapel and Bible Training Center"?
  - A. Okay.
- Q. Are you familiar with this division of the bylaws of the corporation dealing with the church?
- A. You know, ten years ago, I read through them.

  I haven't really looked at them recently. There have
  been aspects of them I have looked at recently. It would
  be a partial familiarity. There is no way I could, of
  course, reiterate the specific detailed authority and
  responsibility delineation. That is why we have the
  bylaws. I don't have that in my head.
  - Q. Excuse me. The reason we have bylaws is what?
- A. It is detailed. I don't have all of the details in my head. You are asking me am I familiar. I am familiar they exist.

(Discussion off the record.)

Q. (By Mr. Pierce) I will show you what is Exhibit 82 to these depositions, Page 25, which has under it Section J. Could you read that for us, Section J.

- A. "We believe that all New Testament believers should purpose in their heart to financially support the ministry of their church with at least as much liberality as the tithe and offering which were required to be given to the Lord under the Old Covenant."
- Q. The Old Covenant is the Old Testament that we were talking about?
  - A. Right.
- Q. Is this a portion of the tenets that you believe in?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Have you viewed the other portions of the bylaws at one point in time to determine if those were words that you could live by?
- A. It was just curiosity reading. As far as live by them, you know, it would just totally depend. There have always been aspects of the bylaws that I never really reviewed and really thought about. Some of them I have had questions about. But I have never made any diligent search to decide whether or not I agreed with all of it. I am sure that I would. I agree with that. I am sure I would agree with most, if not all, of the

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

tenets of faith. I would imagine that I agree with them all.

- Mr. Yokers, when the pastor was reinstated by Q. the judge to be the president and pastor of the corporation of Community Chapel and Bible Training Center, did you have some concerns with regard to your ability to follow the directions of the pastor, Don Barnett?
- Α. I had no concerns. I mean, you know, there wasn't any concern, really.
  - Q. You signed that --
  - A. That is what I say. There was no concern. MR. ANDERSON: Is the question clear?
- Α. I was already clear on what I was going to do. So, I had no concern.
- (By Mr. Pierce) You never intended to follow 0. Don Barnett in any way with regard to the operation of the business or the church; is that correct?
- No, I definitely could not follow him in Α. spiritual matters. As far as the corporation, the corporation is a state institution. I didn't have much choice there. So, I have had no problem or contesting with that. It is just, as far as any spiritual direction, I will not receive that from him.
  - Q. Why didn't you just move on to another church,

1 rather than stay in Community Chapel when the pastor was 2 reinstated as the pastor at Community Chapel? 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 0. 13 1969; is that correct? 14 '73 or '74. Α. 15 Q. 16 17 A. 18 basically. 19 0. 20 A. As far as following him? 21 Q. Yes. 22 Well --A.

23

24

25

Because I am an elder. As an elder, I have a personal -- a deep personal conviction of my responsibility for the people. It is a very clear Biblical principle that all of the elders should have the shepherd's heart, which I have, and I love them, and I teach in Bible college, and I preach. I felt like now, more than ever, they could use what I have for them, what I have given them to continue. So, in my mind, I couldn't in good faith at that point just walk off. You were a Bible college teacher commencing in During that time period, you continued to follow the teachings of Donald Barnett as a pastor? Basically. I have had differences, but Up until what point in time? An approximate date. Q. Α. That is an interesting question. I could take

that several ways. Give them all -- there aren't any

directives from Don that I have ever just disregarded up to this day, actually. He doesn't give me many, if any, directives. He is involved with many things that aren't just the Bible college.

As far as his spiritual leadership, that has been a great question to me ever since 1985, of his ability to spiritually lead our church. So, this was just simply the coup de gras on concerns that I have had for some time.

- Q. Why not express your concerns to members of the Community Chapel that would follow your direction and move to an areas where you could better serve the members of the church that were willing to follow your interpretations of the Bible and teachings?
- A. A disagreement and a concern certainly wasn't a reason to leave or divide at that time.
- Q. When did you first believe that you were unable to follow the direction of Donald Barnett as the overseer of the Community Chapel?
- A. That came during our discussions, eldership meetings and discussions, with Don.
  - Q. So, not in 1985?
- A. No. No. You know, you can have grave concerns, particularly because of the basic -- there has just been a lot of intimidation toward dissenting views.

A concern would be a matter of personal prayer and hoping that the Lord would deal with the heart. It certainly wouldn't -- concerns wouldn't be a matter for me to rise up and try to start another church. I have never had any desire to do that, and I don't now.

