

111 1 1 5:30 pm.

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY

DONALD L. BARNETT

Plaintiff,

V

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

JACK A. HICKS, JACK H.
DuBOIS and E. SCOTT HARTLEY,
individually and as the
Board of Directors of
COMMUNITY CHAPEL AND BIBLE
TRAINING CENTER,

Defendants.

NO. 88-2-04148-2

DECLARATION OF DONALD
L. BARNETT IN ANSWER TO
DECLARATION OF DAVID
MOTHERWELL IN OPPOSITION
TO BARNETT'S MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

I, Donald L. Barnett, declare:

- 1. I make this declaration to respond to some of the more important misstatements in David Motherwell's declaration of December 10 in opposition to my motion for summary judgment.
- 2. Paragraph 3 of Mr. Motherwell's declaration is false. The senior elders did not have the power to place individuals on special status or to disfellowship them unless the individual member and the senior elder had agreed that the senior elder would act as the individual's counselor. The senior elders were not automatically "counselors" to any member of the church. Before a senior elder could be a

DECLARATION OF DONALD L. BARNETT IN ANSWER TO DECLARATION OF DAVID MOTHERWELL IN OPPOSITION TO BARNETT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 1

EDWARDS, SIEH, WIGGINS & HATHAWAY, P.S.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

5501 COLUMBIA CENTER

701 FIFTH AVENUE
SEATTLE WASHINGTON SBIGA
IFUL BHUNK BOSH SBIGA

26

87

- 3. Mr. Motherwell has completely misstated the nature of the counseling at Community Chapel. Before the counseling center was established, a member could go to any elder or the pastor for counseling. As the church grew, we hired a counselor. He supervised many voluntary counselors. At the same time, people could still go to the elders for counseling.
- 4. There came a change when the counseling center was established. At that point the policy was that there would be no counseling except by counselors in the counseling center. We had a significant problem with people going to successive counselors trying to get advice they wanted to hear. We did not want people getting different advice from different counselors. For this reason, we consolidated all counselors within the counseling center and coordinated information among counselors. At this point, members were not to go to an elder for counseling unless the elder was part of

DECLARATION OF DONALD L. BARNETT IN ANSWER TO DECLARATION OF DAVID MOTHERWELL IN OPPOSITION TO BARNETT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

EDWARDS, SIEH, WIGGINS & HATHAWAY, P.S.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

6501 COLUMBIA CENTER
701 FIFTH AVENUE

TOI FIFTH AVENUE SFATTLE WASHINGTON SBIGA THE FRHINE (205: 524-2514 the counseling center. This became the policy of Community Chapel.

- 5. It is quite likely that the policy against going to elders for counseling was not rigidly enforced. People had gone to elders and senior elders in the past, and they may have continued to do so, although I have no recollection of such a situation.
- 6. A counselor could not just disfellowship a person. First, there had to be an agreement between the counselor and the member to undertake a counseling relationship. Second, there had to be some counseling before action was taken by the counselor. The counselor could not just hear about a problem and then disfellowship the person. They had to work with the person for a time.
- 7. Mr. Motherwell also incorrectly described the practice of placing individuals on special status. Special status was an intermediate step designed to allow a person to continue in the fellowship of the church subject to restrictions. To my knowledge, special status was always imposed with the pastor's permission. Nothing in the Bylaws, procedures or practice of Community Chapel permitted a counselor to place a person on special status without consulting with the pastor.
- 8. Paragraph 5 of Mr. Motherwell's affidavit falsely describes what happened at my meeting with the 16 elders on

DECLARATION OF DONALD L. BARNETT IN ANSWER TO DECLARATION OF DAVID MOTHERWELL IN OPPOSITION TO BARNETT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 3

February 25, 1988. I did not place the blame for my conduct on anyone else. I did criticize the elders for deviating from their agreement with me that the hearings would be limited to Jerry Zwack's specific grievances. I also criticized them for singling me out from everyone else and treating me unfairly. I believe that I spoke less than an hour, not two to three hours as Mr. Motherwell states. I told the elders that I was not through with all of the things I wished to say. It is completely false to say that I did not want more time to state my case. The Zwack hearings were supposed to continue the following week, but they never did.

9. I cannot understand Mr. Motherwell's denial that there was a show of hands in support of my remaining as pastor at the February 28, 1988 worship service. Mr. Motherwell is totally incorrect on this point, and I believe that the evidence will bear me out.

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of Washington, that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED at	this	 day	of
December, 1990.			

DONALD L. BARNETT

NOTE: Faxed signature in possession of plaintiff's attorney. original signature page will be substituted as soon as it becomes available.

DECLARATION OF DONALD L. BARNETT IN ANSWER TO DECLARATION OF DAVID MOTHERWELL IN OPPOSITION TO BARNETT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 4