- Q. You have no desire to be a pastor of a church?
- A. No, not at this point.
- Q. Have you indicated that to every person who has made an inquiry to you?
- A. Everybody I know who has talked to me, I have told them very clearly, because of what the Lord has shared with my heart, at this point, it is out of the question. I don't know when it could be changed because I have been called into the ministry by the Lord. So, whatever route that takes in the future -- but right now, definitely not.
- Q. You don't believe you would be able to shepherd members of the flock as a pastor rather than as an elder?
- A. Definitely. Definitely, if I were pastor, I would have a greater control over, you know -- and a larger voice, naturally.
- Q. You have no experience in the financial areas to operate a church as a pastor?
- A. No. I have taught on it, basically, but not specifically, and I have never dealt with it. I have

never been a part of it.

- Q. What did your teachings involve with regard to this?
- A. Basically, in starting a church, a small church, and some of the basic steps that need to be done, you know, as far as collections and either as a fellowship or as a newly incorporated church, whatever.
- Q. Have you talked with any elders of Community
  Chapel with regard to any type of fellowship or new
  church, the formation of one, and provided them with any
  information they might need since January 1, 1988?
  - A. Sure, basically what I have already told you.
- Q. We know there was a general discussion in two to three elders' meetings between March 4 and March 21. Other than the information that you provided to us that there was the basic discussion that it might occur at some point in time, did anyone request information about how to do it?
  - A. No.
- Q. Did you volunteer to provide that information to anyone?
  - A. No.

MR. PIERCE: I have no further questions MR. ANDERSON: I just have a few.

#### 2

### 3

### •

### 5

### 6 7

## 8

## 9

### 10

#### 11

### 12

## 13

### 14

### 15

### 16

# 17

# 19

#### 20

### 21

22

24

25

#### EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. ANDERSON:

- Q. Are you familiar with the number of people in attendance at a Sunday morning service, say, in January of 1988, an average, if there is an average service?
- A. No. I have heard figures that our church attendance --

MR. PIERCE: I object to any hearsay that might have come in with regard to self-supporting without foundation.

- Q. (By Mr. Anderson) You have no idea how many people were there in January?
- A. No. I know it would be over a thousand in January we are talking about.
- Q. How about right the last four Sundays; do you know approximately how many individuals have come to the East Campus?
- A. There wasn't any East Campus. The two campuses didn't start until --
  - Q. We are talking about right now.
  - A. That didn't happen in January.
  - Q. I am moving on.
  - A. You are in March?
  - Q. Do you know how many --

MR. PIERCE: I think he said the last

four.

Α.

2

1

A. On the East Campus?

3

Q. (By Mr. Anderson) Yes.

4 5

and that depends on which service. Some services are

I have heard numbers anywhere from 750 to 350,

6

more attended than others. Sunday morning is usually

7

the largest.

8

Q. Are you familiar with a lot of people at your

9

A. Yes.

10 11

Q. Do you know what a lot of people do for a

12

living?

friends.

church?

13

A. Probably, not that. I am not, you know, close

14

I could be generally aware, maybe.

15

MR. ANDERSON: No further questions.

16

17

### FURTHER EXAMINATION

18

BY MR. PIERCE:

19 20

Q. Do you have any problems with my reviewing that tape recording from March 24?

21

A. No.

22 23

Q. Do you know who I would contact with regard to other tape recordings of elders' meetings in 1988?

24

A. Loren Krenelka, I would suppose.

25

Q. Did you get these from some individual?

- A. Those are copies I personally made from the original, and I just took the originals because it was my presentation.
  - Q. Where are the originals kept?
  - A. At home. This is a copy of the originals.
  - Q. Who made the originals?
- A. It was right there, a tape recorder, I believe, provided by Loren Krenelka because he is right there.
- Q. Is this an extra copy for me; is that what you are saying?
- A. Well, I prefer not. I would like to keep it.

  If you take it, make a copy, because I use them. You know, I am passing them on to different friends. There is information that I would like to disseminate. I would like to keep the copy.

(The deposition concluded at 5:40 p.m.)

(By agreement between counsel and the witness, signature was waived.)

#### CERTIFICATE

STATE	OF	WASHINGTON	)	
			)	SS
COUNTY	OE	KING	)	

I, the undersigned officer of the Court under my commission as a Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, hereby certify that the foregoing deposition upon oral examination of the witness named herein was taken stenographically before me and thereafter transcribed under my direction;

That the witness before examination was first duly sworn by me to testify truthfully; that the transcript of the deposition is a full, true and correct transcript of the testimony, including questions and answers and all objections, motions, and exceptions of counsel made and taken at the time of the foregoing examination;

Page 1 of 2



That I am neither attorney for, nor a relative or employee of any of the parties to this action; further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor finacially interested in its outcome.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 20th day of April 1988.

NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the State of Washington residing at

Page 2 of 2

Rough SO Associate S

405 SEATTLE TOWER SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101 (206) 682-1427